

Fostering Innovation and Sustainability through Co-Creation: Exploring the Apparel Manufacturing Value Supply Chain in India

Natwarlal M Bhattad, Dr. Ajit Kumar Khare

Professor, National Institute of Fashion Technology (NIFT), Mumbai

Abstract: India's apparel manufacturing sector is uniquely positioned to leverage its rich heritage of traditional craftsmanship alongside cutting-edge technological advancements. This paper explores how co-creation processes, when embedded within the manufacturing value chain, can drive sustainability and innovation. Unlike conventional top-down product development models, co-creation in manufacturing allows for a dynamic exchange between artisans, manufacturers, and technologists, fostering products that blend modern needs with regional expertise. The research, based on interviews with key manufacturing stakeholders, uncovers specific challenges in implementing co-creation within the manufacturing space, such as aligning diverse skill sets, resource allocation, and responding to global market pressures. It further identifies how sustainability can be a core outcome of successful collaboration, offering pathways to reduce environmental impact while promoting product innovation. By highlighting best practices and case studies, this paper provides actionable insights for manufacturers to harness the full potential of co-creation, particularly in navigating India's unique capabilities and global market demands.

Keywords: Sustainability, Innovation, Co-Creation, Apparel Manufacturing, Traditional Craftsmanship

1.0 INTRODUCTION

India's apparel manufacturing value supply chain is distinguished by its ability to integrate the nation's rich legacy of artisanal craftsmanship with cutting-edge technological processes. This unique blend offers Indian manufacturers a competitive edge in the global market, positioning them as vital contributors to sustainable product development. As global consumer preferences shift increasingly towards sustainable and eco-conscious products, it has become essential for

manufacturers to align traditional techniques with modern demands.

In this context, Co-creation emerges as a strategy for fostering innovation and collaboration among diverse stakeholders. Unlike traditional product development approaches that often segregate roles, co-creation within the manufacturing value chain involves a holistic partnership between manufacturers, artisans, technology experts, and even consumers. This collaborative approach not only drives innovative solutions but also ensures the preservation of India's artisanal heritage while addressing modern sustainability challenges.

Despite its potential, implementing co-creation in the Indian apparel manufacturing sector is not without obstacles. Challenges such as aligning diverse skill sets, overcoming communication barriers, and balancing traditional craftsmanship with technological integration pose significant hurdles. Additionally, external pressures from global competition and evolving consumer demands amplify these challenges. However, overcoming these obstacles is critical for leveraging co-creation's full potential, particularly in advancing sustainability and fostering a more adaptive and resilient supply chain.

This paper explores how co-creation operates within the Indian apparel manufacturing sector, focusing on the integration of regional craftsmanship with modern production techniques. Drawing on insights from semi-structured interviews with key manufacturing stakeholders, this study identifies the primary challenges to successful co-creation and highlights best practices for fostering collaboration. By doing so, it contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable

innovation in the apparel industry, offering insights into how manufacturers can navigate these complexities to create value-driven, eco-friendly products.

2.0 REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Maltzahn (2016); Prahalad and Ramaswamy (2004): These experts underscored the essential significance of communication, accessibility, risk-return dynamics, and openness in the co-creation process. These elements are essential for promoting collaboration between enterprises and their stakeholders, facilitating the generation of reciprocal value.

Rowley, Kupiec-Teahan, and Leeming (2007): Their research emphasized the shift from a company-centric approach to one that incorporates customer behaviour into co-creation processes. They emphasized the need of utilizing consumer feedback to create goods and services that correspond with client requirements.

Prahalad & Ramaswamy (2000): Investigated the growing influence of customers inside the value chain, asserting that tailored experiences enhance customer pleasure and loyalty. They offered a content- and process-oriented framework for comprehending co-creation.

Prahalad and Ramaswamy (2003) introduced the notion of "experience space," wherein customer experiences are influenced by events, context, and individual significance. The document also emphasized how technology and innovation are revolutionizing co-creation.

