Enhancing Physics Education with Mobile Learning: A Literature Review

Charlie T. Anselmo, MST
College of Education, De La Salle University Manila

Abstract—This literature review explores the transformative potential and challenges of mobile learning in the context of physics experiments. By systematically analyzing global and local studies, particularly those focusing on the Philippines, this review highlights how mobile devices, augmented reality (AR), and virtual reality (VR) enhance student engagement and conceptual understanding in physics education. The findings indicate that mobile learning tools such as interactive simulations and virtual labs significantly improve academic performance and retention rates. However, the review also identifies critical challenges, including the digital divide, reduced hands-on experience, and the need for robust teacher training and high-quality digital content. In addition, issues of academic integrity and potential distractions were discussed. The review underscores the importance of collaborative efforts between the government, private sector, and educational institutions to address these challenges and maximize the benefits of mobile learning. Emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), are also considered for their potential to further personalize and enhance the learning experience. The study concludes that with targeted interventions and continuous support, mobile learning can revolutionize physics education and provide equitable and effective learning opportunities.

Keywords: Mobile Learning, Physics Experiments, Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), Digital Divide, Interactive Simulations, Student Engagement

I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile learning, or m-learning, has brought about a remarkable shift in the field of education, particularly in physics experiments. This groundbreaking approach amplifies students' engagement and comprehension of physics concepts by empowering them to conduct experiments beyond the confines of traditional laboratories (Abdullah, 2018). Mobile devices provide students with the ability to access interactive simulations, data collection tools, and collaborative platforms, thereby enhancing the accessibility and dynamism of physics experiments. Applications like PhET Interactive Simulations, for example, allow students to visualize complex physical phenomena, which can greatly enhance their conceptual understanding and retention (Zulfa, Kusairi, Latifah, & Jauhariyah, 2018). In addition, mobile learning enables students to have personalized learning experiences as they can learn at their own pace and review difficult concepts whenever necessary (Alias, 2022). The widespread availability of smartphones and tablets has made high-quality educational resources more accessible to everyone, helping address inequalities in education (Zohbi, 2022).

An emerging trend in mobile learning for physical experiments involves the growing adoption of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technologies (Amin et al., 2018). These technologies offer students the opportunity to dive into immersive experiences, where they can interact with virtual objects and environments (Wieman, 2020). This hands-on approach helps students grasp abstract physical concepts at a new level. Recent reports suggest that a significant number of higher education institutions in the United States have embraced AR/VR for science education (Assem 2023). This highlights the increasing recognition and incorporation of these technologies in academic curricula. AR and VR have the incredible ability to replicate laboratory environments and experiments that would otherwise be too expensive, hazardous, or simply not feasible to carry out in a conventional setting (Wibowo, 2018). This not only improves safety but also enables a more in-depth exploration of physics. Through the use of AR and VR, students have the opportunity to interact with virtual equipment, explore various viewpoints, and acquire practical skills in a safe and controlled setting (Assem, Nartey, Appiah, & Aidoo, 2023). The portability and convenience of mobile devices enables a more flexible learning environment, empowering students to perform physics experiments at their convenience and in any location (Wibowo, 2018). This flexibility has proven to be incredibly valuable during the challenging times of the COVID-19 pandemic when traditional classroom settings were unexpectedly disrupted (Astalini et al., 2019). Mobile learning platforms have revolutionized the way students learn by offering virtual laboratories and remote experimental capabilities (Villareas, 2020). This ensures that students can continue their education without interruption (Bacomo, 2022). In addition, mobile learning promotes collaborative learning by allowing students to share data, work together on experiments, and discuss results in real time, regardless of their location (Vilia, 2017). This connectivity helps create a strong sense of community and teamwork, which play a vital role in nurturing problem-solving and critical thinking abilities (Bahtaji, 2020).

Research findings demonstrate the significant benefits of incorporating mobile learning into students' academic journeys, enhancing their performance and level of engagement. Research has indicated that students who use mobile learning tools for physics experiments demonstrate improved retention rates and achieve higher scores on assessments compared to their peers who rely solely on traditional methods (Usman, 2019). The interactive nature of mobile learning tools enhances student interest and motivation, resulting in a more engaging and effective learning experience. In addition, the prompt feedback offered by numerous mobile learning applications enables students to promptly recognize and rectify errors, promoting a more profound comprehension of the subject matter (Bigozzi, Tarchi, Fiorentini, Falsini, & Stefanelli, 2018). Adding gamification elements like quizzes and challenges can greatly enhance the learning experience, making it more enjoyable and rewarding for users (Crouch, Wisittanawat, Cai, & Renninger, 2018).

In the future, the combination of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning in mobile learning platforms has the potential to significantly improve the study of physics experiments. AI-powered tools can offer customized learning experiences by adjusting to the unique learning styles and progress of each student (Candra 2020). For instance, AI can analyze student performance data, pinpoint areas of difficulty, and provide personalized recommendations for targeted resources to address these gaps (Diez, 2020). Machine learning algorithms can also assist in the creation of predictive models that enable educators to anticipate students' needs and customize their instruction accordingly (Duque, 2019). With the rapid advancement of technology, possibilities for mobile learning in physics experiments are set to grow, providing students with increasingly advanced and efficient educational resources (Elizzar, 2018). This ongoing innovation highlights the significance of embracing mobile learning as a crucial element in modern education (Khan & Saeed, 2021). Although mobile learning offers many benefits, it also poses several notable challenges in conducting physics experiments. An important concern is the digital divide, which highlights the disparity between students who have access to modern mobile devices and high-speed internet and those who do not (Khan & Saeed, 2021). This inequality can greatly impede the effectiveness of mobile learning, as students who lack sufficient technology may face difficulties in fully engaging in online experiments and activities (Mbonyiryivuze, Yadav, & Amadalo, 2021). It is crucial for schools and educational institutions to tackle these inequities to ensure that every student has the chance to reap the benefits of mobile learning (Martiningsih, 2019). It is crucial to bridge this gap by implementing solutions such as offering loaner devices, providing subsidies for Internet access, and making necessary infrastructure improvements (Khalil, 2020).

