Design of Efficient Hybrid Approach for Enhancing Lifetime in using Heterogeneous DEEC Protocol in WSN's

Priya Thakur[1], Dr. S.K Gupta[2], Baljinnder Singh[3]
[1,2,3] *Department of computer science, Beant college Gurdaspur, Gurdaspur, Punjab*

***Abstract*- The evaluation and up gradation of wireless sensor Network (WSN) requires transfer of data from source to destination. Nodes within wireless network are sensors having limited energy associated with them. Nodes collaborating together form clusters. Data transmission takes place from distinct clusters towards base station. Energy of sensors needs to be preserved in order to enhance lifetime of network. This paper presents various techniques used to enhance lifetime of network. Lifetime of network ensures degradation in terms of packet drop ratio. Comparative analysis of techniques is also presented to determine approach that can be used for future enhancements.**

Index Terms- WSN, Clusters, Lifetime, Packet drop ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

(Kaur & Kaur 2017)Wireless sensor network consist of spatially distributed devices used to maintain physical or environmental conditions. Nodes used within WSN could be of distinct configuration. These nodes form heterogeneous environment. (Perillo & Heinzelman n.d.; Krishnamachari & Networks 2005)Heterogeneous environment requires protocols in order to establish communication among distinctly configured nodes. IEEE 802.11 standards established for Wi-Fi connectivity is commonly used protocol for transmission within WSN. Nodes following common protocols form clusters. The Present time is such where people don't have much time. The people wish to carry out their occupations in a hurry. Physically nearness at the specific area may not be plausible. For this reason client depends vigorously on innovation. Cell innovation is one of the instrument by which client will move the data without truly to the inaccessible place. The cell system is accomplished with the assistance of confinement. The restriction thusly is finished by the utilization of obscure hubs and stay hubs. The grapple hubs are those through which data about the obscure hubs can be gotten. The obscure hub position at the end of the day will rely on the stay hub positions. It has much real application in agribusiness explore. Other than this there is considerably more materialness of WSN in University and schools however working with restricted computational power and constrained memory. It gives full administration of remote sensor items, programming advancement and arranged counselling administrations to business. WSN are utilized as a part of numerous territories to screen a physical condition, for instance,

- Source of Light
- Pressure taking care of component
- Sound creating frameworks
- Humidity checking framework
- Checking Soil ripeness recognition
- Determining Air Quality checking
- Quality of water checking
- Measurement of Object Attribute, for example, Mass, Velocity, Motion, Scale and Position
- Mixture of Soil with fertilizer.

Remote correspondence comprises of hubs which are in charge of transmission of data from source to the goal without utilizing wired component. The Sensor hubs are utilized for this reason. The sensor hubs will transmit the data to the following hub in arrangement whose span crosses with the other sensor hub range. The provisions for hubs are broad. Point must be minimized, vitality powerful, multifunctional, and remote. At the point when all these point associated they shape arrange and by this the principle thought process can be gotten. For instance, if there is a data accessible for need of water among all segments of the field, put the focuses are in the dirt to frame a

system. After it system can accumulate information and transmit information to primary PC.

Sensor is utilized as a part of the home likewise, for example, cooler and microwave. These are brilliant machines we can set its planning when nourishment is get ready then it consequently give caution.

Remote Sensor Network is more advantageous and more noteworthy focal points over regular wired Sensor. They are utilized as a part of any condition particularly when regular wired sensors are unrealistic. They additionally diminished the cost and postponement.

In WSN there is no need that system site get close to it we can impart the system site get a long way from this. Remote sensor organizes gather information which is in vogue, and we get data about the information.



Figure 1: Wireless Sensor Network Operation

Sensor unit comprise of Analog to advanced converter. The ADC will get the simple flag and changes over it into the computerized shape. The advanced information has greater lucidity related with it. The discrete signs will be its case. The sensor hubs will be influenced by number of parameters. The parameters will choose the lucidity(easily understand) by which transmission happens. The parameters are separated into following classes :

- Temperature
- dampness and
- vibration

There are such a significant number of capacities, for example, environment, sense movement, and measure light quality.

Power Source: The power source is the basic segment related with the WSN. It is utilized to give the ability to the sensor hubs inside the WSN. Without battery no sensor can work. The upside of sensors is they are little vitality devouring gadgets which don't require a great part of the power source.

Radio: This is a little gadget which is utilized I request to transmit the data from source hub to the goal hub. The source is known as Radio source and goal is known as Radio Destination.

