© May 2023| IJIRT | Volume 9 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2349-6002

Pattern Analysis of Financial Reporting Practices in Developed and Emerging Nations

Tanisha Parvatikar
Department of Commerce, St. Xavier's College, Mumbai

Abstract- In developing countries, a lack of advancement in financial reporting practices can have serious repercussions. It fosters corruption and fraud by promoting an environment devoid of openness and accountability. Due to this opacity, local and international investment is discouraged, which hinders economic progress. Doubts regarding the authenticity and dependability of financial information cause a decline in investor confidence, which in turn causes cash to be withheld and a lack of investments. A buildup of non-performing loans and concealed losses as a result of poor reporting practices that fail to recognize risks and vulnerabilities also puts the economy in danger of worsening economic hardship.

Hence, this paper offers a thorough examination of the differences between developed and developing country financial reporting patterns. The research looks at the necessity for developing nations to raise their financial reporting standards and contrasts them with those in use in affluent nations. The research also looks at the need of harmonizing financial reporting procedures with global standards and standards of excellence. Also, it advances knowledge of the significance of sound financial reporting procedures for promoting stability and economic progress in developing nations.

Index Terms- Banks, developed countries, Emerging countries, financial reporting practices

I. INTRODUCTION

As the global economy unifies, businesses and investors are increasingly collaborating across borders and dominions. This has increased the need for more transparent and consistent financial reporting practices, particularly in developing countries hoping to draw foreign investment. Financial reporting practices play a significant role in the effective transfer of money between markets. To use resource plans effectively, investors must have access to complete and trustworthy financial records.

In addition to the United States, several advanced nations, such as the European Union and Australia, use the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). While similar to IFRS, the US-adopted Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) varies somewhat in reporting.

There are well-established financial reporting rules and regulations in industrialized nations like the United States, the United Kingdom, and Japan. As a result, investors have a high degree of faith in the veracity and openness of financial statements. The diverse economic systems and regulatory frameworks of developing economies like Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa (BRICS) can be challenging for enterprises operating in these regions.

The financial reporting practices of industrialized and emerging countries are compared in this study. This research paper will provide an outline of financial reporting practices in developed and developing nations. The similarities and contrasts between developed and emerging countries' financial reporting standards will then be contrasted, highlighting the challenges and opportunities that must be addressed to satisfy these criteria.

Policymakers, regulators, and investors should consider the findings of this study as they attempt to advance greater standardization and transparency in financial reporting practices across a variety of economies. By comparing the policies of developed and developing countries, this study will provide crucial insights into the best approaches to achieve this goal while promoting economic growth and development.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The techniques used for financial reporting differ substantially between countries, particularly between industrialized and emerging economies. This review of the literature aims to identify the factors behind these discrepancies in financial reporting practices, especially in developing countries. Based on comparable subjects in three research works, this study has been reviewed:

In [1], the author examined financial reporting practices in the view of the Indian case study and looks into how strictly accounting principles are followed, the quality of financial reporting, and the factors influencing these practices. Despite recent improvements in financial reporting in India, the study shows that there are still significant problems with compliance and reporting quality.

In [2], the author provides a comprehensive analysis of the literature on financial reporting practices in emerging nations and examines how institutional factors impact financial reporting practices as well as the challenges emerging economies face while applying international accounting standards. The study found that developing robust financial reporting systems in developing nations is challenging because of several issues, including weak institutional frameworks, cultural differences, and a lack of resources.

In [3], the author observes financial reporting practices in Bangladesh's expanding economy and examines how effectively financial reporting is done, how well accounting laws and standards are followed, and what factors affect these aspects. The study found that listed enterprises in Bangladesh generally conform to accounting regulations and procedures to a low degree, and the quality of financial reporting is frequently poor.

The findings of this literature review show that solid financial reporting systems must be given top priority by policymakers in developing countries to promote accountability and transparency. To achieve this, institutional structures may need to be strengthened, accounting laws and procedures may need to be made more rigorous and consistent, and financial reporting professionals may need to have access to enough financing and training. However, with the aid of a case study on the financial reporting practices of banks in the United States before and after the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act, and a comparison to the financial reporting practices of banks in Brazil, we will come across the comparative analysis of financial reporting systems between developed and emerging countries.

III. METHODOLOGY

Research Design: To compare the financial reporting practices used by banks in Brazil and the United States before and after the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act, a comparative case study technique was used. The case study involved the analysis of secondary data from the financial reports and regulatory filings of banks in both countries. The financial reporting practices of the two countries were compared to identify trends in financial reporting practices in developed and emerging economies.

