# **Online Voting Using Blockchain**

<sup>1</sup>Jeevan Raju, <sup>2</sup>Kamal Nayan, <sup>3</sup>Manik Chauhan, <sup>4</sup>Sahil Tagala, <sup>5</sup>Ms.Vijaylaxmi Inamdar <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Dayananda Sagar Academy of Technology and Management, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India <sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Dayananda Sagar Academy of Technology and Management, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Abstract— Voting is the fundamental right of every nation. An Electronic Voting(E-Voting) system is a voting system in which the election process is notated, saved, stored, and reused digitally, which makes the voting operation task better than the traditional papergrounded system. Blockchain is offering new openings to develop new types of digital services. While the exploration of the content is still arising, it has substantially concentrated on the specialized and legal issues rather than taking advantage of this new Conception and creating advanced digital services. Blockchain enabled-voting (BEV) could reduce name fraud and increase name access. Eligible choosers cast a ballot anonymously using a computer or smartphone. BEV uses a translated key and tamperproof particular IDs. Electronic credibility services have come an integral part of the information space. With the dependable Perpetration of introductory services similar to the electronic hand and electronic authentication, it's possible to make more complex systems that calculate on them, particularly the electronic voting system.

In this design, the conception of developing an electronic voting system using blockchain technology is enforced. The two-position armature provides a secure voting process without redundancy of being (not grounded on the blockchain) systems. The blockchain-grounded voting design has two modules to make the whole design integrated and work along. One will be the Election Commission which will be responsible for creating choices, adding registered parties and campaigners querying for the election added under the smart contracts. The other end will be the name's module where everyone can cast a vote for their separate Assembly Constituency and the vote will be registered on the blockchain to make it tamper proof.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### Overview:

Modern democracies are erected upon traditional ballot or electronic voting(e-voting). In recent times, bias which is known as EVMs are monstrously blamed due to irregular reports of the election results. There have been numerous questions regarding the design and internal armature of these bias and how it might be susceptible to attacks. This paper has anatomized different ways of tampering the EVMs. Online voting is pushed as a implicit result to attract youthful citizens and thenon-resident of the country. For a robust online election scheme, a number of functional and security conditions are to be met similar as translucency, delicacy, auditability, data sequestration. We've worked on the following ideas by having two different sets of modules election commission and the namer(s). The Election Commission creates choices and adds registered campaigners along with the parties for querying the election. Using an election's REST API hosted on Ethereum's Blockchain, the details are shown at the front- end of the namer for casting the vote. also, while polling the vote is stored on our blockchain frame of which the Election Commission fetches the vote count. The limitation which we've faced due to not using the traditional way of smart contracts is that the blockchain frame which we've enciphered cannot run on the main net as it needs to be hosted and a separate web3 provider have to be used for interacting with it and not having a public API of namer ID creates a debit of not having authentication of a namer. The most important factor of this operation is to integrate the blockchain frame with both the modules for flawless voting.

#### Blockchain:

Blockchain is a decentralized, digital ledger that records transactions on multiple computers. These transactions are secured and validated through complex cryptography, ensuring that they are secure and cannot be altered. One of the key features of blockchain technology is its decentralized nature, which means that it is not controlled by any single entity or organization. Instead, it relies on a network of computers to validate and record transactions, which makes it extremely resistant to tampering and fraud. One of the most well-known applications of blockchain technology is in the creation of cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin. In this case, the blockchain serves as a public ledger of all Bitcoin transactions, allowing users to transfer funds without the need for a central authority. Other potential uses of blockchain are in creating transparent supply chain systems, facilitating secure online voting systems and can even be used to verify important documents such as deeds and contracts. Pros of using blockchain like greater efficiency, transparency and security. However, this tech is still in its nascent phase, but a lot is possible with continuous innovation and growth in this field.

## **Objectives:**

• To improve the existing online voting system using Blockchain technology.

• To reduce the workload of setting up EVMs and conducting elections in physical form.

• Reduction in vote tampering.

## Merkle Tree:

A Merkle tree, also known as a hash tree, is a data structure used to efficiently verify the integrity of a large set of data. It is named after Ralph Merkle, who invented the concept in the 1980s to improve the security and efficiency of communication networks.