The Electronic Brain: The sensor hub will comprise of remote system which is utilized to transmit the data to goal. The microchip chip is utilized to coordinate the information toward the goal. The microchip will have number of pins related with it. Each stick will have certain capacity related with it. The power source is a basic piece of the electronic mind. It is utilized to give the ability to the sensor hubs inside the WSN. Without battery no sensor can work. The upside of sensors is they are little vitality devouring gadgets which don't require a great part of the power source. The Radio is likewise utilized as a part of request to guarantee remote correspondence. This is a little gadget which is utilized I request to transmit the data from source hub to the goal hub. The source is known as Radio source and goal is known as Radio Destination.

In horticulture industry WSN are for the most part utilize. By this there is free of cost for agriculturist for the repairs of wiring in a difficult circumstance. To screen water tank level WSN utilizes gravity nourish water framework to check utilizing weight transmitter. The proficiency of pumps can be enhanced utilizing WSN gadgets. Likewise by this influence utilization of water to can be computed and all the issue of charging is illuminated by this. Water system computerization permits more useful water utilize and diminishes squander.

Precise agribusiness

Due to Wireless sensor systems clients can screen the development of harvest. So by this rancher can take choice which is gainful for edit as ahead of schedule as could be allowed. So at the season of gather this thought assumes an essential part

Water system administration

So when continuous information is transmitted, brilliant water system of the yield can be accomplished. WSN give the data in regards to the ecological condition for e.g. temperature, rain, mugginess and soil dampness. Thus, by the assistance of WSN ranchers can utilize adjust measure of water as per their requirements and reduction the cost and by this there is change in the nature of the final result.

Likewise by this system different actuators can be overseen in the frameworks utilizing remote foundation.

Nurseries

WSN can be useful in green house impact. So by this ecological condition can be handle. So this office is give to agriculturist that if any evil impact of condition happen or the condition by which rancher's field or product get hurt this all data gave to agriculturist by email or by telephone, instant messages

Presently in nowadays there is fundamentally center in nurseries, with the goal that misuses of various harvests can be precluded. So a superior sensor n/w required which give all these data precisely .Basically for this a numerous scattered sensor control this conditions. Additionally this system accommodating in open surface close to this it is useful in soil likewise i.e. again an open surface.

(Awad et al. 2012)Clustering in WSN is formed so that minimum energy is consumed during transmission of data. Formed clusters consist of large number of nodes which may have same or distinct configuration. The nodes within the clusters if belongs to same configuration then homogeneous clusters are formed. In case nodes are of distinct configuration then heterogeneous clusters are formed.(Of 2011) Nodes selection from clusters is critical that leads to selection of cluster head.

Cluster head from particular cluster is node having maximum energy. (Maraiya 2011)All the nodes from a distinct clusters transfer the data towards selected cluster head from their cluster. Data then is transmitted from one cluster head to another cluster head until destination node i.e base station is reached. Packet drop ratio is considerably reduced as maximum energy node is selected for transmission of information. (Mahajan 2014)As energy decays, sensors unable to hold the packet and hence packet is dropped. As more and more packets arrive at the sensor having minimum energy, packets are dropped. This enhances packet drop ratio considerably. Within clustered environment techniques were researched over to enhance performance in terms of packet drop ratio during degradation of sensor energy. This paper presents comprehensive analysis of techniques used to enhance lifetime and decrease packet drop ratio.

Highlights of this paper is listed as under

- Energy efficient protocols in WSN for enhancing lifetime of networks are discussed.
- Techniques used to minimize packet drop ratio are identified.
- Cluster head formation techniques are discussed in detail.
- Comparative analysis of various protocols is presented for determining best possible protocols out of available protocols.

1.ENERGY EFFICIENT CLUSTERING TECHNIQUES IN WSN

Large number of protocols researched over a decade to enhance lifetime associated with the network. This section discusses various protocols falls under energy efficient category.

1.1LEACH

(Nadeem 2013; Awad et al. 2012)Low Energy Adaptive Clustering hierarchical protocol is used to enhance energy efficiency associated with transfer process. Time division multiple access protocol is integrated within LEACH. Cluster head selection is a problem within LEACH. In fact cluster head selection does not take place and data is transmitted from transmitter towards random selection of node selected as head. Aggregation is performed at cluster head and when threshold value is reached, packets are transmitted forward. In case cluster head energy dissipated completed, all the packets aggregated at node will be lost. Properties associated with LEACH are listed as under

- Hierarchical in nature
- Random Cluster Head Selection is involved
- Adaptive membership of cluster
- Aggregation of data at cluster head
- Communication involves nodes and cluster head
- Threshold values involve during transmission

