Groundwater Well Inventory Data Analysis along the Outer Ring Road (ORR) Stretch of Hyderabad, Telangana State, India

P. Vishnu¹, Prof. A. Narsing Rao², Dr.M. Sudheer Kumar³ ¹Research Scholar, Osmania University, Hyderabad ²Professor, Department. Of Geology, Osmania University, Hyderabad ³Scientist-D, Central Ground Water Board, Southern Region, Hyderabad

Abstract- The Study area outer ring road (ORR) has a length of 158 km and it is bounded between 17°36'31.78" N latitude and 78°26'28.14" E longitude in north of area and 17°12'13.28" latitude and 78°28'59.13" E longitude in South and falls in the Survey of India Topographical map numbers 56 K/2, 3, 6,7,8,10,11 and 12 in 1: 50,000 Scale. The study of bore well inventory data along the outer ring road area is carried out and data of 82 bore wells were collected. The minimum depth of bore wells drilled is 137 m and maximum depth drilled is 365 m. The minimum inserted casing is 5.5 m and maximum is 25 m. The minimum yield of groundwater is 0.4304 lps and 2.1558 lps pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons respectively. Whereas maximum yield of groundwater observed in bore wells are 0.7823 lps and 2.1558 in premonsoon and post-monsoon seasons respectively. The bore well inventory analysis reveal that 92% of bore wells having good yield and 8% having low yield in premonsoon season whereas, in post-monsoon season 96% of bore wells having good yield and 4% having low yield.

Index Terms- Groundwater bore well Inventory, premonsoon, post-monsoon, Outer Ring Road, Hyderabad

INTRODUCTION

The Outer Ring Road is a road-cum-area development project, since its aim is the development of wellplanned and well-connected urban settlements around the Hyderabad Metropolitan area. The ORR is developed as an expressway of 8 lanes divided with access control, and has a total of 19 access points. The ORR has a length of 158 km connecting several areas such as Narsing - Kokapet - Patancheru - Medchal -Shamirpet - Ghatkesar - Pedda Amberpet -Shamshabad - TSPA - Narsing -Nankramguda -Gachibowli. Further, along the ORR, service roads are developed with 2 lanes undivided section, on both sides of Outer Ring Road (ORR).

Groundwater is a valued natural resource, which constitutes about two-third of the fresh water reserves of the world (Chilton, 1992). A major portion of India's irrigation wells is located in the hard rock areas where both recharge and discharge potential presently face severe stress (Nagaraj and Chandrakanth, 1995). The hard rock areas have hard nonporous, igneous and metamorphic rocks, expected to store not more than 10% of the annual rainfall (Radhakrishna, 1971). The occurrence and movement of groundwater in hard rock terrains, especially in fractured bedrock aquifers is governed by factors such as topography, lithology, geological structures and its extension, geomorphology, slope, depth of weathering, drainage pattern and climatic conditions. In newly developed area in many villages, town and cities in rural areas of our country, groundwater is the major source of water for drinking, domestic, agriculture and industrial purposes. The demand of water increasing rapidly and would continue to increase in future. That necessitates the estimation and management of water to fulfill the increasing demand (Karanth, 1987). This study deals with position of aquifer and its fluctuation recorded during pre and post monsoon seasons in the area. The increasing in demand of water results into exploration of water resources. Groundwater is the massive available resource of fresh water found below. Depletion of ground water is a long - term declination, caused due to continuous under water pumping. Due to rapid development and urbanization, declining of water table causes shallow wells to dry up. Because of the massive construction activity, the foundation work which is distributed throughout the study area is the

main reason for the decline of groundwater levels. In 21st century, water security is expected to be the biggest challenge (Snyder, 2019). Groundwater table in the study area (Hyderabad) is going down rapidly as the extraction rate is high.

STUDY AREA

The Study area is bounded between 17º36'31.78" N latitude and 78º26'28.14" E longitude in north of area and 17°12'13.28" latitude and 78°28'59.13" E longitude in South and falls in the Survey of India Topographical map numbers 56 K/2,3,6,7,8,10,11 and 12 in 1: 50,000 Scale. The area receives moderate rainfall of about 750 mm/year. In general the altitude variation from West to East is between 531m and 486m and North to South is between 599m and 607m. The low lying region is situated in West - East direction. The altitude 531m, 504m, 483m and 486m is observed in eastern part of the area. The streams are flowing from the higher altitude towards East. The area has a dendritic drainage pattern, characterized by ephemeral nature. The Musi River runs from west to east, with a 2 m/km slope, and most rivers are naturally transitory. In Hyderabad, the Musi River is the primary river. This river originates in the Anantagiri hills in the Vikarabad region of Ranga Reddy district and runs for 70 kilometers before joining the Osman and Himayat Sagar lakes in Hyderabad.