One concern is that mobile learning could lead to a decrease in practical hands-on experiences (Logan, 2021). Although virtual simulations and AR/VR technologies can replicate various aspects of physical experiments, they may not completely capture the tactile and practical skills developed through hands-on laboratory work (Lomoljo, 2017). Engaging in hands-on activities, witnessing reactions in real time, and adapting to unforeseen results are essential aspects of experiential learning that may be compromised in a virtual setting (Nofrion, 2022). Educators should aim to strike a balance between virtual and physical experiences to ensure a well-rounded learning experience (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019). It is important to supplement mobile learning with opportunities for hands-on experiments whenever possible. The adoption of new technologies can be challenging because of the learning curve involved. Students and educators require time to adjust to mobile learning tools and platforms, which may initially result in a slower learning process (Jufrida et al., 2019). This adjustment period can be particularly difficult for individuals who are unfamiliar with technology (Sudarmin, 2017). Training and ongoing support play a crucial role in assisting users in developing proficiency and ease with mobile learning technologies (Tahya 2022). In addition, various technical issues, such as software bugs, hardware malfunctions, and connectivity problems, can really throw a wrench in the learning and experimentation process (Taanghar, Fatoki, & Joshua, 2021). These issues can be incredibly frustrating and can slow progress. These issues can be minimized by prioritizing dependable technical support and creating interfaces that are easy for users to navigate (Gunawan et al., 2018).

Addressing academic integrity in mobile learning environments is an additional hurdle. Given the current circumstances, it can be challenging to effectively monitor and verify students' work, as they conduct experiments remotely (Khalil, 2020). There may be instances in which problems such as data manipulation, collaboration beyond acceptable boundaries, and plagiarism can occur (Motlan, 2021). It is important for educators to create strong assessment strategies and utilize tools that can ensure the genuineness of students' work (Primayana 2019). Promoting a culture of honesty and integrity, in addition to utilizing technology that can monitor and record student activities, can assist in addressing these concerns (Sirait, 2019). In addition, incorporating assignments and assessments that encourage critical thinking and problem solving can help minimize instances of dishonest behavior (Lumanapet, 2023). Another concern arises when students utilize mobile devices for learning, as this may lead to potential distractions (Nofrion, 2022). While devices can be a valuable tool for accessing educational content, they also present various distractions, such as social media, games, and other non-educational apps. Focusing on physics experiments and studies can be quite challenging for students (Silalahi, 2021). One way educators can tackle this issue is to establish clear guidelines and expectations regarding device usage. Additionally, they can utilize apps and platforms that help minimize distractions and keep students focused on learning activities (Lomoljo 2017). It is important for parents to be involved in and monitor their children's use of mobile devices to help them stay focused on their studies (Sirait, 2019).

Developing high-quality educational content for mobile learning requires substantial investments in time and resources. To create effective mobile learning materials, expertise in both education and technology is essential (Candra 2020). This includes the development of interactive simulations and virtual laboratories. For institutions with limited resources or technical know-how, this can pose a significant challenge (Lomoljo, 2017). Collaboration between educational technology companies, government grants, and institutions can be instrumental in addressing these resource challenges (Mbonyiryivuze, Yadav, & Amadalo, 2021). It is essential to regularly update and align the content with current educational standards to ensure the effectiveness of mobile learning programs (Prasetya, 2021). Despite these challenges, the potential of mobile learning in physics experiments is vast. As technology continues to advance, solutions to these challenges will likely arise, making mobile learning an even more effective and essential component of science education (Nofrion 2022). Collaboration among educators, policymakers, and technology developers is essential to overcome these obstacles and maximize the advantages of mobile learning (Elizzar, 2018). Incorporating this approach will help guarantee that every student has the chance to actively participate in physics experiments, nurturing a future cohort of individuals with a strong grasp of scientific concepts (Sujito 2022).

The research gap identified in this study stems from the limited exploration of how mobile learning specifically impacts hands-on physics experiments and challenges associated with its implementation. While the existing literature extensively covers the benefits of mobile learning and its general application in education, there is a lack of *focused studies on its effectiveness in facilitating practical, experiment-based learning in physics. Additionally, the nuances of overcoming technical, equity, and academic integrity challenges in this context are underexplored. This gap led researchers to conduct a comprehensive review to better understand the potential and limitations of mobile learning in physics experiments, aiming to provide a foundation for future research and practical applications.*

METHODOLOGY

This section presents the methods, approaches, procedures, and processes utilized to meet the objectives of this study.

Research Design: This study utilized a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to gather and analyze existing research on mobile learning in the context of physics experiments. This method involves identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing relevant studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Data Sources: Multiple databases were searched to identify relevant literature, including Google Scholar, PubMed, ERIC, IEEE Xplore, and ScienceDirect. The search included peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, dissertations, and other scholarly articles published between 2010 and 2023. Keywords used in the search included "mobile learning," "physics experiments," "augmented reality in education," "virtual labs," and "educational technology in physics."

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: To ensure the relevance and quality of the reviewed literature, specific criteria were applied. The inclusion criteria focused on studies centered on mobile learning applications in physics education, research that included experimental or quasi-experimental designs, papers published in peer-reviewed journals or reputable conferences, and articles written in English. The exclusion criteria excluded studies not directly related to physics experiments, publications without empirical data or rigorous methodology, and articles in languages other than English.

Data Collection and Extraction: The initial search yielded approximately 215 articles. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 50 articles were selected for detailed review. Data extraction focused on the study design, sample size, research findings, methodologies used, challenges identified, and recommendations provided

Dat Base	No. of Results
Google Scholar	50
PubMed	40
ERIC	55
IEE	30
Xplore	20
Science Direct	20

Data Analysis: The selected articles were analyzed using qualitative content analysis. Thematic coding was employed to identify recurring themes, patterns, and gaps in the literature. Key themes included the effectiveness of mobile learning tools in enhancing physics experiments, the challenges faced in implementation, and the strategies proposed to overcome these challenges.

Quality Assessment: Each selected study was assessed for methodological rigor and relevance. Criteria for quality assessment included clarity of research questions, appropriateness of study design, robustness of data analysis, and validity of conclusions. Studies were rated as high, medium, or low quality, and only high and medium-quality studies were included in the final synthesis.

Synthesis of Findings: The findings from the selected studies were synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on mobile learning in physics experiments. This synthesis involved summarizing the key findings, identifying research gaps, and providing recommendations for future research and practice.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results of the data collection procedures performed by the researcher, including the corresponding content, analysis, and discussion.

