Crispr: A Technology in Crop Development

Shaik Sohail Samee[1], Harshal Avinashe[2], Nidhi Dubey[3]
[1]*M.Sc. Student, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, (Punjab), India 144411*
[2,3]*Assistant Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara (Punjab), India 144411*

Abstract **- Upgraded farming through inventive breeding strategies is earnestly expected to expand admittance to nutritious nourishments around the world. CRISPR-Cas genome editing technology has brought significant changes in the field of agriculture by developing desirable germplasm with favourable characteristics. Ongoing advances in CRISPR/Cas genome altering empower effective focused on modification in many crop yields, subsequently encouraging to quicken crop improvement. Although the clear simplicity of CRISPR/Cas9-interceded altering may cause it to seem like researchers are handling very efficiently with plant genomes, the joined intensity of CRISPR/Cas9 has empowered essential examination to be finished in the fight toward enhancement and variation of crops, allowing vital advances to be accomplished in crop improvement.**

Index Terms **- Farming, CRISPR, genome editing, crop improvement, crops**

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture globally, is confronting remarkable difficulties. By 2050, the total population will arrive at 9.6 billion, and the interest for staple yields will have expanded by 60%. Since the pace of increment of yields achieved by the green revolution has been consistently declining, and hindering environmental change is required as far as possible plant creation, cultivars with upgraded flexibility to adverse conditions and with expanded yields and improved quality should be produced. In any case, the traditional systems utilized for crop reproducing are difficult, tedious and muddled, and efficient rearing strategies are required (Chen, K.,2019) Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)-Cas systems are at present at the centre of attention of dynamic research in science. CRISPR development is a direct yet incredible resource for altering genomes. It grants pros to viably change DNA arrangements and adjust gene function. Its various potential applications fuse changing hereditary deformities, treating and preventing the spread of diseases and improving harvests. Nevertheless, its assurance moreover raises moral concerns (Y. Ishino1987)

CRISPR: A REVOLUTIONARY TOOL IN CROP IMPROVEMENT

As the capability of the CRISPR/Cas9 framework as a proficient genome altering tool was first exhibited in 2012 (Jinek M,2012), it has regularly been utilized for complete knockout or focused on mutagenesis in plants. In any case, CRISPR/Cas9-intervened in substitution in the liguleless1 (LIG1) gene in maize has likewise been accounted for (Svitashev S,2016). Essentially, thump in/substitution efficiencies of 0.8 and 2% were observed in Arabidopsis thaliana (Zhao Y, 2016) and Oryza sativa (Li J, 2016), separately. A profoundly proficient CRISPR/Cpf1 framework was utilized for focused mutagenesis in rice and soybean (Xu R,2017, Kim H,2017). Likewise, a novel ribonucleoprotein-based CRISPR/Cas9 technique was created for hexaploid wheat that takes just 7–9 weeks, with no off-target transformations. This forestalls incorporation of the transgene in mutant plants (Liang Z,2017). To add to this, mutation regulation as high as 100 and 98.8% have been accomplished in allotetraploid cotton by CRISPR/Cas9 (Li C,2017). Attributing to such adjustments, CRISPR/Cas9 would now be able to be utilized to focus on numerous qualities, empowering use to improve multigenic characteristics, for example, yield and abiotic stresses. Complex gene altering in plants could encourage the improvement of quantitative characteristics through altering a few individuals from a genotype or numerous connected genes and gene organizations, consequently giving an amazing technique to edit improvement.

