Performance Analysis of FPMining Algorithm Using Apriori on HealthCare Data

Dr. M. Balamurugan¹, A. Nancy²

¹Associate Professor, School of Computer Science, Engineering and Applications, Bharathidasan University, Trichy, India

²Research Scholar, School of Computer Science, Engineering and Applications, Bharathidasan University, Trichy, India

Abstract- frequent pattern mining is an essential data mining task, with a goal of discovering knowledge in the formof repeated patterns. Many efficient pattern mining algorithms have been discovered to enhance the performance of Apriori Algorithm, The purpose of these algorithms to determining the frequent pattern. The main issue for anyalgorithm is to reduce the Execution time. In this paper we compare the frequent pattern mining Algorithm.

Index Terms- Apriori, Association rule, Frequent Patterns, Support, Memory Based

I. INTRODUCTION

Data mining is a way of mining the potentially useful information from the Data. Pattern recognition is a type of classification where an input pattern is classified into one of the several classes based on its similarity to these predefinedclasses. The current evolution of Data mining function and products is the result of years of influence from manydisciplines including databases, information retrieval, statistical machine learning, multimedia algorithm and andgraphics. This evolution is due to the support of three technologies that are sufficiently mature: massive data collection, highperformance computing and data mining algorithm. Frequent pattern mining has applications ranging from intrusion detection and Market basket analysis, to credit card fraud prevention and drug discovery.

Knowledge Discovery in databases is the process of identifying a valid, potentially useful and ultimately understandablestructure in data. This process involves selecting or sampling data from a data warehouse, cleaning or pre-processing it,transforming or reducing it, applying a data mining component to produce a structure, and then evaluating the derived structure. Thus, the structures that are the outcome of the data mining process must meet certain conditions so that thesecan be considered as knowledge. These conditions arevalidity; understand ability, utility, novelty and interestingness.Frequent pattern are the sequence of Items that occur frequently, close to each other and are extracted from thetransactional database.

Frequent pattern mining is one of the most important and well researched techniques of data mining. The Associationrule defined as "the task is to find the association between the presences of various item within the dataset." TheAssociation rule solely dependent on the Discovery of Frequent pattern. The presence of one set of items in a transactionimplies other set of items.

II. LIMITATION OF APRIORI

One is to find those item sets whose occurrences exceed a predefined Support in the database; those item sets are calledfrequent Pattern The Apriori Algorithm can be further divided into two sub-part candidate large item sets generationprocess and frequent item sets generation process. Frequent item set or large item set are those item sets whose supportcount exceeds the value of support threshold. Due to Number of passes apriori takes the more time. It scan the Databasemany time for Frequent pattern Discovery.

III.APRIORI ALGORITHM FOR FREQUENT PATTERN MINING

Apriori algorithm is the very well-known algorithm for finding the association rule and is used in many commercialproducts. It uses the property of large Item set. "Any subset of large item set must be a large". The large item set satisfiesthe minimum support requirement, so do all its subset. The apriori algorithm is to generate candidate item set of particular size and then scan the database to count these to see ifthey are large. During the scan k, candidate of size k,Ckare counted .Only those candidates that are large are used togenerate candidate for the next pass. The Lk is used to generate C k+1.An item set is considered as a candidate only if allits subset also is large. The apriori algorithm works in the two processes.

A.Generation of Apriori:

This part of algorithm find the candidate itemset.it generates the superset of large item set.

B.Pruning:

This part removes the candidate item set that have subset of size k-1 that are not considered as large

IV. MEMORY BASED APPROACH ON APRIORI ALGORITHM

This is the Memory efficient algorithm because it takes the very less memory when the query is fire, it takes thoseFrequent pattern whose length is greater than or equal to n.it is very much space saving. This algorithm is based on theapriori algorithm. The procedure of apriori algorithm searches the candidate item set in each horizontal transaction of anysize. In this procedure count the support of candidate item set of size n, and search its existence in horizontal transactionwhose size may be greater than less than or equal to the n. and in the memory based approach count the support ofcandidate Item set only in the transaction whose size is greater than or equal to the size of candidate item set, because itmay exist only in those transaction whose size is greater than or equal to n. This approach takes very less run time ascompared to Apriori Algorithm to generation of frequent pattern.

V. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we evaluate the performance of Apriori and Memory based Algorithm. To make the evaluation, we check the performance of Apriori and memory based approach on the different support count and fixed support countwith different size of dataset.

DataSet:In tune with our application, we have taken a dataset of Hospital with 633 items. In the analysis process we considered2000 record in Horizontal Transaction format to generate the frequent pattern. In horizontal Transaction EachTransaction contains the multiple medicines in the single row. The transaction format contains the transaction Id (TID)and no.of Item (Medicine).The view of horizontal Transaction is given below in Table 1.