Figure 1 Location map of the study area

METHODOLOGY

The bore well inventory data were collected from 82 Government bore wells during pre and post monsoon seasons (Fig.1). These bore wells are fitted with submersible pump of 1 H.P. or 8 H.P. The yield of bore wells was measured by using 900 Vee notch methods (Despande, 2003). In bore well inventory it is observed that the minimum depth of bore wells drilled is 137 m and maximum depth drilled is 365 m. The minimum inserted casing is 5.5 m and maximum is 25 m. The minimum yield of groundwater is 0.4304 lps and 2.1558 lps pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons respectively. Whereas, maximum yield of groundwater observed in bore wells are 0.7823 lps and 2.1558 lps in premonsoon and post-monsoon seasons respectively.





Weathering of the study area Fracture 1 of the study area

© July 2022| IJIRT | Volume 9 Issue 2 | ISSN: 2349-6002



Fracture 2 of the study area Cumulative yield of the study area





Depth of the study area Elevation of the study area

Figure 2 various maps of the study area

| Table 1 Well Inventory Data Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Site Name | Longitude | Latitude | Weathering(m) | Water Level (m) | Fracture 1 (m) | Fracture 2 (m) | Yield (LPS) | Total Depth (m) | Elevation (m) | Type of well |
| Annojiguda Near to Ghatkesar | 78.6765 | 17.4258 | 19 | 11.8 | 37 | 67 | 0.7823 | 212 | 463 | BW |
| Appa Junction | 78.3591 | 17.3496 | 7.6 | 5.1 | 30 | 55 | 0.7823 | 183 | 544 | BW |
| APPA Junction towards Vikarabad Road | 78.3591 | 17.3496 | 7.5 | 5 | 32 | 56 | 0.7823 | 185 | 545 | BW |
| Bollaram, Puri jagannath Temple | 78.3393 | 17.5611 | 6.1 | 18.8 | 34 | 0 | 0.7823 | 244 | 563 | BW |
| Bonguloor 1 | 78.5883 | 17.2403 | 9 | 4 | 38 | 115 | 2.1558 | 165 | 553 | BW |
| Bonguloor 2 | 78.5895 | 17.2395 | 8.5 | 4.5 | 39 | 117 | 2.1558 | 160 | 554 | BW |
| Bonguloor 3 | 78.5869 | 17.2338 | 9 | 4 | 40 | 119 | 2.1558 | 167 | 555 | BW |
| Bonguloor 4 | 78.5722 | 17.2293 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 40 | 119 | 2.1558 | 168 | 537 | BW |
| Dundigal | 78.4113 | 17.5938 | 9.1 | 19.1 | 34 | 183 | 1.4488 | 290 | 606 | BW |
| Dundigal Toll plaza, towards Shameerpet road | 78.4112 | 17.5935 | 9.5 | 17 | 38 | 181 | 1.4488 | 300 | 621 | BW |
| Dundigal Toll plaza, towards Sultanpur Road | 78.4071 | 17.5912 | 9.8 | 18 | 36 | 185 | 1.4488 | 300 | 618 | BW |