CRISPR–CAS- AN EVOLUTION IN BREEDING

Since the inception of agriculture, more than 10,000 years ago, cultivation has involved artificially selecting for desirable traits such as high yield, nutrient richness and ease of harvest. However, this productivity-directed breeding process generally results in loss of genetic diversity and vulnerability to biotic and abiotic stresses (Doebley, J. F,2006). It is estimated that 70% of the calorie's humans need come from only 15 of total of 30,000 edible plants (Fernie, A. R.,2019). In comparison with established crops, nature has provided us with a huge reservoir of genetic variation that we do not yet exploit wild species and orphan crops often have favourable nutritional attributes or stress resilience and are better adapted to local climates. Therefore, domesticating wild species or use of semidomesticated crops is an attractive way to meet the ever-increasing demand for food. Traditional domestication is a lengthy process involving changes to many loci, only a few of which have key roles in driving the desired outcome (Yang, X. P.,2019). CRISPR–Cas, with its capacity for accurate genome manipulation, could undoubtedly accelerate the process of domesticating crops. Several pioneering studies of accelerated domestication have already been conducted. Modern tomatoes are easily affected by environmental stresses, whereas Solanum pimpinellifolium, a putative ancestor of tomato, is highly resilient to bacterial spot disease and salt. However, to develop S. pimpinellifolium into a commercial crop, a set of undesirable features, such as a sprawling growth pattern, small fruit, poor nutritional value and day-length sensitivity, have to be changed. Using accumulated knowledge of these phenotypes, researchers have used a multiplexed CRISPR–Cas system to simultaneously edit related genes, including SP (plant growth habit), SP5G (floral induction), CLV3 and WUS (fruit size), MULT (fruit number), OVATE (fruit shape), GGP1 (vitamin C content) and CycB (lycopene content), and brought S.pimpinellifolium a step closer to becoming an attractive tomato cultivar[(Li, T.,2018)(Zsogon, A.)]. Importantly, these domesticated plants retained the excellent resistance of S. pimpinellifolium to pathogenic bacteria and salt. Similarly, additional domestication of ground cherry (Physalis ruinose), which is an orphan Solanaceae crop, was achieved by disruption of three genes, SP, SP5G and CLV1, and the resulting plants were shorter and had more flowers and larger fruits (Lemmon, Z. H.2018). Studies aimed at domesticating African rice (O. glaberrima) (Ran, Y.,2017) have also been implemented. These studies laid the foundation for accelerated domestication. Other species are also attractive candidates for future agricultural exploitation. Intermediate wheatgrass (Thinopyrum intermedium), a perennial relative of wheat, is of agricultural interest because it takes up water and nutrients more effectively than wheat and requires less labour. However, several characteristics, such as seed shattering and low yield, hinder its expanded cultivation (DeHaan, L., 2020) Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa), another orphan crop, is also an ideal domestication candidate due to its excellent tolerance to abiotic stress and high nutritional value, but its short-day requirement and heat sensitivity require modification. Unlike modern potatoes, wild potatoes (Solanum spp.) are highly resilient to late blight disease and have a healthier glycaemic index, but their high levels of glycoalkaloid content and small tuber size make them not suitable for large-area planting. Other crops, such as lupin (Lupinus spp.), alfalfa (Medicago sativa) and pennycress (Thlaspi arvense) (Sedbrook, J. C., 2014) also have outstanding features. Through CRISPR– Cas-mediated editing of the corresponding genes, it should be possible to overcome their shortcomings and create novel strains with favourable traits. Although CRISPR–Cas-accelerated domestication holds great promise, the process still includes several bottlenecks. Because precise knowledge of functional genomics is required for domestication, additional studies are needed to obtain basic genetic knowledge of wild species and mine domestication genes. Furthermore, as wild species are often recalcitrant to regeneration, robust transformation systems need to be developed to enable.

GENETICAL MODIFICATION IN PLANTS

In crop plants, genetic erosion (loss of hereditary variation and subsequently phenotypic variation) can be seen at both the species level, for example as a result of selection and bottlenecks during taming, and the genetic level, for example through breeding practices [(Asano K,2011) (Avni R,2017)]. This genetic erosion makes current modern plants more powerless against stress, especially when contrasted to

their wild family members and landraces (Budak H,2015). To ease this issue, plant breeders have conveyed different methodologies to expand diversity, for example, mutagenesis, with the point of making novel genetic variations that can be chosen for better yield and additionally adaptability [(Alonso JM,2006) (Hussain B,2015)]; notwithstanding, these arduous and tedious strategies can likewise cause arbitrary, unintended and conceivably deleterious mutations [(Alonso JM,2006) (Hussain B,2015)].

