# **Optimism-Pessimism and Wellbeing**

## *Narasimharaju N.* HOD, DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY GFGC, TIPTUR

Abstract— The Life-Orientation Test- Revised (Scheier, Carver and Bridges, 1994) and measure of Wellbeing were administered to 80 college students and statistical analysis was don't' was calculated. The group showed negative orientation (pessimism) and good wellbeing which did not support earlier findings and there was significant difference between girls and boys orientation and wellbeing and between the courses they studied.

Index Terms- Optimism, Pessimism, Wellbeing, Adolescence

### I. INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a very critical and important stage in the development of human being. The physiological, psychological and social changes during this period lead to various physical, psychological and social problems. They face dangers which are more complex than the previous ones. Hence it is a period of struggle and turmoil. They try to make adjustment to these changes. The adjustments to these factors are determined by many factors. Of these personality is one of the major factors. It consists of various traits and constructs. One such construct is life orientation i.e. optimism and pessimism. This study aims to study whether there is any significant difference in life orientation and wellbeing among demographic variables.

### Optimism and Pessimism

Life orientation [Optimism and Pessimism] is positive and negative life expectancies of life are important area in positive psychology. It is good v/s bad and the outcome is striving v/s giving up [Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F]. These expectancies predict individual's behavior, his reaction to stressful situation [Blankstein, Flett & Koledin], the kind of coping strategy the individual is going to adopt i.e. emotional coping or problem solving. They also predict physical and psychological health aspects.

Psychological well-being

Wellbeing is defined as a subjective feeling of contentment, happiness, satisfaction with the life experiences and of one's role in the world of work, sense of achievement, utility, belongingness, dissatisfaction or worry etc., it is an essential component of mental health.

## Review of literature

Many studies have proved the relationship between optimism, pessimism and well-being. Studies of Schweizer, Beck, Seuffer & Schneider (1999) show that optimism influence individual sense of wellbeing. Avia (1997) suggest that positive emotions are essential to overall well-being. Research carried out by Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1998), Blankstein, Flett & Koledin 1991, show that optimistic expectation leads to better subjective frame of mind to face stressful situation.

The reviews of Ciro Conversano & et al correlate optimism and pessimism with health aspects, mortality and mental health. Man Yee Ho & et al study optimism as mediator in promoting well- being. Schulz and et al (1996) showed that pessimistic cancer patients show lower survival rate. Burke & et al reported that optimists compared to pessimists show better physical health.

The aim and objective of the study is to find the difference between the orientation of life and wellbeing along with demographic variables. The significance of the study is that the findings may help the adolescents to develop necessary behavior to attain good mental health.

### Methodology

Problem: to study whether there is any significant difference in life orientation [pessimism & optimism] and well-being of adolescence

Hypothesis A null hypothesis was framed that H1: There will be no significant difference in orientation and wellbeing among girls and boys.

H2: There will be no significant difference in orientation and wellbeing among arts and commerce students.

### Variables

Independent variables: Demographic variables

- a) Arts and commerce students
- b) Girls and boys.

Dependent Variables: life orientation [optimism & pessimism] and well being

### Method Sample

Using random purposive design a sample of 80 adolescents aged between 18-20 studying arts and commerce course 40 in each were taken for the study. It contained 53 girls [66.3%] and 27 boys [33.8%] the sample was collected from government college, Tiptur. The students who were studying in final year were selected for the study.

### Tools used

- 1. Personal information schedule
- 2. LOT ®
- 3. General Well-Being questionnaire

### Description of tools

Personal information schedule: It consists of information regarding name, gender, age, and course Life Orientation Test: In the present study LOT-R is used to measure personality variable optimism and pessimism. It was published by Schier M F, Carver C S and Bridges M W (1994, 1985,1982).It is a brief self-reporting measure having 10 items out of which only 6 are used to derive optimism and pessimism score and remaining 4 items are fillers (item no 2, 5, 6 and 8) i.e. the fillers make the content of the text less obvious and the fillers are not used in analysis.