LEACH protocol is represented as under



Figure 1: Leach Protocol

1.2 DEEC

This protocol is advancement associated with LEACH. (Shah et al. n.d.; Elbhiri et al. 2010)Cluster head selection is complex in case of DEEC. Maximum energy nodes are elected among available nodes. The node with the highest probability of conserving energy is selected as cluster head. A distributed multilevel clustering algorithm for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks is considered with following characteristics

- The cluster head is elected by a probability based on the ratio between the amount residual energy present at each node and the average energy of the network.
- The lifetime of a cluster head is decided according to its initial energy and residual energy. So always the nodes with high initial and residual energy have a better chance to become a CH.
- DEEC is implemented based on the concepts of LEACH algorithm. The role of cluster head is rotated among all nodes of the network to make energy dissipation uniform.
- Two levels of heterogeneous nodes are considered in this algorithm to achieve longer network lifetime and more effective messages than other classical clustering algorithms.
- It also works better for multilevel heterogeneous networks.
- In DEEC, all the nodes must have the idea about total energy and lifetime of the network. Average energy of the network is used as the reference energy.

DEEC protocol is represented through the following figure



Figure 2: DEEC protocol

1.3 SEP

(Elbhiri et al. 2010)SEP concentrate the effect of heterogeneity of Clusters, as far as their vitality, in remote sensor arranges that are progressively bunched. Following properties are considered

- In these systems a portion of the nodes progressed toward becoming bunch heads, total the information of their group individuals what's more, transmit it to the sink.
- It accept that a rate of the populace of sensor hubs is outfitted with extra vitality assets which is a wellspring of heterogeneity which may come about from the underlying setting or as the operation of the system advances.
- It additionally consider the sensors are arbitrarily (consistently) appropriated and are not versatile, the directions of the sink and the measurements of the sensor field are known.
- It is assumed in SEP that nodes cannot take full favorable position of the nearness of hub heterogeneity.
- SEP, a heterogeneous-mindful convention to draw out the time interim before the passing of the principal hub (we allude to as strength period), which is pivotal for some applications where the criticism from the sensor organize must be solid.
- SEP depends on weighted race probabilities of every hub to end up bunch go to the rest of the vitality in every hub.

(Weil et al. 2006; Robinson & Knightly 2007)SEP is advancement associate with DEEC. Energy is conserved and lifetime of network is improved considerably by the use of this protocol.

Representation of SEP is as under



Figure 3: Representation of SEP

1.4 EDEEC

(Saini & Sharma 2010; Landge & Science 2014; Preethi et al. 2016) Remote Sensor Networks (WSNs) comprises of across the board arbitrary sending of vitality obliged sensor hubs. Following properties exists of EDEEC.

- Sensor hubs have distinctive capacity to detect and send detected information to Base Station (BS) or Sink.
- Detecting and in addition transmitting information towards sink requires substantial measure of vitality.
- In WSNs, save vitality and delaying the lifetime of system are incredible difficulties. Many directing conventions have been proposed with a specific end goal to accomplish vitality productivity in heterogeneous condition.
- EDEEC for the most part comprises of three sorts of hubs in amplifying the lifetime and solidness of system.

Enhanced distributed energy efficient clustering protocol is advancement of DEEC that conserve energy and reduce packet drop ratio considerably. Further enhancement in DEEC can be made to enhance performance DEEC by reducing distance between nodes in which data is being transmitted.

Today's world needs some technologies to fulfil their routine work. (Chaouchi & Marie n.d.)WSN is that technology which fulfills the routine work of the society. Wireless sensor network senses the physical world whether it is temperature, pressure, humidity and some other environment activities. WSN is used in an environment where the wires or cable are not possible to reach. It is easy to install compared with the other cables network. Now, these day's WSN are using mainly for the data transfer purpose. (Hasan et al. 2013)Sensor nodes in the wireless network transfer the data packets from source to destination. Wireless sensor network includes sensors nodes and a base station (sink) and there are so many sensors which create a network. All the sensor nodes in a network communicate with each other and transfer the data packet from source node to the sink. Sensor nodes can communicate directly with the base station. Sensor nodes consume a lot of energy while data transfer. On the other hand, sensor nodes also consume energy after transferring the data packets. Due to this consumption, the lifetime of the network also gets reduced. This is the major issue of the sensor network. (Akyildiz et al. 2002)There are more issues of the network but energy consumption and improve the lifetime of the network. Taking these issues in concern, there is one method which is very much useful to resolve these problems called clustering. Clustering, the technique in which large network region is divided into smaller one. With this technique, sensor nodes do not require direct communication with the base station.