© July 2022| IJIRT | Volume 9 Issue 2 | ISSN: 2349-6002

| Dundigal towards Patancheruvu1 | 78.4126 | 17.5947 | 10 | 18 | 35 | 180 | 1.4488 | 290 | 605 | BW |
|--|---------|---------|------|------|----|-----|--------|-----|-----|----|
| Dundigal towards Patancheruvu2 | 78.4137 | 17.595 | 9.7 | 19 | 33 | 184 | 1.4488 | 290 | 606 | BW |
| Dundigal towards Shameerpet 1 | 78.4216 | 17.5984 | 9.2 | 19.4 | 37 | 182 | 1.4488 | 290 | 604 | BW |
| Dundigal towards Shameerpet2 | 78.3894 | 17.5775 | 9.8 | 19.7 | 36 | 186 | 1.4488 | 290 | 605 | BW |
| Gandigudem | 78.3286 | 17.5595 | 7.2 | 17 | 37 | 0 | 0.7823 | 250 | 554 | BW |
| Ghatkesar | 78.6696 | 17.4381 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 55 | 107 | 0.7823 | 290 | 495 | BW |
| Ghatkesar towards Narapally Road | 78.6672 | 17.4403 | 6 | 5 | 55 | 110 | 1.4488 | 291 | 489 | BW |
| Goudavalli1 | 78.4684 | 17.6041 | 9.5 | 15 | 39 | 205 | 1.4488 | 300 | 602 | BW |
| Goudavalli2 | 78.4678 | 17.6042 | 9.3 | 15.7 | 37 | 208 | 1.4488 | 295 | 600 | BW |
| Kazipally | 78.3539 | 17.5616 | 7.9 | 16 | 34 | 0 | 0.7823 | 250 | 563 | BW |
| kesara towards Ghatkesar | 78.6492 | 17.5153 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 31 | 130 | 0.7823 | 185 | 533 | BW |
| kesara towards Ghatkesar1 | 78.6484 | 17.5151 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 32 | 131 | 0.7823 | 181 | 534 | BW |
| kesara towards Ghatkesar2 | 78.6469 | 17.5128 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 29 | 132 | 0.7823 | 186 | 535 | BW |
| Kesara towards medchal Road | 78.6491 | 17.5139 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 32 | 135 | 0.7823 | 185 | 537 | BW |
| Kosaa towards incuciar Koad 10.0471 11.5137 0.0 5.5 52 155 0.7025 105 557 DW | | | | | | | | | | |
| kesara towards Shameerpet | 78.647 | 17.5139 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 30 | 131 | 0.7823 | 183 | 534 | BW |
| kesara towards Shameerpet1 | 78.6498 | 17.5154 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 129 | 0.7823 | 184 | 534 | BW |
| Koheda | 78.6443 | 17.2767 | 6.1 | 9.6 | 24 | 79 | 0.7823 | 183 | 533 | BW |
| Kokapet besides Toll Plaza | 78.3313 | 17.4072 | 9 | 9.3 | 83 | 100 | 0.7823 | 305 | 555 | BW |
| KoKapet opposite to steel factory | 78.3281 | 17.4089 | 15 | 6.5 | 84 | 101 | 1.4488 | 300 | 546 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Kokapet road | 78.3284 | 17.4076 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 85 | 97 | 0.7823 | 305 | 555 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu1 | 78.3438 | 17.4013 | 10 | 9 | 80 | 105 | 1.4488 | 305 | 550 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu2 | 78.3413 | 17.4023 | 12 | 8 | 82 | 107 | 1.4488 | 302 | 556 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu3 | 78.2984 | 17.4133 | 14 | 7 | 81 | 104 | 1.4488 | 305 | 562 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu4 | 78.2978 | 17.4133 | 15 | 8 | 84 | 105 | 1.4488 | 305 | 560 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu5 | 78.2613 | 17.4186 | 18 | 8.5 | 85 | 107 | 1.4488 | 305 | 571 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu6 | 78.26 | 17.4393 | 17.5 | 9 | 90 | 102 | 1.4488 | 305 | 581 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu7 | 78.2617 | 17.4359 | 16.7 | 8.9 | 89 | 100 | 1.4488 | 305 | 582 | BW |
| Kokapet towards Patancheruvu8 | 78.2609 | 17.437 | 16.8 | 8.6 | 87 | 106 | 1.4488 | 305 | 588 | BW |
| Kokapeta | 78.3278 | 17.407 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 85 | 104 | 0.7823 | 305 | 544 | BW |
| Kollur | 78.2502 | 17.4627 | 9.1 | 20 | 26 | 85 | 0.4304 | 305 | 566 | BW |
| Kollur towards Nagulapalli railway road | 78.2492 | 17.4637 | 9.2 | 20 | 25 | 87 | 0.4304 | 305 | 566 | BW |
| Medchal | 78.4914 | 17.5986 | 6.1 | 17 | 55 | 207 | 1.4488 | 366 | 599 | BW |
| Medchal towards Shameerpet 1 | 78.4934 | 17.5978 | 6 | 17.4 | 55 | 210 | 1.4488 | 365 | 599 | BW |
| Medchal towards Shameerpet 2 | 78.4869 | 17.6001 | 6.3 | 16.7 | 53 | 207 | 1.4488 | 365 | 597 | BW |
| Medchal towards Sultanpur 1 | 78.4866 | 17.6001 | 6.2 | 16.9 | 57 | 208 | 1.4488 | 365 | 598 | BW |
| Medchal towards Sultanpur 2 | 78.489 | 17.5994 | 6.7 | 16 | 55 | 203 | 1.4488 | 365 | 597 | BW |
| Muthangi | 78.2348 | 17.5399 | 10 | 6 | 55 | 149 | 1.4488 | 250 | 519 | BW |
| Muthangi towards kollur | 78.2355 | 17.5373 | 11 | 5.9 | 53 | 148 | 1.4488 | 250 | 531 | BW |
| Nanakramguda | 78.3571 | 17.4186 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 34 | 73 | 1.4488 | 183 | 572 | BW |
| Nanakramguda towards Gachibowli road | 78.3562 | 17.4199 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 35 | 75 | 1.4488 | 185 | 573 | BW |
| Narsingi | 78.3478 | 17.3869 | 9.1 | 19.6 | 26 | 248 | 1.4488 | 335 | 566 | BW |

