

Cancer Vaccines: Pioneering Approaches in Immunotherapy

Mr. Rohit Shivcharan Patil, Miss. Swati Samadhan Patil, Miss. Neetu Vicky Patil, Miss. Kamini Eknath Saindane

Pharmacy, Indubai Bhadane Pratishthan College of Pharmacy Borkund and Shri Sai Samarth institute of pharmacy bhadgaon

Abstract -Cancer remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, with millions of new cases diagnosed each year. Despite significant advancements in conventional treatments such as surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy, these modalities often come with severe side effects and are not universally effective. This has led to an increasing interest in harnessing the body's immune system to fight cancer, a strategy known as immunotherapy.

Among the various forms of immunotherapy, cancer vaccines have emerged as a promising approach. Unlike traditional vaccines that are designed to prevent infectious diseases, cancer vaccines are developed to either prevent cancer in high-risk individuals or treat existing malignancies. These vaccines work by stimulating the immune system to recognize and attack cancer cells, which often evade immune detection by masquerading as normal cells.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of cancer vaccines, discussing their types, mechanisms of action, current state of clinical development, recent advances, and future directions. By exploring the evolving landscape of cancer vaccines, we seek to highlight their potential to transform cancer treatment and improve patient outcomes.

Key Words: Dendritic Cells, Immunological Surveillance, HPV Vaccines, Memory T Cells

1. TYPES OF CANCER VACCINES

1. Preventive (Prophylactic) Vaccines

Preventive vaccines are designed to prevent cancer from developing in healthy individuals by targeting viruses that can cause cancer.

Examples: Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccine: Protects against HPV types that cause cervical, anal, and other cancers. Vaccines: Gardasil, Cervarix.

Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) Vaccine: Prevents HBV infection, which can lead to liver cancer. Vaccines: EngerixB, Recombivax HB.

Mechanism of Action: These vaccines stimulate the immune system to produce antibodies against specific viruses, preventing infection and subsequent cancer development.

2. Therapeutic (Treatment) Vaccines Therapeutic vaccines are designed to treat existing cancer by stimulating the body's immune system to attack cancer cells.

A. Dendritic Cell Vaccines Example: SipuleucelT (Provenge) for prostate cancer.

Mechanism: Patient's dendritic cells are collected, exposed to cancer antigens, and reinfused to activate the immune response against cancer cells.

B. Tumor Cell Vaccines Example: GVAX pancreas vaccine for pancreatic cancer.

Mechanism: Uses whole cancer cells that are genetically modified to secrete growth factors, stimulating the immune system to attack the cancer cells.

3. Antigen Vaccines Example: MAGEA3 vaccine for melanoma and lung cancer.

Mechanism: Targets specific cancer antigens to induce an immune response against cancer cells expressing those antigens.

4. DNA Vaccines Example: VGX3100 for cervical precancerous lesions caused by HPV.

Mechanism: Uses genetically engineered DNA to produce antigens that trigger an immune response against cancer cells.

5. Peptide Vaccines Example: NYESO1 vaccine for multiple cancers.

Mechanism: Short sequences of amino acids (peptides) from cancer antigens are used to stimulate an immune response.

6. Viral Vector Vaccines Example: PROSTVAC for prostate cancer.

Mechanism: Uses modified viruses to deliver cancer antigens to the immune system, inducing a strong immune response.

Recent Developments and Innovations

1. Personalized Neoantigen Vaccines Tailored to individual patients based on specific mutations in their tumors. Example: NeoVax for melanoma.

2. Combination Therapies Combining vaccines with other treatments like checkpoint inhibitors (e.g., pembrolizumab) to enhance efficacy.

3. Advancements in Delivery Systems Use of nanoparticles, viral vectors, and adjuvants to improve vaccine delivery and immune response.

2. MECHANISMS OF ACTION OF CANCER VACCINES

1. Antigen Presentation: How Cancer Vaccines Present Antigens to the Immune System

A) Dendritic Cells (DCs): Dendritic cells are professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) that play a crucial role in initiating the immune response. Cancer vaccines often use DCs loaded with cancer antigens. These DCs are then reintroduced into the patient's body, where they migrate to lymph nodes and present the antigens to T cells. Example: SipuleucelT (Provenge) for prostate cancer uses a patient's own dendritic cells, which are exposed to a prostate cancer antigen (PAPGMCSF fusion protein) outside the body before being reinfused into the patient.