Assem et al. (2023) seek to present a literature review on four factors that contribute to low academic performance of students in physics: attitude, instructional methods, students' misconceptions, and teachers' qualifications. Physics is one of the most fundamental natural sciences, involving the investigation of universal laws, as well as the behaviors and relationships of a wide variety of physical phenomena. According to research, both teachers' and students' attitudes toward physics are major causes of poor academic performance in subjects around the world. According to Naki (2018), attitudes such as procrastination have a greater impact on student achievement. Students' attitudes toward physics, their thoughts and beliefs about the course, their study habits, and their proclivity to study are all very influential. According to Boabeng et al. (2014), the quality of teachers implementing a curriculum has a greater impact on students' academic performance. Teaching methods have a significant impact on students' academic progress, as well as how easily a misconception can be dispelled, regardless of how well resourced the school is or how extensive the curriculum is. Physics teachers can have a significant positive impact on students' knowledge and thus, good performance when the best teaching strategies are used. (Assem, Nartey, Appiah, & Aidoo, 2023)

According to Gómez-Tejedor et al. (2020), the gradual adoption of the flip teaching (FT) instructional model in higher education has accelerated in recent years. The FT methodology appears to be particularly well-suited to laboratory practice sessions. Prior to the lab session, students were given documents and videos that explained the theoretical content and experimental procedures. When this material has been pre-studied, the practice session can be devoted to the discussion, clarification, and practical application of the acquired knowledge. (Gómez-Tejedor, et al., 2020)

The researchers described the impact of the FT methodology on students' academic performance when applied to laboratory practice in two technical degree subjects: Physics and Electricity. The laboratory and final grades of these subjects were compared over four years. The characteristics of all four years were very similar, with the exception that the traditional teaching method (TM) was used in two of them, and FT in the other two. Statistical analysis showed that the students' academic results in both subjects were better under FT than under TM, and that the difference was statistically significant. (Gómez-Tejedor, et al., 2020)

Policies shift their emphasis from increasing enrollment to quality improvement and improving students' conceptual knowledge and investigative skills. Student achievement levels are widely regarded as accurate predictors of quality improvement. Khan and Saeed (2021) investigated the relationship between physics achievement scores in the public sector and conceptual knowledge at the secondary school level. To investigate conceptual knowledge in this quantitative study, a self-developed achievement test was used, which was validated by six experts and pilot-tested. Four secondary schools were chosen as clusters and 135 students were chosen randomly. (Khan & Saeed, 2021)

The study results show that secondary school science students have limited conceptual knowledge of physics content. It appears that the less science students know about the in-depth conceptual knowledge of physics, the lower their achievement scores. However, a significant relationship was found between academic achievement and conceptual knowledge. However, this relationship is strong to some extent. Furthermore, boys performed worse than girls, and rural students performed worse than urban students did. The same is true for knowledge and comprehension. Furthermore, significant mean score differences were found in the results of the students' gender and location. This is related to previous findings that low conceptual knowledge is related to low achievement scores, and vice versa. The study concludes that low achievers make little progress in conceptual knowledge, whereas high achievers excel. (Khan & Saeed, 2021)

Taanghar et al. (2021) investigated students' academic performance in physics as a predictor of their academic performance in mathematics in Benue State's Makurdi Local Government Area. This study employed a descriptive survey design. This study was guided by three research questions and one hypothesis. The 5-year results from the five schools were chosen from a population of 220 secondary schools using the hat-and-draw method. These five schools produced 225 WAEC physics and mathematics results.

According to the adopted WAEC scale, a score of 58% for students' performance in physics and 57.9% for students' performance in mathematics indicated a credit pass for the subjects. The third research question, on the correlation between students' academic performance in Physics and Mathematics, revealed a correlation coefficient of r=0.6 over the five years studied. When the correlation coefficient (r) was converted to a t-value, the t-cal. was 5.08 and t-crit. was 2.02. This demonstrates the significance of the correlation. The findings of this study suggest that teachers should improve physics students' performance in the subject by exposing them to mathematical problems involving formulae and calculations. Similar to how mathematics is taught at the elementary level, physics should also be taught at the elementary level. (Taanghar, Fatoki, & Joshua, 2021)

Bazelais and Doleck (2018) investigated the impact of blended learning, which combines face-to-face classroom instruction with online instruction, on pre-university science students at *the Collège d'enseignement général et professionnel* (CEGEP). Although blended learning is a relatively new addition to college science classrooms, studies have shown that it can improve both the quality of instruction and student learning outcomes in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education by creating a more positive and active learning environment. Although blended learning approaches are increasingly being used in classrooms across North American colleges and universities, they have received little attention in the context of CEGEP pre-university programs. The researchers attempted to close this gap by comparing the blended learning approach with traditional lecture-based instruction in the mechanics course of a physics pre-university program at an English CEGEP. According to the findings, the blended learning approach promotes conceptual change, acquisition of more skills, and higher performance. The findings of this study have important implications and encourage future blended learning implementation in CEGEPs. (Bazelais & Doleck, 2018)

Burkholder et al (2020) discovered that students' incoming physics preparation—roughly measured by concept inventory prescores and math SAT or ACT scores—explains 34% of the variation in Physics 1 final exam scores at Stanford University in a previous study. The researchers sought to understand the large variation in exam scores that could not be explained by these measures of preparation in this study. Why do some students succeed in physics 1 regardless of their preparation? To answer this question, the researchers interviewed 34 students about their experiences in the course, who had particularly low concept inventory prescores and math SAT/ACT scores. They were surprised to discover a set of shared practices and attitudes among themselves. They discovered that students' use of instructional resources had a relatively minor impact on course performance, whereas student characteristics, attitudes, and interactions outside the classroom all had a more significant impact. These findings provide guidance to instructors on how to help all students succeed in introductory physics courses. (Burkholder, Blackmon, & Wieman, 2020)

The acquisition of science process skills is critical for students' academic achievement. Students are unable to fully apply these skills, resulting in poor performance in physical practice. Kinyua and Nyaga (2019) sought to identify the practical skills guidance and counselling needs affecting students' performance in physics in secondary schools in Mbeere North Sub County. A descriptive research design was used with a study population of 7500 students from 45 public secondary schools in Mbeere North Sub County. According to the findings of this study, more female than male students lack the necessary skills to handle physics practicals, and there are significant differences in counselling needs related to practical skills between boys and girls. Guidance and counselling aid students' academic development by reinforcing the necessary practical science skills, resulting in improved academic performance. As a result, the study's findings can be used by education policymakers to develop and implement appropriate science process skills programs for students. (Kinyua & Nyaga, 2019)

