Analysis of Multi Level Car Parking Building Using Etabs

V.Boopathi¹, Keren Kana²

¹PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Adhiyamaan college of Engineering, Hosur, Tamilnadu ²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Adhiyamaan college of Engineering, Hosur, Tamilnadu

Abstract— Analysis of multilevel car parking facility building is basically a R.C.C framed structure comprising of One Basement + Ground floor + Seven Upper Floor and Terrace only. All RCC Structures elements shall be designed according to Limit State Method as specified in IS: 456 – 2000. Steel structures shall be designed as per IS: 800-2007, based on preliminary analysis. A three-dimensional structural analysis is employed to obtain stress resultants and deflections. Standard finite element software, namely ETABS was employed to analysis and design the structure.

Index Terms—Multi Level Car Parking, Etabs Software

I. INTRODUCTION

India was rapidly growing in population, as well as a lack of town planning in road accessibility, in small town to metropolitan areas. Due to road space multilevel parking is preferred. Reduce traffic congestion, provide road safety and provide convenience for traffic free vehicle movements. It can also reduce waiting time in road. Multilevel car parking is an important aspect of urban planning and development for considering future urban planning.

II. METHODOLOGY

- Planning of multi-level car parking floor plan, through literature review
- Drafting a floor plan in AutoCAD software
- Analysis the drafted floor plan in Etabs
- Discuss the analyzed model from Etabs

III. MODELLING

3.1 Planning

Plan of basement, ground, typical floor parking area, lift and fire sump consider (69mx36m) Floor schedule with dimensions of the building

FLOOR	LENGTH (M)	BREATH (M)	HEIGHT (M)	
Basement	69	32	3.25	
Ground	69	32	3	
First	69	32	3	
Second	69	32	3	
Third	69	32	3	
Fourth	69	32	3	
Fifth	69	32	3	
Sixth	69	32	3	
Seventh	69	32	3	
Terrace	69	32	3	
Head room	4	20	3.25	



3.1 Floor Plan

3.2 Support conditions

All columns are fixed support conditions



3.3 Structural Elements considered for Etabs model

Structural Members	Dimensions (mm)		
Column	200x450, 200x750, 200x1200		
Column	300x900, 300x1200,		
	200x450, 200x600		
Beam	300x450, 300x600		
	450x450		
Slab	125mm, 150mm, 175mm		
5180	One-way, Two-way slab		
Staircase	175mm Thickness		
Down	200mm Thickness		
катр	one way slab		



4.1 Framing Plan (Beam, Column Sizes)

3.4 Loading Conditions

Dead Load: This load case comprises of Self weight of all the frame and shell elements modelled into the structure.

Unit weight of reinforced cement concrete	25 kN/m ³	
Unit weight of plain cement concrete	24 kN/m ³	
Unit weight of Solid block (with plaster)	22 kN/m ³	
Unit weight of steel	78.5 kN/m ³	
Unit weight of cement mortar/plaster	22 kN/m ³	
Filling material of sunken floors	7.85 kN/m ³	
Water load	10 kN/m ³	
Floor finishes	2.4 kN/m ³	

Weight of materials shall be calculated based on unit weights given in IS: 875-PART 1.

Superimposed/Live Load: This load Case comprises of self-weight of walls, floor finishes, partitions, Ceiling hung loads, waterproofing, brick jelly lime concrete and PCC in toilet areas, machinery weights in the plant room and weight of landscaping.

Live load in the floor areas are considered

Parking space	3.00 kN/m ²
Toilets	2.00 kN/m ²
Stairs, corridors and balconies	4.00 kN/m ²
Terrace	1.50 kN/m ²
Staircase headroom & chejja	0.75 kN/m ²

As per IS: 875-PART 2.

Wind Load: Wind loads and pressures are calculated based on the static design wind pressure. This pressure has been considered fond applied to the model at the diaphragm centers.

Basic wind speed (V _b)	33 m/sec	
(If design life of structure is taken as 50 years) Risk co-efficient k ₁	1	
Terrain roughness and height factor k_2	1.095	
Category 2, & class B		
Topography factor k ₃	1	
Importance factor K ₄	1	

Wind loads for design of structures shall be based on the design wind speeds based on IS: 875- PART 3.)

