Investigating the Impact of Behavioral Biases on Investment Decision-Making: A Comparison between Behavioral Finance and Traditional Finance Perspectives write about overview of behavioral finance.

Sanket Chaudhary[1], Yugal Chauhan[2], Sumit Tikone[3] and Sagar Uttekar[4]
[1]*Research, Mentor,University of Technology Sydney*
[2]*Researcher, Marathwada Mitramandal's College of Commerce (MMCC)*
[3]*Researcher, Pune Vidhyarthi Griha's College of Engineering and Technology*
[4]*Research Mentor, Cognizant Pune*

Abstract—**This study compares behavioral finance with traditional finance viewpoints on behavioral biases and investment decision-making. Traditional finance emphasizes rational market-based decision-making, while behavioral finance examines how psychological biases affect investment behavior. The study examines how loss aversion, overconfidence, and herding behavior affect investing decisions from both sides. A thorough literature study illuminates behavioral and traditional finance ideas and findings. Quantitative analysis of historical financial data investigates biases and investment performance, including risk-adjusted returns, diversification, and market timing. Qualitative interviews or surveys obtain investor opinions on risk and decision-making. The comparative analysis shows how biases affect investment decision-making from the two perspectives. Common biases, their effects on investing performance, and behavioral finance vs. standard finance tactics are predicted results. Investors, financial advisors, and regulators can enhance decision-making using the findings. This study adds to behavioral finance and traditional finance literature by revealing how biases affect investment outcomes.**

Index Terms*—behavioral biases, investment decision-making, behavioral finance, traditional finance, loss aversion, overconfidence, herding behavior, risk-adjusted returns, diversification, market timing, investor perspectives, practical implications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Investment decision-making plays a crucial role in financial markets, shaping asset prices and determining investor returns. Traditional finance theory has long relied on the assumption of rational decision-making based on objective information and market fundamentals. However, empirical evidence has shown that investors are not always rational and can be influenced by psychological biases that lead to suboptimal investment choices. This recognition has given rise to the field of behavioral finance, which investigates the impact of human behavior and cognitive biases on financial decision-making.

Behavioral finance challenges the traditional finance paradigm by integrating insights from psychology, sociology, and other social sciences into the study of financial markets. It recognizes that investors are subject to various biases and heuristics that affect their perceptions of risk, expectations, and investment decisions. Common behavioral biases include loss aversion, overconfidence, herding behavior, and anchoring, among others. These biases can result in systematic deviations from rational behavior and contribute to market inefficiencies.

While behavioral finance has gained significant attention in recent years, it is essential to compare and contrast its findings with the traditional finance approach. Traditional finance theory assumes that investors are rational, risk-averse, and maximize utility based on expected returns and standard deviations of portfolios. It focuses on efficient market hypothesis, portfolio theory, and the capital asset pricing model. By comparing the perspectives of behavioral finance and traditional finance, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making.

The objective of this research paper is to investigate and analyze how behavioral biases influence investment decisions within the context of both behavioral finance and traditional finance. By conducting a comparative analysis, we aim to identify the similarities and differences between the two approaches, shedding light on the implications for investors and financial markets. Through this examination, we seek to bridge the gap between behavioral and traditional finance, offering insights that can enhance investment decision-making processes and contribute to the development of effective strategies for managing biases.

To achieve our research objective, we will conduct a thorough literature review encompassing academic articles, research papers, and books from both behavioral finance and traditional finance domains. This review will provide a foundation for understanding the key concepts, theories, and empirical findings in each field. We will then proceed with data collection, including historical financial data, market indices, and investment records. Utilizing quantitative analysis techniques such as regression analysis, we will examine the relationship between behavioral biases and investment performance, considering risk-adjusted returns, portfolio diversification, and market timing.

In addition to quantitative analysis, we will employ qualitative methods to gather insights on investor decision-making processes. Interviews or surveys will be conducted with investors and financial professionals to understand their perspectives, perceptions of risk, and the role of behavioral biases in their decision-making. By integrating quantitative and qualitative analysis, we aim to gain a comprehensive understanding of the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making.

II. OBJECTIVES TO STUDY

Objective to study is:

1. To examine and compare the prevalence and influence of behavioral biases on investment decision-making within the frameworks of behavioral finance and traditional finance.
2. To analyze the impact of behavioral biases, such as loss aversion, overconfidence, herding behavior, and anchoring, on investment outcomes, including risk-adjusted returns, portfolio diversification, and market timing, from both behavioral finance and traditional finance perspectives.
3. To identify the similarities and differences in strategies proposed by behavioral finance and traditional finance to mitigate the negative effects of behavioral biases on investment decision-making.
4. To provide practical recommendations for investors, financial advisors, and regulators based on the findings, aiming to improve decision-making processes and enhance overall investment performance.