Ramaswamy (2005) introduced the DART model (Dialogue, Access, Risk Management, Transparency) as a paradigm for co-creation, examining changes in customer-company relationships via enhanced consumer interactions.

Ramaswamy (2006): Investigated the progression of value creation, shifting from conventional models to ones that emphasize customer impact and engagement. The primary emphasis was on the substantial impact of user experiences in value generation.

Rowley et al. (2007): Examined co-creation in experience marketing and its potential to improve

sustainability in the fashion sector. The study examined how companies might utilize emotional and experiential involvement to achieve a sustained competitive advantage. Ramaswamy (2008): Utilized Nike as a case study to illustrate how co-creative activities may provide both strategic and economic value, highlighting the significance of customer participation.

Prahalad & Ramaswamy (2009) highlighted the expansive potential of value creation via co-creation, namely by including consumers, workers, and stakeholders as essential components in fostering innovation and productivity.

Cataldi et al. (2010) advocated for the incorporation of co-creation concepts into the slow fashion movement, emphasizing that co-creation, global networks, and standardized labeling may enhance the sustainability of the fashion sector.

Prahalad and Ramaswamy (2010) examined the transition of consumers from passive recipients to active co-creators. Their investigation concentrated on commercial transactions, management perspectives, and improved communication in co-creation processes.

Ramaswamy (2011): Examined inclusivity and participation in co-creation via case studies to propose enhancements in design interfaces and stakeholder interactions.

Ozcan & Ramaswamy (2013) identified a paradigmatic change in value creation, underscoring the role of interconnection among stakeholders through "live experiences" and interaction platforms.

Ramaswamy and Ozcan (2014) proposed a strategy framework for value creation, emphasizing experience-based networks and individualized co-creation experiences within sectors such as automotive.

Kennedy & Guzman (2016): Investigated the influence of co-creation on brand identities by qualitative research, providing insights from consumer and industry viewpoints.

Koul, Jasrotia, & Mishra (2021) proposed a conceptual framework for value co-creation inside the sharing economy, providing empirical insights for entrepreneurs.

Millsbaugh & Kent (2016): Investigated the impact of stakeholders on fashion brand co-creation, emphasizing SMEs and their strategies for brand identification.

Agrawal, Kaushik, & Rahman (2015) examined social value creation via stakeholder involvement, utilizing the RSBY (Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojna) instance to exemplify the significance of co-creation in societal advantages.

Bujor, Avalsilcai, & Alexa (2017): Examined business models within creative sectors, emphasizing the utilization of crowdsourcing as a mechanism for co-creation in product development.

Gupta (2022): Emphasized the significance of innovation and competitiveness in new product development in the Indian garment sector, underscoring the necessity of balancing domestic and foreign market strategies.

3.0 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

This is an exploratory study trying to delve into the co-creation processes within the apparel manufacturing sector. Using semi-structured interviews allow for flexibility in gathering rich insights, revealing the intricacies of co-creation and enabling participants to share their experiences, challenges, and recommendations.

3.1 Methodological Approach

Semi-structured interviews were selected as the primary data collection method due to their efficacy in capturing nuanced perspectives from diverse stakeholders within the apparel value chain. This approach facilitates an in-depth exploration of co-creation dynamics, emphasizing respondents' detailed insights into collaborative processes in the industry.

3.2 Sampling Method

A purposive sampling technique was employed to include only individuals with substantial expertise in the field. This strategic selection ensured that the study secured valuable insights from pivotal actors in the apparel value chain, thereby enhancing the credibility and applicability of the findings.

3.3 Sample Size

The study engaged a total of 18 manufacturing organizations, with 22 respondents participating. These participants provided valuable insights into the co-creation processes inherent in the Indian apparel value supply chain.

3.4 Data Collection Instrument

To maintain consistency and thoroughness, a structured guideline, termed the "contextual checklist," was utilized during interviews. This checklist served as a reference tool to ensure that all essential elements of the co-creation process were addressed, while still allowing respondents the flexibility to elaborate on particular issues or experiences.

