© April 2024 | IJIRT | Volume 10 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2349-6002

The Impact of Income Inequality on Economic Growth: A Comprehensive Analysis

GITANGSHU DEVA SARMA[1], DR. JHORNA SHARMA[2]

[1] *Research Scholar, Department of Economics, University of Science and Technology Meghalaya*

[2] *Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, University of Science and Technology Meghalaya*

Abstract— Income inequality is the global issue that has been solved by income inequality globally and has strong social, political, and economical consequences. This essay yields an integrated discussion of income inequality's effect on economic prosperity by bringing in various theoretical aspects, empirical findings, and policy presentations. Initially, this will evaluate the concepts and measurements of income inequality by focusing its multidimensional aspects and the factors that sustain the division. Next, it analyses the theoretical foundations on the association between the growth and income inequality through the Kuznets curve hypothesis, Piketty's ideas of capital ownership and institutionalists approach. Lastly, the paper analyses the correlations between income inequality and pertinent economic indicators e.g. consumer spending, aggregate demand, creativity and enterprise The next point listed is how different factors play a role in determining the level of income inequalities, for example, the differences in education and skills, taxation policies, labour market dynamics, globalization, and technological innovations. To conclude, the work emphasizes policy solutions and programs that can aid in reducing income inequality as well as developing an inclusive and stable economic system.

Indexed Terms- Income Inequality, Economic Growth, Social Cohesion, Policy Recommendations, Globalization.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rising income disparity has now become one of the greatest sociological issues of our age, which has the potential to generate big questions about fairness, equality, and long-term economic growth sustainability. When rich class is growing apart from society, the more the government and the people are worried about the consequences in the whole economy. This article attempts to take a holistic view of the ambiguous connection between income inequality and economic growth, addressing the multiple routes through which unequal distribution affects economic progress and sustainability.

At the heart of the debate lies the fundamental question: But does high income inequality stir up the economy? Opportunists of the latter assume that some inequality may become an inspiration for invention and enterprise which in a long run stimulates productivity gains and makes the overall economic growth possible. The alternatively some critics raise the point that if the equalities are excessive, it suppresses social mobility, its weaker consumer demands, and it leads to social disorders which in turn stifles long-term economic prosperity. Through this article we aim to traverse these contrasting opinions regarding the link between income distribution and economic growth by offering some meaningful insights on the intricate nature of the dilemma.

In order for us to comprehend the intricacies of income inequality and the extent of its impact on economic stability, we must explore the working mechanisms that facilitate it. An example of such mechanism is the idea of human capital accumulation (HCA), in which unequal access to education and job training favours some people to be highly productive while others not. Furthermore, income inequality leads to distortion of the consumption pattern: while wealthy households tend to spend less of their income on the consumption, the poorer households spend the highest. In aggregate, the sales behaviour could have great impact on aggregate demand, the key driver of the economic growth.

However, income inequality not only crosses the institutional factors, but also lays the ground of the broader economy. The inequalities in the distribution of financial resources, like the case above, will deny entrepreneurs and innovators from the marginalized groups an equal opportunity of participation in the economic development processes hence forcing them to be passive bystanders in economic growth.

Meanwhile, the insufficient of the tax system as well as inadequate social assistance programs can enhance the issue of inequality, causing a circle of poverty and loss of economic stability throughout the country. Through the observation of these institutional arrangements, this paper tend to shed light on the underlying factors that are responsible for income inequality as well as its effect on economic growth.

In the case of complex income discrepancy, it is imperative to have a comprehensive approach featuring policy measures, institutional reforms, and societal undertakings. Although there is not a single cure-all, research indicates that measures including universal education, healthcare, social security and inclusive growth are likely to alleviate the consequences of rising inequality on economic growth. Thus, societies can create an equal access of opportunities and resources to lay the land for potential human resource development, innovation and eventually, sustainable and inclusive economic growth.

II. METHODOLOGIES

When it comes to the complicated relationship between the income inequality and growth, researchers have applied several approaches to clarify its multidimensional aspect. For instance, the economic modelling and statistical analysis have been utilized as a quantitative approach to investigate the influence of income inequality on a number of economic variables including consumer spending, investment, and productivity. These techniques usually feature big data and the use of advanced statistical methods to enable the determination of causal relationships and quantifying the extent of the impacts of income disparities on economic outcomes. Besides quantitative research methods, for example, case studies and in-depth interviews, have also been used to illustrate in more detail the complex mechanisms affecting developing economies. These approaches give a chance to scrutinize the various social, political, and institutional issues that meld the income dispersal and economic productivity in a specific setting. Also, the interdisciplinary approaches which bring together views coming from economics, sociology, political science, etc., are gaining ground in order to fully capture the complexities of the underlying factors affecting income inequality and economic growth. By complementing the array of approaches and views with one another, scholars can generate indisputable proofs and policy-pragmatic suggestions that will contribute to curbing the income inequality and to promoting equitable and long-sustaining economic growth.

III. INTRODUCTION TO INCOME INEQUALITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

Inequality of income means that not all individuals or households have the same degree of income within a society. Many times, it is gauged employing indices such as the Gini coefficient which probes the level of income disparities in a given population. Economic growth, however, is defined as the increase in products and services that a country can produce in a specific time period, which is often measured by the annual rate of change in gross domestic product or GDP. Economic development is indeed necessary to raise the quality of life and reduce poverty which could directly or indirectly hinder the simple distribution of benefits of growth within the society and hence creation of social and economic inequalities.

Inequality of income refers not only to a gap in monetary incomes but also to disparities in other domains such as assets, education, healthcare, and chances in general. In their writings, economists tend to draw a distinction between vertical and horizontal inequality. Vertical inequality means variations in income and wealth among individuals or households, while horizontal inequality means disparities between ethnic groups or geographical areas. It is crucial to be aware of the multidimensional aspect of inequality in the manner of the income and to develop effective policies dedicated to inequality reduction and inclusive development.

The development, which is not necessarily measured by the GDP growth, also includes many other aspects of the economy, such as rise in living standards, technical innovations and essentials for environmental sustainability. Sustainable economic growth, besides just growing the economic cake, must also make sure that the economic benefits of growth are equally shared throughout society. Furthermore, attention is paid to the type of growth instead of its quantity such as the way it is distributed among people and its impacts on environmental aspects.