Data collection: The information for this study was acquired from two publicly available sources, the SEC filings and annual reports of banks in the US and Brazil. Bank financial reports and regulatory filings from 2010 to 2021 were the subjects of the examination. These reports were chosen to demonstrate how the Dodd-Frank Act has changed how American banks disclose their financial data. Additionally, data from Brazil was acquired for comparison's sake.

Data Analysis: To analyze the data from bank financial reports and regulatory filings in the US and Brazil, descriptive statistics were utilized. The data was organized and categorized by the unique financial reporting practices of banks, such as disclosure practices, transparency, and financial statement analysis. After that, the data was scrutinized for any patterns in the financial reporting practices of Brazilian and American banks before and after the Dodd-Frank Act came into force.

Ethical Consideration: Due to the study's use of widely accessible secondary data, there were no ethical issues to be taken into consideration.

Limitations: One issue with the study is the use of readily available data, which might be of low quality and scope. The study may not be generalizable to other nations because it is restricted to the financial reporting procedures of banks in the United States and Brazil. The study is further constrained by the availability of the data and the length of the investigation.

IV. CASE STUDY

This section provides a general overview of the financial reporting procedures used by banks in the USA and Brazil. It is taken into account how financial reporting was done in the USA both before and after the Dodd-Frank Act went into effect.

Before the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve System and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) established a regulatory framework that heavily monitored American banks' financial reporting practices.

The OCC established regulations and standards for banks' financial reporting needs as the principal federal regulator of national banks. Periodic reports, including yearly reports and quarterly Call Reports (FFIEC 031 and FFIEC 041), must be submitted by banks to the OCC. These reports included several schedules and disclosures in addition to comprehensive balance sheets, income statements, and other financial data. The reports contained information on the institutions' financial viability, risk exposure, and regulatory compliance [4].

The Federal Reserve System has strict regulations and oversight over the banking industry's financial reporting practices. The "Bank Holding Company Report" (FR Y-9C), which obliged bank holding firms to report consolidated financial statements and other significant information, was one of the rules and regulations it produced. The FR Y-9C study [5] covers issues such as risk management, asset quality, and capital sufficiency.

The objectives of these financial reporting practices were transparency, accountability, and the availability of reliable information for regulatory purposes, risk assessment, and market participants.

Later, when the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted, financial reporting practices utilized by banks in the United States evolved and improved. By adding more regulatory standards and processes, the Act increased financial reporting and monitoring.

The Dodd-Frank Act has led to several organizations, most notably the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Federal Reserve System, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), conducting a closer examination and increased supervision of banks [6]. These entities have specific obligations to control certain aspects of bank operations and financial reporting.

The Act mandated stress testing, enhanced risk management practices, and capital requirements for banks. Additionally, it urged the implementation of tighter internal controls and risk management protocols. These steps aim to lower systemic risks and increase the overall resilience of the banking sector [7].

In terms of financial reporting, the Dodd-Frank Act enhanced the transparency obligations of banks. For instance, it mandated the disclosure of off-balance sheet transactions, CEO compensation, and securitization activities. The Act also created the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC), which monitors systemic risks and has the power to designate particular banks as "systemically important financial institutions" (SIFIs), subject to tougher reporting and monitoring requirements [8].

The Act also mandated that the SEC research and assess the adoption of international accounting standards to improve openness and comparability in financial reporting. These modifications to financial reporting procedures seek to tighten risk management, increase regulatory supervision, and improve the quality and openness of financial information provided by banks. Hence it can be said that the financial reporting practices after the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act have been more effective.

The Financial reporting practices of banks in Brazil are as follows:

The Banco Central do Brasil (Central Bank of Brazil) is responsible for overseeing banking in Brazil [9]. To promote openness, responsibility, and the stability of the banking system, the central bank creates laws and regulations for financial reporting practices.

The Brazilian Accounting Pronunciations Committee (Comitê de Pronunciamentos Contábeis, CPC) has established some accounting standards that must be followed by banks in Brazil when preparing and submitting financial accounts [10]. Based on global best practices, the CPC develops accounting principles and standards.

Annual financial statements, which contain balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements, and associated notes, are routinely prepared by Brazilian banks as part of their financial reporting obligations [9]. The bank's financial status, operations, and cash flows are all detailed in these financial statements.

In Brazil, banks must also provide data on risk management, capital adequacy, loan portfolios, and other important metrics [9]. With the use of these disclosures, stakeholders, such as regulators, investors, and the general public, will be able to evaluate the bank's financial stability and risk profile.

V. RESULTS

A comparison between the financial practices used by banks in Brazil and the United States following the Dodd-Frank Act's adoption.

1. Regulatory Structure:

USA: To tighten financial reporting and accountability, the Dodd-Frank Act added new regulatory requirements for banks in the country [6].
Brazil: The Central Bank of Brazil regulates banks in Brazil by establishing guidelines for financial reporting practices to maintain stability and openness [9].