B) Whole Tumor Cells: Some vaccines use whole cancer cells, either from the patient or from established cancer cell lines, that are irradiated to prevent proliferation. These cells express a broad array of tumor antigens, providing a diverse set of targets for the immune system. Example: GVAX pancreas vaccine uses irradiated pancreatic cancer cells engineered to secrete GMCSF, enhancing their ability to present antigens to the immune system.

C) Synthetic Peptides and Proteins: Vaccines can include specific peptides or proteins derived from cancer antigens. These peptides are processed by APCs and presented on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules to T cells. Example: MAGEA3 vaccine uses peptides derived from the MAGEA3 antigen, which is expressed in various cancers, including melanoma and lung cancer.

2. Immune Response Activation: How Vaccines Stimulate an Immune Response:

A) Activation of T Cells: Once antigens are presented by APCs, they activate T cells, particularly cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), which are capable of directly killing cancer cells expressing the target antigens. Helper T cells (CD4+) also play a supportive role by secreting cytokines that enhance the immune response. Example: DNA vaccines encode antigens that are taken up by cells and expressed, leading to the presentation of these antigens on MHC molecules and subsequent activation of T cells.

B) B Cell Activation and Antibody Production: Some cancer vaccines can also activate B cells, leading to the production of antibodies that target cancer cells. These antibodies can tag cancer cells for destruction by other immune cells or directly interfere with cancer cell growth. Example: HPV vaccines (Gardasil, Cervarix) generate antibodies against HPV antigens, preventing HPV infection and subsequent cancer development.

C) Adjuvants: Many cancer vaccines include adjuvants, which are substances that enhance the body's immune response to the vaccine. Adjuvants can stimulate innate immune receptors, promoting a stronger and more sustained adaptive immune response. Example: AS04, an adjuvant used in Cervarix, contains a combination of aluminum hydroxide and monophosphoryl lipid A to enhance the immune response to HPV antigens.

3. Memory Response: How Vaccines Create a Long-Term Immune Memory Against Cancer Cells

A) Memory T Cells: Upon activation by cancer vaccines, some T cells differentiate into memory T cells. These cells persist in the body long-term and can quickly mount a robust immune response upon reexposure to the same antigen. Example: Therapeutic cancer vaccines, such as neoantigen vaccines, aim to generate a pool of memory T cells that can recognize and attack cancer cells if they recur.

B) B Cell Memory: Similarly, memory B cells generated in response to cancer vaccines can produce antibodies more rapidly and in larger quantities upon reexposure to the antigen. Example: Preventive vaccines, like those for HPV, create memory B cells that provide long-lasting protection against the virus.

C) Immunological Surveillance: The presence of memory cells contributes to ongoing immunological surveillance, where the immune system continuously monitors and eliminates cancer cells that express the

target antigens, reducing the likelihood of relapse. Example SipuleucelT has shown to extend survival in prostate cancer patients by enhancing immunological surveillance through the generation of memory T cells.

3. CURRENT CANCER VACCINES

FDA Approved Vaccines 1. SipuleucelT (Provenge), 2. HPV Vaccines (Gardasil, Cervarix)

1. SipuleucelT (Provenge)

Mechanism: SipuleucelT is a therapeutic cancer vaccine designed to treat metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). It is an autologous cellular immunotherapy, meaning it uses the patient's own immune cells. The process involves collecting the patient's dendritic cells (DCs) through a procedure called leukapheresis. These cells are then exposed to a recombinant fusion protein (PAPGMCSF) that consists of prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP), an antigen found in most prostate cancer cells, linked to granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), which stimulates immune activity.

Efficacy: Clinical trials have demonstrated that SipuleucelT improves overall survival in patients with mCRPC. In the pivotal IMPACT trial, SipuleucelT extended median overall survival by 4.1 months compared to placebo (25.8 months vs. 21.7 months).

The treatment was well-tolerated, with common side effects including chills, fever, and headache, which are typical of immune activation.

SipuleucelT is significant because it was one of the first therapeutic cancer vaccines to receive FDA approval, marking a milestone in cancer immunotherapy.

2. HPV Vaccines (Gardasil, Cervarix)

Mechanism:

HPV vaccines are preventive vaccines designed to protect against infections by human papillomavirus (HPV) types that are associated with various cancers, particularly cervical cancer, but also anal, oropharyngeal, and other cancers. These vaccines use virus-like particles (VLPs) that mimic the outer shell of the actual virus but do not contain viral DNA, rendering them non-infectious. The VLPs stimulate the immune system to produce antibodies against HPV, preventing the virus from infecting cells.