Representation of EDDEC is as under



Figure 4: Representation of EDEEC

In every cluster, there is a cluster head which collects the data from all the network nodes and then transmits that data to the base station. The cluster head is elected on the basis of maximum energy of the node. The node which hashighest energy is selected for cluster head. Basically only cluster head is responsible for the communication in the network. Cluster head needs more energy for the data aggregation and transmitting the data. So after transmission of the data, its energy reduces and the node which has second highest energy is selected for cluster head. There is so many clustering protocols which not only reduces the energy consumption but also enhance the network lifetime. These protocols are LEACH, HEED, DEEC, EDEEC, SEP etc. These protocols are cluster-based protocol and a lot of work has been done with these protocols. LEACH is the

first protocol which came into the existence in the clustering protocol. DEEC is also a cluster-based protocol in which cluster head is selected based on the residual energy of the sensor nodes and the average energy of the network. EDEEC is the enhanced version of the DEEC protocol and requires aheterogeneous network. LEACH is the homogeneous network.

Next section describes background analysis or literature survey to determine best possible protocol for future enhancement.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

Techniques have been devised for improvement of performance in WSN. The WSN performance is critically analysed using this paper. The worth of study is proved using this literature survey. (S. Kumar 2014)[19] proposed distance and energy aware LEACH. The cluster head selection in this approach was adaptive and allow packet drop ratio to reduce considerably. The aggregation mechanism was the drawback associated with this approach. In case cluster head go down, every packet aggregated at source could be lost. (Liu et al. 2009)[20]proposed EAP for conserving energy during transmission of data from source to destination. Inter cluster coverage was introduced in this approach. Data gathered at particular cluster was according to probability distribution factor that reduces energy consumption and enhances lifetime of network. (Nadeem 2013) discussed energy efficiency achieved through LEACH protocol. Time division MAC was integrated to achieve energy efficiency and lifetime within the WSN. (Prajapat & Barwar 2014)[21]proposed a mechanism to analyse energy dissipation through Multi-Chain PEGASIS. This protocol constructs a chain of routing path. Multi hop routing was used under PEGASIS. Overall protocol was energy and power efficient but complex. In other words time and space complexity was enhanced using PEGASIS. Future modifications required in order to enhance performance of examined system. (Heinzelman et al. 2000)[22]proposed LEACH, a hierarchical protocol for achieving energy efficiency within WSN. Adaptive cluster head selection allow performance enhancement however aggregation mechanism used within WSN has merits and demerits associated with it. Energy conservation was achieved with the risk of enhancement of packet drop ration in case of cluster head failure.

(R. Kumar 2014)[23] Proposed energy efficient DEEC protocol. DEEC protocol uses probability distribution function to determine cluster head out of number of nodes available within WSN. Probability assigned with each node within WSN was analysed for selection of cluster head. Probability associated with nodes varies during each round. Higher the probability more will be chance of node being selected as cluster head. DEEC performance decreases by the application of aggregation mechanism leading to increase in packet drop ratio. (Saini & Sharma 2010)[13]proposed enhancement in DEEC protocol to achieve more energy efficiency. Lifetime of network significantly improved by the application of E-DEEC. As packets moved from one node to another, energy associated with nodes will be analysed. Node having highest energy will be selected as cluster head. Packet being received by node having highest energy. Lifetime of network was considerably enhanced but packet drop ratio increases hence requires improvement. (Shah et al. n.d.)[9]proposed a sleep awake protocol for WSN data transmission. Node being idle was set to sleep and energy conservation was achieved. The problem of topology breakage occurred as node was made to sleep. In order to wake the node sufficient amount of energy was required to be dispensed with. (Preethi et al. 2016)[15]discussed a super energy aware protocol by accomplishing modifications to the existing DEEC protocol. Modified mechanism of electing cluster head was proposed. Node selected as cluster head was evaluated against several criteria's before electing it as cluster head. Complexity in terms of cluster head was extremely high.