2. Risk Administration:

USA: The Dodd-Frank Act required American banks to implement improved risk management procedures, stress tests, and capital requirements [6].
Brazil: Strong risk management systems and disclosure of information on risk management practices are also requirements for Brazilian banks [9].

3. Disclosure Conditions:

USA: Executive remuneration, securitization activity, and off-balance sheet transactions are among the new disclosure obligations that the Dodd-Frank Act imposed on banks in the US [6].
Brazil: Data on risk management, capital adequacy, loan portfolios, and other important indicators must be disclosed by Brazilian banks [9].

4. Accounting Standards:

USA: For financial reporting, banks in the US typically adhere to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) [6].
Brazil: By global best practices, Brazilian banks adhere to accounting standards established by the Brazilian Accounting Pronouncements Committee (CPC) [9].

These are comparisons between Brazilian banks' financial reporting practices and American banks' financial practices following the Dodd-Frank Act. It's crucial to remember that the comparison might not be all-inclusive and that there might be other details and subtleties to take into account.

Similarities between the financial practices used by banks in Brazil and the United States following the Dodd-Frank Act's adoption.

1. Controlling Regulations:

USA and Brazil: The financial practices of banks are regulated in both the USA and Brazil by regulatory agencies. The Federal Reserve System, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) are the main players in the USA [6]. Banks are governed and overseen in Brazil by the Central Bank of Brazil [9].

2. Financial Statements:

USA and Brazil: Financial statements that detail a bank's status, performance, and cash flows must be prepared by banks in both the USA and Brazil. These statements comprise cash flow statements, income statements, balance sheets, and related comments [6][9].

3. Risk Management:

USA and Brazil: The need for effective risk management procedures for banks is stressed in both the USA and Brazil. Banks must have sufficient risk management systems in place by the rules of the Central Bank of Brazil and the Dodd-Frank Act in the United States [6][9].

4. Disclosure Requirements:

USA and Brazil: Both the USA and Brazil have laws requiring banks to provide information to stakeholders. While banks in Brazil are required to provide information on risk management, capital adequacy, loan portfolios, and other important indicators, further disclosure requirements were imposed in the USA by the Dodd-Frank Act [6][9].

5. Accounting Standards:

USA and Brazil: Brazilian and American banks both adhere to a set of accounting rules. While banks in Brazil conform to accounting rules established by the Brazilian Accounting Pronouncements Committee (CPC), banks in the USA usually follow Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) [6][9].

These are a few crucial areas where Brazilian banks' financial reporting practices and those of American banks under the Dodd-Frank Act are comparable. The comparison might not be complete, and there might be other variables and nuances to take into account.

In contrast to affluent nations, emerging nations have a strong need to improve their banking financial reporting practices. It is critical to increase financial reporting's accuracy, dependability, and openness since these nations frequently experience increased investor skepticism and uncertainty. Emerging nations may boost investor trust, entice local and international investment, and promote economic growth by improving their financial reporting procedures. Brazil may need to change its financial reporting procedures in this scenario since it is a developing nation, even though there are some opportunities.

Opportunities presented by the bank's current financial reporting procedures for Brazil include:

1. Increased Investor Confidence: By giving investors accurate and dependable information about the financial health and performance of Brazilian banks, transparent and trustworthy financial reporting practices can draw both local and foreign investors. This might encourage investment and aid in the expansion of the economy.
2. Greater Access to Capital: Improved creditworthiness and risk assessment of Brazilian banks can be attributed to trustworthy and consistent financial reporting. As a result, banks may have easier access to the capital markets and better opportunities to obtain money to support their expansion and lending activities.
3. Tighter Regulatory Control: Strong financial reporting procedures give regulatory bodies, including the Central Bank of Brazil, a clearer picture of the financial health of banks. As a result, they are better able to keep an eye on risks, spot possible weak spots, and put appropriate safeguards in place to guarantee the stability and soundness of the financial system.
4. Comparative Advantage in overseas Markets: Financial information between Brazilian banks and their overseas counterparts may be more easily compared if financial reporting practices are in line with international norms. Promoting cross-border transactions, partnerships, and collaborations, can provide Brazilian banks with a more favorable position in global markets.