Efficacy:

HPV vaccines have shown high efficacy in preventing HPV infections and the precancerous lesions

associated with these viruses. Clinical trials have shown that Gardasil and Cervarix are nearly 100% effective in preventing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) caused by HPV types 16 and 18 in women who have not been previously exposed to these viruses.

4. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF CANCER VACCINES

1. Immune Evasion by Tumors

How Some Cancers Escape Immune Detection:

Tumor Microenvironment (TME): The TME can be immunosuppressive, containing various cells and molecules that inhibit immune responses. Tumors can recruit regulatory T cells (Tregs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and secrete cytokines like TGF β and IL10 to create an immunosuppressive environment.

Example: High levels of Tregs in the TME can suppress the activity of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), reducing the effectiveness of cancer vaccines.

Antigen Loss: Tumors can undergo antigen loss, where they stop expressing the antigens targeted by the vaccine. This can occur through genetic mutations or selective pressure, allowing tumor cells to evade immune recognition.

Example: Variants of melanoma can lose the expression of the MART1 antigen after initial immune recognition and attack, leading to immune escape.

Checkpoint Molecules: Tumors can express checkpoint molecules such as PDL1, which binds to PD1 receptors on T cells, leading to T cell exhaustion and reduced anti-tumor activity.

Example: Many cancers upregulate PDL1, which inhibits the immune response by binding to PD1 on T cells, preventing their activation and allowing tumor cells to evade the immune system.

Heterogeneity: Tumors are often heterogeneous, consisting of cells with varying antigenic profiles. This diversity makes it challenging for a single vaccine to target all tumor cells effectively.

Example: Different subclones within a single tumor may express different sets of antigens, reducing the efficacy of a vaccine targeting only a subset of these antigens.

2. Adverse Effects

Potential Side Effects of Cancer Vaccines:

Local Reactions: Common side effects include pain, redness, and swelling at the injection site. These are usually mild and resolve on their own. Example: Patients receiving the HPV vaccine often report mild local reactions at the injection site.

Systemic Reactions: Fever, fatigue, muscle aches, and headaches can occur as the immune system responds to the vaccine. These symptoms are generally mild to moderate. Example: Recipients of SipuleucelT may experience chills, fever, and flulike symptoms following infusion.

Autoimmune Reactions: There is a potential risk for autoimmune reactions, where the immune system might attack normal tissues along with cancer cells. This is a rare but serious side effect. Example: Some cancer vaccines have been associated with the development of autoimmune conditions, such as autoimmune hepatitis or myocarditis, though these occurrences are rare.

Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS): In some cases, a robust immune response can lead to CRS, characterized by high levels of inflammatory cytokines causing fever, nausea, and in severe cases, organ dysfunction. Example: Patients receiving certain experimental cancer vaccines in clinical trials have experienced CRS, necessitating close monitoring and supportive care.

3. Cost and Accessibility

Economic and Logistical Challenges:

High Development Costs: The development of cancer vaccines involves significant investment in research, clinical trials, and regulatory approval processes, making them expensive to bring to market. Example: The development and approval process for SipuleucelT involved extensive and costly clinical trials, contributing to its high price.

Production Costs: Manufacturing personalized cancer vaccines, such as dendritic cell vaccines, is complex and expensive, requiring specialized facilities and individualized production processes. Example: The production of SipuleucelT involves the collection and processing of a patient's own cells, resulting in high production costs.

Limited Accessibility: High costs can limit the accessibility of cancer vaccines to patients, particularly in low and middle-income countries. Insurance coverage and reimbursement policies also impact patient access. Example: The high cost of the

HPV vaccine can be a barrier to widespread vaccination programs in resource-limited settings.

Distribution Challenges: Cancer vaccines often require stringent storage and handling conditions, such as cold chain logistics, to maintain their efficacy, posing additional logistical challenges. Example: Ensuring the stability and efficacy of vaccines like Gardasil during transportation and storage requires a robust cold chain infrastructure, which can be difficult to maintain in some regions.

5. FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF CANCER VACCINES

1) Research Opportunities

Cancer vaccines represent a dynamic field with several avenues for further research. One critical area is understanding and overcoming immune evasion mechanisms employed by tumors. Research could focus on identifying additional immune checkpoints and developing strategies to block them, thereby enhancing the efficacy of vaccines. Additionally, exploring the tumor microenvironment and its role in immune suppression could lead to novel therapeutic targets.

Personalized cancer vaccines, tailored to individual genetic profiles and tumor antigens (neoantigens), hold significant promise. Advancements in genomic sequencing and bioinformatics are crucial for identifying unique neoantigens that can be targeted by vaccines. Further research in this area could refine vaccine design and improve patient outcomes by ensuring precise immune recognition of tumor cells.

