Speed Control of DC Motor USING P-I, I-P, AND FUZZY CONTROLLER

Proteep Benerjee, Sagar Dudeja, Ritu Verma Dronacharya College Of Engineering, Gurgaon

Abstract- The purpose of this paper is to obtain the simulation results on a dc motor control system with a basic Fuzzy logic controller (FLC). In this era the need for electric driven vehicle has increasing rapidly due to the global warming problem. The traditional Proportional-Integral (P -I) controller, which has been widely used for the speed control of dc motor drives, has been compared with the relatively new Integral-Proportional (I-P) controller which first discussed in the year of 1978. P-I controller is used to control the speed of DC Motor. A model is developed and simulated using MATLAB/SIMULINK. However, the P-I controller has some disadvantages such as: the high starting overshoot, sensitivity to controller gains and sluggish response due to sudden disturbance. So, the relatively new Integral-Proportional (I-P) controller is proposed to overcome the disadvantages of the P-I controller. This paper presents some improvements in this important field of industries. The result of experimental indicate the simulation and superiority of (I-P) controller over (P-I) controller. The proposed controller results in reduced oscillations around the set point which are present in system with a basic fuzzy logic controller.I-P and P-I both are using widely in this decade.

Index Terms- DC motor drive, speed control, , Integral- Proportional (I-P) controller, Proportional-Integral (P-I) controller, Fuzzy logic controller

I. INTRODUCTION

Because of their high reliabilities, flexibilities and low costs, DC motors are widely used in industrial applications, robot manipulators and home appliances where speed and position control of motor are required. PID controllers are commonly used for motor control applications because of their simple structures and intuitionally comprehensible control algorithm.

These Conventional dc motors are highly efficient and their characteristics make them suitable as servomotor. However, its needs a commutator and brushes which are subject to wear and required maintenance. The functions of commutator and brushes were implemented by solid-state switches that can realize maintenancefree motors. These motors are now known as brushless dc motors. Brushless dc motors are widely used in various applications. Two examples of them are electric vehicle and industrial machinery. Fuzzy logic controller (FLC) which is presented by Zadeh in 1965, is a new controller [1]. Besides that, FLC is more efficient from the other controller such as P-I controller. The comparison between them is needed to compare what the controller is efficient [2]. The reason why conventional controller low efficiency such as P-I controller because the overshoot is too high from the set point and it may takes delay time to get constant and sluggish response due to sudden change in load torque and the sensitivity to controller.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

The P-I controller has a proportional as well as an integral term in the forward path, the block diagram with a P-I controller for a dc motor drive is shown in Fig. 1. Theintegral controller has the property of making the steadystateerror zero for a step change, although a P-I controllermakes the steady-state error zero, it may take a considerableamount of time to accomplish this. Fig. 2 shows I-Pcontroller along with a dc motor drive, where theproportional term is moved to the feedback path and it actslike feedback compensation. The analysis in S- domain is discussed in this section to study the transient and thesteady-state behaviour for both controllers




Fig.1 blok diagram with P-I controller




Fig.2 blok diagram with I-P controller

A. P - I Controller

The closed loop transfer function between the output C(S) and the input R(S) is given in (1).

C (S)		$K_m(S K_p + K_i)$	- (1)
R (S)		$T_m S^2 + (1 + K_m K_p) S + K_m K_i$	

Where Ki and Kp, are the integral and the proportional gains of P-I or I-P controller, Tm, is the mechanical time constant of motor, and Km, is the motor gain constant. The transfer function between the output C(S) and the load torque disturbance TL(S) is given in (2).

$$\frac{C(S)}{T_{L}(S)} = \frac{S(1 + S T_{m})}{T_{m} K_{p} S^{2} + (K_{m} + T_{m} K_{i} + K_{p}) S + K_{i}} (2)$$

I-P CONTROLLER

The closed loop transfer function between the output C (S) and the input R (S) is given in (3).

$$\frac{C(S)}{R(S)} = \frac{K_{i} K_{m}}{T_{m}S^{2} + (1 + K_{m}K_{p})S + K_{m}K_{i}}$$
(3)

From (1) and (3), P-I and I-P controllers have the same characteristic equations, and it can be seen

that the zero introduced by the P-I controller is absent in the case of the IP controller. Therefore the overshoot in the speed, for a step change in the input reference R (S) is expected to be smaller for the I-P control.

