Postmortem redistribution in forensic toxicology: challenges, mechanism, and advances in drug detection

Mahima agarwal
Parul Institute of Applied Science

Abstract– Postmortem toxicology is one of the most important field in forensic science as it explain the cause and manner in which a crime was committed by both qualitative and quantitative analysis of drugs, toxins, or other chemicals present in the evidence. This paper discusses the findings from recent research to show progress and hurdles in the field. Other significant issues covered are postmortem redistribution in which drug concentration may alter following death due to several physiological processes such as coagulation of blood and movement of body fluids, hence misinterpretation of toxicological samples.

The review discusses the effect PMR has on the accuracy of toxicological results, and also emphasis on the importance of the drug concentrations being that are interpreted in reference to anatomical sites and the correct application of sampling methods.

It describes the characteristics of drugs that are most likely affected by PMR, including protein binding, tissue decomposition, lipophilicity, how much volume of drug is distributed, the mechanism for these changes, and bacterial activity.

The review later involves the improvements made in analytical technology and sample preparation, which makes the analysis of drugs in postmortem samples more efficient by using advanced chromatography and mass spectrometry methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

The presence of drugs, toxins, and chemicals in the deceased provides information that helps in determining the cause and manner of death in the field of postmortem toxicology, but the main challenges arrive with the postmortem redistribution or PMR, that allows the drug concentration to change after death. This review article discusses the nuances of PMR and its complexities during the forensic investigation, discussing how complicate the analysis of toxicological data becomes due to various physiological and biochemical changes occur due to PMR.

The paper introduces PMR as a result of lipophilicity, protein binding, and distributed volume of some drugs, which gives drugs the ability to shit from body's one compartment to other after death or we can say post mortem. Lipophilic drugs (fat loving drugs or drugs that dissolve in the fat tissues easily), they are the most redistributed drug because once they are absorbed in fatty tissues, then they are redistributed to the blood stream after death. As blood starts to coagulate and the tissues start to decompose, drugs can also start diffusing back into the circulatory system, effecting their concentration in the results during toxicological analysis. This distribution event lead to higher concentration of drug in the central blood sample (sample from heart) rather than peripheral blood (sample from femoral vein), that's taken from the femoral vein; therefore, the actual cause of death may be misinterpreted due to PMR not taken into consideration.

To overcome these challenges, this paper reviews the recent advances in the analytical techniques that have significantly improved the accountability of the results of postmortem samples by providing accuracy and sensitivity for the detection of drugs. Innovations such as LC-MS/MS allows to achieve much better accurate measurement even when presented with intricate drug profiles, such as in cases of opioids overdose, in which several compounds and metabolites come into play. The paper further highlights the need to understand the pharmacokinetics of drugs, where its important to know the distribution pattern of the drugs and how they interact with the body to determine the right choice for sampling sites and methods. For example, when peripheral blood samples are selected, the impact of PMR is reduced with more stability in the drug levels near the time of death.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Postmortem redistribution of drugs: a literature review

This study has covered postmortem redistribution (PMR) in a very elaborate manner and has also underlined the physiological changes that occur post mortem, which includes blood coagulation and tissue death, altering drug levels. Moving forward, this paper discusses how this method works mostly for

lipophilic drug such as opioids. thus, such alteration in drug levels post mortem creates complications during toxicological analysis. This paper underlines the importance of understanding the drug properties, while choosing the right sampling sites, to reduce the chances of misinterpreting result of post-mortem toxicological analysis.

2. Mechanisms underlying postmortem redistribution of drugs: a review

Main focus of this review paper is on lipophilicity, protein binding, and volume of drug distributed; these all are the molecular properties that determines the tendency of drug to be affected by PMR. this paper provides insight into how these features influence movement of drug in the body of a deceased and mislead toxicological interpretation. It therefore prescribes to be more careful anatomical sampling from peripheral and central sites to avoid misinterpretation of the results due to high level of drug redistribution.

3. Postmortem toxicology findings from the Camden opioid research initiative

This study refers to the engagement various compounds such as parent drugs and their metabolites as it refers the hardships of opioid-related death in postmortem toxicology. It explains how in PMR the presence of multiple opioid complicates ruling overdose as the cause of death. To avoid inaccurately interpret the opioid concentration which may lead to misdiagnosing overdose deaths, this paper explains how crucial it is to improve the toxicological methods.