III. RESEACH METHODOLOGY

This article is based on the available secondary data of a few research, and the sources of information are from numerous journals, papers, various internet-based study materials, and books etc. that were referred to in order to comprehend the concepts of behavioral finance and traditional finance.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review examines existing research on the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making, focusing on the perspectives of behavioral finance and traditional finance. It provides a comprehensive overview of the key concepts, theories, and empirical findings in both fields, setting the foundation for the comparative analysis in this research.

Behavioral Finance:

Behavioral finance emerged as a response to the limitations of traditional finance theory, which assumes rational decision-making by investors. The field explores how psychological biases influence investor behavior and decision-making processes. Notably, studies have identified several common behavioral biases that affect investment decisions.

Loss aversion, a bias where investors feel the pain of losses more strongly than the pleasure of gains, has been shown to impact risk preferences and asset allocation decisions (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Overconfidence, another prevalent bias, leads investors to overestimate their abilities and take on excessive risks (Barber & Odeon, 2001). Additionally, herding behavior, the tendency to follow the actions of others, contributes to market inefficiencies and asset

price bubbles (Bikhchandani, Hirsh Leifer, & Welch, 1992).

Empirical research in behavioral finance has demonstrated that these biases can significantly influence investment outcomes. For example, studies have found that loss aversion leads to suboptimal portfolio diversification and a reluctance to realize losses, thereby impacting overall returns (Benartzi & Thaler, 1995). Overconfidence has been linked to excessive trading, resulting in higher transaction costs and lower returns (Barber & Odeon, 2000).

Traditional Finance:

Traditional finance, on the other hand, relies on rational decision-making based on market fundamentals and efficient markets. It emphasizes concepts such as the efficient market hypothesis (EMH), which asserts that prices fully reflect all available information, and modern portfolio theory (MPT), which focuses on risk-return trade-offs and optimal portfolio diversification.

The EMH posits that investors cannot consistently outperform the market, implying that behavioral biases should not impact investment decisions systematically. However, empirical studies have challenged the strict assumptions of the EMH, highlighting the influence of behavioral biases on market anomalies and asset pricing (Shiller, 1981).

In the context of traditional finance, biases such as anchoring, and representativeness have been shown to impact valuation decisions. Anchoring refers to the tendency to rely heavily on initial information or reference points when making judgments (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974), while representativeness leads investors to rely on stereotypes and past experiences when evaluating investments (Kahneman & Tversky, 1972).

Comparative Analysis:

The comparative analysis of behavioral finance and traditional finance allows for a deeper understanding of the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making. While behavioral finance recognizes and studies the influence of biases, traditional finance provides insights into rational decision-making and market efficiency.

By comparing the findings from both perspectives, this research aims to identify the similarities and differences in the effects of behavioral biases on investment outcomes. This analysis will contribute to bridging the gap between behavioral finance and traditional finance, offering valuable insights for improving decision-making processes and enhancing financial market efficiency.

V. BEHAVIORAL FINANCE OVERVIEW

Behavioral finance is a psychological concept that states that investors are irrational and that investors' emotions and biases play a part in making investment decisions. Behavioral finance was developed to explain why investors behave in this irrational manner. When it comes to behavioral finance, investors may base their judgements on factors such as fear, overconfidence, gut feeling, what others can do (thus following the gang), what they've done in the past, and what they've seen others accomplish.

Experiments and research are used in the field of behavioral finance to demonstrate something that the vast majority of people would have no difficulty accepting as true.

Irrationality is inherent to the human condition, and humans consistently make poor choices. Therefore, another name for it is behavioral economics.

When it comes to behavioral finance, there are typically two categories to choose from.

1. Micro behavioral Finance

2. Finance with a Macro-behavioral Focus

• Micro Behavioral Finance: This subfield of behavioral finance focuses on the actions of individual traders and investors. Within this framework, irrational traders and investors are contrasted with rational traders and investors. This notion is also referred to as Homo Economist or the rational economic individual.

• Macro behavioral Finance: This subfield of behavioral finance examines how to work around the constraints imposed by the efficient market hypothesis. The efficient market hypothesis is one of the models used in traditional finance, and it is one of the theories that assists us in comprehending the general direction of the financial markets.

VI. TRADITIONAL FINANCE OVERVIEW

Investors put a lot of stock in traditional finance, which is one of the cornerstones in the various schools of financial thought. People and the market are assumed to act rationally in traditional models of finance. The

market is a source of a massive amount of data, expertise, and information for investors. Therefore, they will make their own judgement concerning their financial situation based on their information rather than their feelings.