Additionally, the revelation of sequence specific nucleases has empowered programmable gene altering, in this way preparing for exact mutagenesis and genome altering. These nucleases can be designed to prompt double strand breaks at specific sites inside the genome, which are then repaired by either the error-prone, nonhomologous end joining pathway or the more-exact homology-coordinated repair pathway (Petolino JF,2015). The current best sequence specific nuclease framework clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/CRISPR-associated protein 9(CRISPR/Cas9) (Jinek M,2012), has been generally utilized in various life forms, including many plant species [(Svitashev S,2016) (Pyott DE,2016) (i R, Li R, Li X,2017) (Minkenberg B,2017) (Jiang WZ,2017)]. CRISPR/Cas9 utilizes engineered single direct RNAs (sgRNAs) to target explicit DNA sequences (Jinek M,2012), subsequently making it a simple and more proficient tool than its archetypes. Considering the boundless utilization of CRISPR/Cas9, a few audits [(Ma X,2016) (Abdallah NA,2015) (Wang M,2017)] have focised in on the capacities and fundamental methods of the framework.

ENHANCEMENT OF YIELD AND ATTRIBUTING TRAITS

Crop yield is a quantitative, multigenic trait, and classical breeding to improve yield is tedious and time-consuming. Breeders must take care in the selection of appropriate parents (Hussain B,2015) and the design of crosses, followed by hybridization and the selection of high-yield plants in subsequent generations. This can amount to over 7 years. However, the CRISPR/Cas9 system has already proven its worth for rapid improvement of yield and related traits by targeted alteration of major yield genes or quantitative trait loci. This could be greatly beneficial, as it would quickly encompass and surpass the blind selection of traits used in classical breeding. For example, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis was carried out for four rice genes: Ideal Plant Architecture1 (IPA1), Grain Size 3 (GS3), DENSE AND ERECT PANICLE (DEP1) and Grain Number 1a (Gn1a). Editing of these genes affected tillers, grain size, grain number and panicle architecture, and showed mutation rates of 27.5–67.5%. The mutant plants exhibited improvement in all the above-mentioned traits and produced improved yields (Li M,2016). Multiplex editing of four genes encoding negative regulators of grain weight in rice, i.e. Grain Width 2, 5 and 6 (GW2, GW5 and GW6) and GS3, also rapidly improved grain weight and size (Xu R,2016), demonstrating the utility of multiple mutation stacking in plants.

DEVELOPMENT OF CLIMATE INSENSITIVE CROPS

Environmental change has expanded dry season, temperatures, and soil salinization, prompting diminished harvest yields [(Budak H,2015) (Hussain B,2015)]. One of the main utilizations of CRISPR/Cas9 is the advancement of abiotic stress tolerance or 'climate insensitive' crops. A few adjustments have been utilized to alter regulatory genes of signaling cascades for abiotic stress reactions. For instance, a novel CRISPR/Cas9 system included the utilization of a shortened sgRNA (17–18 nucleotides) to instigate a frameshift mutation in the Arabidopsis proton pump gene OST2, in this way upgrading stomatal closure, diminishing transpiration, and giving abiotic stress resistance (Osakabe Y,2016). Additionally, knockout of MIR169a by sgRNA/Cas9 gave drought resistance in Arabidopsis. Following 12 days of drought treatment and rewatering, 54–57% of mutated plants endure, while no endurance was seen in wild-type plants (Zhao Y,2016). In another novel CRISPR/Cas9 procedure including maize, the local promoter of ZmARGOS8, a negative regulator of the ethylene reaction, was taken out and supplanted with the maize GOS2 promoter in the 50 - untranslated region of the target gene. The mutant plants overexpressed ARGOS8, bringing about a five bushels/acre of land increment in yield contrasted and wild-type plants under drought stress at the blossoming stage however with no yield decrease in very much watered fields (Shi J,2017). The intensity