Decoding the relationship between income inequalities and economic growth holds the key for policymakers, economists, and the society in general. Although, income inequality can exert a sizable impact on different elements of an economy such as productivity, consumption and investment. Income disparities that exceed levels pose a risk to economic development from limiting entry to education and healthcare, depressing social mobility, and decreasing trust in institutions. Contrarily, ignoring incentives and productivity in the income redistribution without care may be a reason for the decline in innovation and entrepreneurship so that economic dynamism stops.

Keeping track of the connection between income inequality and economic growth is an important tool in the process of making policies that will help promote sustainable and inclusive development. Policymakers face a formidable challenge of achieving growth with equity and this requires a delicate balance of economic growth and minimizing income disparities. Lack of measures to fix the growing income inequalities translate into social unrest and political instability as well as progressively loss of confidence in institutions, which in the end will be harmful for the long-term economic perspective. This can be done through an analysis of the dynamics of income distribution that would in turn aid in the formulation of useful interventions for mitigation of the negative effects of inequality on economic performance as well as poverty eradication.

Furthermore, exploring the linkage between earnings inequality and economic expansion yields the foundation of the causes propelling economic growth. Using empirical evidence and theoretical models, economists investigate channels in which income inequality affects variables such as investment, consumption and productivity. These insights do not only improve our ability to accurately envisage outcomes of policy imposition, but also provide a stronger foundation for designing policies that will ensure more successful implementation of inclusive development. With the help of the studies interdisciplinary, policy makers can build up their policies on evidence of research with an aim of enhancing economic dynamism and social equity, laying the foundation for strong and steadfast economies.

IV. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A number of economic theories, which attempt to understand the connection between income inequality and economic growth, exist. A particular theory proposed by Simon Kuznets during the 1950s presenting an inverted U-shaped relationship between income disparity and economic expansion is a Kuznet curve. Kuznets' point boiled down to the observation that at the initial stages of industrialization, inequality will rise because of such factors as urban-rural migration and technological change. On the one hand, the income gap will widen after while in developing economies given the increasing level of education, urbanization, and implementation of redistribution policies. The Gini coefficient is more of a measure of inequality. Piketty believes that the concentration of wealth is responsible for the occurrence of income inequality. According to Piketty, if the yield on capital is higher than the economic growth rate, wealth inequality may increase faster than income differences, which is actually a poor point.

Institutional view is another interrelated theory that explains relationship between income inequality and economic growth. This viewpoint places special focus on the provision of institutional environment including property rights, rule of law, and government policies which help to shape the inequality of income and economic performance. Institutions committed to inclusive policies (for instance, egalitarian taxation, social safety nets, and education investment) as strategies for closing the income gap and ensuring lasting economic growth. Correspondingly, however, poor or exploitative institutions can make the situation worse by preferring the rich elite and limiting the possibilities of a wider group of people. This perspective highlights the role institutions play in exploring the deep-rooted problems of income disparity and contributing to the achievement of environmental balance.

In addition to that, this theory also outlines the connection between income disparity and economic progress. According to this view, education, skills development, and health are thereby key forces which ensure economic development. The issue of income inequality can mean that the capital human can accrue depends on whether people have to deal with a high quality of education and healthcare. High level of income inequality might lead to disparities in the areas of educational attainment and health outcomes over the population and therefore might cause the less skilled and unproductive workforce. On the other hand, effective redistribution of income can lead to equal access to education and health care which is conducive to human capital formation and thus, boosts productivity and economic growth.

Whether the influence of income inequality on economic growth is positive or negative is the subject of lively scholarly discussions among economists. The supporters of "inequality-makes-growth-happen" theory say that while moderate levels of inequality can power the economy by stimulating investment, innovation, and entrepreneurship. This is a theory that assumes that individuals with higher income level are able to command financial resources including capital that can be employed in production processes that bring technical progress and productivity. Additionally, advocates of the issue point out that a certain measure of competition and rewarding the most deserving by income inequality can help to spur greater efficiency and dynamism in the economy. Nonetheless, the opposing side to this viewpoint argues that the negative impacts caused by extreme income disparity on economic growth are quite high. They contend that when income inequalities are unacceptable, they could damage social fabric, truncate trust in institutions, and hold back investment into human capital. Also, high income inequality has a chance of turning into political instability and social discontent which can disturb economic activities and affect the growth of the long-term prospect.

However, income inequality effects on a country’s economic growth also differ accordingly to the different contexts and underlying conditions. A country with a high level of income inequality, for example, in a developing economy, may encounter problems in economic growth as the masses have limited access to education, health care, and financial resources. Lack of proper investment in human capital and social infrastructure will easily lead to the inability of the less-developed countries to sustain their development and growth in a manner that may benefit the whole community. On the other hand, in more developed economies with well-established institutions and social safety nets, moderate degrees of inequality still may not be a retarder of economic growth in abundance. Thus, in these circumstances inequalities in income can only appear to be the result of market dynamics and individual preferences not consequences of an unprosperous economy.

Also, the social cohesion hypothesis comprises a view that the inequality of income can interact with the economic growth because of its influence on social cohesion and trust. Such a heavily skewed distribution of income may undermine social cohesion, be the cause of antagonism, and breed distrust among different strata of the society. The disappearance of society's compatibility can reduce interaction, solidarity, and joint endeavours, starving the economy thereof. While rich societies show lower level of income inequality, they seem to possess higher level of social trusts which makes the people there to work together, innovate and invest in public goods. So, equalization of income can lead to trust and social cohesion, eventually causing a favourable condition for long-term growth.

V. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Unevenness of income distribution cases country and regions to differ, what has a great impact on economic development and social depression. The trend of inequality, as measured by the World Bank Gini coefficient, has in fact been on the rise in many parts of the world during the last few decades. Certainly, some of the most distinctive cases of growth in income inequality are observed in the emerging economies such as China and India, as their economies rapidly grow. On the other hand, countries like the United States and the United Kingdom, which are classified as advanced economies, have seen income disparity become even wider. This development is connected to phenomena such as technological progress, globalization, and the changes of the labour market. Although there are some cases where countries have introduced measures that have been observed as successfully reducing income disparities. For example, Sweden and Denmark showed the possibility to keep a small income inequality with moderate taxes, strong social welfare programs and investments in education and health care.