(Jan et al. 2014)[24]Proposed a priority based application specific congestion control algorithm. Packets can be initiated through any node and hence traffic could be a problem. To handle traffic, congestion control mechanism was proposed by maintaining priority queue. Packets from distinct nodes were maintained within queue. As congestion becomes high, enqueue operation takes place. As traffic becomes moderate dequeue operation takes place. This mechanism results in decreasing packet drop ratio. But energy consumption in this mechanism still requires improvement. (Nadeem et al. n.d.)[25]advised gateway based energy routing

protocol (M-GEAR) for WSN. Depending on their location in the sensing area, they divided the nodes into four zones. In this protocol, they placed the base station out of the sensing zone and placed a gateway at the middle of the sensing area. The node uses the direct communication if the distance of the sensing node from the base station or gateway is less than the prescribed distance. They also divided the remaining nodes into equal zones. Selected cluster heads in each zone are independent of each other. They compared the performance of proposed protocol with LEACH. Analysis results show that their assigned protocol perform greatly basis on the consumption of energy and lifespan of the network.(Cheikh et al. 2014)[26]said that in the upcoming time, WSNs require a great need of spreading the nodes and also enhance its applications in all fields because in the future most of the devices will be connected to each and everything. So spreading of these nodes is the greatest challenge, keeping this in mind a new protocol is given called TDEEC used for the heterogeneous network. TDEEC protocols use three levels of heterogeneity. It is a reactive protocol and used basically for reactive networks. Reactive networks are those which react quickly to any change arise in any parameter.

The comprehensive literature survey conducted in this paper suggest, considerable improvement in terms of energy efficiency and packet drop ratio within WSN is required. Some techniques suggested such as DEEC provides efficient low complexity mechanism to accomplish the same but distance based criteria's are absent within DEEC. To improve the performance of DEEC, distance between nodes must be considered. This could be the future course of action.

3. COMPARISON OF ENERGY AWARE SCHEMES WITHIN WSN

Comparison of protocols consuming energy, initial energy, number of dead nodes and complexity is given as under

PROTOCOL	YEAR	Number of Dead Nodes	Initial Energy	Residual energy	Complexity
LEACH(Prasad et al. 2018)	2017	90 out of 100 after rounds complete	1.5 Joules	0 after all the rounds	High
PAGASIS(Nigam & Dabas 2017)	2017	80 out of 100 after all the rounds	1.5J	0.35 after all the rounds	High
DEEC(Anon 2016)	2016	60 out of 100 after all the rounds	1.5J	0.2 after all the rounds	Low
CCM(Marhoon et al. 2016)	2016	85 out of 100 after all the rounds	1.5J	0.15 after all the rounds	High
ECS(Pati et al. 2016)	2016	62 out of 100 after all the rounds	1.5J	0.30 after all the rounds	Low
ELEACH(Arumugam & Ponnuchamy 2015)	2015	70 out of 100 after rounds complete	1.5 J	0.5 after all the rounds	Low
HEED(Chand et al. 2014)	2014	73 out of 100 after all the rounds	1.5J	0.25 after all the rounds	High
SEP(Pal et al. 2013)	2013	80 out of 100 after rounds complete	1.5 J	0.3 after all the rounds	High
TTDD(Luo et al. 2005)	2005	85 out of 100 after all the rounds	1.5J	0.29 after all the rounds	High

Table 1: Comparison of protocols in terms of energy consumed and complexity

Comparison of energy aware schemes presented in this section provides clear and concise view of optimal technique within WSN during data transmission.

Protocol	Year	Merits	Demerits	Parameter Energy Load Algorithm Efficiency Balancing	Remarks

				Complexity	
SLGC(Fissaoui et al. 2017)	2017	• Lower energy consumption in SGLC compared to LEACH	• Large overhead due to complex data communication	Medium Medium Medium	It is distributed efficient energy consumption and distribution protocol.
CCM(Ibragimov et al. 2016)	2016	• Energy consumed in the selection of cluster head is less as compared to leach	• Chain head selection is complex and has more overhead associated with it	Very low Medium Medium	Mixture of flat, hierarchical and location based routing is combined
GAF(Ariou a et al. 2016)	2016	• GAF increase the network lifetime by saving energy • Routing fidelity is maintained	Large traffic injection and delay is not predictable	Medium Medium Medium	It is a location based least energy consumption protocol
TDEEC(Preethi et al. 2016)	2016	• Modified DEEC Clustering protocol provides better performance in terms of energy consumption then DEEC	• Slotting is used hence it is more complex	Low Low High	DEEC with time division is considered hence overall operation is faster
LEACH(Li et al. n.d.)	2015	• Every node in the cluster may become cluster head depending upon the amount of energy node possess • Collisions are avoided since leach protocol is accompanied with time division multiple access mechanism	• Difficult to implement in large networks • Lack of uniformity in selection of cluster head	Very low Medium Low	Earliest protocol associated with clustering
PANEL(Discipline 2015)	2015	• Panel is energy efficient that ensure load balancing and long network lifetime • Supports asynchronous applications	• Clusters are predetermined • To determine geographic position information, special conditions are needed, which is not always available	Medium Good High	This is efficient node selection algorithm for handling cluster
TTDD(Rohankar et al. 2015)	2015	• Resolve the numerous mobile sinks and moving problem of sink in large scale WSNs • Suitable to event detecting WSNs among irregular data traffic	• Large latency • Low energy efficiency • TTDD require sensor nodes to be stationary and location aware	Very low Good Low	It is a two tier energy consumption minimization protocol
PEGASIS (Science et al. 2015)	2015	• Uniform load balancing • Reduce cluster head selection over head • Packet drop ratio decreases	• High delays in transmission • Scalability is least • Time varying topologies make it complex to use	Low Medium High	Load balancing is handled efficiently in this protocol as compared to LEACH
TSC(Xu & Zhao 2015)	2015	• Redundant data is reduced	• Asymmetric node balance	Medium Medium Medium	Modularity is provided by dividing the network into concentric circles hence better energy