The continuation of sound financial reporting practices in Brazil may lead to these opportunities, but whether they materialize also relies on several other elements, including the state of the economy, the regulatory landscape, and market dynamics. But there may be certain restrictions on establishing and sustaining strong financial reporting practices in Brazil. These restrictions may consist of:

1. Compliance expenses: Banks may incur large compliance expenses as a result of adhering to thorough financial reporting standards and transparency obligations. This entails making investments in technology, education, and resources to guarantee accurate and timely reporting, which might be difficult for institutions that are smaller or less financially stable.
2. Complex Regulatory Environment: As a result of modifications to accounting standards, laws, and global practices, the financial reporting environment is always evolving and being updated. It can be difficult for banks to keep up with these developments and ensure compliance with constantly changing regulations, especially smaller banks with fewer resources and specialists.
3. Comprehension Challenges: Even for seasoned experts, effectively interpreting and

implementing complicated accounting standards and concepts may be difficult. The comparability of information between banks and the proper evaluation of their financial health may be hampered by discrepancies in interpretation that result in variations in financial reporting.

4. Disclosing Burden: For banks, gathering, processing, and reporting financial data can take a lot of time and resources. This weight might distract management from other strategic endeavors and make it difficult for them to concentrate on essential business functions and client requirements.

These restrictions draw attention to the possible difficulties in establishing and upholding sound financial reporting practices in Brazil. To get over these constraints, one must be willing to make investments in people, equipment, and knowledge as well as maintain constant vigilance and adapt to changing legal requirements.

VI. DISCUSSIONS

Even though this study offers insightful comparisons between developing and industrialized nations' financial reporting practices, it is important to recognize its limitations. These restrictions consist of:

Data Restrictions: This study depends on secondary data sources, which might come with their own set of restrictions about the availability, accuracy, and comprehensiveness of the data. The generalizability of the results might be affected by differences in the consistency and correctness of the data utilized in the research across various nations and epochs.

Context Variations: Due to variances in legal environments, cultural norms, and economic circumstances, financial reporting practices can range dramatically between rising nations. It may not be possible for this study to fully capture all the subtleties and unique characteristics of each nation's financial reporting practice, needing additional country-specific research for a thorough understanding.

Scope and Depth: The pattern analysis of financial reporting practices in developing and developed nations is presented in this study as a comprehensive overview. Certain features and subtopics, however, might not have been thoroughly addressed due to the intricacy and complexity of financial reporting. A deeper investigation of certain aspects like accounting standards, auditing procedures, and regulatory frameworks might be done through further study.

VII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comparative pattern analysis of financial reporting standards across developing and emerging nations emphasizes the urgent need for developing nations to raise their financial reporting standards. The main causes of the urgent need for improved financial reporting practices in rising nations have been clarified by this study.

The results show that, in comparison to wealthy nations, rising countries confront more difficulties in risk management, investor trust, and access to money. Building investor trust, luring local and foreign investment, and promoting economic progress are all possible for rising nations with sound financial reporting procedures. The ability to finance important infrastructure projects, company growth, and entrepreneurial endeavors is made possible by the opening up of capital markets.

Furthermore, the implementation of sound financial reporting procedures helps developing nations to successfully handle special and complicated risks. As a result, the financial system is more resilient overall, banking crises are less likely to occur, and financial stability is increased.

For rising nations, it is crucial to align financial reporting practices with global norms and best practices. The reputation and credibility of the financial systems of rising nations are strengthened by this alignment, which encourages openness, comparability, and international cooperation.

Lastly, for rising nations to promote investor trust, access finance, manage risks, and comply with international norms, financial reporting practices must be improved. These initiatives support stable economic growth, the emergence of strong financial institutions, and long-term economic stability.

REFERENCES:

[1] Revanappa, S. G. (2019). Financial reporting practices in emerging economies: Evidence from India. Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies, 5(2), 218-231.
[2] Huda, N, and AI-Motairi, H. (2016). Financial reporting in emerging economies: A review of the literature. Journal of Accounting and Auditing: Research and Practice, 2016, 1-14.
[3] Musa, M. A, and Mamun, M.A (2018). Financial reporting practices in emerging economies: A study of listed companies in Bangladesh. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 16(2), 266-285.
[4] Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. (n.d.). Bank Accounting Advisory Series. Retrieved from https://www.occ.gov/topics/accounting/interpretations-and-actions/index-baas.html
[5] Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. (n.d.). Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies. Retrieved from https://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/reportforms/default.aspx
[6] The U.S. Government Publishing Office. (2010). Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111-203. Retrieved from https://www.congress.gov/111/plaws/publ203/PLAW-111publ203.pdf
[7] Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. (n.d.). Our Work. Retrieved from https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/the-bureau/our-work/
[8] Financial Stability Oversight Council. (n.d.). About FSOC. Retrieved from https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/financial-markets-financial-institutions-and-fiscal-service/fsoc/about-fsoc
[9] Central Bank of Brazil. (n.d.). Normative Instruction No. 1397. Retrieved from https://www.bcb.gov.br/dadosabertos/DOC/NORMI1397.doc
[10] Brazilian Accounting Pronouncements Committee (CPC). (n.d.). About the CPC. Retrieved from http://cpc.org.br/portal/index.asp