In addition, numerous recent studies have brought to light the fact that income inequality has been demonstrated in developed and less developed countries. The OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) indicates that in most OECD countries there is still high level of income inequality with the top 10% of the population having reportedly the lion's share of national income. In addition, income gaps have widened in a great extent in countries for example the United States in which the top 1% of earners have increased their income fast much more than the rest of the society has. In addition to diverse factors like weak institutions, poorly structured education and healthcare systems, and unfair division of land and natural resources, income inequality is often worse in poor countries. In this manner, sub-Saharan Africa, where income inequality persists as a pressing issue with a significant influence on poverty alleviation and economic growth, is a case in point.

Furthermore, the new research has pinpointed on the multifaceted side of income inequality that go beyond just the monetary differences. The human development report which is written by the United Nations Development Programme includes indicators like education, healthcare and gender equality in order to provide more depth to human welfare assessment. This multi-factorial assessment leads to a picture of inequities in basic services and openings to society and development which are sustainable. To illustrate my position, few countries may experience modest income inequality but still endure huge gaps on education and health access which may prevent economic mobility and advancement.

A whole slew of empirical studies has revealed over time the link between income inequality and per capita GDP. According to Dollar and Kraay who are well-known for their research in this field, the more unequal the income distribution is the slower the economic growth is. Using cross country data from many samples with several decades of observation, the study found that reducing income inequality can result in both higher and more equitable economic performance. Likewise, a study by economic experts, Andrew Berg, and Jonathan Ostry, showed that societies whose income distribution is more egalitarian tend to enjoy stronger and more durable economic growth than societies characterized by higher income disparities. These evidences are significant indicators that persisting with income disparities contradicts the objective of attaining sustainable economic growth plus social stability.

Furthermore, researches come to the conclusion that income inequality may also have the potential to undermine various aspects of economic performance beyond just economic progress. Stiglitz, an economist, conducted research that discovered the direct correlation between income inequality and social mobility. The results showed that children hailing from deprived backgrounds and social circles find it more challenging to rise above their set circumstances. In addition, income inequality can have a negative effect on political stability and democratic governance, especially in countries where the inequality levels are high; an example of such a situation would be a rise of populist movements and social unrest in such places. Besides, the inequality of income is likely to intensify financial instability and the systemic risk, which might result in bubbles, excessive risk-taking and financial crises happening because of the wealthy.

In addition, the long-term economic stability and resilience have been proven the destabilizing effects of income inequality in recently empirical research. According to a study of Journal of Economic Perspectives, states with higher income inequality are more vulnerable to economic crises and recessions, on average. Through various channels of which income inequality can compound and contribute to macroeconomic instability and vulnerability, this study pointed out this relation. For example, the family of low-income levels tries to maintain their consumption level through borrowing more and more money when wages are stable. Moreover, income inequality is a factor of asset price bubbles and speculative behaviour as the rich invest in expensive stuff and financial assets whereas the ordinary invest in productive investments. Such developments intensify recession cases and prolong unemployment level, presenting hurdles to policymakers working towards sustainable and inclusive growth.

VI. FACTORS INFLUENCING INCOME INEQUALITY

Education and skill levels are considered as key determinants in income gap creation within the countries. The availability of excellent learning is the root of individuals' income and his social status. Studies have shown that the level of education people have can determine the income they have and the rate of unemployment which is quite lesser than the people with lower levels of education. Differences in educational opportunities thus would be a factor in income inequality as it may lead to unequal accumulation of human capital. Moreover, technological advancements and globalization have accelerated the pace for skilled workers in a large number of sectors such as information technology, finance, and medical, which have resulted in a disparity between skilled and unskilled workers' pay.
Education or skill levels do not just affect individual wages but also the social mobility of the following generation. Study shows that children from the disadvantaged socioeconomic groups are generally at the receiving end of hindrances that limit quality education and skills development, thereby continuing the cycle of poverty and gap in incomes. The most effective solutions to educational inequalities include multi-pronged policies that aim to improve early childhood education, equitable school finance, teacher quality, and greater access to higher education. As for example, programs designed to offer preschool education as well as targeted interventions for at-risk students will have the ability to positively concentrate on educational outcomes and even reduced income disparity in the long run.

Besides, technological advancements and globalization have had great impact on availability of new and specialized skills and knowledge and therefore, the already existing income disparities between workers with different education levels have become even bigger. The "skill-biased technological change" hypothesis indicates that technological innovations often work together and seep through the productivity of skilled workers while substituting for the tasks of low-skilled workers. Eventually, higher educated and skilled people will take advantage of new employment opportunities and will be able to make adjustments correspondingly to the changing market patterns. It is a must to make the available upskilling and re-skilling programs accessible for the workers in order for them to develop the skills required to cope in the ever changing and competitive job markets and to be suitable for the jobs of the future.

Taxation policies not only play a substantial role on income inequality but also have a remarkable impact on the distribution of wealth and income in a country. Rate of taxation which increases in relation to the amount that an individual earns can help address income inequality by taking away from the rich and giving to the less fortunate. The most striking difference is that the regressive taxation (like consumption tax) makes differences worsen because poor people tend to pay more in proportion to their income. Also, tax-code-breakthroughs and tax-exemptions can give the wealthy tax-value-reduction of their burden, thus raising the poverty rate. Thus, the design and the pragmatism of tax policies are the major factors that policymakers should ponder upon as far as the income inequity and social equity are concerned.

The nature of taxation policies contributes substantially to the level of income distribution and in reducing income inequality within the society. Similar to progressive tax systems which impose higher tax rates on the wealthy individuals and hope to equalize the society, progressive tax systems are designed to redistribute wealth and ensure equity. The evidence of social experiments indicates that progressive taxation can be helpful in narrowing the income gap as it shifts the financial resources from wealthy to less fortunate individuals. In general, tax changes such as progressive income and estate taxes influenced by the research of economists Piketty and Saez, lowered the income inequality in the U.S. during the 1950s. Moreover, taxes on wealth like the inheritance tax and property tax can also prevent a few elites from accumulating the wealth and interest the society from intergenerational mobility by curtailing the transfer of wealth from one generation to another.

In addition, the design of tax policy can be taken into account in order to appraise the impact of such policies in dealing with income inequality. Such is the example, expenditures in form of deductions, credits and exemptions which are applicable for the highest income class widening the income gap. The reforms that aim at replacing or limiting tax exemptions that are regressive will further make the system progressive while it also brings additional revenue for social programs and infrastructure investments that benefit citizens with low and middle income. Likewise, international coordination and cooperation are crucial in the determination of the methods for avoiding tax evasion and avoidance by multinational corporations and high-net-worth individuals which may as well contest the redistributive impact of progressive tax policies. Through developing a system of fair and equitable taxation authorities can be sure to cut income inequality and to bring inclusive economic development.