© July 2022| IJIRT | Volume 9 Issue 2 | ISSN: 2349-6002

| Narsingi towards KoKapet | 78.3478 | 17.387 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 245 | 1.4488 | 330 | 567 | BW |
|--|---------|---------|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|----------|
| Pasumamula | 78 6628 | 17 3452 | 61 | 153 | 24 | 61 | 0 7823 | 152 | 482 | BW |
| Patancheruvu | 78.2356 | 17 5373 | 61 | 3.9 | 49 | 146 | 1 4488 | 244 | 523 | BW |
| Patancheruvu1 | 78.2777 | 17 5498 | 7 | 4 | 49 | 145 | 1 4488 | 245 | 524 | BW |
| Pedda Ambernet ORR Circle | 10.2111 | 17.5490 | , | - | -12 | 145 | 1.1100 | 243 | 524 | D |
| towards Ghatkesar Road | 78.6569 | 17.3204 | 19 | 11.5 | 85 | 91 | 7.7309 | 137 | 491 | BW |
| Pedda Amberpet ORR Circle towards Raviryala Road | 78.6592 | 17.315 | 13 | 10.4 | 96 | 145 | 1.4488 | 194 | 490 | BW |
| Pedda Amberpet ORR Circle towards Raviryala Road | 78.6582 | 17.3144 | 15 | 11 | 24 | 37 | 1.4488 | 212 | 485 | BW |
| Pedda Amberpet ORR Circle towards Raviryala Road | 78.6577 | 17.3131 | 25 | 10.8 | 37 | 115 | 1.4488 | 213 | 485 | BW |
| Pedda Golconda | 78.3986 | 17.2031 | 10 | 1.5 | 62 | 0 | 0.4304 | 245 | 591 | BW |
| Pedda Golkonda | 78.3984 | 17.201 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 61 | 0 | 0.4304 | 244 | 592 | BW |
| Rajender nagar opposite to toll plaza office | 78.3753 | 17.3226 | 7.9 | 19.8 | 124 | 182 | 0.7823 | 245 | 521 | BW |
| Rajendranagar | 78.3751 | 17.3243 | 7.6 | 19.2 | 122 | 183 | 0.7823 | 244 | 521 | BW |
| Ravirayala 2 | 78.5263 | 17.2114 | 8 | 3.2 | 69 | 222 | 0.7823 | 305 | 567 | BW |
| Raviryala 1 | 78.5218 | 17.2113 | 7.6 | 3 | 67 | 226 | 0.7823 | 305 | 567 | BW |
| Shameerpet | 78.623 | 17.565 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 55 | 198 | 1.4488 | 274 | 568 | BW |
| Shameerpet | 78.5635 | 17.5803 | 5.5 | 5 | 52 | 205 | 1.4488 | 275 | 569 | BW |
| Shameerpet | 78.5633 | 17.5803 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 55 | 204 | 1.4488 | 275 | 570 | BW |
| Shameerpet 2 | 78.5352 | 17.5894 | 6 | 4 | 45 | 200 | 1.4488 | 250 | 585 | BW |
| Shameerpet towards Dundigal Road | 78.5717 | 17.5774 | 6 | 4.5 | 55 | 201 | 1.4488 | 275 | 569 | BW |
| Shameerpet towards Kesara Road | 78.5739 | 17.576 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 57 | 197 | 1.4488 | 275 | 568 | BW |
| Shamsabad | 78.3755 | 17.254 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 46 | 122 | 2.1558 | 274 | 565 | BW |
| Shamshabad towards Airport road | 78.3779 | 17.2519 | 9.8 | 1.6 | 45 | 122 | 2.1558 | 275 | 566 | BW |
| Sultanpur | 78.3207 | 17.5561 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 49 | 146 | 1.4488 | 229 | 544 | BW |
| Sultanpur 2 | 78.2983 | 17.5522 | 9 | 3 | 52 | 149 | 1.4488 | 230 | 542 | BW |
| Sultanpur1 | 78.2983 | 17.5522 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 51 | 145 | 1.4488 | 225 | 545 | BW |
| Taramatipeta 1 | 78.6823 | 17.3718 | 6.1 | 0 | 24 | 79 | 2.1558 | 183 | 457 | BW |
| Taramatipeta 2 | 78.6819 | 17.3722 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 80 | 2.1558 | 183 | 457 | BW |
| Thukkuguda | 78.4744 | 17.2029 | 6.1 | 15.4 | 27 | 146 | 1.4488 | 274 | 581 | BW |
| Tukkuguda towards Airport road | 78.4745 | 17.2034 | 6 | 15 | 28 | 145 | 1.4488 | 275 | 583 | BW |
| Tukkuguda towards Srisailam road | 78.4765 | 17.2042 | 6 | 16 | 26 | 142 | 1.4488 | 275 | 582 | BW |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study of bore well inventory data along the outer ring road area was carried out and data of 82 bore wells were collected. The bore well inventory analysis reveal that 92% of bore wells having good yield and 8% having low yield in pre-monsoon season whereas, in post-monsoon season 96% of bore wells having good yield and 4% having low yield. The minimum yield of groundwater is well inventory data in minimum depth of bore wells drilled is 137 m and maximum depth drilled is 365 m. The minimum inserted casing is 5.5m and maximum is 25 m. The minimum yield of groundwater is 0.4304 lps and 2.1558 lps pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons respectively. The geological and structural aspects play an important role for deeper granitic aquifers in the study area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank HUDA (Hyderabad Urban Development Authority)