					consumption is achieved
PASCCC(Jan et al. 2014)	2014	• Priority based data transformation • Packet drop ratio is low	• Energy consumption is high	High Low Low	Priority is assigned but starvation problem can be present
SEP(Pal et al. 2013)	2013	• It is better in terms of packet drop ratio	• More complex as compared to leach	Medium High Low	Energy consumption is less as compared to previous algorithm
LEACH-VF(Singh & Singh n.d.)	2010	• Solve the problem of area with overlapped sensing coverage and sensing hole • In LEACH-VF some nodes can be moved to coverage inside the cluster are	• Poor energy efficiency • Load balancing is not up to the mark	Medium Medium Medium	Area independence is achieved
TEEN(Ibrahim & Tamer n.d.)	2010	• Data transmission can be controlled by varying two thresholds • Well suited for time critical applications	• Whenever thresholds are not meet , the node will not communicate • Data may be lost if CHs are not able to communicate with each other	Very High Good High	Hierarchical routing protocol that is used to minimize energy consumption of clustering algorithm
HEED(Liu et al. 2009)	2009	• Routing Scheme used is fully distributed • Local Communication is supported for least complexity • More uniform in nature • High Energy Efficiency and reliability	• Communication Overhead is high due to random cluster head selection • Extra Energy consumption in selection of cluster head	Medium Medium Low	Better connectivity of cluster heads
EECS(Shang 2009)	2009	• Achieve Load Balancing • Clusters are variable in size	• Communication overhead is high • Energy Consumption is exceedingly high	Medium Medium Very High	Energy efficient protocol used commonly at media access control layer within data link layer
DEEC(Shah et al. n.d.)	2009	• Dynamic node selection • Better than Leach in terms of energy consumption	• Complex in nature • Lifetime can be further improved	High HighHigh	Better as compared to LEECH
UCS(Ozdemir 2007)	2007	• Cluster head formed are heterogeneous • Variable sized clusters	• Limited Implementation framework • Residual energy is low.	Very low Bad Medium	Commonly used protocol in unequal cluster sized environment
CCS(Advisor & Committee 2007)	2007	• Least Energy Consumption • Packet drop ratio decreases	• Asymmetric Energy Consumption • Time duration is high	Low Very bad Medium	It is network coding based protocol for energy efficiency

Table 1: Comparison of Techniques of Clustering used within WSN

From comparison table it is concluded that techniques associated with clustering algorithm within WSN requires considerable improvement in terms of energy conservation and packet drop ratio. Distance handling among WSN is critical for this purpose.

4. RESEARCH GAP

Energy conservation is one of the prime issues associated with existing clustering protocols. Cluster head selection causes huge amount of energy to be consumed. In case cluster head selected is not optimal, it may cause large amount of packets to be lost. The packet drop ratio is another parameter which is critical in analysis of performance of clustering algorithm. Distance consideration is missing or shortest path algorithm is not considered causing degradation of performance in existing system. To overcome the problems of existing system distance based approach in DEEC can be proposed. Problems in existing literature are listed as follows

4.1 Energy conservation is high

4.2 Packet drop ratio is high

4.3 Residual energy is low

4.4 Distance based mechanism is not considered

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

This paper present comprehensive survey of techniques used within WSN to achieve increase in lifetime of sensor within WSN. Enhancement in lifetime involves mechanism such as sleep and wake up protocol but has demerits associated with it. Critical analysis of various efficient protocols used in WSN are discussed and concluded as under.