3.5 Data Analysis

Qualitative data analysis focused on two key areas:

Current Processes: The study captured the existing workflows and interactions within the apparel value supply chain, identifying strengths and opportunities for improvement. This analysis provided foundational insights into current co-creation practices.

Future Improvements: The gathered data aimed to conceptualize enhancements in sustainable product development and manufacturing innovation. By examining both current and future states, the research explored avenues for bolstering collaboration and innovation within the co-creation framework.

3.6 Data Sources

The research incorporated both primary and secondary data:

Primary Data: Semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including sourcing managers and manufacturers, provided first-hand insights into the co-creation process.

Secondary Data: Academic literature, research papers, and other reputable sources supported the context of the primary findings, offering a holistic view of co-creation dynamics within the apparel sector.

4.0 KEY FINDINGS

The analysis revealed several significant insights regarding manufacturers' perspectives on co-creation:

Operational Focus: The majority of respondents (14 of 22) viewed co-creation as primarily tactical, emphasizing operational elements like product quality, fit, and timely delivery, aligning with brand expectations.

Technical Collaboration: All respondents recognized manufacturers' critical role in technical product development, often contributing expertise in areas such as fit, patterns, and raw material selection. Nine respondents indicated that brands frequently depend on manufacturers for technical guidance, especially when resources are constrained.

Shared Decision-Making: 18 out of 22 respondents explained the collaboration process is characterized by collective decision-making concerning product specifications, pricing, and raw material sourcing. Manufacturers provide essential insights on feasibility and production timelines, influencing these decisions.

1. **Adaptability:** Respondents highlighted the necessity for flexibility, with manufacturers adapting to shifting brand requirements in design, material selection, and production volumes.
2. **Vertical Collaboration:** Nine respondents underscored the importance of vertical co-

creation, indicating collaboration with raw material suppliers to meet specific brand needs.

3. **Sustainability Focus:** Sustainability is gaining traction, with manufacturers incorporating eco-friendly practices like sustainable materials and waste reduction. However, 14 respondents noted challenges in maintaining supply chain efficiency concerning quality and timeliness.
4. **Co-Creation Challenges:** Most respondent have shared their views and following challenges were common between all respondent .
 - **Resource Limitations:** Small and medium manufacturers often lack resources for deep co-creation involvement.
 - **Communication Issues:** Misalignment between brand visions and manufacturer capabilities can lead to inefficiencies.
 - **Cost Pressures:** Balancing high-quality output with brands' pricing constraints remains a significant challenge.
5. **Technology Utilization:** Manufacturers are increasingly adopting technologies such as CAD and ERP systems to streamline processes and enhance collaboration, although skilled personnel are needed to maximize these tools. Getting skilled people are real time challenge at all level in manufacturing .
6. **Strategic Value of Co-Creation:** Manufacturers recognize co-creation's strategic importance in fostering long-term partnerships and driving innovation, crucial for differentiating offerings in a competitive market.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The study indicates that manufacturers perceive co-creation in the apparel value supply chain as a blend of operational efficiency and strategic collaboration. However, challenges such as differing priorities, resource constraints, and communication gaps must be addressed to optimize the co-creation process for improved product development, sustainability, and market competitiveness.

5.1 Challenges Faced by Apparel Manufacturers

Manufacturers encounter significant hurdles in delivering new products globally, primarily due to poor communication. Notable challenges include:

1. **Communication Barriers:** Ineffective communication can lead to misunderstandings regarding product specifications and expectations, causing delays and substandard quality.
2. **Cultural Differences:** Diverse cultural backgrounds can result in misinterpretations of design intentions, affecting alignment with brand visions.
3. **Market Volatility:** Rapid changes in trends and consumer preferences can leave manufacturers ill-prepared to adapt, leading to outdated products.
4. **Resource Constraints:** Small & Medium manufacturers may lack access to advanced communication tools, complicating collaboration.
5. **Complex Supply Chains:** The multifaceted apparel supply chain makes communication across various stakeholders challenging, creating bottlenecks and inefficiencies.
6. **Quality Control Challenges:** Clear communication about quality expectations is essential, and lapses can result in failures to meet standards.
7. **Feedback Mechanisms:** Ineffective communication hampers feedback collection, limiting product innovation.