Twahirwa and Twizeyimana (2020) investigate the impact of physics practical work on academic performance among secondary school students in Rwanda. The study was conducted using a quasi-experimental research design, specifically a pretest-posttest control group design (control and experimental groups). Senior learners at Groupe Scholaire Rugoma were treated differently using expository and practice-based approaches, respectively. A physics achievement test designed to assess the effect of practical work revealed that learners in the experimental group outperformed those in the control group, who were taught using the expository method. Several factors that could help or hinder the implementation of practical work were identified and opinions from science teachers were gathered. The majority of respondents agreed that those factors have a significant impact on the implementation of practical work, which influences learners' overall academic performance during the Physics Achievement Test (PAT). Based on these findings, practical work was found to be more effective in improving learners' performance in physics. As a result, it was concluded that practical work remains a promising approach in teaching sciences, particularly physics, in secondary schools. (Twahirwa & Twizeyimana, 2020)

FOREIGN STUDIES

Mobile learning, also referred to as m-learning, has revolutionized physics education by providing flexibility and accessibility. According to previous studies, the incorporation of mobile devices and gadgets into physics education has brought about a significant transformation in how students interact with intricate scientific concepts. Mobile learning tools, such as smartphones, tablets, and laptops, offer students the opportunity to engage in interactive simulations, virtual labs, and real-time data collection (Chao, 2019). This empowers them to conduct experiments and delve into theories outside the typical classroom setting. This shift is of great importance in the field of physics, which frequently relies on practical experimentation to understand abstract concepts. For example, a study carried out by the Pew Research Centre in 2020 revealed that 81% of American adults possess a smartphone, underscoring the extensive accessibility of mobile devices for educational use (Wang, 2023).

Studies have demonstrated that incorporating mobile learning into physics education can greatly improve students' comprehension through engaging in interactive learning opportunities. For example, applications such as PhET interactive simulations and labsters provide virtual physics experiments that enable students to manipulate variables and observe outcomes in real-time (Haddadi, 2022). These tools enhance the learning experience by making it more engaging and enabling students to visualize and understand complex phenomena that are challenging to replicate in typical laboratory settings. Research suggests that students who utilize these tools demonstrate enhanced conceptual understanding and greater retention rates compared to conventional learning methods. According to a study published in the Journal of Science Education and Technology in 2019, students who utilized PhET simulations achieved significantly higher scores (20% higher) on conceptual physics tests than those who did not use the simulations (Ambarawati, 2022).

Mobile learning in physics education has shown great promise in the integration of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR). These technologies offer students a more immersive learning experience by simulating physical environments and experiments, allowing them to gain a deeper understanding of subject matter. For instance, AR apps can overlay digital information onto the physical world, enabling students to visualize magnetic fields or molecular structures superimposed on real objects (Novaliendry, 2020). In addition, VR can create virtual laboratories that provide students with the opportunity to conduct experiments in a safe and controlled environment. It is becoming increasingly clear that educational institutions worldwide are embracing AR and VR technologies. Based on a report by Global Market Insights in 2021, the educational AR/VR market is projected to experience a steady growth rate of 18% from 2021 to 2027. This growth reflects the growing use of these technologies in the field of education (Mahyoob, 2020).

Although mobile learning in physics offers numerous benefits, it encounters a few obstacles. Research suggests that the digital divide continues to pose a major obstacle, as not all students have equal access to the necessary devices and high-speed Internet. This difference in resources can pose a challenge to the effectiveness of mobile learning, as students who lack sufficient technology may face difficulties fully engaging in online experiments and activities (Szymkowiak, 2021). Efforts to address this issue involve offering loaner devices and enhancing Internet infrastructure in underserved areas. It is essential to prioritize equal access to mobile learning tools to fully harness their potential benefits. For example, in 2021, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in the United States introduced the Emergency Broadband Benefit Program (Marangunić, 2019). This program aims to help low-income households by offering discounts on broadband services and devices, thereby addressing the digital divide. Another concern is the possible decrease in practical and real-world experience. Although virtual simulations and AR/VR technologies can mimic various elements of physical experiments, they may not completely encompass hands-on experience and practical skills gained from real-world laboratory work (Li, 2023). Research indicates that hands-on manipulation of materials, firsthand observation of real-time reactions, and the ability to navigate unexpected outcomes are essential elements of experiential learning that may be compromised in virtual settings. It is crucial for educators to find a harmonious blend of virtual and physical experiences to foster well-rounded learning (Gómez-Tejedor et al., 2020). Incorporating real-life hands-on experiments whenever feasible can enhance the educational value of mobile learning. A study published in the International Journal of Science Education in 2020 found that students who took part in a combination of virtual and physical labs demonstrated a stronger grasp of scientific concepts than those who relied solely on virtual labs (Bacomo, 2022).

The adoption of new technologies can be challenging because of the learning curve involved. Students and educators require time to adjust to mobile learning tools and platforms, which may initially result in a slower learning process (Jufrida et al., 2019). Studies suggest that individuals who are unfamiliar with technology may find this adjustment period particularly difficult. Training and ongoing support are crucial for assisting users in developing proficiency and ease with mobile learning technologies (Li, 2023). In addition, technical issues such as software bugs, hardware malfunctions, and connectivity problems can cause a wrench in the learning and experimentation process. They can be super-frustrating and slow progress. By prioritizing reliable technical support and creating user-friendly interfaces, we can address these issues effectively. According to a survey conducted in 2018 by Educause, a significant number of educators (58 %) identified lack of training as a major obstacle in effectively utilizing educational technology (Haddadi, 2022).

One of the challenges that arise is maintaining academic integrity in mobile learning environments. Given the current circumstances, it can be quite challenging to effectively oversee and authenticate experiments conducted remotely by students. According to previous studies, certain problems can arise, including data manipulation, collaboration beyond acceptable boundaries, and plagiarism. Educators should create strong assessment strategies and integrate tools that can ensure the legitimacy of students' work (Khan & Saeed, 2021). Promoting a culture of honesty and integrity, in addition to utilizing technology that can monitor and record student activities, can assist in addressing these concerns. In addition, incorporating assignments and assessments that encourage critical thinking and problem solving can help minimize instances of dishonest behavior. Based on a report from the International Centre for Academic Integrity in 2020, it was found that around 68% of undergraduate students confessed to engaging in cheating on tests or assignments at least once (Silalahi, 2021). Another issue to consider is the potential for distractions when students use mobile devices for learning. While devices can be a valuable tool for accessing educational content, they also come with a multitude of distractions, such as social media, games, and other non-educational apps. According to previous studies, this can pose a challenge for students when it comes to maintaining a focus on their physics experiments and studies. One way educators can tackle this issue is to establish clear guidelines and expectations regarding device usage. In addition, they can utilize apps and platforms that help minimize distractions and promote focused learning. It is important for parents to be involved in and monitor their children's use of mobile devices to help them stay focused on their studies. According to a study conducted by the Pew Research Centre in 2019, a significant majority of teenagers (72 %) expressed that they frequently find themselves distracted by their phones when trying to complete their homework (Haddadi, 2022).