The idle nodes are made to sleep but topology breakage is the result. In order to restore the nodes to their initial state sufficient energy is required leading to loss of packets. Distance conservation mechanism is not considered in case of DEEC hence packet drop ratio is high. In case of leach aggregation at cluster head causes problem since cluster head if dead all the

packet collected at cluster head will be lost. From analysis of existing techniques it is identified that there exist a trade off between energy and packet drop ratio.

In future this tradeoff between energy and packet drop ratio is to be eliminated by considering distance between nodes before selection of cluster head. Use of priority queue can also be merged within existing approach for enhancing performance of WSN.

REFERENCES

[1] Zhou, S. Wang, Z. Zheng, C. Hsu, M. Lyu, and F.Y., 2014. On cloud service reliability enhancement with optimal resource usage. IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing,, 1(1), pp.1–1.

[2] Advisor, D. & Committee, D., 2007. Communication Security in Wireless Sensor.

[3] Akyildiz, I.F. et al., 2002. Wireless sensor networks : a survey. , 38, pp.393–422.

[4] Anon, 2016. Multi-hop Energy Ef fi cient Routing. , pp.15–29.

[5] Arioua, M. et al., 2016. Multi-hop cluster based routing approach for wireless sensor networks. Procedia - Procedia Computer Science, 83(Ant), pp.584–591. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2016.04.277.

[6] Arumugam, G.S. & Ponnuchamy, T., 2015. EE-LEACH : development of energy-efficient LEACH Protocol for data gathering in WSN.

[7] Awad, F., Taqieddin, E. & Seyam, A., 2012. Energy-Efficient and Coverage-Aware Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks. , 2012(July), pp.142–151.

[8] Chand, S., Singh, S. & Kumar, B., 2014. Heterogeneous HEED protocol for wireless sensor networks. Wireless Personal Communications, 77(3), pp.2117–2139.

[9] Chaouchi, H. & Marie, J., Wireless sensor networks : a survey on recent developments and potential synergies.

[10] Cheikh, E. et al., 2014. Energy Efficient Enhancement of TDEEC Wireless Sensors Network Protocol Based on Passive RFID Implementation. , 3(5), pp.6647–6653.

[11] Discipline, C., 2015. 6dqwdu 3do 6lqjk. , 45, pp.687–695.

[12] Elbhiri, B. et al., 2010. Developed Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering (DDEEC) for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. 2010 5th International Symposium on I/V Communications and Mobile Networks, ISIVC 2010, pp.1–4.

[13] Fissaoui, M. El, Beni-hssane, A. & Saadi, M., 2017. ScienceDirect Mobile Mobile Agent Agent Protocol Protocol based based energy energy aware aware data data Aggregation Aggregation for for wireless wireless sensor sensor networks networks. Procedia Computer Science, 113, pp.25–32. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.08.279.

[14] Hasan, S., Hussain, Z. & Singh, R.K., 2013. A Survey of Wireless Sensor Network. , 3(3), pp.1–6.

[15] Heinzelman, W.R., Chandrakasan, A. & Balakrishnan, H., 2000. Energy-Efficient Communication Protocol for Wireless Microsensor Networks P & SeQVUfRgX G5C7CSTQVUWRYXE ¨` G587 9 ¡ ¤£¥ ¡ §¦ STQaXcbd G587A9 G587hSeQVUfRgXI ¨` ¡ ¤£¥ ¡ B ¦ pi Qqbr 6i Q i R & G @ 7 e S QaXI ¨ F G @ 7A9 ¡ ¤£¥ ¡ B ¦ sSeQaX G @ 7 STQaXI ¨ F 6 ¡ ¤£¥ ¡ §¦ i Q.

[16] Ibragimov, M. et al., 2016. CCM-UW Security Modes for Low-band Underwater. Wireless Personal Communications. Available at: "http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11277-016-3283-z.

[17] Ibrahim, A.A. & Tamer, A.K., SEC-TEEN : A Secure Routing Protocol for Enhanced Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks. , pp.621–631.

[18] Jan, M.A. et al., 2014. PASCCC: Priority-based application-specific congestion control clustering protocol. Computer Networks, 74(PB), pp.92–102. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2014.09.005.

[19] Kaur, A. & Kaur, H., 2017. A REVIEW ON A HYBRID APPROACH USING MOBILE SINK AND FUZZY LOGIC FOR REGION BASED CLUSTERING IN WSN. , 16(2), pp.7586–7590.

[20] Krishnamachari, B. & Networks, A., 2005. An Introduction to. , (January), pp.1–101.

[21] Kumar, R., 2014. Evaluating the Performance of DEEC Variants. , 97(7), pp.9–16.