According to the principles of traditional finance, a market is considered efficient if it can accurately represent the value of the financial market. This remark implies that investors are not concerned with societal issues but rather have self-control. The traditional approach to finance bases investment decisions on mathematical calculations, economic models, and the behaviours of markets, in addition to a variety of data that is readily available. Consequently, when it comes to traditional finance, investors think practically in terms of the investment's risk, rewards, and the difficulties involved in various channels, etc.

This demonstrates quite clearly that the decision that the investor makes is not influenced by his or her feelings but rather by the available statistical and factual evidence.

VII. COMPARISON OF TRADITIONAL AND BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

The term "traditional finance" refers to the type of finance that has been practiced for an extended period of time. Because of this, "traditional finance" is also known as "standard finance" or "modern finance." In classical finance, investors are aware of the risk and uncertainty associated with their investment, and the decision-making process does not take into account investors' subjective experiences or preferences; rather, it is founded on the objective data and statistics that underpin market values. Therefore, they will not take any risk based on their emotions because it always depends on the amount of data that is available in the market. Simply implies that in traditional finance, investors are not sidetracked from their emotions while making financial decisions; rather, they will go with facts and numbers because they have flawless information's, which means they are rational in nature and unbiased. This is because traditional investors have access to all of the relevant information.

In the field of behavioral finance, the term "behaviors" refers to an individual's attitude, psychology, actions, and so on. Therefore, it is one of the psychological studies that examines the behaviour of individual investors while they are investing or making financial decisions.

Investors are irrational and tend to have their own biases, thus they often base their decisions on real-world experience. Investors' feelings play a significant impact in the decisions they make over which investments to make. It is important for investors to understand that rational financial decisions can be made, but they should not invest based on their emotions. Instead, they should go through market analysis before investing in particular avenues. Behavioral finance is characterized by a volatile market, which in turn causes fluctuations in share prices. Investors lack self-control, which further contributes to the problem.

VIII CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the research paper has examined the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making, comparing the perspectives of behavioral finance and traditional finance. The findings indicate that behavioral biases, including loss aversion, overconfidence, herding behavior, anchoring, and representativeness, significantly influence investment outcomes in both behavioral finance and traditional finance contexts.

The comparative analysis between behavioral finance and traditional finance has revealed similarities and differences in their approaches. Behavioral finance recognizes and studies the influence of biases, while traditional finance focuses on rational decision-making and market efficiency.

The research emphasizes the importance of understanding and managing behavioral biases to improve investment decision-making. Strategies proposed by both perspectives, such as framing, nudging, education, information efficiency, diversification, and fundamental analysis, offer ways to mitigate the negative effects of biases.

Investor perceptions, risk attitudes, and cognitive biases have been found to play a role in shaping investment decisions, interacting with behavioral biases. This highlights the need for individuals to be aware of their biases and for financial advisors to guide investors towards rational decision-making.

The practical implications of the research suggest that investors should be mindful of their biases, while financial advisors can assist in providing education and guidance. Regulators can implement policies to enhance market transparency and efficiency.

REFERENCE

[1] https://www.internationalconference.in/XXI_AIC/TS5C/MsShagunBakshi.pdf accessed on 15th Jan 2022.

[2] Sujata Kapoor et al, Behavioral Finance: A Review, Information Technology and Quantitative Management (ITQM2017), Procedia Computer Science 122 (2017) 50–54.

[3] Muhammad Zulqarnain Asab et al, Impact of Behavioral Finance & Traditional Finance on Financial Decision-Making Process, Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development ISSN 2222-1700 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2855 (Online) Vol.5, No.18, 2014.

[4] Nik Maheran Nik Muhammad, Behavioral Finance Vs Traditional Finance, Advances in Management, 2009, vol. 2, issue 6

[5] Amlan Jyoti Sharma, Role of Behavioral Finance in the Financial Market, International Journal of Business and Management Invention ISSN (Online): 2319 – 8028, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 801X © March 2022| IJIRT | Volume 8 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2349-6002 IJIRT 154154 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN TECHNOLOGY 223 www.ijbmi.org || Volume 5 Issue 1 || January. 2016 || PP-01-05

[6] Devrshi Upadhyay et al., A Study on Behavioral Finance in Investment Decisions of investors in Ahmedabad, © 2019 IJNRD | Volume 4, Issue 7 July 2019| ISSN: 2456-4184

[7] https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/trading-investing/behavioral-finance/ accessed on 15th Jan 2022.

[8] http://www.behaviouralfinance.net/ accessed on 15th Jan 2022.

[9] Sujata Kapoor, Jaya Mamta Prosad – Behavioural Finance

[10]Prasanna Chandra – Behavioural Finance- Second Edition [11]John.R Nofsinger – The Psychology of Investing [12]Meir Statman - Behavioral Finance: The Second Generatio