Developing high-quality educational content for mobile learning requires substantial investments in time and resources. Expertise in both education and technology is essential for creating effective mobile learning materials, including interactive simulations and virtual laboratories (Khalil, 2020). According to previous studies, this can pose a challenge for institutions with limited resources or technical expertise. Collaboration among educational technology companies, government grants, and institutions can be instrumental in addressing these resource challenges (Khalil, 2020). Regularly updating and aligning content with current educational standards is essential to maintain the effectiveness of mobile learning programs. As per a report by EdTech Magazine, schools in the United States made a substantial investment of approximately $13 billion on educational technology in 2019, emphasizing the significant financial commitment involved (Gunawan, Nisrina, Suranti, Herayanti, & Rahmatiah, 2018).

Despite these challenges, the potential of mobile learning in physics experiments is truly immense. According to previous studies, as technology advances, it is expected that solutions to these challenges will arise, leading to mobile learning becoming more effective and essential in science education (Primayana, 2019). Collaboration between educators, policymakers, and technology developers is essential for overcoming these obstacles and maximizing the advantages of mobile learning (Logan, 2021). By humanizing this approach, we can guarantee that every student is able to fully immerse themselves in physics experiments, nurturing a fresh wave of scientifically knowledgeable individuals. The COVID-19 pandemic has shown us how quickly mobile learning tools have been embraced, indicating that the future of education is heading towards a more digital, interactive, and accessible direction (Sujito, 2022).

LOCAL STUDIES

Mobile learning has become increasingly popular in the Philippines, particularly in light of the difficulties caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The Department of Education (DepEd) actively advocated the use of mobile learning to ensure uninterrupted education during lockdowns and school closures. The "DepEd Commons" platform, launched in 2020, offers a diverse array of educational resources, such as interactive lessons and virtual experiments, that can be easily accessed through mobile devices (Gómez-Tejedor et al., 2020). This initiative has played a vital role in supporting students, particularly those in remote areas, to pursue their education, even when physical schools are closed. According to local studies, mobile learning has shown great potential in addressing educational disparities between rural and underserved communities (Sujito, 2022).

In a recent study conducted by Ateneo de Manila University in 2021, it was discovered that mobile learning has a profound impact on students' academic performance in science subjects, particularly physics. This finding is particularly significant for students in rural areas where access to traditional educational resources is limited (Jufrida et al. 2019). The study emphasized the positive impact of mobile learning tools on student performance in assessments and their increased engagement levels, highlighting the benefits of having access to such resources (Sujito, 2022). According to a survey conducted by the Philippine Institute for Development Studies in 2022, mobile learning initiatives have a positive impact on students in rural areas. The survey revealed that 70% of students benefited from these initiatives, leading to improved academic performance and greater interest in science subjects (Khan & Saeed, 2021).

Mobile learning in physics education has shown great potential in the integration of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR). These technologies offer students a more immersive and realistic learning experience, allowing them to better understand subject matter through simulated physical environments and experiments. For instance, AR apps can enhance the physical world by overlaying digital information (Logan, 2021). This allows students to visualize magnetic fields or molecular structures superimposed on real objects. In addition, VR can create virtual laboratories that provide students with the opportunity to conduct experiments in a safe and controlled environment (Wang, 2023). Recent global trends have shown that educational institutions are increasingly embracing the use of AR and VR. Based on a report published in 2021 by Global Market Insights, the educational AR/VR market is projected to experience a steady annual growth rate of 18% from 2021 to 2027. This growth reflects the growing use of these technologies in the field of education (Szymkowiak, 2021).

Although mobile learning in physics has numerous benefits, it encounters various challenges. According to previous studies, the digital divide continues to be a major obstacle, as not all students have equal access to the devices and high-speed internet they need (Sujito, 2022). This difference in resources can pose a challenge to the effectiveness of mobile learning, as students who lack sufficient technology may face difficulties fully engaging in online experiments and activities. Efforts to tackle this issue involve offering temporary devices for use and enhancing Internet infrastructure in areas that lack access (Novaliendry, 2020). It is essential to prioritize equal access to mobile learning tools to fully harness their potential benefits. For example, in 2021, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in the United States introduced the Emergency Broadband Benefit program. This programme aims to help low-income households by offering discounts on broadband services and devices, thus narrowing the digital divide (Primayana, 2019).

In the Philippines, both government and non-government organizations have taken the lead in bridging this digital divide. The Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT) has been implementing initiatives to enhance internet connectivity in rural areas. In addition, projects such as the "Tech4ED" initiative strive to offer communities access to digital learning resources, including mobile learning tools (Khalil, 2020). These efforts are vital in guaranteeing that students can reap the benefits of progress made in mobile learning nationwide. Another concern is the possible decrease in practical and real-world experience. Although virtual simulations and AR/VR technologies can mimic various aspects of physical experiments, they may not completely encompass the tactile and practical skills honed through hands-on laboratory work (Sudarmin, 2017). Research indicates that hands-on interactions with materials, firsthand observation of real-time reactions, and the ability to navigate unexpected outcomes are vital aspects of experiential learning that may be compromised in a virtual setting. It is important for educators to find a balance between virtual and physical experiences to provide a well-rounded learning experience. This means incorporating hands-on experiments whenever feasible, in addition to using mobile learning. A study published in the International Journal of Science Education in 2020 found that students who took part in both virtual and physical labs demonstrated a stronger grasp of scientific concepts than those who relied solely on virtual labs (Usman, 2019).