Labor market dynamics are at the core of the income distribution within economies and therefore, wage-setting, bargaining power and occupational prospects all affect it. The wage determination process in competitive labour markets occur in the form of the interplay between supply and demand for work force. Some of the factors that play an important role in the determination of the earning are skills, experience, and productivity. Nevertheless, the market flaws, including monopoly power and labour market segmentation, may result in irregular wage outcomes and, as a consequence, income inequality. Another aspect that contributes to growing inequality is the decrease in the influence of labour unions and collective bargaining. This has in turn weakened workers' bargaining power leading to stagnant wages and increasing wage gaps between high earning workers and those at the bottom scale.

Labor market characteristics are shaped by a number of factors, for example, technological change, globalization, and shifts in demand for skills. Emerging technology, like automation and artificial intelligence, has redefined the ratio of work and created new positions while displacing workers in pre-programmed and uncomplicated duties. This uneven process, called "job polarization," has caused a segmentation of the labour market with growth in high-skilled, high-paid jobs and low-skilled, low-paid jobs, while pressuring middle-skilled middle range jobs to recede. Therefore, income disparity has further increased because of scarcity and a surge in demand for high-skilled workers whose wages are higher while on the other hand, low-skilled workers face the threat of lower wages and job insecurity due to a fall in the number of specialized skills.

Furthermore, labour market institutions and policies hold the key to the problem of income inequalities and labour market outcomes. Countries that have powerful labour market institutions, including collective bargaining agreements, minimum wage laws, and a system of unemployment insurance, generally have higher levels of equality and greater mobility of income. For instance, research by economists David Card and Alan Krueger revealed that US minimum wage increases contribute to the diminished income inequality where the impact is mostly felt at the lower echelons of the income ladder. In addition, public investments in the active labour market policies especially job training programs can help to improve the outcomes of labour market in general and thus the income disparities by developing the individuals' employability and their earning potential.

Globalization, coupled with technology, has restructured the nature of the economy and labour markets, which consequently has led to an alteration of income distribution and the widening income inequalities. Globalization brings along intensified trading, overseas capital movement, and migration between countries, which lead to the relocation of low-skilled jobs to the countries with cheaper labour costs, in turn, downward pressure emerges on the wages of low-skilled workers in the advanced economies. On top of this technology developments like automation and AI have also taken away employment from workers in jobs that were repetitive and routine which has led to polarization of labour market and wide wage gap between skilled and unskilled jobs. Another dimension of globalization, that of capital mobility and backwash of regulatory arbitrage by multinational corporations, has become a major cause of ever-deepening income inequality by concentrating wealth and economic power in the hands of a few global elites.

Globalization and technology have altered the structure of economies and labour markets towards a direction that affects the income distribution and gap of incomes across different income groups. Globalization as a phenomenon comprising increasing trade, capital transfers, and migration across borders has resulted in the relocation of low-skilled jobs to countries with cheaper labour, which ends up pushing the wage level of less-skilled workers in advanced economies down. On the one hand, besides labour standards, the global supply chain also uses arbitrage and disparities between nations to create an ever-widening gap. Consequently, workers in the sectors which are exposed to the international competition also get the job insecurity and wage stagnation, however, skilled workers who are working in knowledge-based sectors are benefiting from global demand for their also the special skills.

Moreover, technological developments, especially on automation and artificial intelligence, have significantly changed the type of work and result in the gap of labour market. Increasingly tasks in manufacturing, administrative, and clerical occupations prone to the automation process have become automated. The workers are getting displaced as a consequence. Thus, the wage gap has got deeper between skilled and unskilled groups, as the former get higher pay rates, and they are also quite flexible to the change in technology whereas the latter face wage stagnation and unemployment. In addition to this, the digitalization of the economy resulted in the emergence of the gig-based work and the arrangements of the contingent employment, which frequently have no job security, benefits or the opportunities of mobility that ultimately worsens the precariousness and the income insecurity of the workforce that is growing.

VII. IMPACTS OF INCOME INEQUALITY ON ECONOMIC GROWTH

Even though consumer spending and aggregate demand play a key role in the economic growth, income inequality can cause serious consequences for these factors. If income just goes to one minority, the spending of consumer may be hampered because lower class of people cannot afford buying products. This may be explained by the fact that low-income families spend a greater percentage of their income by purchasing goods and services as compared to wealthier households. So, if more people were to obtain incomes which they can spend discriminately, there will likely be higher aggregate demand in the economy. Even though high incomes disparities may result in low consumer demand due to declining overall activity which further slows down the rate of economic growth.

In addition, income inequality can make a recession worse by increasing the ability of crises and recessions to affect consumer spending. It is known that families with smaller incomes often seek to reduce their expenditure on non-essential goods and postpone major purchases, bringing about decline in aggregate demand. This one way to lead to a worsening of the slump and a longer recovery road. An example is the work of economists Atif Mian and Amir Sufi which indicated that areas with a surplus in household debt of income inequality had the worst economic contractions in the 2007-2009 financial crisis. For this reason, an equitable distribution of income may strengthen a nation's resilience to economic fluctuations by enabling a larger part of the population to acquire the financial stability to endure economic shocks and to maintain consumption.

In addition, income inequality may impact on the ability to get credit and financial services, which will lead to consumption patterns among consumers and aggregate demand. In economies with highly unequal economic levels, low-income households may find hard to access the credit due to such factors as poor collateral and lack of credit history. Therefore, these households might be excessively leveraging on consumer credit, such as credit cards and payday loans, which are couched with high interest and fees, which are prone to lead to debt accumulation and financial difficulties. This stops consumers from spending, thus reducing the aggregate demand like individuals allocate a larger part of their income towards debt servicing rather than investment or consumption. As a result, fiscal rules targeting financial inclusion expansion and affordable credit being available will counter the negative influence of income inequality on consumer spending and create economic growth.