REFERENCES

- Agarwal C.S (1998), Study of Drainage pattern through aerial data in Naugarh area of Varanasi District. U.P.J Indian Soc. Remote Sensing 26 (4):169-175.
- Buol SW, Hole FD and McCracken RJ (1973).
 Soil Genesis and Classification (Iowa State University Press, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi).
- [3] Chopra R, Dhiman RD and Sharma EK (2005). Morphometric Analysis of sub watersheds in Gurdaspur District, Punjab using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing 33(4) 531-539.
- [4] Clarke.J.J.(1966), Morphometry from map, Essays in geomorphology. Elsevier Publishing Company, New York p 235-274.
- [5] Das S, Behera SC, Kar A, Narendra P and Guha S (1997). Hydrogeomorphological mapping in groundwater exploration using remotely sensed data – A case study in Keonjhar district in Orissa. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing 25(4) 247-259.
- [6] Girish Gopinath and Seralathan P (2004). Identification of groundwater prospective zones using IRS-ID,LISS III and pump test methods. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing 32(4) 329-341.
- [7] GSI (1981), Geological and mineralogical map of Karnataka and Goa, Geological Survey of India.
- [8] Gottschalk L.C (1964), Reservoir Sedimentation in Handbook of Applied Hydrology Ed:VT Cvhow Mc Graw Hill Book Company. New York section 7-1.
- [9] Horton RE (1945). Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins. Hydrophysical approach to quantitative morphology. Geological Society of America Bulletin 56(3) 275-370.