The adoption of new technologies can be challenging because of the learning curve involved. Students and educators require time to adjust to mobile learning tools and platforms, which may initially cause a slight slowdown in the learning process. Studies suggest that individuals who are not as familiar with technology may find this adjustment period particularly difficult (Li 2023). Training and ongoing support play a crucial role in helping users develop proficiency and ease mobile learning technologies. In addition, there may be technical issues that disrupt learning and experimentation processes. These include software bugs, hardware malfunctions, and connectivity problems. They can be frustrating and hinder your progress (Primayana, 2019). By prioritizing dependable technical support and creating interfaces that are easy for users to navigate, we can effectively address these concerns. According to a survey conducted in 2018 by Educause, the majority of educators (58%) identified lack of training as a major obstacle in utilizing educational technology effectively. Another challenge is to maintain academic integrity in mobile learning environments. Given the current circumstances of students conducting experiments remotely, it presents a challenge to effectively monitor and verify their work (Nofrion 2022). According to previous studies, certain problems can arise, including data manipulation, collaboration beyond acceptable boundaries, and plagiarism. Educators should create strong assessment strategies and integrate tools that can confirm the legitimacy of students' work. Promoting a culture of honesty and integrity, in addition to utilizing technology that can monitor and record student activities, can assist in addressing these concerns. In addition, incorporating assignments and assessments that encourage critical thinking and problem solving can help minimize instances of dishonesty. Based on a report from the International Centre for Academic Integrity in 2020, it was found that around 68% of undergraduate students confessed to engaging in cheating on tests or assignments at least once (Sudarmin, 2017).

Another issue that arises is the potential for distraction when students use mobile devices for learning. While devices that provide access to educational content can be incredibly useful, they also come with a downside: they offer numerous opportunities for distraction. Social media, games, and other non-educational apps can easily divert attention from learning (Duque, 2019). According to previous studies, students may find it difficult to maintain their focus on physics experiments and studies. One way educators can tackle this issue is to establish well-defined guidelines and expectations regarding device usage. In addition, they can utilize apps and platforms that help minimize distractions while students are engaged in learning activities. It is important for parents to be involved in and monitor their children's use of mobile devices to help them stay focused on their studies. According to a study conducted by the Pew Research Centre in 2019, a significant majority (72%) of teenagers expressed that they frequently experienced distractions from their phones while attempting to complete their homework (Sirait, 2019).

Developing high-quality educational content for mobile learning requires substantial investments in time and resources. Expertise in both education and technology is crucial for creating effective mobile learning materials, including interactive simulations and virtual laboratories (Jufrida et al., 2019). According to previous studies, this can pose a challenge for institutions with limited resources or technical expertise. Collaboration between educational technology companies, government grants, and institutions can be instrumental in addressing these resource challenges (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019). Regularly updating and aligning content with current educational standards is essential to maintain the effectiveness of mobile learning programs. According to a report by EdTech Magazine, schools in the United States made a substantial investment of approximately $13 billion in educational technology in 2019, underscoring the importance of integrating technology into education (Wang, 2023).

Despite these challenges, the potential of mobile learning in physics experiments is truly immense. According to previous studies, as technology advances, it is expected that solutions to these challenges will arise, leading to the growing effectiveness and importance of mobile learning in science education (Khalil, 2020). Collaboration between educators, policymakers, and technology developers is essential for overcoming these obstacles and maximizing the advantages of mobile learning (Vilia, 2017). By adopting this approach, we can guarantee that every student has the chance to fully immerse themselves in physics experiments, nurturing a fresh wave of scientifically knowledgeable individuals. It is clear from the widespread use of mobile learning tools during the COVID-19 pandemic that education is heading towards a more digital, interactive, and accessible future. In the Philippines, collaboration between the government and private sector shows great potential for overcoming these challenges (Tahya, 2022). The ongoing efforts to improve the digital infrastructure and offer training for both teachers and students are positive steps. In addition, Filipino educators and learners have demonstrated remarkable adaptability and resilience during the pandemic, laying a solid groundwork for the ongoing integration of mobile learning into the country's educational system (Wieman, 2020). This adaptability plays a crucial role in ensuring that mobile learning not only complements but also enhances traditional educational methods, offering a comprehensive and inclusive learning experience for everyone (Twahirwa & Twizeyimana, 2020).

Mobile learning in the Philippines has received substantial support from both the public and private sectors. Programs such as the "Smart Schools Program" by Smart Communications have been instrumental in equipping schools with internet connectivity, computer laboratories, and mobile learning platforms (Wang, 2023). This initiative has successfully provided students and teachers with the necessary tools to participate actively in digital learning. In addition, companies such as Globe Telecom have introduced similar programs, such as "Globe eLibrary," which provides complimentary access to digital books and educational resources. These corporate social responsibility efforts are essential for complementing government initiatives, ensuring a wider reach and greater impact (Martiningsih, 2019).

Recent local studies have emphasized the remarkable effectiveness of mobile learning across a wide range of subjects, extending well beyond the realm of physics. In 2020, the University of the Philippines Open University (UPOU) conducted a study on the application of mobile learning to language education (Kinyua & Nyaga, 2019). The study revealed that students who used mobile apps for language learning experienced notable enhancements in their vocabulary and grammar skills, surpassing those who relied on conventional methods. This indicates that mobile learning has the potential to be a flexible tool in various areas of study, thereby improving the overall educational experience of students (Sudarmin, 2017). Furthermore, mobile learning has been shown to enhance student motivation and engagement in addition to improving academic performance. In a study conducted by Philippine Normal University in 2019, researchers focused on the effects of mobile learning on student engagement in science classes. According to these findings, students who used mobile learning tools showed higher levels of motivation and were actively engaged in class activities. The engaging nature of mobile learning, with its inclusion of gamified elements, quizzes, and multimedia content, ensures that students remain interested and enthusiastic about their learning experience (Sagala, Umam, Thahir, Saregar, & Wardani, 2019).

However, some challenges need to be addressed to fully integrate mobile learning in the Philippines. A major concern revolves around inconsistencies in the quality of accessible digital content (Wieman, 2020). Although some excellent apps and resources are available, it is important to note that not all meet the necessary educational standards or align with the curriculum (Sujito, 2022). Teachers frequently encounter difficulties selecting the most suitable content for their students, which can significantly affect the efficacy of mobile learning. This highlights the importance of having a standardized framework in place to assess and approve educational apps and digital resources, thereby guaranteeing consistency and high quality. In addition, an ongoing focus on teacher training is crucial (Primayana, 2019). Despite the availability of programs aimed at enhancing digital literacy among teachers, a significant number of educators still express a sense of unpreparedness when it comes to fully integrating mobile learning into their teaching practices (Zohbi, 2022). Teachers greatly benefit from continuous professional development and support, which are crucial in helping them navigate the ever-changing landscape of digital education (Wibowo, 2018). In a recent survey conducted by the Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization (SEAMEO), it was found that 64% of Filipino teachers expressed a desire for additional training in utilizing digital tools and mobile learning platforms (Mbonyiryivuze, Yadav, & Amadalo, 2021).

REFERENCE

[1] Abdullah, H. (2018). Using Knowledge Sketching Strategy to Increase Ability in Solving the Multi-Concept Physics Problem. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching, v19 n2 Article 19*.