In addition to this, income inequality can affect social harmony and political stability considerably that are very important in the macro environment thriving. Those countries that have high income inequalities are likely to polarise the society, generating indignation and distrust among the different groups in the society. This may have a detrimental impact on the social capital and cohesion, which is necessary for creating the atmosphere that is supportive of development. Besides, income inequality could reinforce social tension and make the political situation unstable which the disadvantaged groups may get frustrated and feel indolent towards the political process. In such situations, income disparity can lead to social uprising, protests, revolutions that can, at times, create economic disruptions, which can then dishearten investors' confidence and even make them withdraw investments.

Beyond that, inequality in income is capable to influence quality of governance and public policies outcomes that are the most important determinants of economic growth. Studies indicate that the higher the income inequality, the lower the government effectiveness, laws, and regulations are. Such may result to high costs of regulatory compliance, protection of property rights as well as enforcement of contracts, thus, scarring investment, entrepreneurship and innovation. Moreover, rent seeking and influence of established interests in state bodies may emerge as a result of that, thus affecting the citizens' views of the government and making them more sceptical about the equity of the current economic system. Therefore, in this sense, taking care of income inequality is not only a matter of social equity but also a strategic decision for ensuring social cohesion, political stability, and long-term economic success.

In addition, income inequality is a factor that shapes social mobility and intergenerational mobility. These two aspects are the pillars of social cohesion and political stability. The researches demonstrate that the societies that have higher incomes are usually categorized as those with lower levels of social mobility as those from the backgrounds get it more difficult to break through. Such biases can perpetuate the feelings of unfairness and social injustice, which undermines the trust in social institutions and creates resentfulness between the rich and the poor. Also, income inequality can enhance divisions by race, ethnicity, and gender, and may worsen the social injustices and the consequent societal discomfort. Consequently, equal opportunity measures and structural barriers to mobility must be tackled by social investment in education, job training, and affordable housing amid a spectrum of diverse societies for social cohesion and political stability to be realized.

Inequality of income can decide entrepreneurial and innovative actions through creating incentives, availability of sources as well as chances of economic involvement. Easier case of having access to financial capital, education, and networks can be seen in the economies characterized by high levels of income inequality where the people from affluent background are able to take the advantage over others. However, people from deprived backgrounds may encounter obstacles in the entry, such as lack of access to financing, education and social networks, which, in turn, may result in creation of business entities. Hence, decrease in income inequalities not only supports economic dynamism by correcting the unfair opportunity distribution but also by ensuring that entrepreneurship and innovation are not the prerogative of elite only.

Moreover, income inequality might affect the innovation and the entrepreneurship through risk-taking and establishment of failure attitudes. It has been discovered that people from wealthy families are typically more likely to be bold and will tend to be involved in entrepreneurship because of their financial security and all the resources they may have. Consequently, a low-income status may make individuals less prepared to take the risk of their business failing or lack of safety net. Therefore, the income inequality reduction process does it in such a way that the individuals will have more confidence and money to pursue new ideas and to start a business. Furthermore, policies which favour inclusivity for example, access to capital, mentorship, and business development support, can assist those who are economically disadvantaged to access the entrepreneurial capital so they can achieve their goals. Furthermore, it may take toll on invention and entrepreneurship by restricting education and training access. Research does that those from higher income background are more likely to be the only have access to quality education and training programs which are essential in acquiring the skills that are needed in innovative and entrepreneurial ventures. That side, people from lower income may encounter obstacles in accessing education and training due to some of the factors which are affordability, inadequate infrastructure, and the systemic inequalities in education systems. Thus, the income inequality resolution necessitates the government invest in educational systems that are equitable and inclusive of all people having the opportunity to develop their talents and meet their interests. Educational accessibility to all enables to bring out hidden hopes and dreams of entrepreneurs and innovators among the people with different social classes, and this gradually leads to sustainable growth and prosperity of the economy.

VIII. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Government policies are of extreme importance in the minimization of income inequalities, as well as the promotion for social equity. Progressive taxation at the moment is the best policy for redistribution of income and wealth among citizens in the state. Charging higher taxes on people with more income the governments could collect the funds which would be utilized to provide social services to low-income households like education, healthcare and social welfare. However, conditional transfers like cash transfers, social assistance programs and earned income tax credits can be an alternative of financial help for the poor and vulnerable people and can bring about poverty reduction. Take for instance the study by economists Hilary Hoynes and Jesse Rothstein which concluded that Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) expansions in the US liberated many low-income households from poverty and a variety of income inequalities.

Additionally, the role of human capital that is through education and skills development among others cannot be downplayed while decreasing the income disparity, as well as increasing economic mobility. Having the ability to afford the quality education with trainings programs and other skills and knowledge needed to be competitive and have a chance for better paying jobs. Hence, governments should allocate this money in education and lifelong learning chances to make sure that everyone gets the possibility of making the most of his or her potential and of participating in the economic development. Apart from that policies that facilitate a labour market flexibility for instance a minimum wage laws, collective bargaining rights and job training programs could also help achieve an equal pay for workers through fair wages and decent working conditions, consequently minimizing income inequalities and social exclusion.

Furthermore, the government takes on the vital role of directing equitable access to landmark services like healthcare, housing, and childcare. Universal healthcare system as a case in point can lead to reduce income inequality because all the individuals with their difference of income can have the healthcare services they need at an affordable cost. Like this, funds allocation for affordable housing programs and childcare subsidies may reduce financial strains in low-income families and improve their quality of life. Government can do this by implementing social safety networks and other necessary services. This can help mitigate the disadvantages of income inequality and enable social mobility. As a result, an inclusive and more equal society can be fostered.

Implementing inclusive growth is, therefore, holistic and should address the core reasons of poverty and it should benefit all the sections of the society. One way in this direction is to emphasize the creation of economic opportunities for the underprivileged segments of the community, that is women, minorities and persons with disabilities. This can be achieved by purposive measures, such as affirmative action policies, entrepreneurship programs and skill development initiatives, aimed at removing participation barriers and empowering disadvantaged communities. Additionally, infrastructural investments, like transportation, energy, and digital connectivity, can equally bring on board disunification in the country and also enhance inclusive development by offering accessibility to trade, services and opportunities in the backward and unserved places.