of CRISPR/Cas9 has likewise been exploited to practically characterize a few genes associated with abiotic stress resilience mechanisms and signaling pathways. For instance, CBF1, CBF2 and CBF3 in Arabidopsis are thought to present cold acclimation and resilience. CRISPR/Cas9-interceded loss-of-work single, double and triple mutations in these genes brought about plants with extraordinary sensivity to cold/freezing, affirming their parts in these stress reactions (Zhao C,2016). Also, overexpression of UGT79-B2 and B3 expanded anthocyanin creation, improved cell reinforcement reactions and gave resistance against drought, cold and salt stress in Arabidopsis. Interestingly, RNAi and CRISPR-Cas9-prompted double ugt79b2/b3 mutants created altogether less anthocyanin and were more vulnerable to all stesses contrasted and wild-type plants (Li P,2017), in this way affirming the functions of these genes. To follow this, OsSAPK2 was practically portrayed by inspecting CRISPR/Cas9-intervened loss-of-work mutants in rice. The sapk2 mutants demonstrated expanded sensitivity to reactive oxygen species and drought, notwithstanding abscisic acid (ABA) insensitivity, at the germination/seedling stage contrasted with wild plants, uncovering the association of OsSAPK2 in ABA-intervened drought signaling/resilience. SAPK2 presents drought tolerance to plants through stomatal closure, viable solute accumulation and upregulation of genes encoding reactive oxygen species-scavenging antioxidant enzymes. Accordingly, functional characterization of genes by means of CRISPR-Cas9-interceded gene altering gives significant bits of knowledge to breeding plants with upgraded abiotic stress resilience. Metabolites are significant signaling molecules that work in plant responses to abiotic stress. One significant gathering of these metabolites in plants incorporates different amino acids [Budak H,2015]. A pyrrolysine or PYLCRISPR/Cas9 multiplex framework was as of late used to alter five c-aminobutyric acid (GABA) shunt genes (SSADH, CAT9, GABA-TP1, TP2 and TP3) in tomato. As these genes are repressors of GABA metabolism, their focused-on mutagenesis prompted expanded aggregation of GABA in fruits and leaves. The 19-fold increment in GABA accumulation in leaves (Li R, 2017) indicates the chance of altering other metabolite genes to design plants with expanded abiotic stress resilience. A few genes work in gene organizations to present abiotic stress resilience through signaling molecules, metabolites, proteome alteration, the outflow of regulatory genes, etc [Budak H,2015]. In this manner, CRISPR/Cas9 could assume an indispensable part in altering different genes inside gene organizations or gene families to improve abiotic stress resilience. For sure, multiplex gene altering can be utilized to prompt focused on chromosomal deletions while at the same time mutating different loci and activate or repress any single gene or various genes [Lowder LG,2015]. For instance, CRISPR/Cas9-intervened transcriptional activation of vacuolar Hþ-pyrophosphatase (AVP1) prompted a 2- to 5-fold increment in APV1 articulation in mutant Arabidopsis plants [Park JJ,2017], which showed improved drought resilience. The mitogen-initiated protein kinase (MPK) gene family assumes a part in ABA signaling in response to abiotic stress [Budak H,2015]. Four MPK family genes (MPK1, 2, 5 and 6) were altered effectively by means of multiplex gene altering, prompting modified biotic and abiotic stress signaling in rice [Minkenberg B,2017]. Essentially, multiplex CRISPR/Cas9 was effectively used to mutate nine individuals from the KAI2L gene family (PpKAI2L-A to F and PpKAI2L-J to L) and four individuals from the AP2/ERF gene family in Physcomitrella patens [Lopez-Obando M,2016]. A few individuals from the AP2/ERF gene family assume significant functions in ABA-dependepnt and ABA-autonomous signaling, which presents resistance to abiotic stresses, for example, drought [Budak H,2015], highlighting the benefit of focusing on different gene relatives all the while [Lopez-Obando M,2016] when creating climate insensitive crops. Significant drought signaling genes, TaDREB2 and TaERF3 [Budak H,2015] were as of late altered in wheat by means of CRISPR/Cas9 [Kim D,2018], featuring their utility in stress breeding. In this quickly creating field, we expect that multiplex gene altering won't simply be utilized to adjust different single stress related genes however will likewise all the while be utilized to alter genes giving resilience to different stresses.

CONCLUSION

The quick movement in outlining Crispr Cas9 into a lot of molecular tools for cell and sub-molecular science research has been striking. CRISPR progression has also been applied in the food and plant

technologies to build probiotic social orders and to vaccinate present day social status. It is in addition being utilized in harvests to improve yield, drought opposition and nutritional properties. One other potential application is to make gene drives. These are inherited structures, which improvise the odds of a specific trademark giving from parent to the following successors. It has not just assisted with creating novel assortments with alluring attributes yet has additionally revolutionized current breeding frameworks.