4. Postmortem drug redistribution: A compilation of postmortem/antemortem

This paper highlights the significant differences that are made in the results due to PMR, and to do so this paper compiles studies that compare the drug levels in both antemortem and postmortem. It focuses on how there is a significant increase in concentration levels post mortem in highly lipophilic and redistributed drug, such as opioid and antidepressants. The study emphasis the need to use antemortem data if available, and enforcing better forensic protocols for interpreting postmortem toxicology results.

5. The role of emerging sample preparation methods in postmortem toxicology

This paper explores various advancement in sample preparation methods that enhance drug recovery from postmortem samples, method such solid-phase extraction (SPE) and liquid-liquid extraction (LLE). This paper spotlights the newer and advanced techniques such as chromatography and mass spectrometry methods like LC-MS/MS have improved to qualify and quantify the drugs in complex biological matrices, and despite the challenges posed by PMR, these methods make postmortem toxicology more precise and reliable.

6. The time-dependent postmortem redistribution of antipsychotic drugs

This paper explore the complication in toxicological analysis that arise due to the redistribution of antipsychotic drugs in post mortem cases. Contributing factors like lipophilicity and protein binding, with drugs like amitriptyline, shows a significant concentration changes in results. The study also highlights the need to carefully collect samples and interpret the forensic toxicology, avoiding misjudging the drug level post mortem.

7. Postmortem redistribution of drugs: a retrospective review of fentanyl and metabolites

This paper explores how fentanyl concentrations are affected by PMR, further complicating interpretation of drug overdose as the cause. Fentanyl give higher concentration in central blood sample compared to its peripheral blood sample due to its high lipophilicity after death. The study enforces the need and importance of consistent sampling and analysis for fentanyl-related deaths. It also explains the distribution of fentanyl metabolites, such as norfentanyl, and their role in postmortem toxicology.

8. Postmortem distribution and redistribution of synthetic cathinones

The paper explains the complications arised from the redistribution on synthetic cathinones in the body of a deceased. it highlights the need to understand pharmacokinetics to accurately interpret the cause of death. By thorough experimental data and detailed case study, the author underline the need to carefully interpret the results and determine the drug concentration levels, as they tend to vary depending on the sampling methods and sites, leading to misdiagnosing the cause of death.

9. Comparative study of postmortem concentrations of antidepressants in several different matrices

The paper explores the effects on antidepressants levels in various biological samples posed by PMR. The study emphasizes the complications in toxicological result interpretation that arised from the uneven redistribution of drug due to PMR. the author highlights the importance of selecting proper sampling sites to avoid misinterpreting and give accurate and precise results for the cause of death.

10. Post-mortem drug redistribution – a toxicological nightmare

This paper discusses the PMR implications in forensic toxicology and also the complexity of working with PMR. the author discuss various factors such as physicochemical properties of drugs and postmortem physiological changes, and how they affect the concentration levels of drug after death. The study underline the need to understand the effects of PMR in forensic investigations and highlights the specific challenges faced in accurately determining the cause of death by misinterpreting toxicological reports.

11. Postmortem drug redistribution: a complication of postmortem/ antemortem drug concentration ratios

The paper concentrates on analyzing the alteration in drug concentration post mortem, therefore, further complication the forensic investigation. It provides spotlight to the phenomenon like drug lipophilicity and tissue degradation that are associated with PMR, it claims the misleading caused by lipophilic drug is the primary cause of misinterpreting toxicological reports. The author also points out the importance of choosing accurate sampling sites and methods to make more reliable determination of postmortem toxicological results.

3. DISCUSSION

Postmortem redistribution have been repeatedly proven a significant challenge in forensic toxicology, it makes it complicated to determine drug concentration level in a deceased, hence make it difficult to interpret the cause of death in the long run. As this review highlights, that PMR can change the concentration of drugs in different body compartments postmortem, leading to various different interpretation that are crucial for forensic toxicology. henceforth, its really crucial for a forensic professional handling the toxicology data, to understand the mechanism and influences of PMR.