Creating an ecosystem that encourages and rewards innovation and entrepreneurship is one of the basic aspects to promote inclusive and sustainable growth. Government can promote job creation, enhance competition and ensure economic vigour through providing an enabling environment innovations and entrepreneurs. This encompasses policies that facilitate researches and development, technology transfers and ease finance access for small and medium enterprises (SMEs). For instance, a study by economists Philippe Aghion and Peter Howitt claims that programs with the goal of innovation and entrepreneurship are prone to bring about permanent growth in productivity and more equitable distribution of income. Therefore, the government should implement the policies that support innovation and entrepreneurship, through tax breaks, regulatory reforms, as well as innovation zones and incubators. Innovation would liberate the creative energies of individuals and businesses and subsequently lead to inclusive economic growth.

Above all, giving voice to social dialogue and involving the stakeholders is what actually ensures that the policies and regulations of the economic development are inclusive. Involving relevant stakeholders such as governments, NGOs, corporations, and community representatives allows for a better understanding of peoples' various needs and priorities which facilitates policymaking that tailors to the different segments of the society. This participatory approach can help among different people, attract support and strengthen both the effectiveness and the legitimacy of policies that are aimed at narrowing income gaps and encouraging inclusive development. Moreover, transparency and accountability mechanisms are, therefore, the ideal means of guaranteeing that resources are being allocated fairly and equally and that the prosperity of the economic growth is enjoyed by every member of the community.

To address income inequality, it takes informed actions taken on a global scale to resolve cross-border dynamics and generate inclusive development. International cooperation is crucial to fighting problems like tax evasion, the illicit financial flows and harmful tax competition. Such issues thwart efforts of reducing inequalities, and promoting sustainable development, instead. Going further and collaborating among the nations in taxation and information exchange, the governments can stop the corporate and high-income tax evaders from avoiding their dues. Also, an essential function of international development assistance is provision of resources, technical expertise, and capacity development to the reduce poverty and inequality nations.

In addition to that, the trade and investment agreements tend to have technology transfer and intellectual property rights implications that may affect income distribution both for countries and for individuals. In light of this, it is important to strive to have trade policies that are crafted to facilitate inclusive growth and ensure that the vulnerable groups are not affected by any of the negative impacts of globalization. This can be done by having provisions for labour rights, environmental sustainability, and social protection being integrated in the trade agreements to make sure that the welfare of workers will be upheld and negative social impacts of trade liberalization be avoided. On the other hand, international institutions including, for example, the United Nations, the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund are taken as key actors in fostering global collaboration and in providing countries with policy advice and technical support in their struggle with income inequality and their efforts to attain the sustainable development goals.

In addition, encouraging the sharing of knowledge and building capacity is fundamental in order to build resilient countries that can overcome this issue and, eventually, achieve long-term sustainable development. International cooperation could facilitate the exchange of best practices, as well as of lessons learned and innovative solutions among countries, which are on the brink of similar problems. Utilizing the abilities and assets of international institutions would not only enable to refine and improve policy making and implementation capabilities but to also develop targeted answers for reducing income inequality in the country. And, lastly, by South-South cooperation which involves the collaboration of the countries of the South, there can be developing countries insights and support for the countries that need their help to reduce poverty and inequality and promote inclusive growth. The ongoing task, therefore, is the establishing of partnerships and collaborations at the international arena as a stepping stone for reducing income inequality globally and ushering in a prosperous and fair world.

IX. CASE STUDIES

a. Examples of countries that have successfully managed income inequality:

i. Denmark: Denmark serves as a model of good practice for the countries, which managed to reduce income inequality by combining progressive taxation, social insurance and family-oriented approach to education and healthcare. The welfare state in Denmark is built on fair and equal access to public healthcare, schools, and social services that are designed to secure social equality and economic progress for every person. In addition, Denmark has the most progressive tax system in the world, with high marginal tax rates at the top of earnings. On the contrary, social transfers support households with low income. These policies therefore have resulted in comparatively low-income inequality and high levels of social capital and trust that exist in the Danish society.

ii. Norway: Norway has been quite the nation that attained impressive success in erasing income inequalities and, thus, implementing inclusive growth. Norway's welfare system represents the wide availability at no cost of health care, education and social services that is made possible with high taxation and public expenditure. Besides that, access to the country's oil and gas revenues helped in fostering social programs, building infrastructure, and investing in human capital. The Norwegian government furthermore carries out a prompt and effective labour market policy which is designed to increase employment and to reduce income differences. It follows that Norway is usually in the group of countries with the minimal income inequality and the most favourable living conditions in the world.

iii. Costa Rica: Costa Rica serves as an illustration for a developing state which has achieved significant results in diminishing inequality and boosting inclusive development. Regarding social policies, Costa Rica, in parallel with universal healthcare and education, has boosted the levels of health, education, and poverty reduction. Furthermore, the country has invested in various sustainable development projects like the one that provides green energy, environmental protection, and eco-tourism, which are avenues for the diversity of the economy and employment creation. Although the issue of income inequality persists in Costa Rica, particularly among indigenous communities and in remote areas, this country is an example of standing model through its dedication to social inclusion and sustainable development for other developing countries.

b. Lessons learned from both positive and negative scenarios:

i. Positive lessons learned: The country's experience in coping with income inequality suggests that the priority should be invested in education, healthcare, and social protection systems to level the playing field in the society. Progressive taxation and social insurance policies play a key role in the equalizing incomes and the reduction of income disparities. Besides, providing the social cohesion and political stability through the governance based on inclusiveness and participatory processes of decision-making is the key factor of continuous economic growth and development. Furthermore, tapping into the natural wealth and implementing sustainable development projects will offer us the chance to diversify the economy and create jobs, thereby ensuring prosperity for all.

ii. Negative lessons learned: On the flip side, nations that have not attempted to fix inequality usually experience social discontentment, political unrest and economic standstill. Underinvestment in education, healthcare, and social protection lead to widening the gap in human capital, hence there is less chance of social movements. What adds to this is regressive taxation and tax evasion, thus reducing income gaps and increasing distrust in government institutions. Further, over dependence on extractive industries and unsustainable development practices can make it more difficult to preserve the environment and provide resources for future generations, thereby worsening poverty and inequality. Consequently, government leaders must consider both successful and failed situations and apply knowledge obtained from researches.

X. FUTURE OUTLOOK

Forecasting the development of income inequality together with its effects on economic growth involves one to bear in mind many aspects including population growth, technological innovations, and policy actions among others. Whereas some economists assert that the disparity in income might still be increasing due to such trends as globalization, technological revolution and destruction of labour market institutions, others are of the opinion that disparity in income may reach a tipping point and force policymakers to take the measures against its negative outcomes. As another instance, economist Thomas Piketty argues that with no effective measures, income disparity is expected to rise in the long run, in view of the dynamics at work in capital accumulation and wealth accumulation. In the same way, economists Daron Acemoglu and James Robinson suggest that when the state fails to establish inclusive institutions and policies of redistribution, this may result in social upheavals and political instability which can, in turn, interfere with economic growth and stability.