[22] Kumar, S., 2014. DE-LEACH : Distance and Energy Aware LEACH. , 88(9), pp.36–42.

[23] Landge, L. & Science, C., 2014. AC. , 2(9).

[24] Li, P. et al., Energy Optimization Algorithm of Wireless Sensor Networks based on LEACH-B.

[25] Liu, M. et al., 2009. An Energy-Aware Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks. , pp.445–462.

[26] Luo, H. et al., 2005. TTDD : Two-Tier Data Dissemination in Large-Scale Wireless Sensor Networks. , pp.161–175.

[27] Mahajan, S., 2014. An energy balanced QoS based cluster head selection strategy for WSN. Egyptian Informatics Journal, 15(3), pp.189–199. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eij.2014.09.001.

[28] Maraiya, K., 2011. Efficient Cluster Head Selection Scheme for Data Aggregation in Wireless Sensor Network. , 23(9), pp.10–18.

[29] Marhoon, H.A., Mahmuddin, M. & Nor, S.A., 2016. DCBRP : a deterministic chain - based routing protocol for wireless sensor networks. SpringerPlus, pp.1–21. Available at: "http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3704-1.

[30] Nadeem, Q., 2013. A New Energy Efficient Protocol for Wireless Body Area Networks.

[31] Nadeem, Q. et al., Multi-Hop Routing Protocol for WSNs.

[32] Nigam, G.K. & Dabas, C., 2017. Performance Analysis of Heed Over Leach and Pegasis in Wireless Sensor Networks. , pp.259–266.

[33] Of, T., 2011. A C OMPARATIVE S TUDY OF C LUSTERHEAD S ELECTION A LGORITHMS IN W IRELESS S ENSOR. , 2(4), pp.153–164.

[34] Ozdemir, S., 2007. Secure and Reliable Data Aggregation for. , pp.102–109.

[35] Pal, R., Sindhu, R. & Sharma, A.K., 2013. SEP-E (RCH): Enhanced Stable Election Protocol Based on Redundant Cluster Head Selection for HWSNs. , pp.104–114.

[36] Pati, B. et al., 2016. ECS : An Energy-Efficient Approach to Select Cluster-Head in Wireless Sensor Networks.

[37] Perillo, M.A. & Heinzelman, W.B., Wireless Sensor Network Protocols.

[38] Prajapat, M. & Barwar, N.C., 2014. Performance Analysis of Energy Dissipation in WSNs Using Multi-Chain PEGASIS. , 5(6), pp.8033–8036.

[39] Prasad, D.R., Naganjaneyulu, P. V & Prasad, K.S., 2018. Modi fi ed LEACH Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks — A Review. , pp.681–688.

[40] Preethi, A., Pravin, E. & Sangeetha, D., 2016. Modified balanced energy efficient network integrated super heterogeneous protocol. 2016

International Conference on Recent Trends in Information Technology, ICRTIT 2016.

[41] Robinson, J. & Knightly, E.W., 2007. A Performance Study of Deployment Factors in Wireless Mesh Networks. IEEE INFOCOM 2007 - 26th IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications, pp.2054–2062. Available at: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=4215820.

[42] Rohankar, R., Katti, C.P. & Kumar, S., 2015. Comparison of Energy Efficient Data Collection Techniques in Wireless Sensor Network. Procedia - Procedia Computer Science, 57, pp.146–151. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.07.399.

[43] Saini, P. & Sharma, A.K., 2010. E-DEEC - Enhanced distributed energy efficient clustering scheme for heterogeneous WSN. 2010 1st International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing, PDGC - 2010, pp.205–210.

[44] Science, P.C. et al., 2015. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com. , 52, pp.641–646.

[45] Shah, T., Javaid, N. & Qureshi, T.N., Energy Efficient Sleep Awake Aware (EESAA) Intelligent Sensor Network Routing Protocol. , pp.1–6.

[46] Shang, F., 2009. A Single-Hop Active Clustering Algorithm for Wireless. , pp.397–406.

[47] Singh, A. & Singh, A.K., Clustering Protocol for Wireless Networks. , pp.365–374.

[48] Weil, S.A., Brandt, S.A. & Miller, E.L., 2006. CRUSH: Controlled, Scalable, Decentralized Placement of Replicated Data. SC 2006 Conference, Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE, 1(November), p.31. Available at: http://www.ssrc.ucsc.edu/Papers/weil-sc06.pdf.

[49] Xu, Q. & Zhao, J., 2015. Multi-Head Track-Sector Clustering Routing Algorithm In WSN. , (Icitmi), pp.707–713.