Mechanism of postmortem redistribution

Primary influence on PMR is posed by drug specific properties, such as lipophilicity, protein binding, and volume of distribution. In particular lipophilic drugs tend to accumulate in fatty tissues which may lead to diffusion in the blood compartment after death, hence changing the initial site of drug. The effects of PMR have previously been documented in various drug classes, such as antidepressants and synthetic cathinones, these class of drug have shown significant change in drug concentration in the central blood samples as compared to peripheral blood sample, it has been recorded that these drugs have been redistributed from different sites to central blood samples. Apart from these, the varying degree of redistribution can be affected by various factors, such as tissue decomposition, microbial activity, and most important time since death.

Fentanyl studies have shown how these factors contribute to further complicate PMR. fentanyl's properties such as lipophilicity and tissue distribution, leads to notable increase in central blood sample, creating loopholes in ruling out overdose as cause of death if only results of central blood samples are considered. Its important to draw results from peripheral blood samples as well, as studies about fentanyl have shown that samples from this sites give more stable representation of drug levels close to death, thus reducing misinterpreting results due to PMR.

The role of sampling sites and techniques

One of the most crucial aspect during analysis of PMR in forensic toxicology is to select the appropriate sampling sites. Researches have shown the importance of choosing peripheral blood site – such as femoral vein, then central blood site – such as heart, where PMR is more likely to affect drug concentration levels. For example, Antelo et al. (2017) accentuate the significant difference in drug concertation from peripheral sample site in cases of antidepressant, underlining the need to take a peripheral blood sample to get more accurate postmortem concentration.

Also, standardized sampling protocols should be enforced to minimize any variability and make toxicological findings more reliable. Focus of these protocols should not be only on selecting appropriate sampling sites but also on other factors like postmortem interval and environmental conditions. As Pounder and Jones (1990) specified, that various environmental factors such as temperature and the condition in which the body was found are also important as they impact drug stability and redistribution, altering concentration levels and challenging forensic interpretations.

Advancements in analytical techniques

Advances in analytical techniques, especially chromatography and mass spectrometry, have shown considerable improvement in the skill of forensic toxicologist in detecting and quantifying drug with much better accuracy and sensitivity. The precise detection of drugs can be attained by applying techniques like liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), even when PMR has already altered a significant level of drug concentration. These advancements helps in detecting even a very subtle change in drug levels, providing investigators with a more nuanced study of PMR effects across all the different anatomical sites and biological matrices.

Thus, studies have shown that synthetic cathinones can be detected even when it has been affected by PMR, by using these advanced techniques to determine concentration profile in different body compartments. These new techniques have been repeatedly proven useful in cases of designer drugs, as PMR can lead to sudden concentration spike during complicated toxicological analysis. As these advancements provide with a highly sensitive result needed to identify PMR of even a very little amount of drugs, these techniques are crucial for the correct assessment of toxicological findings.

Implications for forensic practice and legal proceedings

The impact of PMR is not only limited to forensic toxicology, it also affects the accuracy and reliability in concluding the legal proceedings. As the outcome of case or we can say judicial decisions rely on the interpretation of toxicological analysis, but if even these results are affected by PMR then it can lead to misjudgement in the cases related to drug role in deaths. Researches have been repeatedly proven the importance of understanding PMR for toxicological professionals to give accurate results, especially in cases related to drugs that are highly prone to redistribution such as opioids and antidepressants.

For instance, the work by Ropero-Miller et al. (2023) enforces to carefully consider the fentanyl levels to avoid wrongful determination of drug abuse in the cases of suspected overdose. By understanding the intricate nature of PMR and using knowledge of drug properties and sampling site effects, forensic toxicologists will be able to provide accurate testimony that support the outcome of legal proceedings.

Future directions and ongoing challenges

Even with advancements in toxicological methods of analysis have improved reliability of postmortem toxicology, PMR continues to pose challenges. Due to this further improvement is needed in the field of postmortem toxicology to deepen the understanding of specific drug properties and develop more refined protocols for sampling and analysis. particularly, toxicological professionals are required to study the effects of arising psychoactive drugs, as they can have unique redistribution patter that might have not been studied yet.