Seismic Load: Static analyses for earthquake forces in both directions are carried out as per Code. The base shear is calculated as per the codal provisions IS:1893-2016

Zone (Assumed)	II
Zone factor	0.1
Importance factor	1
Response reduction factor(R)	3
Horizontal seismic co-efficient (Ah)	ZxIxSa 2xRxg

3.5 Load Combinations: These loading combinations are considered in the analysis of framed structures for buildings information as applied to the model.

Load	Limit State of Collapse			Limit	state	of
Combinati	_			Serviceability		
on	DL	LL	WL/	DL	LL	WL/
			EL			EL
DL+LL	1.5	1.5		1.0	1.0	
DL+WL	1.5 or		1.5	1.0		1.0
	0.9					
DL+/-EL	1.5 or		1.5	1.0		1.5
	0.9					
DL+LL+/-	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.8
WL						
DL+LL+/-	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.8
EL						

Wind and Earthquake load shall be considered for both X and Y direction. Notations

DL \rightarrow Dead Load

LL \rightarrow Live Load

 $WL \rightarrow Wind Load$ (Either X or Y Direction)

 $EL \rightarrow Earthquake Load$ (Either X or Y Direction)

IV. ANALYSIS THE MODEL



Model view of all floor levels



4.1) Shear Force Analysis (Plan view)



4.2) Bending Moment Analysis



Bending Moment Reactions - plan



Bending Moment Reactions - All floor section

4.3) Maximum Reaction for Shear and Bending Moment and displacement

- a) For Beam 450x450mm maximum reaction founded
- Shear (V)=-261.75 kN at 0.15m
- Moment (M)=-306.40 kN-m at 0.15m

• Deflection(down)=8.079mm at 3.7m mid span Beam – 450x450 Shear Force and Bending Moment

- b) For Column 300x1200mm reaction founded
- Axial Force (P)=-666.82 kN
- Torsion (T)=0.0070 kN
- Shear (V)=41.40 kN at 2.55m
- Moment (M)=55.80 kN-m at 0.0m



Column - 300x1200 Axial Force and Torsion



Column – 300x1200 Shear Force and Bending Moment

V. CONCLUSION

1. During this project work I have learned Etabs software

2. There is no error in analysis in Etabs Model

3. Analysis of the structure is safe for the loading consideration as per IS Code.

4. Maximum values of sheer force, bending moments and displacements were determined

5. All Structural Elements are safe and economical

6. The proposed multi-level car parking building facility of accommodation 685 cars.

REFERENCE

- [1] IS 456: 2000, Indian Standard Plain and reinforced concrete - code of practice (Fourth Revision)
- [2] IS:875 (Part-1):1987, "Code of Practice for Design Loads (other than earthquake loads) for Buildings and Structures" Part 1 dead loads unit weights of building materials and Stored materials, Second Revision, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi reaffirmed 1997.
- [3] IS:875 (Part-2):1987, "Code of Practice for Design Loads (other than earthquake loads) for Buildings and Structures" Part 1 Imposed loads unit weights of building materials and Stored materials, Second Revision, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi reaffirmed 1997.
- [4] IS:875 (Part-3):2015, "Code of Practice for Design Loads (other than earthquake loads) for Buildings and Structures" Part 3 Wind Loads, Second Revision, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi reaffirmed 1989.
- [5] IS: 1893-2016 (Part 5) 1997, code of practice for criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures (Sixth Revision)
- [6] IS 800:2007, General construction in steel Code of Practice (Third Revision), Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi 2007.
- [7] Handbook on Vehicle Parking Provision in development Proposals (2005 Edition)
- [8] SP-7(part IV)-1983: National Building code of India
- [9] Shradhesh Rajuji Marve1, Abhijit Nanaji Chalkhure "Design & Analysis of Multi-Storied Car Parking Building (G+2)" IJIRTSET, Volume 9, Issue 4, April 2020

- [10] Dr. S. Mahendran, K. Sivasubramanian "Design of multilevel car parking building" IJCIET, Volume 9, Issue 11, November 2018
- [11] Ganesh Londhe, Apurva Mhatre "Design of Multi Storey Sleeve Car Parking with Stadd Pro" VIVA-Tech IJRI, Volume 1, Issue 4 (2021)
- [12] Penda singh dandotia, Rakesh Gupta "A study of analysis and design of multi-level parking" IJEDR, Volume 4, Issue 2, 2016