REFERENCES

[1] Abdallah NA, Prakash CS, McHughen AG.Genome editing for crop improvement: challenges and opportunities. GM Crops Food 2015;6(4):183–205

[2] Alonso JM, Ecker JR.Moving forward in reverse: genetic technologies to enable genome-wide phenomic screens in Arabidopsis. Nat Rev Genet 2006; 7:524–36.

[3] Asano K, Yamasaki M, Takuno S, et al. Artificial selection for a green revolution gene during japonica rice domestication.Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011;108(27):11034–9.

[4] Avni R, Nave M, Barad O, et al. Wild emmer genome architecture and diversity elucidate wheat evolution and domestication. Science 2017; 357:93–7.

[5] Budak H, Hussain B, Khan Z, et al. From genetics to functional genomics: improvement in drought signaling and tolerance in wheat. Front Plant Sci 2015; 6:1012.

[6] Chen, K., Wang, Y., Zhang, R., Zhang, H. & Gao, C. CRISPR/Cas genome editing and precision plant breeding in agriculture. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 70, 667–697 (2019)

[7] DeHaan, L. et al. Roadmap for accelerated domestication of an emerging perennial grain crop. Trends Plant Sci. 25, 525–537 (2020).

[8] Doebley, J. F., Gaut, B. S. & Smith, B. D. The molecular genetics of crop domestication. Cell 127, 1309–1321 (2006)

[9] Fernie, A. R. & Yan, J. De novo domestication: an alternative route toward new crops for the future. Mol. Plant 12, 615–631 (2019).

[10] Hussain B, Khan AS, Ali Z. Genetic variation in wheat germplasm for salinity tolerance at seedling stage: improved statistical inference. Turkish J Agric For 2015; 39:182–92

[11] Hussain B.Modernization in plant breeding approaches for improving biotic stress resistance in crop plants. Turkish J Agric for 2015;39 515–30.

[12] Ishino Y, Shinagawa H, Makino K, Amemura M, Nakata A. 1987. Nucleotide sequence of the iap gene, responsible for alkaline phosphatase isozyme conversion in Escherichia coli, and identification of the gene product. J Bacteriol 169:5429
5433. doi:10.1128/jb.169.12.5429-5433.1987.3

[13] Jiang WZ, Henry IM, Lynagh PG, et al. Significant enhancement of fatty acid composition in seeds of the allohexaploid, Camelina sativa, using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. Plant Biotechnol J 2017; 15:648–57.

[14] Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, et al. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 2012; 337:816–22.

[15] Kim D, Alptekin B, Budak H. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in wheat. Funct Integr Genomics 2018;18(1):31–41

[16] Kim H, Kim ST, Ryu J, et al. CRISPR/Cpf1-mediated DNA-free plant genome editing. Nat Commun 2017; 8:14406.

[17] Lemmon, Z. H. et al. Rapid improvement of domestication traits in an orphan crop by genome editing. Nat. Plants 4, 766–770 (2018).

[18] Li C, Unver T, Zhang B. A high-efficiency CRISPR/Cas9 system for targeted mutagenesis in Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Sci Rep 2017; 7:43902.

[19] Li J, Meng X, Zong Y, et al. Gene replacements and insertions in rice by intron targeting using CRISPR–Cas9. Nat Plants 2016; 2:16139

[20] Li M, Li X, Zhou Z, et al. Reassessment of the four yield-related genes Gn1a, DEP1, GS3, and IPA1 in rice using a CRISPR/Cas9 system. Front Plant Sci 2016; 7:377

[21] Li P, Li YJ, Zhang FJ, et al. The arabidopsis UDPglycosyltransferases UGT79B2 and UGT79B3, contribute to cold, salt and drought stress tolerance via modulating anthocyanin accumulation. Plant J 2017;89(1):85–103.