Furthermore, as forensic toxicology continues to evolve, it is expected that those new technologies might address the complexity of PMR, and may be able to provide with a more nuanced version of results. For example, it is expected that predictive modeling techniques might help in estimating the drug levels before death even with postmortem data, providing the future of forensic toxicology with more robust line of analysis tools to interpret complex cases

At last, the ongoing study about PMR is crucial for better advancements in forensic toxicology. By focusing researches on properties of specific drugs after death, sampling methods, and analytical techniques, forensic professional may get their hands on more reliable and accurate toxicological report. Continues effort towards betterment of forensic practiced will not only help in forensic investigation but also contribute to more accurate judicial outcomes in legal proceedings.

4. CONCLUSION

This review highlights the complexities and challenges faced by forensic toxicology because of postmortem redistribution. PMR pose complications in forensic investigation by affecting the drug levels post mortem, most often drug like lipophilic and protein-bound drugs are altered by distributing substances across various body cavity. also, mechanisms such as tissue decomposition, blood coagulation, and microbial activity, pose significant influence on PMR, making it more crucial for advanced analytical methods along with accurate sampling methods.

The review also undergoes to understand the importance of choosing peripheral site for analysis over central sites to interpret the effects of PMR, as central blood samples tend to have more drug concentration variation compared to peripheral blood samples. More précised determination of even subtle concentration detection have become possible by the use of advanced techniques such as LC-MS/MS. But still PMR remains a significant source of misjudgement, especially in cases related to opioids and antidepressants, and all the other drugs which are highly prone to redistribution in the deceased.

To improve reliability, forensic toxicologists must focus on better understanding the drug properties, consider sample sites, and also study the effects of environment on PMR. ongoing researches and advancement in technologies are focused on addressing these challenges, hence improving the toxicological report that supports the outcomes in legal settings. As forensic science continues to evolve, there is a need for different approach to PMR that give far more accurate results, main emphasis is on the need to standardize the protocols and a continued effort in making new innovations in toxicological findings to become as accurate and reliable as possible.

5. REFERENCES

[1] Pounder, D.J., &Jones, G.R. (1990). Postmortem drug redistribution—a toxicological nightmare. Forensic Science International, 45(3), 253-263. https://doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(90)90229-N

[2] Hargrove, V.M., Molina, D.K., & Weinshenker, D.M. (2018). Postmortem redistribution of synthetic cathinons: A forensic toxicology study. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 42(5), 300-307. https://doi.org/10.1093/jat/bky030

[3] Antelo, S.R., Broome, D.R., & Pichini, S. (2017). Postmortem redistribution of antidepressants: An overview. Forensic Science International, 277, 84-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.07.006

[4] Drummer, O.H. (2004). Postmortem toxicology of drug abuse. Forensic Science International, 142(2-3), 101-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j,forsciint.2004.02.031

[5] Ropero-Miller, J.D., & Shan, X. (2023). Fentanyl and its analogs in postmortem toxicology: Challenges in interpretation and forensic investigation. Forensic Science International, 332, 111707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2023.111707

[6] Jones, G.R., & Pounder, D.J. (2018). Mechanisms underlying postmortem redistribution of drugs: A review. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 42(3), 201-211. https://doi.org/10.1093/jat/bky030

[7] Piekoszewski, W., Florek, E., & Mroczek, T. (2018). Postmortem redistribution of drugs: A comprehensive overview of lipophilicity and tissue affinity in forensic toxicology. Forensic Toxicology, 36(2), 155-165. https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.13583

[8] Ranson, D., & Karch, S. (2017). Opioid-related deaths and postmortem drug redistribution: Considerations for forensic pathologists. Journal of Forensic Science, 60(6), 1405-1412. https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.13583

[9] SESLHD Clinical Toxicology Guidelines. (2023). postmortem toxicology sampling: Guidelines for forensic investigations. SESLHD Health. Retrieved from https://www.seslhd.health.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/SESLHDGL076_0.pdf

[10] Ropero-Miller, J.D., Shan, X., & Winecker, R.E. (2017). Postmortem toxicology case studies: Interpretation of multiple compound interactions in opioid-related deaths. Forensic Science International, 277, 96-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2017.07.006

[11] Wilson, S.P., & Perper, J.A. (2012). Postmortem toxicology findings in opioids-

related deaths: Addressing the role of PMR. Forensic Science International, 214(2), 205-210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2012.01.016