A Merkle tree is constructed by dividing a large set of data into smaller chunks, known as "leaves." These leaves are then hashed using a cryptographic hash function, which converts them into a fixed-size string of characters known as a "hash." Each leaf is paired with its corresponding hash, and these pairs are organized into a tree structure, with each parent node representing the hash of its child nodes.

The root of the tree represents the final hash of the entire data set and is known as the "Merkle root." This root can be used to verify the integrity of the data set, as any changes to the data will result in a different root hash.

One of the key benefits of Merkle trees is their ability to allow for the efficient verification of large amounts of data without the need to transmit the entire data set. Instead, a client can simply request the hashes of the relevant leaf nodes and the root hash and use these to verify the integrity of the data. Merkle trees are widely used in various applications, including blockchain technology, file integrity verification, and secure communication protocols.

### II. EASE OF USE

Online selection is accessible across a range of devices like smartphones, tablets, and computers, creating the whole selection process as straightforward as a click of a button or a faucet on a screen. This also means that elections are often accessed from anyplace within the world. Gone ar the times you pay a whole meeting attempting to pick a date once everyone seems to be in city for the election. For example, if members ar on vacation, they'll merely log into the software package and vote whereas lolling on the beach. this is often conjointly extremely useful for larger organizations with members living in numerous components of the country. It avoids the burden of having to find and farm out multiple polling sites or buy a large number of mail ballots. All members will forged their ballot from the comfort of their home throughout the selection hours.

1.Election creation: Election directors produce election ballots employing a decentralized app. This decentralized app interacts with Associate in Nursing election creation good contract, during which the administrator defines a listing of candidates and balloting districts.

2.Voter Registration: The registration of the citizen section is conducted by the election directors. The election directors should outline a settled list of eligible voters. This needs a part for a government biometric authentication service to firmly certify and authorize eligible people.

3.Vote Transaction: once a personal votes at a balloting district, the citizen interacts with a ballot good contract with constant balloting district as is outlined for someone citizen. This good contract interacts with the blockchain via the corresponding district node, that appends the vote to the blockchain if accord is reached between the bulk of the corresponding district nodes. Every vote is held as a dealing on the blockchain whereas every individual citizen receives the dealing ID for his or her vote for substantiative functions.

Each dealing on the blockchain holds info concerning whom was voted for, and therefore the location of said vote. Every vote is appended onto the blockchain by its corresponding ballot good contract, if and as long as all corresponding district nodes agree on the verification of the vote knowledge. Once a citizen casts his vote, the load of their billfold is remittent by one, thus not facultative them to vote over once per election.

4.Verifying vote: As was mentioned earlier, every individual citizen receives the dealing ID of his vote. every individual citizen will head to his government official and gift their dealing ID once authenticating himself victimisation his electronic ID and its corresponding PIN. the govt official, utilizing district node access to the blockchain, uses the blockchain mortal to find the dealing with the corresponding dealing ID on the blockchain. The citizen will thus see his vote on the blockchain, substantiative that it had been counted and counted properly

## Scope of Project:

- 1. An election system mustn't change coerced pick.
- 2. An election system mustn't change the traceability of a vote to a voter's distinguishing credentials.
- 3. An election system ought to guarantee and proof to a citizen that the voters voting was counted and counted properly.
- 4. An election system mustn't change management to a 3rd party to tamper with any vote.
- 5. An election system mustn't change one entity to regulate over tallying votes and crucial an elections result.
- 6. An election system ought to solely enable eligible people to take AN election

Feasability Study:

- 1. A single evm machine can count only upto 2000 votes and cost Rs 17000/- per unit and can scale upto billions for large number of populations.
- 2. Every EVM is air-gapped meaning they are not connected to the internet similar the blockchain used will also be air-gapped and locally hosted on the system.
- 3. It provides similar security and functionality but is scalable while being cost efficient.
- 4. The blockchain can run on low powered system and will require less energy as comapred to its counterpart.
- 5. The blockchain stores data in a distributed network which is hard to tamper by.

Overall, the feasibility of using blockchain in online voting will depend on the specific requirements and constraints of the voting system in question, as well as the level of security and transparency that is desired.