III. SPEED CONTROL SYSTEM USING A BASIC FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER

The block diagram of the dc motor control system with a basic FLC is shown in Fig. 3. The basic FLC consists of the following four blocks [6]:

□ Fuzzifier which converts input data (error and error

rate) into suitable linguistic values.

□ □ Knowledge base which consists of a data base with necessary linguistic definitions (rule set).

Defuzzifier which produces a non-fuzzy control action that represents the membership function of an inferred fuzzy control action.

• Decision making logic which is used to decide what control action should be taken.


•Defuzzification is done using the Center-ofgravity method in which the inferred (numerical) value of the

$$u = \frac{\sum m_i T_i}{\sum T_i}$$

where mi are the singletons and Ti are the corresponding degree of fulfilment.

The output of the controller is the change in motor voltage

A Vc. The linguistic variables for the input and output set are Negative Large (NL), Negative Small (NS), Zero (Z), Positive Small (PS), and Positive Large (PL) as shown in Fig. 4. The rule base used in the design of the FLC is shown in Fig. 5. The location of the singletons are the centers of gravity of the triangular sets that are -3, - 1, 0, + 1, t3 for NL, NS, Z, PS, PL respectively. The state space model of the dc motor used in the simulation is given by:



State space model

where all the variables are well known. The damping ratio 6 of a second order system is expressed in terms of the maximum overshoot M, as




Fig.3 basic function of fuzzy logic controller





Fig 5. Rule base used in the design of the FLC



IV. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

A. P-I Controller

The speed and torque of an induction motor is control by P-I controller. PI controller gets one input. The actual speed and reference speed are compared and the error of the speed is given as input to PI controller. Based on the proportional gain value and the integral gain value, the PIcontroller regulates an output which is given to the inverter triggering switch depending on the load variation.





Fig 6. Basic model of P-I controller








Fig 8. Simulation Result Of PI Simulin Model






Fig 9. I-P controller




Fig 8. Simulation Result Of IP Simulink Model

C. Fuzzy Logic Controller

Fuzzy logic control (FLC) is a control algorithm based on a linguistic control strategy which tries to account the human's knowledge about how to control a system without requiring a mathematical model [6,7]. The approach of the basic structure of the fuzzy logic controller system is illustrated in FIG. 9




Fig 9. Structure of Fuzzy Logic Controller

Input and output are non-fuzzy values and the basic configuration of FLC is featured in Fig10. In the system presented in this study, Mamdani type of fuzzy logic is used for speed controller. Inputs for Fuzzy Logic controller are the speed error (e) and change of speed error. Speed error is calculated with comparison between reference speed, ω ref and the actual speed, ω act.




Fig 10. Block Diagram of FLC




Fig 11. Simulink model of FUZZY Controller




Fig 12. Simulation Result Of FUZZY Simulink Model




Fig 13. Comparative Speed Error Scope




Fig 14. Comparative Speed scope

V. CONCLUSION

In simulation results, it was shown that PI controller maintained the steady state accuracy while the fuzzy controller performed well in the case of sufficiently large reference input changes

with shorter settling time. The IP controller has integrated both fuzzy controller and PI controller. During the large speed error, the fuzzy controller will be selected by switch. The PI controller will be selected to maintain the high steady-state accuracy. The project is intended to demonstrate, the successful application of I-P controller to a phase-controlled converter dc separately excited motor-generator system. I-P controller's performance was compared with that of conventional P-I system. I-P controllers show some important advantages: the overshoot in speed is limited, thus the starting current overshoot is reduced. Also, using the suitable coefficient gains, I-P controllers offer good load recovery characteristics. Moreover, the simulation and experimental studies clearly indicate the superior performance of I-P controller, because it is inherently adaptive in nature. From the above derivation I-P controllers can replace P-I for the speed control of dc motor drives. The simulation results obtained on a dc motor speed control system using a fuzzy logic controller are presented in the paper. The system uses a basic FLC as well as an improved FLC in which an error interpreter is included. It is seen that the step response with error interpreter has a smaller rise time and a reduced overshoot.

