© July 2023| IJIRT | Volume 10 Issue 2 | ISSN: 2349-6002

An Effective Analysis of Energy Management Strategy for Wireless Sensor Network

N.Sivakumar[1], Dr.G.Jagatheeshkumar[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Computer Science*
Karuppannan Mariappan College, Muthur, Tamilnadu, India
[2]*Research Guide, Associate Professor and Head, PG and Research Department of Science*
Karuppannan Mariappan College, Muthur, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract- The deployment and usage of information and communication technology (ICT) equipment is one of the key technological enablers responsible for this extraordinary transition in our global society. In reality, ICT is now so deeply ingrained in our society that it affects several spheres, including business, transportation, education, and the economy. As a result, we now rely nearly entirely on ICT. Researchers, designers, manufacturers, policymakers, and educators have all developed a keen interest in the energy consumption associated with the use of ICT equipment during the past few years, as well as how this has affected the environment. To guarantee that binary coding is achieved for the representation of CH, FPSO was chosen

Keywords: Information Communication, Energy Management, Cluster, Optimization.

1. INTRODUCTION

WSNs feature vertices that are compact and lightweight, as the name indicates. Numerous real-time apps are based on WSN research [1-4]. WSN enhances interactions in a fast-paced environment. Detectors provide information to the base station for evaluation. It's a target value or source. Source, intermediate, cluster, sink, and endpoint networks are all options for the sensor network. WSNs can help real-time operations. This network is comprised of detectors that can collect information, store it in storage, and perform resource-efficient analyses on it. The WSNs has static nodes. Limitations apply to the deployment of wired terminals. WSNs cost more than wireless ones because they need cables to link devices. WSN sensor networks may be placed anywhere without restriction and an active connection. Wired connections are impractical for the deployment of sensors in hazardous situations [5-7]. WSNs are less costly and need no maintenance. The use of wireless sensors is dispersed throughout the world to sense the environment. Some common applications include tracking the weather, humidity, fires, wildlife, and more. Sensor nodes detect, analyze, store, and send data. The base station is a reliable node with increased data processing capability. All sink nodes feed the ground station. Real-time apps challenge to specify the sensors that are needed at the beginning, and their detector demands may grow. There shouldn't be any problems with how the number of nodes is increasing. Scalability requires specialization. In terms of dependability, WSNs have a further significant challenge. The detection system can only be regarded as dependable if all of its nodes are trustworthy. While establishing connection between sensor nodes, the WSNs encounters several issues [8–12]. Because the sensor networks are active, they shift from one location to another. In order to improve communication, this movement presents the biggest obstacle. Additional concerns brought on by the sensor network mobility behavior include connection & penetrate the limitations.

2.RELATED WORKS

Few research have examined the use of clustered tree topologies with CTEFs to reduce the power consumption and maintain task scheduling in heterogeneous Wireless sensor. With the use of an optimization problem that takes into account SN energy, network quality, and PDR, this protocol chooses CHs. Based on proximity and connection quality, non-CHs enlist in the cluster. But certain non-CHs were selected to act as base stations in the multi-hop transferring data. The CTEF's many responsibilities need a lot of energy from CHs [23]. A LEACH-MEECs networking system recommended choosing CHs based on two variables the connections between the residual SNs and the MSNs' transmission power.By enhancing packet delivery and extending network lifespan, this protocol seeks to [24] The network architecture was improved using ULGAT, a technique that combines genetic algorithms and unsupervised learning [25]. This strategy works well with part of future to detected items in ultra-dense WSNs. This is just one goal, however. Mobility-aware hierarchical clustering in mobile WSNs were developed in 2 approaches 2019 to tackle the dissociative symptoms issue among iot devices and their cluster members due to the sensor networks' mobility: MCCA and MHCA, which increase data transfer [26]. The Energy And Delay-Aware Data Processing In Routing Algorithms for the IoTs is a traffic-based grouping method to build a network topology with including SNs concentration and network trends. This strategy lowered traffic and extended the network's life expectancy due to effective load balancing[27].

The Energy-Efficient Mobility-Cluster Approached On Selection (EEMCS) strategy were designed to increase the lifetime of MWSNs & resolve a number of problems with the existing cluster routing algorithms, including insufficient CHs eligibility criteria. EEMCS begins with CH recognition for group formation, then data gathering and communication. The CH is chosen approach on remaining energy, mobility, BS space& object size. These factors impact networks electricity consumption [28]. LEACH for conducting field sensors serves as the foundation for FOI-LEACH networking. By dividing the CH and BS, this design removes hotspots[29].

Dynamic directional routing (DDR), a method that accommodates SN movement to provide dependable and effective routing in MWSN. DDR's identification and communication systems processes use geographical information to estimate transmissions position and duration whenever an SNs picks its parent networks to govern the information in the MWSNs and optimize routes to the destination [30].This method uses a minimal amount of energy to identify parent networks and surrounding SNs. This approach, nevertheless, could be locally ideal. Thus, preventing hotspots is challenging, resulting in a premature system.

Table 1 includes a detailed comparison of various grouping router protocol in MWSNs depended on their performance parameters, along with other characteristics. The techniques stated above have various design flaws which fall into 2 stages: these were performed with static WSNs then those that aren't suitable for several situations that call for mobility WSN. The implementation of a protocol for themes comes in second. Based on the SN density, SN position, or available energy, this algorithm forms clusters dynamically while choosing CHs at random. This method overburdens the network and ultimately results in an early network death.