[22] Li R, Li R, Li X, et al. Multiplexed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated metabolic engineering of γ-aminobutyric acid levels in Solanum lycopersicum. Plant Biotechnol J 2017; 38:42–9.
[23] Li, T. et al. Domestication of wild tomato is accelerated by genome editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 36, 1160–1163 (2018).
[24] Liang Z, Chen K, Li T, et al. Efficient DNA-free genome editing of bread wheat using CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. Nat Commun 2017; 8:14261
[25] Lopez-Obando M, Hoffmann B, Gery C, et al. Simple and efficient targeting of multiple genes through CRISPR-Cas9 in Physcomitrella patens. G3 2016; 6:3647–53
[26] Lowder LG, Zhang D, Baltes NJ, et al. A CRISPR/Cas9 toolbox for multiplexed plant genome editing and transcriptional regulation. Plant Physiol 2015; 169:971–85.
[27] Ma X, Zhu Q, Chen Y, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 platforms for genome editing in plants: developments and applications. Mol Plant 2016;9(7):961–74.
[28] Minkenberg B, Xie K, Yang Y. Discovery of rice essential genes by characterizing a CRISPR-edited mutation of closely related rice MAP kinase genes. Plant J 2017;89(3):636–48.
[29] Minkenberg B, Xie K, Yang Y.Discovery of rice essential genes by characterizing a CRISPR-edited mutation of closely related rice MAP kinase genes. Plant J 2017;89(3):6364.
[30] Osakabe Y, Watanabe T, Sugano SS, et al. Optimization of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to modify abiotic stress responses in plants. Sci Rep 2016; 6:26685.
[31] Park JJ, Dempewolf E, Zhang W, et al. RNA-guided transcriptional activation via CRISPR/dCas9 mimics overexpression phenotypes in Arabidopsis. PLoS One 2017;12: e0179410.
[32] Petolino JF.Genome editing in plants via designed zinc finger nucleases. In Vitr Cell Dev Biol Plant 2015; 51(1):1–8.
[33] Pyott DE, Sheehan E, Molnar A.Engineering of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated potyvirus resistance in transgene-free Arabidopsis plants. Mol Plant Pathol 2016; 17:1276–88.
[34] Ran, Y., Liang, Z. & Gao, C. Current and future editing reagent delivery systems for plant genome editing. Sci. China Life Sci. 60, 490–505 (2017).
[35] Sedbrook, J. C., Phippen, W. B. & Marks, M. D. New approaches to facilitate rapid domestication of a wild plant to an oilseed crop: example pennycress (Thlaspi arvense L.). Plant Sci. 227, 122–132 (2014).
[36] Shi J, Gao H, Wang H, et al. ARGOS8 variants generated by CRISPR-Cas9 improve maize grain yield under field drought stress conditions. Plant Biotechnol J 2017; 15:207–16
[37] Svitashev S, Schwartz C, Lenderts B, et al. Genome editing in maize directed by CRISPR–Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. Nat Commun 2016; 7:13274. Trends Biotechnol 2017;36(2):160–72.
[38] Wang M, Wang S, Liang Z, et al. From genetic stock to genome editing: gene exploitation in wheat.
[39] Xu R, Qin R, Li H, et al. Generation of targeted mutant rice using a CRISPR-Cpf1 system. Plant Biotechnol J 2017;15(6): 713–17.
[40] Xu R, Yang Y, Qin R, et al. Rapid improvement of grain weight via highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated multiplex genome editing in rice. J Genet Genomics 2016; 43:529–32.
[41] Yang, X. P., Yu, A. & Xu, C. De novo domestication to create new crops. Yi Chuan 41, 827–835 (2019).
[42] Zhao C, Zhang Z, Xie S, et al. Mutational evidence for the critical role of CBF genes in cold acclimation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 2016; 171:2744–59.
[43] Zhao Y, Zhang C, Liu W, et al. An alternative strategy for targeted gene replacement in plants using a dual-sgRNA/Cas9 design. Sci Rep 2016; 6:23890
[44] Zhao Y, Zhang C, Liu W, et al. An alternative strategy for targeted gene replacement in plants using a dual-sgRNA/Cas9 design.Sci Rep2016;6:23890.
[45] Zsogon, A. et al. De novo domestication of wild tomato using genome editing. Nat. Biotechnol. 36, 1211–1216 (2018).