REFRENCES

[1] Espinosa J.J. and Vandewalle J., "Predictive Control Using Fuzzy Models", Advances in Soft Computing -Engineering Design and Manufacturing (Eds.), R. Roy, Springer -London, ISBN 1-852- 33062-7, 1998 [2] M. C. Robles, "Simulation and power quality analysis of grid-tied inverters for distributed generation," UMI, 2003, pp.8-15. [3] Wei Ke-xin, Wang Shui-ming, "Modeling and Simulation of Three-Phase Voltage Source PWM Rectifier." International conference on advanced computer theory and engineering, pp. 982-986, 2008. [4] Shen Chuan-Wen, Liu Wei, Lu Qiang, Zhang Jing-jing, "Research on

PWM converter based on decoupling strategy," Power electronics, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 28-32, April 2006. [5] K. M. Passino, Stephen Yurkovich, Fuzzy Control, ADDSIONWESLEY, 1997, pp. 10 [6] Zeng guang-qi, Hu Jun-an, Wang Dong, Liu Chun-ling, "fuzzy control theory and engineering application, "HUST Press, 2006, pp. 86. [7] Zhen yuyu, "Space-vector PWM with TMS320C24x/F24x using hardware and software Texas Instruments, March 1999determined switching patens," SPRA524, pp.4-5, Texas Instruments, March 1999 [8] Controller for dc-dc converter," IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics, Vol. 12, pp. 79 - 86, Jan. 1997. [9] Fuzzy logic controller for dcldc converter: Design, computer simulation, and experimental evaluation," IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics, Vol. 11, pp. 24 - 31, Jan. 1996. [10] Hamid Berenji, "Fuzzy logic controllers," Sterling Software, Artificial Intelligence Research Branch, NASA, Ames research Center, 1991. [11] Devendra Rai, Brushless DC Motor Simulink Simulator, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal 575 025, INDIA [12] Yodyium Tipsuwan and Mo-Yuen Chow, "Fuzzy Logic microcontroller implementation for DC motor speed control", IEEE Trans. Power Electronics, Vol. 11, No.3, pp 1271-1276, 1999. [13] Hanns, Michael. "A Nearly Strict Fuzzy Arithmetic for Solving Problems with Uncertainties." Online posting. 14 Dec. 2002. [14] Devendra Rai, Brushless DC Motor Simulink Simulator, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal 575 025, **INDIA**

[15] "Tour Of Fuzzy Logic Functions." Wolfram Reasearch, Inc. 28 Nov.

[16] J. Lee, "On methods of improving performance of PI-type fuzzy logic

controllers," IEEE Trans. on Fuzzy Systems, Vol. 1, pp. 298 - 301, Nov 1993

[17] S. Bolognani and M. Zigliotto, "Fuzzy logic control of a switched

reluctance motor drive," IEEE Trans. on Industry Applications, Vol. 12, pp.

1063-1068, Sept./Oct. 1996.

[18] C.C. Lee, "Fuzzy logic in control system: Fuzzy Logic Controller- Part,

I and II," IEEE Trans. on Systems Man and Cybernetics, Vol. 20, pp. 404-435,1990

[19] H.X.Li and S.K.Tso, "Quantitative design and analysis of Fuzzy Proportional-

Integral- Derivative Control- a Step Towards Autotuning", International

journal of system science, Vol.31, No.5, 2000, pp.545-553.

[20] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy Sets. Information and Control, 8, 338-353, 1965.

[21] Assilian, S. and Mamdani, E.H., An Experiment in Linguistic Synthesis with a

Fuzzy Logic Controller. International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, 7(1),

1-13, 1974.

[22] Kickert, W. J. M. and van Nauta Lemke, H. R., Application of a Fuzzy

Controller in a Warm Water Plant. Automatica, 12(4), 301-308, 1976.

[23] B.G. Hu, G.K.I Mann and R.G Gosine, "New methodology for analytical and

optimal design of fuzzy PID controllers," IEEE Transaction of fuzzy systems,

vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 521-539, 1999

[24] Han-Xiong Li,Lei Zhang, Kai-Yuan Cai,

And Guanrong Chen," An Improved

Robust Fuzzy-PID Controller With Optimal Fuzzy Reasoning," IEEE

Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics

Part B: Cybernetics, Vol.

35, No. 6, December 2005; 1283-1292

[25] G. Haung and S. Lee, "PC based PID speed

control in DC motor," IEEE Conf.

SALIP-2008, pp. 400- 408, 2008.