The construction of a suitable routing algorithm for MWSNs is significantly complicated by the mobility of SNs.Thus, our study minimizes SN velocity and the power hole problem by improving the CH selection algorithm. The suggested method also builds clusters to get around the long-link issue. The suggested approach guarantees decreased delay when the CHs uses 1 hop as well as the SNs utilize two hops, as well as parallel processing and cheap processing cost.

3. COMPARISON OF EXISTING METHODS

In this section we find out some existing algorithm with their energy management, Complexity, scalability. To find following methods merits and de-merits are listed below table

Table 1:Current clustering routing protocols.

Routing Techniques	Efficiency in Energy	Complexity	Scalability	Merits	De-Merits
LEACH-Ms	Lower	Poor	Lower	not demand knowledge of the world network	consume a lot of power ineffective speed of transmitting data
LEACH-MEs	Lower	Poor	Lower	chooses CHs that have a lower mobility ratio	Use more energy to determine each SN's speed ratio.
MBCs	Better	Better	Lower	Utilizing Remaining Power and Mobility to Choose CHs	Ignore the issue with the crucial network

					that leads to connection failure and packet loss.
ECBR-MWSNs	Better	Poor	Higher	Distribute the energy usage between SNs to extend the network's lifespan.	Limited Scalability
CTEFs	Lower	Poor	Better	Allows SN range or area to determine a route.	As CHs use up energy more quickly, the network lifespan decreases.
LEACH-MEECs	Better	Lower	Lower	analyzed the connection among neighbor routers and the available power in MSNs	Low Scalability, not stable
ULGATs	Better	Higher	Better	Appropriate for huge WSNs	Single objective optimization
MCCAMHCAs	Lower	Lower	Higher	Enhance cluster stability improved energy efficiency and data speeds	Techniques get more difficult when other networks are discovered.
EDADA-RPLs	Moderate	Lower	Higher	Reduces data delay and packet loss	Don't think about security issues
EEMCSs	Moderate	Lower	Moderate	Networks' energy use is improved and their lifespan is extended by reducing redundant information.	Use more energy to determine each SN's speed ratio
FOI-LEACHs	Moderate	Lower	Moderate	Ensure network power consumption is balanced while addressing the hot spot issue	Low Scalability,
DDRs	Moderate	Moderate	Higher	Minimum powerconsumption	It is challenging to avoid the hotspot issue.
The Suggested techniques	Moderate	Higher	Lower	MWS scale is suitable with modest complication.	Not for tiny MSNs.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study offers an energy management in wireless sensor networks. Based on the analysis Flipping PSO with the recommended transfer function reduces PDR. The sigmoid function resonance frequency is used to extend the life of networks. The cluster formation, median end-to-end delay, median PDR, Longevity computing, and residual battery information processing are measured. Based on this analysis Flipping PSO's suggested transfer function boosted cluster formation comparable to LEACH, GA, and PSO-SD. In future discover energy efficient strategy using hybrid with some optimization technique.

REFERENCE

[1] Elwahab Fawzy Abd, Mona Shokair, Waleed Saad, Balanced and energy-efficient multi-hop techniques for routing in wireless sensor networks, IET Netw. 7 (1) (2018) 33–43.

[2] Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci, 'A survey on sensor networks', IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 102-114.

[3] N. Al-Karaki Jamal, E Kamal Ahmed, 'Routing techniques in wireless sensor networks: a survey', wireless communications, IEEE 11 (6) (2004) 6–28.

[4] M. Athanassoulis, I. Alagiannis, S. Hadjiefthymiades, Energy efficiency in wireless sensor networks: a utility-based architecture, in: Proceeding of 13th European Wireless Conference, Paris, France, 2007.

[5] Bai, D. P., & Preethi, P. (2016). Security Enhancement of Health Information Exchange Based on Cloud Computing System. International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research, 4(10), 79-82.

[6] D.R. Dandekar, P.R. Deshmukh, Energy balancing multiple sink optimal deployment in multihop wireless sensor networks, in: Advance Computing Conference (IACC), vol. 2013, IEEE 3rd International, 2013, pp. 408–412, https://doi.org/10.1109/IAdCC.2013.6514260.

[7] A.P. Dempster, Upper and lower probabilities induced by a multivalued mapping, Ann. Math. Stat. 38 (2) (1967) 325–339

[8] K. Akkaya, M. Younis, M. Bangad, Sink repositioning for enhanced performance in wireless sensor networks, Comput. Network. 49 (2005) 512–534.

[9] Yogapriya, J., Saravanabhavan, C., Asokan, R., Vennila, I., Preethi, P., & Nithya, B. (2018). A study of image retrieval system based on feature extraction, selection, classification and similarity measurements. Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics, 8(3), 479-484.

[10] Preethi, P., & Asokan, R. (2020, December). Neural network oriented roni prediction for embedding process with hex code encryption in dicom images. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication Control and Networking (ICACCCN), Greater Noida, India (pp. 18-19).

[11] Rafiei Karkvandi Hamid, Pecht Efraim, Orly Yadid-Pecht, Effective lifetime-aware routing in wireless sensor networks, IEEE Sensor. J. 11 (12) (2011) 3359–3367.

[12] C. Intanagonwiwat, R. Govindan, D. Estrin, 'Directed Diffusion: a Scalable and Robust Communication Paradigm for Sensor Networks', Proc, ACM MobiCom 2000, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 56–67.