Evaluating the Environmental Implications of Asphalt Pavements on Indian Roadways

G. Vijayakumar

Department of Civil Engineering St. Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala

Abstract: In India, the growing demand for sustainable road infrastructure has prompted an urgent need to assess the environmental impact of asphalt pavement construction. This study utilizes a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology to evaluate the environmental implications associated with asphalt pavement, from the production of raw materials to construction and maintenance stages. It emphasizes the impacts on greenhouse gas emissions, nonrenewable resource consumption, and ecological degradation, with a focus on regional challenges posed by climatic and geographical variations in India. By comparing various asphalt mixes through multicriteria analysis, this study identifies optimal solutions to mitigate environmental consequences, fostering sustainable infrastructure development in line with Indian road expansion initiatives.

Keywords: Environmental implications, Asphalt pavements, Indian roadways, Assessment, Sustainability, Challenges, EIA.

INTRODUCTION

The environmental impact of road infrastructure is a critical component in the sustainable development of transportation systems. Asphalt pavements, commonly utilized in India for their economic advantages and long-lasting nature, raise considerable environmental issues throughout their lifecycle, from initial construction to maintenance and final disposal. It is crucial to tackle the environmental consequences of these pavements, as road projects affect ecological equilibrium, resource usage, and play a role in pollution throughout different stages of their life cycle.

The processes of asphalt production and road construction are resource-intensive and emit greenhouse gases and pollutants. The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) technique offers a robust framework for quantifying the environmental impacts linked to the manufacturing, transportation, and use of materials in asphalt pavements. Previous studies, such those by CIMbéton (2011), indicate that the production and transit phases substantially impact the environmental footprint due to energy consumption and emissions, whereas maintenance and service life optimization can affect long-term environmental costs.

In order to evaluate various asphalt mixes and building techniques, this study uses a multicriteria analysis approach that takes energy efficiency, emissions, and nonrenewable resource usage into account. Methods for enhancing environmental impact assessment, including weighted impact evaluation, facilitate sustainable decision-making by allowing for the comparison of different road building materials (Wirtgen, 2013). This method can be customized to address the specific requirements of Indian roadways, where climate factors and elevated traffic levels provide unique problems for sustainable pavement systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

To evaluating the effects of asphalt pavements on the environment in India with an emphasis on factors pertinent to local circumstances and sustainability objectives. The research utilizes Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and multicriteria analysis to assess environmental implications from material production to pavement construction and maintenance, specifically tailored to Indian road conditions and practices.

Materials Used in Asphalt Pavement Construction

The principal constituents of asphalt pavement comprise bitumen, aggregates, and filler. Considering the environmental ramifications of these commodities, it is imperative to evaluate their origins, transit, and processing. Table 1 presents an overview of the principal components involved in Indian road asphalt pavements.

Table 1: Primary Components Involved

Material	Description	Source Distance from Plant
Bitumen	Derived from crude oil, primarily imported	0–100 km
Aggregates	Crushed stone sourced from local quarries	30–50 km
Filler	Typically limestone dust, sourced locally	20–30 km
Emulsifiers	Used in bitumen for cold mix applications	10–50 km

Bitumen serves as a binding agent in asphalt mixtures, with India primarily obtaining it from domestic production or imports for large-scale infrastructure initiatives (CIMbéton, 2011). It is an energy-intensive material with significant environmental consequences, including emissions during the heating and installation procedures. Aggregates form the majority of asphalt mix and are obtained from nearby quarries to reduce transportation effects. This study estimates that the normal distances for aggregate transport to asphalt plants range from 30 to 50 km, contingent upon regional factors and quarry accessibility (Union Européenne des Producteurs de Granulats, 2012). Emulsifiers and Fillers: Employed to enhance binding qualities, emulsifiers and fillers in cold mix asphalt applications diminish energy consumption relative to hot mix asphalt, although elevate the necessity for particular additives (Wirtgen, 2013).

METHODOLOGY

A Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology is used to evaluate the environmental impact of installing asphalt pavement on Indian highways. This methodology encompasses the production, transportation, construction, and maintenance phases, utilizing multicriteria analysis to evaluate various asphalt mixtures. The subsequent methodology is implemented:

1. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Framework

The LCA considers both "cradle-to-gate" and "cradle-to-grave" phases, encompassing resource extraction, material production, transportation, construction, maintenance, and disposal.

- Phase 1: Material Production – This includes the environmental impacts of extracting and processing bitumen, aggregates, and fillers. Emissions data, such as CO_2 equivalent, nonrenewable energy consumption, and waste generated, are recorded.
- Phase 2: Transportation – Materials are transported from sources to asphalt plants and construction sites. Distances and transportation modes (typically trucks) are factored into emissions and energy consumption.
- Phase 3: Construction – Construction-related emissions, energy consumption, and equipment use are evaluated. Machines involved include pavers, rollers, and sprayers, all of which contribute to environmental impacts (Wirtgen, 2013).
- Phase 4: Maintenance and Disposal – Routine maintenance and end-of-life disposal are considered to assess long-term impacts on the environment, particularly in terms of waste generation and resource consumption (Table: 2).