To mitigate these challenges, manufacturers are encouraged to enhance communication strategies through advanced technologies, fostering open dialogue, and regular check-ins.

5.2 Balancing Sustainability Challenges

Manufacturers must navigate the three pillars of sustainability:

1. **Economic Viability:** Sustainable practices often incur higher initial costs, necessitating profitable investment strategies.
2. **Environmental Responsibility:** Implementing eco-friendly practices requires meticulous planning to remain cost-effective.

3. **Social Accountability:** Adhering to fair labour practices and varying local standards adds complexity to global operations.

Rising Cost Pressures

1. **Material Costs:** Fluctuating prices of sustainable materials challenge budget constraints, affecting competitive pricing.
2. **Production Expenses:** Investments in sustainable practices can increase short-term production costs.

5.3 Maintaining Quality and Compliance

1. **Quality Assurance:** Meeting quality standards while implementing sustainable practices is a critical challenge.
2. **Regulatory Adherence:** Manufacturers must navigate increasingly stringent environmental and social regulations.

5.4 The Role of Technology in Overcoming Challenges

Technology is pivotal in addressing the challenges faced by apparel manufacturers. Sixteen out of 22 respondents shared insights on technology's impact in several areas:

1. **Enhanced Communication:** Technology centralizes communication, providing real-time updates to minimize misunderstandings.
2. **Facilitated Collaboration:** Digital platforms allow seamless collaboration, enabling efficient exchange of ideas and designs.
3. **Improved Coordination:** Automating repetitive tasks enhances coordination and reduces errors.
4. **Cost Management:** Technology optimizes supply chains, helping manage operational costs.
5. **Centralized Data Management:** Eliminating data silos ensures all stakeholders access accurate, real-time information.
6. **Compliance Monitoring:** Technology aids in tracking compliance throughout product development.

7. Effective Change Management: Technological tools streamline updates and change requests without disrupting processes.

5.5 Benefits of Technology Adoption

- **Faster Market Response:** Technology accelerates the transition from design to retail.
- **Increased Innovation:** Automating routine tasks allows focus on creativity.
- **Cost Efficiency:** Streamlined operations minimize waste and improve resource management.
- **Enhanced Customer Satisfaction:** Improved quality and quicker response times boost customer satisfaction.

6.0 CONCLUSION

This study examined the perspectives of manufacturers in the Indian apparel value supply chain regarding the co-creation process. The findings highlight that manufacturers perceive co-creation as a crucial blend of operational efficiency and strategic collaboration, focusing on factors such as product quality, fit, timely delivery, and sustainability. Manufacturers play an integral role in technical product development, offering expertise in areas such as pattern-making, fit, and raw material selection, while also contributing to shared decision-making processes involving pricing, material sourcing, and production feasibility.

One of the key insights is the need for flexibility in adapting to evolving requirements, particularly in the areas of design and production volumes. While manufacturers are increasingly adopting technology to enhance collaboration and streamline operations, the availability of skilled personnel remains a significant challenge, alongside resource limitations for small and medium-sized manufacturers.

Additionally, manufacturers are recognizing the growing importance of sustainability, with a clear shift toward eco-friendly practices like waste reduction and the use of sustainable materials. However, challenges remain in balancing sustainability goals with operational efficiency, cost pressures, and regulatory compliance. The strategic value of co-creation is

evident, with manufacturers viewing it as essential for fostering long-term partnerships, driving innovation, and differentiating their offerings in a competitive market. Overcoming challenges related to communication barriers, resource constraints, and the complexities of supply chain management will be key to optimizing the co-creation process and maintaining global competitiveness.