Also, the inequality impact on economic growth as a whole may vary across different contexts and policies implemented. While some people support that the middle degree of inequality can promote innovation and entrepreneurship, the others voice concern that high level of inequality might foster the breakdown of the society, political stability and future welfare of the people. Consequently, governments should thus consider formulating policies that not only spur economic dynamism but also promote equity so as ensure that wealth is shared among all its citizens uniformly. In the same manner, funding education, health, and social safety nets can be used to reduce the income gap and encourage inclusive growth, whereas progressive taxation and formulation of sensible regulations can be used to eliminate economic barriers to mobility and wealth creation.

In addition, the demographic changes like population ageing and the changing workforce composition, all will affect the future trend of income inequality and outgrowth. For instance, in case of the ageing population in the developed economies the burden on social welfare system and pension schemes may increase and this may be difficult on the younger generation`s income. The technological progress together with the automation and the artificial intelligence are the factors that will transform the labour market which may contribute to job polarization that will have some effects on the income distribution and social mobility. Hence, it is necessary to unravel the intricate relationships between demographic factors, technological advancements, and policy making, which are fundamental in shaping the future of income inequality and formulating efficient approaches for fostering equitable economic prosperity.

Political authorities occupy a key place in the task of boosting income equality and economic inclusion by crafting targeted objectives and policy changes. One case in point is the use of progressive taxation structures in which the super wealthy pay their proportionate share of taxes. This will not only reduce the income gap but will also create revenues which can be invested in education, healthcare, and infrastructure. Besides that, policy makers can tap the reinforcing process of labour market reforms that strengthen workers' bargaining power, improve the quality of jobs and guarantee a living wage for all workers. Besides that, investment in pre-primary education, on-the-job training, and lifelong learning courses is important as it can provide people with the skills and knowledge needed for their prosperity in a highly dynamic economy and the process of decreasing the income difference.

In terms of that businesses, they should respond to the issue of income inequality by acting on fair employment practice that are inclusive and invest in employee well-being and development. For instance, organizations could decide to implement a living wage policy, create ways for people's skill improvement and career advancement, and maintain same pay for same work. In addition, enterprises can contribute a positive role in solving the societal and ecological problems through their corporate social responsibility and sustainable business management. Businesses can play a crucial role in building an equitable and prosperous society by placing the needs and interests of all stakeholders, including workers, customers and the community at the forefront.

People can also play in reducing income disparity by their own behaviours and commitments. Another way is consumers would Favor the businesses focusing on fair wages, ethical sourcing and environmental sustainability. In addition, people could have a say in policies that fight for economic justice and social equality through progressive taxation, universal healthcare, and environmental protection. On top of that, people willing to donate their time and resources is another key component of the organizations working to mitigate income inequality and poverty problems in their communities. Through shared efforts and initiating collective movements, people have the power to shape society that is less polarized and which has prosperity for everybody.

XI. FINDINGS

1. Income inequality impacts various aspects of economic performance, including consumer spending, social cohesion, innovation, and entrepreneurship.
2. High levels of income inequality can hinder consumer spending and aggregate demand, thereby restraining economic growth.
3. Income inequality can undermine social cohesion and political stability, leading to social unrest and political instability.
4. Income inequality can impede innovation and entrepreneurship by limiting access to education, resources, and opportunities for economic participation.
5. Taxation policies, labour market dynamics, globalization, and technological advancements play significant roles in shaping income inequality within societies.
6. Progressive taxation, strong labour market institutions, and policies promoting inclusive growth can help mitigate income disparities and promote social equity.
7. International cooperation and coordination are essential for addressing income inequality in an increasingly interconnected global economy.
8. Reducing income inequality is not only a matter of social justice but also a strategic imperative for promoting sustainable economic growth and development.
9. Empirical evidence suggests that more equal societies tend to experience faster and more durable economic growth compared to societies with higher levels of income inequality.
10. Addressing income inequality requires concerted efforts from policymakers, businesses, civil society, and international organizations to implement comprehensive and targeted policy interventions.
11. Policies aimed at promoting entrepreneurship, innovation, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) can create opportunities for economic empowerment and job creation, particularly for vulnerable populations.
12. International cooperation and coordination are essential for addressing the root causes of income inequality and promoting inclusive development on a global scale.

XII. Solutions:

1. Implement progressive taxation policies to redistribute wealth and income from the affluent to the less privileged.
2. Invest in education and skills development programs to enhance human capital formation and promote equal access to economic opportunities.
3. Strengthen labour market institutions, such as minimum wage laws and collective bargaining agreements, to ensure fair wages and decent working conditions for all workers.
4. Promote inclusive economic growth by addressing structural barriers to social mobility and economic participation, particularly for marginalized and disadvantaged groups.
5. Combat discrimination and exclusion in the labour market by promoting equal opportunities and diversity.
6. Foster entrepreneurship, innovation, and SME development to create opportunities for economic empowerment and job creation, especially for vulnerable populations.
7. Promote gender equality and invest in women's economic empowerment to unlock the full potential of half of the world's population.
8. Enhance access to education, healthcare, and financial services to promote social inclusion and economic development.
9. Strengthen social safety nets and welfare programs to provide support for individuals and families facing economic hardship.
10. Foster international cooperation and coordination to address the root causes of income inequality and promote inclusive development on a global scale.
11. Implement the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to advance social, economic, and environmental objectives in a holistic and integrated manner.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, the assessment below refers to the complicated and multidimensional character of income inequality and its role as a crucial factor for economic expansion. Inequality in income shapes different aspects of economic performance, including consumer spending, social cohesion, innovation and job creation. Studies based on a vast amount of data have revealed the fact that an increase in income inequality may seriously impede consumer spending and aggregate demand, therefore, controlling economic growth. Additionally, the greater the difference between rich and poor, the greater the erosion of social cohesion and political stability, with social unrest and political instability following. Furthermore, income disparity may hamper innovation and entrepreneurship by the education, materials and opportunities for economic participation.