Out of six items three are keyed in positive direction (optimistic direction) and three are in negative direction. (Pessimistic direction). It is measured on 5 point rating scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. The subjects are asked to state their level of agreement or disagreement. Items 3, 7 and 9 are reverse coded before scoring so as to avoid response bias. Scheier et al. (1994) report that item-scale correlations range from .43 to .63. Cronbach's alpha for all six items was .78, reflecting an acceptable level of internal consistency. Test-retest reliability was reported to be .68 (4 months), .60 (12 months), .56 (24 months), and .79 (28 months). LOT has demonstrated with reliability and validity.

#### General well-being scale

Originally Dr. H Dupuy of USA developed a general well-being schedule. Wig, Pershad and Verma 1974 reconstructed this test to suit Indian conditions and it measures various aspects of adjustment. This test is a 20 item scale. A score of 1 is given to the item the subject has tick marked.

#### Procedure

A total of 80 adolescents were selected and they were administered personal information schedule, LOT® & general well-being questionnaires. The obtained responses were scored according to scoring key and norms and statistical technique was adopted to find out whether there is any significant difference between demographic variables.

Statistical analysis: Mean, standard deviation and't' test is applied and the results are analyzed to find out the level of significance between demographic variables.

### Results

Table 1 and table2 show the descriptive statistics for the LOT [opt/pessi] and general wellbeing for the group and demographic variables. The results show the mean, standard deviation and N of the group related to gender, and the course being studied. They indicate that there are differences between the demographic variables of adolescents that is gender and courses being studied. But table3 shows the't' values. this shows that at 0.01 level 't' is significant for groups LOT and general wellbeing scores and 0.05 level 't' is significant for boys LOT[opt/pessi] and general wellbeing and with rest of the demographic variables 't' is not significant . This shows that the results do not support the null hypothesis that there is significant difference between the scores of girls and boys and the course in which they study [i.e. Arts and Commerce course]. The Pearson correlation was computed [-0.97]. The result showed that there is

negative relationship between optimism/pessimism and general wellbeing of the group. The obtained correlation does not support the previous findings that optimism leads to better wellbeing and pessimism leads to poor wellbeing.

## CONCLUSION

The group showed negative orientation [pessimism] and good wellbeing. This does not support earlier findings. With regard to groups LOT and PWB scores and boys LOT and GWB scores't' is significant and with rest of the demographic variables't' is not significant. Thus null hypothesis is rejected and concluded that there is significant difference between girls and boys LOT and GWB and between the courses in which they study.

### Limitations of the study

The sample was restricted to Government College only. The size of the sample would have been increased. Equal number of girls and boys could be selected.

### Implications of the study

Since the sample has shown the negative orientation towards life, measures can be taken to improve their orientation towards positive life expectations. The findings of the previous studies have proved the effect of positive orientation on health and wellbeing and coping strategies.

### **Descriptive Statistics**

| D 1 . X7 ! 11       |           | , <b>.</b> . |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Dependent Variable: | opfimism  | nessimism    |
| Dependent variable. | optimismi | pessimisin   |

| girls/boy | commerce/art | Mean    | Std.      | Ν  |
|-----------|--------------|---------|-----------|----|
| S         | s            |         | Deviation |    |
| Girls     | Arts         | 10.4400 | 3.66379   | 25 |
|           | Commerce     | 9.5000  | 1.75330   | 28 |
|           | Total        | 9.9434  | 2.83125   | 53 |
| Boys      | Arts         | 9.5333  | 2.79966   | 15 |
|           | Commerce     | 10.9167 | 2.99874   | 12 |
|           | Total        | 10.1481 | 2.91816   | 27 |
| Total     | Arts         | 10.1000 | 3.35735   | 40 |
|           | Commerce     | 9.9250  | 2.25761   | 40 |
|           | Total        | 10.0125 | 2.84402   | 80 |

**Descriptive Statistics** 

girls/boy commerce/art Mean Std. Ν Deviation S S Arts 15.3200 2.86822 25 Girls Commerce 14.6071 2.96072 28 Total 14.9434 2.91162 53 Arts 15.6667 2.74296 15 Boys Commerce 12.6667 4.45856 12 Total 14.3333 3.84308 27 15.4500 2.79147 40 Arts Total Commerce 14.0250 3.53363 40 Total 14.7375 3.24425 80