LIMITATION OF RESEARCH

This study is subject to several limitations that could influence the generalizability and depth of the findings:

Sample Size and Representation: The study involved 22 manufacturers, which may not comprehensively represent the full spectrum of manufacturers in India's diverse apparel industry. The experiences and perspectives of larger, more resource-rich manufacturers or niche manufacturers may not have been fully captured.

Industry Focus: The research focused specifically on the Indian apparel manufacturer, and findings may not be applicable to apparel industries in other countries or to non-apparel industries.

Qualitative Approach: The study relied primarily on qualitative data collected through semi-structured interviews. While this approach offers deep insights, it may lack the quantitative validation needed to generalize results across a broader population.

Geographic Limitation: The study concentrated on Indian manufacturers, which may limit its applicability in understanding global apparel manufacturing trends, particularly in regions with different socio-economic and regulatory environments.

Technological Scope: Although the study addressed the adoption of technology, it did not deeply explore the varying levels of technological readiness across manufacturers or specific technological solutions that could optimize the co-creation process.

Rapidly Changing Market: The fashion industry is highly dynamic, with trends, consumer preferences, and technologies evolving quickly. This could limit the relevance of the findings over time, especially as sustainability practices and digital transformation continue to shape the industry.

Focus on Manufacturer's Perspective: While the study provides valuable insights from manufacturers' perspectives, it does not equally emphasize the perspectives of brands or other stakeholders such as raw material suppliers, retailers, or consumers. A more holistic view of the co-creation process would require input from all parties involved.

Future research could aim to address these limitations by incorporating a larger and more diverse sample, employing research methods to validate findings, and expanding the geographic scope. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide insights into the evolving nature of co-creation as market dynamics and technologies continue to advance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aida Boukhris ,Albrecht Fritzhche , Kathrin Moslein (2017) , Co-creation in the Early Stage of Product-service System Development Procedia CIRP Volume 63 , Page 27-32. (Accessed on Mar 24, 2021)
- [2] Arun Kumar Kaushik , Amit Kumar, Zillur Rahman (December 2014) Co-creation of Social Value through Integration of Stakeholders Procedia Social and Behavioural Science 189 Conference: XVIII Annual International Conference of the Society of Operations Management. (Accessed on May 22, 2022)
 - A. Bujor, S. Avasilcai, "The Impact of Fashion Design to the Textiles and Leather Conference. (October 2016) Iasi, Ed. Perform antica, ISSN-L 2285 – 5378. (Accessed on April 24, 2020)
- [3] Alvin Toffler, Previews & Premises: An Interview with the Author of Future Shock and The Third Wave, Black Rose books, 1987 (Accessed on- June 24, 2023)
- [4] AK Agrawal, AK Kaushik, Z Rahman (2011) "Co-creation of social value through integration of stakeholders" (Accessed on May 21, 2019)
- [5] Azizah Omar and Pravina Jayapal (2007). "The Role of Materialism on Perceived Values and Online Impulse Buying Behaviour among Generation Y: A Research Framework Proposition"/ Journal of Advanced Research in Business, Marketing, And Supply Chain Management (Accessed on June 12 , 2020)
- [6] Bhalla Gaurav, Collaboration and Co-creation- New Platforms for Marketing and Innovation, (2011): Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7082-4_1 (Accessed on March 01, 2019)
- [7] Cataldi, C., Dickson, Grover (2010). Slow Fashion: Tailoring a Strategic Approach towards Sustainability/ Blekinge Institute of Technology (Accessed on: April 2, 2020)
- [8] Christine Crandell, Customer Co-Creation is the secret sauce to success, (July2016) /The Forbes. (Accessed on April 8, 2019)
- [9] C.K. Prahalad and Venkat Ramaswamy (2000). "Co-Opting Customer Competence"/ Harvard Business Review (January-February 2000) (Accessed on: June 24, 2020)
- [10] C.K. Prahalad, Ramaswamy (2004c). "Co-creating Unique Value with Customer, in Strategy and Leadership", 32(3),4-9. (a) (Accessed on- August 27, 2019)
- [11] C.K. Prahalad, V. Ramaswamy (2004a). "The future of Competition: Co-creating Unique Value with Customers", in Harvard Business School Press, Boston. Prentice, R. (1996). Managing Implosion: The Facilitation of Insight Through the Provision of Context, in Museum Management and Curatorship, 25(2), 169-185. (Accessed on- October 09, 2019)
- [12] C.K. Prahalad and Venkat Ramaswamy and research for the forthcoming book, The Co-Creation Paradigm (Stanford University Press, 2014) (Accessed on Jan 20, 2021)
- [13] Day E. (2002). The Role of Value in Consumer Satisfaction, Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behaviour, 15, <http://www.klcommunications.com/what-is-co-creation>(Accessed on July 20, 2019)
- [14] E.J. Arnould (2008) Service-dominant logic and resource theory Journal of the Academy of