- [10] Langhein WB (1947), Topographic characteristics of drainage basins, U.S Geological Survey, Water-Supply Paper, 986, pp 157-159
- [11] Miller VC (1953), A Quantitative geomorphic study of drainage basin characteristics in the Clinch Mountain area Virginia and Tennessee. Proj NR 389-402 Tech Rep3, Columbia University. Department of Geology, ONR. New York.
- [12] Mishra A, Dubey DP and Tiwari RN (2011). Morphometric analysis of Tons basin, Rewa District, Madhya Pradesh, based on watershed approach. Earth Science India 4 171- 180
- [13] Nag SK (1998), Morphometric Analysis Using Remote sensing Techniques in the Chaka subbasin Purulia District. West Bengal J.Indian Soc Remote sensing 26 (1&2):69-76
- [14] Nag SK and Chakroborty S (2003), Influence of Rock Types and structures in the development of Drainage Network in Hard Rock Area, Journal of Indian Soc Remote sensing 31 (1):25-35
- [15] Reddy PRR and Rangaswamy CY (1989), Ground water Resources of Pavagada Taluk Tumkur District. Ground water study No 240, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.
- [16] Ravindran KV and Jayaram A (1997). Groundwater prospects of Shahbad Tensil, Baran district, eastern Rajasthan and remote sensing approach. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing 25(4) 239-246.
- [17] Schumm SA (1956). Evolution of drainage systems and slopes in badlands at Perth Amboy, New Jersey.Geological Society of America Bulletin 67 597- 646.
- [18] Shrivastava PK, Tripathi MP and Das SN (2004). Hydrological modeling of a small watershed using satellite data and GIS techniques. Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing 32(2) 145-157.
- [19] Sidle C Roy and Onda Yuichi (2004).Hydrogeomorphology: overview of an emerging science. Hydrological Process 18 597 602
- [20] Singh S and Singh M.C (1997), Morphometric Analysis of Kantar River Basin National Geographical J India 43(1), pp 31-43
- [21] Sreedevi PD, Srinivasalu S and KesavaRaju K(2001). Hydrogeomorpho- logical and groundwater prospects of the Peregu river basin

by using remote sensing data. Environmental Geology 40 1088–1094.

- [22] Srivastava V.K., (1997), Study the Drainage pattern of Jharia Codifield (Burdwan District) as observed on Land-sat – TM/IRS LISS II Imagery. Journal of Indian Society of Remote sensing, 23(4), pp 225-235.
- [23] Srinivasta V.K., and Mitra D., (1995), Studies on the drainage pattern of Raniganj Conifield (Burdwan District) as observed on Landsat M/IRS LISS II Imagery, Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing, 23(4), PP 225-235.
- [24] Srinivasa Vittala S, Govindaiah S and HonneGowda H (2004). Morphometric Analysis of Subwatersheds in the Pavagada Area of Tumkur District, South India Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques.
- [25] Chenini, A. B. Mammou, M. El May, (2010), Groundwater recharge zone mapping using GISbased multi-criteria analysis: a case study in Central Tunisia (Maknassy Basin), Water Resour. Manag, 24 (5), 921–939.
- [26] Devanantham Abijith, Subbarayan Saravanan, Leelambar Singh, Jesudasm Jacinth Jennifer, Thiyagarajan Saranya, Parthasarathy. K. S. S, (2020), GIS based multi criteria analysis for identification of potential groundwater recharge zones – a case study from Ponnaniyaru watershed, Tamil Nadu, India, HydroResearch 3, 2589-7578,doi.org/10.1016/j.hydres.2020.02.002
- [27] Hadi allafta, Christian Opp, Suman Patra, (2020), Identification of groundwater potential zones using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of the Shatt AlArab Basin, Journal of Remote Sensing, doi.org/10.3390/rs13010112
- [28] H. Kulkarni, Uma Aslekar, Siddharth Patil, (2018), Groundwater management in India: status, challenges and a framework for responses, Springer Hydrogeology, doi.org/ 10.1007/978-981-10-3889-1_36, 36, 615 – 642
- [29] H. D. Bhave, Y. B. Katpatal, A. M. Pophare, (2019), Identification of groundwater recharge potential zone for watershed using GIS and remote sensing, International journal of advanced research in engineering and technology, 10, 121-135 K.
- [30] Niranjan Kumar, A.G. S. Reddy, R. Mallikarjuna Reddy, K. Srinivas Varma, (2009), Preliminary investigations of groundwater quality in

Hyderabad city, Andhra Pradesh, International Journal of Chemical Science, 7(1), 59-70

[31] K. Venkatesh, M. Vani, (2018), Urban sprawl and sustainable development in Hyderabad: A geoinformatics approach, International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 6, 285- 294