[2] Alias, N. (2022). *DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICS MODULE BASED ON LEARNING STYLE AND APPROPRIATE TECHNOLOGY BY EMPLOYING ISMAN INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN MODEL.* Retrieved from Eric Files: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ989258.pdf

[3] Ambarawati, M. (2022). *PENGEMBANGAN MEDIA APLIKASI GEOTRI PADA MATERI GEOMETRI BERBASIS MOBILE LEARNING.* Retrieved from Jurnal Imiah: https://jim.teknokrat.ac.id/index.php/pendidikan matematika/article/view/2288

[4] Amin, B. D., Abdullah, H., & Malago, J. D. (2018). Sketch strategy of knowledge in physics learning and its influence on metacognitive. *Educational Research and Reviews*.

[5] Assem, H. (2023). *A Review of Students' Academic Performance in Physics: Attitude, Instructional Methods, Misconceptions and Teachers Qualification.* Retrieved from European Journal of Education and Pedagogy:

https://www.ej-edu.org/index.php/ejedu/article/view/551

[6] Assem, H. D., Nartey, L., Appiah, E., & Aidoo, J. K. (2023). A Review of Students' Academic Performance in Physics: Attitude, Instructional Methods, Misconceptions and Teachers Qualification. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy, Vol. 4 No. 1*.

[7] Astalini, Darmaji, Pathoni, H., Kurniawan, W., Jufrida, Kurniawan, D. A., & Perdana, R. (2019). Motivation and Attitude of Students on Physics Subject in the Middle School in Indonesia. *International Education Studies, v12 n9*, 15-26.

[8] Bacomo, A. C. (2022). *Modular Learning Efficiency: Learner's Attitude and Performance Towards Self-Learning Modules.* Retrieved from Philpapers: https://philpapers.org/rec/BACMLE

[9] Bahtaji, M. A. (2020). Improving Students Graphing Skills and Conceptual Understanding Using Explicit Graphical Physics Instructions. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences, v15 n4*, 843-853.

[10] Bazelais, P., & Doleck, T. (2018). Investigating the impact of blended learning on academic performance in a first semester college physics course. *Journal of Computers in Education volume 5*, 67–94.

[11] Bigozzi, L., Tarchi, C., Fiorentini, C., Falsini, P., & Stefanelli, F. (2018). The Influence of Teaching Approach on Students' Conceptual Learning in Physics. *Frontiers in Psychology*.

[12] Burkholder, E., Blackmon, L., & Wieman, C. (2020). What factors impact student performance in introductory physics? *PLoS ONE 15(12)*.

[13] Candra, R. (2020). *Development of Science Module SETS Approach to Strengthen Cognitive Learning Outcomes of Elementary School Students.* Retrieved from Journal Unnes: https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/jpe/article/view/37713

[14] Chao, C.-M. (2019). *Factors Determining the Behavioral Intention to Use Mobile Learning: An Application and Extension of the UTAUT Model.* Retrieved from Frontiers in Psychology: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01652/full

[15] Crouch, C. H., Wisittanawat, P., Cai, M., & Renninger, K. A. (2018). Life science students' attitudes, interest, and performance in introductory physics for life sciences: An exploratory study. *PHYSICAL REVIEW PHYSICS EDUCATION RESEARCH*.

[16] Diez, D. (2020). *Promoting conceptual understanding in high-school physics.* Retrieved from KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY: http://kth.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1528285/FULLTEXT01.pdf

[17] Duque, D. (2019, June). *The Effect of Influence-Embedded Physics Instruction on Student Academic Performance.* Retrieved from DLSCU Congress: https://www.dlsu.edu.ph/wp-content/uploads/pdf/conferences/research-congress-proceedings/2019/lli-II-024.pdf

[18] Elizzar. (2018). *Development of Scientific Approach Based on Discovery Learning Module.* Retrieved from Iop Science: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/335/1/012101/meta

[19] Gómez-Tejedor, J. A., Vidaurre, A., Tort-Ausina, I., Molina-Mateo, J., Serrano, M.-A., Meseguer-Dueñas, J. M., . . . Riera, J. (2020). Effectiveness of flip teaching on engineering students' performance in the physics lab. *Computers & Education, Vol 144*.

[20] Gunawan, G., Nisrina, N., Suranti, N. M., Herayanti, L., & Rahmatiah, R. (2018). Virtual Laboratory to Improve Students' Conceptual Understanding in Physics Learning. *Mathematics, Informatics, Science and Education International Conference (MISEIC)* . Surabaya, Indonesia.

[21] Haddadi, H. (2022). *Deep Learning in Mobile and Wireless Networking: A Survey.* Retrieved from IEEE Explore: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8666641

[22] Jufrida, J., Kurniawan, W., Astalini, A., Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., & Maya, W. A. (2019). Students' Attitude and Motivation in Mathematical Physics. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education, v8 n3*, 401-408.

[23] Khalil, M. K. (2020). *The use of self-learning modules to facilitate learning of basic science concepts in an integrated medical curriculum.* Retrieved from Anatomical Sciences Education: https://anatomypubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ase.177

[24] Khan, W. A., & Saeed, M. (2021). Relationship between Secondary School Students' Physics Academic Achievement Scores and their Conceptual Knowledge. *Bulletin of Education and Research, Vol. 43, No.2*, 1-16.

[25] Kinyua, J. M., & Nyaga, V. K. (2019). COUNSELLING NEEDS RELATED TO PRACTICAL SKILLS AFFECTING STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE IN PHYSICS BY GENDER IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MBEERE NORTH SUB-COUNTY, KENYA. *International Journal of Contemporary Applied Researches, Vol. 6, No. 6*, 61-65.