- Marketing Science, 36 (1) (2008), pp. 21-24 (Accessed on Sep 10 , 2021)
- [15] Eric Kennedy , Franisco Guzman (2016) Co-creation of brand identities: consumer and industry influence and motivations: August 2016 Journal of Consumer Marketing 33(5):313-323 (Accessed on Jun 7 , 2023)
- [16] J Rowley , Beata Teahan , Edward Leeming (2007) Customer community and co-creation: A case study (April 2007) Marketing Intelligence & Planning (Accessed on Jun 7 , 2023)
- [17] Jotte Ilbine Jozine Charlotte De Koning , Marcel Crul, Renee Wever , Models of Co-creation (May 2016 Conference: Service Design Geographies. Proceedings of the ServDes.2016 Conference At: Copenhagen Volume: 125 (Accessed on Jun 10 , 2022)
- [18] Maltzahn (2016); Prahalad and Ramaswamy (2004) Co-Creation Experiences: The Next Practice in Value Creation December 2004 18(3):5 – 14 DOI Journal of Interactive Marketing . (Accessed on: Jan 7 , 2023)
- [19] Jennifer Millsbaugh , A Kent Co-creation and the development of SME designer fashion enterprises July 2016 Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management 20(3):322-338 (Accessed on: Jul 11 , 2023)
- [20] M Gupta (2022) New Product Development Strategies and Methods: Implications for the Indian Readymade Apparel Sector Mitali Gupta, 2022. Chapters, in: Luigi Aldieri (ed.), Innovation, Research and Development and Capital Evaluation, Intech Open. (Accessed on Aug 4 , 2023)
- [21] Prahalad and Ramaswamy Co creating unique value with customer Harvard Business school press , (2004) <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~jhm/Readings/Co-creating%20unique%20value%20with%20customers.pdf>. Accessed on Feb 4 , 2023)
- [22] Surabhi Koul & Sahil Singh Jasrotia & Hari Govind Mishra, (2022). "Value Co-creation in Sharing Economy: Indian Experience," Journal of the Knowledge Economy, Springer; Portland International Center for Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), vol. 13(1), pages 387-405, March. (Accessed on Jun 11 , 2024)
- [23] Venkat Ramaswamy and Kerimcan Ozcan February (2018) What is co-creation? An interactional creation framework and its implications for value creation, Journal of Business Research 84(1):196-205. (Accessed on: Jan 7 , 2023)
- [24] Venkat Ramaswamy. "Experience co-creation: The new frontier of IT". Ross School of Business, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.(Accessed on 09 June 2020.)
- [25] Venkat Ramaswamy, "Co-creation of value — towards an expanded paradigm of value creation" / Ross School of Business, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA (Accessed on July 2, 2020)
- [26] Venkat Ramaswamy (2008). "Co-Creating Value through Customers' Experiences: the Nike
- [27] Case, in Strategy and Leadership, 36 (5), 9–14. (Accessed on December 13, 2019)