## III. LITERATURE SURVEY

There has previously been some noteworthy research in this area, which has been referenced in order to have a comprehensive understanding of the subject and grasp a few crucial ideas for this study. We referred to conference paper [2] to gain an overall idea on how the authors tried to highlight an existing problem of using EVMs. Research paper [5] was further referred to get a better view of how Blockchain works and whether it can be included in the study. We also referred to paper [4] to gain an idea from a study which focuses on the same problem. In addition, several other sources, many of which are listed below, are used to understand specific concepts by reading earlier research in the same area. The benefits and limitations of generic voting systems, blockchain security, blockchain structure, several current blockchain networks, and many other subjects were understood through consulting numerous relevant publications. This evaluation aided in the development of our own research and helped shed light on related issues.

## IV. FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Voters may use the system to cast their ballots from any location, and it is validated by EC and given the address and private key of an ETH wallet. The most important foundational elements of our blockchain voting system are security and anonymity. We may outline our presumptions and dependencies for the system's correct operation as follows:

- Metamask Browser Extension: Users may maintain their keys and accounts using a number of tools, such as hardware wallets, using Metamask while keeping them separate from the site environment.
- Ganache: Quickly fire up a personal Ethereum blockchain which you can use to run tests, execute commands, and inspect state while controlling how the chain operates.
- Truffle: A world class development environment, testing framework and asset pipeline for blockchains using the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM), aiming to make life as a developer easier.

• NodeJS: It is a JavaScript runtime built on Chrome's V8 JavaScript engine.

Table	2: Sc	oftware	Requirements

Software	Туре	Version
Ganache	Ethereum Blockchain Server	2.4.0
Metamask	Ethereum Wallet	7.7.9
Truffle	Development framework for ETH	5.1.31
Node	JavaScript Runtime	12.17.0
Visual Studio Code	Integrated development environment	1.46
Remix	Solidity's IDE	0.10.1
Windows 10	Operating System	1809

#### V.METHODOLOGY

Proposed modules of work:

• **Module 1:** We will discuss the front-end module in this phase, where we will create the interactive user interface for both the admin and the user. In parallel, research will be conducted on the use of blockchain in decentralized applications.

• **Module 2:** In this phase we will cover the back-end module, we will implement the Blockchain using Ethereum framework and convert the system into a decentralized application.

• **Module 3:** The connection of two different modules along with the testing of the platform will be completed in this phase.




Fig: Voting procedure




Fig: Sequence Diagram

## VI.CONCLUSION

Democracies depend on trusted elections and citizens should trust the election system for a strong democracy. However traditional paper-based elections do not provide trustworthiness. The idea of adapting digital voting systems to make the public aware of making the electoral process cheaper, faster and easier, is a compelling one in modern society. Making the electoral process cheap and quick normalizes it in the eyes of the voters, removes a certain power barrier between the voter and the elected official and puts a certain amount of pressure on the elected official. Acts as a direct form of democracy, allows voters to express their opinions on individual bills and propositions. This project has been developed into a blockchainbased electronic voting system that utilizes smart contracts to enable secure and cost-efficient elections while guaranteeing voters privacy. It outlines the systems architecture, the design, and a security analysis of the system.

#### REFERENCE

- Benjamin B., Bederson, Bongshin Lee., Robert M. Sherman., Paul S., Herrnson, Richard G. Niemi.,
- [2] Security Analysis of India's Electronic Voting Machines
- [3] Chaum D., "Secret-ballot receipts: True voterverifiable elections", IEEE Security and Privacy, 2(1):38-47, 2004.
- [4] Blockchain-Based E-Voting System
- [5] Blockchain White Paper
- [6] Gritzalis D., [Editor]., "Secure Electronic Voting", Springer-Verlag, Berlin Germany, 2003.
- [7] Harris B., "Balck Box Voting: Vote Tampering in the 21st Century", Elon House/Plan Nine, July 2003.
- [8] Jones D. W., "The case of the DieboldFTP Site", THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA Department of Computer Science, July 2003.
- [9] "Electronic Voting System Usability Issues", In Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems, 2003.
- [10] Darcy, R., & McAllister, I., "Ballot Position Effects", Electoral Studies, 9(1), pp.5-17, 1990
- [11] Dill D.L., Mercuri R., Neumann P.G., and Wallach D.S., "Frequently Asked Questions about DRE Voting Systems", Feb.2003.