TABLE 1: DATASET OF HOSPITAL

TID	MEDICINE
1	STERILE WATER 5ML,COLISPAS
	DROP,PERINORM INJ
2	MEROTEC 250 MG INJ
3	kefragard 0.75 inj
4	combiflam tab
5	MONOCEF SB 1GM INJ
6	RANTAC INJ, DISPO VAN 2ML
	SYREING, DISPO VAN 5ML
	SYRIENG, DISPO
	VAN 1 ML SYRIENG, DanyIng., DISPO
	VAN 50ML SYRINGE, AUGPEN-300 INJ

VI. TIME COMPARISON OF APRIORI AND MEMORY BASED APPROACH

As a result of the experimental study, show that the performance of Apriori and Memory Based algorithm. The run time is the time to mine the frequent Pattern. We have 2064 transaction and The experimental is applied on 2000 transaction

of hospital data(1st May to 27th May 2015) with different support count result of Execution time is shown in the Fig-1reveals that the Memory Based is better than Apriori approach. In the analysis we use the different supportcount(5,6,7,8).In the study we observe that when the support count is less both the algorithm takes more time becausethere are large number of candidate item set those may become the frequent although memory based approach takes lessTime for every value of support count when we increase the transaction the difference between the execution time is also increase.



Figure 1: Execution Time Comparison On Different Support Count

Now the experimental is again performed on different size of dataset with fixed support count 8.The result of Executiontime is shown in the Fig-2 reveals that the Memory Based performs better than Apriori

165



Figure 2: Execution Time Comparison On Different Size Dataset

VII. CONCLUSION

The association rules play a major role in many data mining applications, trying to find interesting patterns in data bases. Apriori is the simplest algorithm which is used for mining of frequent patterns from the transaction database. The main

drawback of Apriori algorithm is that the candidate set generation is costly, especially if a large number of patternsand/or long patterns exist. Apriori algorithm uses large item set property, easy to implement, but it repeatedly scan thedatabase. Apriori takes more time to scan the large Frequents patterns. The Memory based approach is used for efficientmining of frequent patterns in large databases. Memory based approach we count the support of candidate set only in the transaction record whose length is greater than or equal to the length of candidate set and it is more efficient than Apriori algorithm and also takes lesser time and gives better performance.

REFERENCE

- Rahul Mishra, Abhachoubey, Comparative Analysis of Apriori Algorithm and Frequent Pattern Algorithm forFrequent Pattern Mining in Web Log Data, (IJCSIT) International Journal of Computer Science and InformationTechnologies, Vol. 3 (4), 2012
- [2] Huipingpeng "Discovery of Interesting Association Rules on Web Usage Mining" 2010 International Conference.
- [3] Agrawal R, Srikant R., "Fast Algorithms for Mining Association Rules", VLDB. Sep 12-15 1994, Chile, 487-99,pdf, ISBN 1-55860-153-8.
- [4] Paresh Tanna, Dr. Yogesh Ghodasara, Using Apriori with WEKA for Frequent Pattern Mining, (IJETT) – Volume12 Number 3 - Jun 2014

- [5] Agrawal, R., Imielinski, T., and Swami, A. N. 1993. Mining association rules between sets of items in largedatabases. In Proceedings of the 1993 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, 207-216
- [6] C.Borgelt. "Efficient Implementations of Apriori and Eclat". In Proc. 1st IEEE ICDM Workshop on Frequent ItemSet Mining Implementations, CEUR Workshop Proceedings 90, Aachen, Germany 2003.
- [7] Krutika. K Jain, Anjali . B. Raut, Review paper on finding Association rule using Apriori Algorithm in Datamining for finding frequent pattern; International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science Volume 3,Issue 1, January-February, 2015.
- [8] HimaniBathla, Ms. Kavita Kathuria, Apriori Algorithm and filtered associator in association rule mining;International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing; Vol. 4, Issue. 6, June 2015, pg.299 – 306.
- [9] Shelly Ahuja, Gurpreet Kaur, Review of Association Rule Mining Using Apriori Algorithm;International Journal ofAdvanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering;Volume 4, Issue 3, March 2014.
- [10] R. Agrawal, S. Gollapudi, A. Kannan, and K. Kenthapadi. Enriching textbooks with images. In CIKM, 2011.
- [11] S. Vijaykumar and S. Saravanakumar, "Future Robotics Memory Management", Advances in DigitalImage Processing and Information Technology, pp. 315–325, 2011.
- [12] Vijaykumar, S., S.G. Saravanakumar, Dr. M. Balamurugan: "Unique Sense: Smart Computing Prototype", Procedia Computer Science, Issue. 50, pp. 223 – 228, 2015.