[26] Li, K. C. (2023). *Features and Trends of Personalised Learning: A Review of Journal Publications from 2001 to 2018.* Retrieved from Taylor Francis Group: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003448952-2/features-trends-personalised-learning-review-journal-publications-2001-2018-kam-cheong-li-billy-tak-ming-wong

[27] Logan, R. (2021). *Development of an e-learning module to facilitate student learning and outcomes.* Retrieved from Science Direct: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1557308720301177

[28] Lomoljo, R. (2017). *Predictors of the Performance and the Difficulties in Physics among Senior Students in Mindanao State University-External Studies: A Proposed Intervention Program.* Retrieved from International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences: https://ijhss.net/index.php/ijhss/article/view/259

[29] Lumanapet, H. (2023). *Effectiveness of Self-Learning Modules on Students' Learning in English Amidst Pandemic.* Retrieved from Res Militaris: https://resmilitaris.net/index.php/resmilitaris/article/view/2597

[30] Mahyoob, M. (2020, December). *Challenges of e-Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic Experienced by EFL Learners.* Retrieved from SSRN Journals: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3652757

[31] Marangunić, N. (2019). *Technology acceptance model in educational context: A systematic literature review.* Retrieved from Bera Journals: https://bera-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/bjet.12864

[32] Martiningsih, I. (2019). *Development of Module Based on Scientific Contextual Additives Material to Increase Learning Outcomes and Science Process Skills in Junior High School.* Retrieved from Journal Unnes: https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/jise/article/view/27790

[33] Mbonyiryivuze, A., Yadav, L. L., & Amadalo, M. M. (2021). Students' Attitudes towards Physics in Nine Years Basic Education in Rwanda. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education, v10 n2* , 648-659.

[34] Motlan. (2021). *Development of Optical Learning Modules Based on Critical Thinking Skills.* Retrieved from Atlantis Press: https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/aisteel-21/125962719

[35] Nofrion. (2022). *ONLINE LEARNING: THE EFFECTS OF USING E-MODULES ON SELF-EFFICACY, MOTIVATION AND LEARNING OUTCOMES.* Retrieved from Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education: https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/tojde/issue/72877/1182760

[36] Novaliendry, D. (2020). *Smart Learning Media Based on Android Technology* . Retrieved from International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change: https://www.ijicc.net/images/vol12/iss11/121109_Novaliendry_2020_E_R.pdf

[37] Prasetya. (2021). *Development Of E-Modules with A Problem Based Learning Approach In Economic Learning.* Retrieved from 8th Iset: https://proceeding.unnes.ac.id/index.php/ISET/article/view/2002

[38] Primayana. (2019). *Effect of Learning Module with Setting Contextual Teaching and Learning to Increase the Understanding of Concepts.* Retrieved from International Journal of Education and Learning ISSN 2684-9240: https://download.garuda.kemdikbud.go.id/article.php?article=2633802&val=24531&title=Effect%20of%20Learning%20Module%20with%20Setting%20Contextual%20Teaching%20and%20Lear

ning%20to%20Increase%20the%20Understanding%20of%20Concepts

[39] Sagala, R., Umam, R., Thahir, A., Saregar, A., & Wardani, I. (2019). The Effectiveness of STEM-Based on Gender Differences: The Impact of Physics Concept Understanding. *European Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 8 Issue 3*, 753 - 761.

[40] Silalahi. (2021). *Development of E-Modules Based on Exe-Learning on Topics of Reaction Rate Against Student Learning Outcomes Mechanical Engineering.* Retrieved from Journal Ummat: https://journal.ummat.ac.id/index.php/IJECA/article/view/2672

[41] Sirait, J. (2019). *The relationship between students' views and performance of solving physics problems.* Retrieved from Journal of Physics: Conference Series: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1171/1/012008/pdf

[42] Sudarmin. (2017). *The Development of Local Wisdom-Based Natural Science Module to Improve Science Literation of Students.* Retrieved from Indonesian Journal of Science Education: https://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/jpii/article/view/9595

[43] Sujito. (2022). *Development of Teaching Modules for Operation and System Stability Courses as a Pilot Project for the Electrical Engineering Study Program Towards Independent Learning.* Retrieved from IJRVOCAS: https://journal.gpp.or.id/index.php/ijrvocas/article/view/74

[44] Szymkowiak, A. (2021). *Information technology and Gen Z: The role of teachers, the internet, and technology in the education of young people.* Retrieved from Science Direct: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0160791X21000403

[45] Taanghar, B., Fatoki, J. O., & Joshua, J. D. (2021). Students' Academic Performance in Physics as a Correlate of Their Academic Performance in Mathematics in Makurdi Local Government Area, Benue State. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, Volume 2; Issue 6*.

[46] Tahya. (2022). *The Development of Local Wisdom-Based Chemistry Modules to Improve Students' Science Process Skills.* Retrieved from Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA: https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/87205048/1148-libre.pdf?1654700077=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DDevelopment_of_Local_Wisdom_Based_Chemis.pdf&Expires=1715793902&Signature=FFEVRPJrXpK4i~iml3uIkOkpz4I3WKAh1UYgre5VzlgwV0667bFXSRkkQ

[47] Twahirwa, J. N., & Twizeyimana, E. (2020). Effectiveness of Practical Work in Physics on Academic Performance among Learners at the selected secondary school in Rwanda. *African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences Vol. 16, No. 2*, 99-105.

[48] Usman. (2019). *Ethno-Science Based Module Development on Material Substance and its Characteristics to Improve Learning Achievement of Junior High School Students* . Retrieved from International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics : https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/81023009/IJISM_845_FINAL-libre.pdf?1645271678=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DEthno_Science_Based_Module_Development_o.pdf&Expires=1715793909&Signature=BbhgBXMdrMfj7CeKD6YtGABpBIVaKmHkP7AREEtROiucu3

[49] Vilia, P. (2017, June 28). *Academic Achievement in Physics-Chemistry: The Predictive Effect of Attitudes and Reasoning Abilities.* Retrieved from Frontiers in Psychology: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01064/full

[50] Villareas. (2020). *Contextualized Learning Modules in Bridging Students' Learning Gaps in Calculus with Analytic Geometry through Independent Learning.* Retrieved from Eric Files: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1294581

[51] Wang, M. (2023). *Team-based mobile learning supported by an intelligent system: case study of STEM students.* Retrieved from Taylor Francis Online: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003457121-2/team-based-mobile-learning-supported-intelligent-system-case-study-stem-students-qiongli-zhu-minjuan-wang

[52] Wibowo. (2018). *Development of Project-Based Learning Science Module to Improve Critical*

Thinking Skills of Junior High School Students. Retrieved from Journal of Science Education Research: https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/jser/article/view/22471

[53] Wieman, C. (2020, December 17). *What factors impact student performance in introductory physics?* Retrieved from Plos One Journals: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0244146

[54] Zohbi, G. (2022, November 18). *Learning physics online or face-to-face: A case study of STEM and non-STEM students.* Retrieved from Frontiers in Psychology: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1041187/full

[55] Zulfa, I., Kusairi, S., Latifah, E., & Jauhariyah, M. N. (2018). Analysis of student's conceptual understanding on the work and energy of online hybrid learning. *Seminar Nasional Fisika (SNF).* IOP Publishing.