#### Dependent Variable: psychological well being

Table: 3 showing the't' values for demographic variables

|                |   | 't' values |
|----------------|---|------------|
| Group [N=80]   | LOT&                                    | 2.39*      |
|                | Wellbeing                               |            |
| LOT            | Girls& Boys                             | 0.76       |
| [G=53,B=27]    |   |            |
| Wellbeing      | Girls& Boys                             | 0.42       |
| [G=53,B=27]    |   |            |
| LOT            | Arts& Com                               | 0.78       |
| [Arts=40,Com=4 | L I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I |            |
| 0]             |   |            |
| Wellbeing      | Arts& Com                               | 0.06       |
| [Arts=40,Com=4 | ŧ l                                     |            |
| 0]             |   |            |
| Girls [53]     | LOT & Well                              | 1.38       |
|                | being                                   |            |
| Boys [27]      | LOT & Well                              | 3.76**     |
|                | being                                   |            |

### REFERENCES

- Aspinwall, L. G., & Taylor, S. E. (1992). 'Modeling cognitive adaptation: A longitudinal investigation of the impact of individual differences and coping on college adjustment and performance', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 61, 755-765
- [2] Avia, M. D. (1997). Personality and positive outcomes. European Journal of Personality,11,33-56.
- [3] Burke, K. L., Joyner, A. B., Czech, D. R., & Wilson, M. J. (2000). 'An investigation of

concurrent validity between two optimism/pessimism questionnaires: The life orientation test-revised and the optimism/pessimism scale', Current Psychology: Development, Learning, Personality, Social, 19(2), 129-136.

- [4] Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1998). 'On the self-regulation of behavior', New York, NY:
- [5] Cambridge University Press.
- [6] Ciro Conversano, Alessandro Rotondo, Elena Lensi, Olivia Della Vista, Francesca Arpone, and Mario Antonio Reda. 'Optimism and Its Impact on Mental and Physical Well-Being' Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health. 2010; 6: 25–29.
- [7] Hooker, K., Monahan, D., Shifren, K., & Hutchinson, C. (1992), 'Mental and physical health of spouse caregivers: the role of personality', Psychology and Aging, 7, 367–375.
- [8] lankstein, K. R., Flett, G. L., & Koledin, S. (1991). 'The brief college student Hassles Scale: development, validation, and relation with pessimism', Journal of College Student Development, 32, 258–264.
- [9] Iwanaga (2004). Coping availability and stress reduction for optimistic and pessimistic individuals', Personality and Individual Differences 36, 11–22 21
- [10] Man, M. Cheung | Shu Fai Cheung (2010). 'The role of meaning in life and optimism in promoting well-being' 48, (5), 658-663.
- [11] Scheier, M. F., & Carver, C. S. (1985). 'Optimism, coping, and health: assessment and implications of generalized outcome expectancies', Health Psychology, 4, 219–247.
- [12] Scheier, M. F., & Carver, C. S. (1987).
  'Dispositional optimism and physical wellbeing: the influence of generalized outcome expectancies on health'. Journal of Personality, 55, 169–210.
- [13] Scheier, M. F., & Carver, C. S. (1992). 'Effects of optimism on psychological and physical wellbeing: theoretical overview and empirical update', Cognitive Therapy and Research, 16, 201–228.
- [14] Schou, I., Ekeberg, O., & Ruland, C.M. (2005).'The mediating role of appraisal and coping in

the relationship between optimism-pessimism and quality of life'. Psycho-Oncology, 14, 718-727.

- [15] Schulz, R., Bookwala, J., Knapp, J. E., Scheier, M., & Williamson, G. M. (1996). Pessimism, age, and cancer mortality. Psychology and Aging, 11(2), 304-309.
- [16] Schweizer, K., Beck-Seyffer, A., & Schneider, R. (1999). 'Cognitive bias of optimism and its influence on psychological well-being', Psychological Report, 84, 627-636.