2) Potential Breakthroughs :

Emerging technologies such as mRNA vaccines and viral vector delivery systems offer exciting prospects for cancer vaccine development. mRNA vaccines, exemplified by recent COVID-19 vaccines, provide a versatile platform for rapid vaccine production and can be tailored to express specific tumor antigens. Viral vectors, engineered to deliver tumor antigens directly to immune cells, hold potential for enhancing immune responses against cancer.

Advancements in combination therapies, integrating cancer vaccines with checkpoint inhibitors or other immunomodulators, represent another frontier. These combinations have shown synergistic effects in preclinical and clinical studies, suggesting they could

overcome resistance mechanisms and improve response rates in diverse cancer types.

3) Public Health Impact

Successful cancer vaccines have the potential for profound public health impact by reducing cancer incidence and mortality worldwide. Preventive vaccines targeting oncogenic viruses like HPV have already demonstrated significant reductions in cervical cancer rates. Scaling up vaccination programs and increasing vaccine accessibility could further curb the burden of virus-associated cancers globally.

Therapeutic vaccines, if optimized and widely adopted, could transform cancer treatment paradigms. They offer a less toxic alternative to traditional therapies like chemotherapy and radiation, potentially extending survival and improving quality of life for cancer patients.

6.CONCLUSION

"Cancer Vaccines: Pioneering Approaches in Immunotherapy" provides a comprehensive overview of the evolution and current landscape of cancer vaccines. It highlights the pioneering efforts in leveraging the immune system to combat cancer, emphasizing both preventive and therapeutic strategies. The review underscores the significant strides made in understanding tumor immunology, antigen presentation, and immune activation mechanisms critical for vaccine efficacy.

Looking forward, the review identifies ongoing challenges such as immune evasion by tumors, adverse effects, and economic barriers to accessibility. These challenges necessitate continued research into novel vaccine technologies, personalized vaccine approaches targeting neoantigens, and innovative combination therapies.

In conclusion, while cancer vaccines face formidable hurdles, their potential to reshape cancer care is undeniable. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration and investment in research are essential to realize the full potential of cancer vaccines as integral components of modern oncology practice.

REFERENCE

1) Disis ML. (2004). Cancer vaccines: Past, present, and future. *The Lancet Oncology*, 5(5), 299-309. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(04\)01620-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(04)01620-0)

2) Gulley JL, Madan RA, Schlom J. (2010). Cancer vaccines: A critical review of current practices and opportunities. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 10(7), 509-520. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2820>

3) Melero I, Gaudernack G, Gerritsen W, et al. (2014). Cancer vaccines: Challenges and opportunities in translational research. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 14(5), 309-320. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3748>

4) van der Burg SH, Arens R, Ossendorp F, van Hall T, Melief CJ. (2016). Cancer vaccines: State of the art in clinical practice. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 39, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2016.04.004>

5) Finke LH, Wentworth K, Blumenstein B, Rudolph NS, Levitsky H, Hoos A. (2003). Cancer vaccines: Accomplishments and challenges. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, 46(1), 95-113. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1040-8428\(02\)00153-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1040-8428(02)00153-3)

6) Kantoff, P. W., Higano, C. S., Shore, N. D., Berger, E. R., Small, E. J., Penson, D. F., ... & Frohlich, M. W. (2010)., 363(5), 411-422. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1001294](<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1001294>)

7) Palucka, K., & Banchereau, J. (2013). Cancer immunotherapy via dendritic cells. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 12(4), 265-277. doi: [10.1038/nrc3258](<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3258>)

8) Cheever, M. A., Allison, J. P., Ferris, A. S., Finn, O. J., Hastings, B. M., Hecht, T. T., ... & Atkins, M. B. (2009). 15(17), 5323-5337. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0737](<https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0737>)

9) Vansteenkiste, J. F., Cho, B. C., Vanakesa, T., De Pas, T., Zielinski, M., Kim, M. S., ... & Spigel, D. R. (2016). Efficacy of the MAGE-A3 cancer immunotherapeutic as adjuvant therapy in patients with resected MAGE-A3- The *Lancet Oncology*, 17(6), 822-835. doi: [10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00104-8]([https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(16\)00104-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00104-8))

10) Chiang, C. L. L., & Coukos, G. (2015). KLRG1: An orchestrator of autoimmunity and a tumor target. *Future Oncology*, 11(5), [10.2217/fon.14.272](<https://doi.org/10.2217/fon.14.272>)