Likewise, taxation policies, labour market matters, globalization and technology are the key factors in determining the income inequality between different societies. Progressive taxation, strong labour market institutions, and policies fostering social equality and growth of income are key aspects of reducing the burden of income inequality and promoting the issue of equity throughout the society. In addition, international cooperation and coordination is a crucial component of the solution for this situation in the era of the ever-growing interconnected global economy. Hence, eradicating income inequality necessitates a complex and multifaceted process that entails policy reforms in different sectors and governmental levels.
Moreover, reducing income inequality is not just a question of social justice but also a strategic necessity for attaining a stable and widespread economic growth and development. Impersonal information indicates that the higher the degree of inequality in income, the slower and the shorter speed of growth is within the society. On the other hand, shrinking the gap between the wealthy and poor sections, improves social cohesion, political stability and public trust in its institutions, making a favourable environment for investment, innovation and entrepreneurship to develop. Hence, policy makers and stakeholders must start their programs by trying to resolve income inequality before including it in other strategies to boost inclusive and sustainable economic growth.

Fixing the problem of income disparity needs the persistent attempts of policymakers, businessmen, civil society and international institutions to implement the policies which will, in turn, decrease income inequity as a result. Wealth redistribution through regressive taxation from the prosperous to needy improves the social stability and equity. Secondly, investing in education, skills development, and social safety nets to improve human capital formation coupled with good opportunities for individuals to participate fully in an economy is very important. Also, preventive measures like introducing minimum wage laws, labour market and collective bargaining agreements are some of the major strategies for workers to receive fair wages and better working conditions.

Besides, the aim of fostering inclusive economic growth suggests addressing the barriers of structural nature to the mobility of the society and participation in economic sphere, especially for the marginalized and deprived people. It goes by ensuring equal chance to education, healthcare and financial services and by resisting discrimination and exclusion in employment. Furthermore, the policies advocating for entrepreneurs, innovations and SMEs are a great chance to promote the economic advancement and job creations for these disadvantaged groups. Another important driver could be providing equal opportunities and investing in women's economic empowerment, releasing the potential of half the planet and more inclusive and sustainable development.

Additionally, international collaboration and harmonization are necessary to fight against the causes of income inequality and develop in a nutshell that is inclusive on the global scale. This necessitates the establishment of relations and partnerships among all the local governments, businesses, non-governmental organizations, and international agents to combat the common problems and achieve your shared goals. Moreover, the SDGs can be implemented as the framework for social, economic and environmental issues that will be caring for holistically and integrated manner. Together by striving to the rights and freedoms of all we can create a new world where less privileged will be just as fortunate as those who are better off.

REFERENCES

[1] Acemoglu, D., & Autor, D. (2011). Skills, tasks and technologies: Implications for employment and earnings. Handbook of Labor Economics, 4, 1043-1171.

[2] Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty. Crown Publishing Group.

[3] Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1998). Endogenous growth theory. MIT press.

[4] Atkinson, A. B., & Morelli, S. (2014). Chartbook of economic inequality. Oxford University Press.

[5] Autor, D. H., & Dorn, D. (2013). The growth of low-skill service jobs and the polarization of the US labour market. American Economic Review, 103(5), 1553-1597.

[6] Autor, D. H., Katz, L. F., & Kearney, M. S. (2008). Trends in U.S. wage inequality: Revising the revisionists. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 90(2), 300-323.

[7] Berg, A., & Ostry, J. D. (2011). Inequality and unsustainable growth: Two sides of the same coin? International Monetary Fund. Working Paper, 11/08.

[8] Bessen, J. E. (2019). Automation and jobs: When technology boosts employment. National Bureau of Economic Research. Working Paper, 24235.

[9] Card, D., & Krueger, A. B. (1994). Minimum wages and employment: A case study of the fast-food industry in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. The American Economic Review, 84(4), 772-793.

[10] Chetty, R., Hendren, N., Kline, P., & Saez, E. (2014). Where is the land of opportunity? The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 129(4), 1553-1623.

[11] Dollar, D., & Kraay, A. (2002). Growth is good for the poor. Journal of Economic Growth, 7(3), 195-225.

[12] Galor, O., & Zeira, J. (1993). Income distribution and macroeconomics. The Review of Economic Studies, 60(1), 35-52.

[13] Gornick, J. C., & Jäntti, M. (Eds.). (2013). Income inequality: Economic disparities and the middle class in affluent countries. Stanford University Press.

[14] Heckman, J. J., & Mastrov, D. V. (2007). The productivity argument for investing in young children. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 29(3), 446-493.

[15] Hoynes, H., & Rothstein, J. (2019). Universal basic income in the United States and advanced countries. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 33(2), 21-42.

[16] Kuznets, S. (1955). Economic growth and income inequality. The American Economic Review, 45(1), 1-28.

[17] Mian, A., & Sufi, A. (2014). What explains the 2007-2009 drop in employment? Econometrica, 82(6), 2197-2223.

[18] OECD. (2019). Inequality. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/social/inequality.htm

[19] OECD. (2021). Income inequality (indicator). Retrieved from https://data.oecd.org/inequality/income-inequality.htm

[20] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2021). Income inequality (indicator). Retrieved from https://data.oecd.org/inequality/income-inequality.htm

[21] Ostry, J. D., Berg, A., & Tsangarides, C. G. (2014). Redistribution, inequality, and growth. International Monetary Fund.

[22] Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the twenty-first century. Harvard University Press.

[23] Piketty, T., & Saez, E. (2014). Inequality in the long run. Science, 344(6186), 838-843.

[24] Saez, E., & Zucman, G. (2016). Wealth inequality in the United States since 1913: Evidence from capitalized income tax data. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 131(2), 519-578.

[25] Saez, E., & Zucman, G. (2019). Progressive wealth taxation. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1-87.

[26] Stiglitz, J. E. (2012). The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future. W. W. Norton & Company.

[27] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2020). Human Development Report. Retrieved from http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-development-report-2020

[28] United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations.

[29] United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. Retrieved from https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda

[30] World Bank. (2016). World development report 2016: Digital dividends. Washington, DC: World Bank.

[31] World Bank. (2020). Poverty and shared prosperity 2020: Reversals of fortune. Washington, DC: World Bank.

[32] World Bank. (n.d.). GINI index (World Bank estimate). Retrieved from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SI.POV.GINI

[33] World Economic Forum. (2020). The global risks report 2020. Geneva: World Economic Forum.