Table: 2, Materials production

Phase	Impact Categories	Units
Material Production	CO_2 emissions, energy use	kg CO_2, MJ
Transportation	Transportation emissions	t-km
Construction	Equipment emissions, energy use	kg CO_2, MJ
Maintenance	Emissions, waste generation	kg CO_2, kg waste

2. Multicriteria Analysis (MCA)

Multicriteria analysis is used to compare different asphalt mixes by assigning weights to environmental parameters. A weighted sum model is applied to calculate an environmental impact score for each mix, based on factors such as CO_2 emissions, resource depletion, and waste (Loprencipe & Cantisani, 2013). MCA supports optimal decision-making by allowing stakeholders to prioritize environmental, economic, or social impacts.

The weighted sum model equation is expressed as:

$$\boldsymbol{I} = \sum(\boldsymbol{w_i} - \boldsymbol{d_i})$$

where $\boldsymbol{w_i}$ resents the weight for each environmental category and $\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{i}$ represents the measured impact for that category. This model enables comparison across different mixes by calculating a total impact score.

Table 3. Materials and Energy Requirements for Asphalt Concrete Pavement Production in India

Mix Type	CO_2 Emissions (kg)	Energy Consumption (MJ)	Total Impact Score
Mix 1	58.9	741	0.36
Mix 2	62.3	804	0.35
Mix 3	63.1	815	0.49

The quantities of key materials and energy inputs required to produce 1 cubic meter of asphalt concrete pavement in Indian road construction. It includes items such as bitumen, aggregates, transportation distances, and types of machinery involved. This data provides insight into the raw materials and energy resources utilized across different pavement mixes (Mix1, Mix2, Mix3), allowing for a comparison of material intensity (Table 3) and energy use per mix. The information is essential for evaluating the environmental impact associated with material extraction and transportation in Indian road infrastructure projects. The results indicate that Mix 2 presents the lowest environmental impact score, making it the most favorable option for Indian road conditions based on resource availability and emission reduction (Eurobitume, 2012).

Material	Unit	Quantity (per m³ of Asphalt Concrete)	Andhra Pradesh	Maharashtra	Tamil Nadu	Karnataka	West Bengal
Bitumen	kg	110	108.22	122.4	120	115	118.5
Aggregates (cradle to gate)	kg	2300	2278.51	2241	2280	2300	2250
Transportation with 16 t truck	tkm	55	54.68	62.74	60	58.5	61
Transportation with 32 t truck	tkm	27	27.22	25.47	28	26.5	27.5
Working Machines	h	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Track Paver	h	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Pneumatic Roller 18 t	h	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Tandem Vibratory Roller 8 t	h	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Bitumen Emulsion	kg	15	14.29	14.29	15	14.5	14.8
Truck	h	0.1	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08
Spraying Machine	h	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01

Table 4. Material and Equipment Requirements for Producing, Transporting, and Paving 1 Cubic Meter of Asphalt Concrete in Indian States

3. Data Collection and Environmental Bill of Quantities

A structured data collection system is developed for each material, machine, and phase of construction. Environmental impact data are sourced from previous studies and LCA databases, ensuring accuracy and reliability. The Environmental Bill of Quantities (Table, 4) serves as a modular tool to document emissions, resource use, and environmental harm for each component, facilitating a comprehensive environmental analysis (CIMbéton, 2011).

Impact Category	Unit	Andhra Pradesh	Maharashtra	Tamil Nadu	Karnataka	West Bengal
CO_2 Emissions	kg CO_2	85	90	88	86	89
Energy Consumption	MJ	750	800	780	760	790
Water Consumption	L	25	28	26	27	27
Solid Waste Generation	kg	5.5	6	5.8	5.6	6.1
Aggregates (extraction & transport)	kg	2300	2240	2280	2300	2250
Bitumen Production Emissions	kg CO_2	22.5	23	22.8	22.7	23.2
Particulate Matter (PM10)	g	40	45	42	41	44
Transportation Emissions	kg CO_2	15.5	16	15.8	15.6	15.9
NO_x Emissions	g	30	32	31	30	31
SO_2 Emissions	g	10	12	11	10.5	11.5

Table 5. Environmental Bill of Quantities for 1 Cubic Meter of Asphalt Concrete Pavement in Indian States

An environmental bill of quantities (BoQ) for the construction of 1 cubic meter of asphalt concrete pavement in different Indian states. The data includes CO_2 emissions, water consumption, and particulate matter (PM10) emissions generated during the production, transportation, and paving phases. The values illustrate the environmental footprint associated with each pavement mix (Mix1, Mix2, and Mix3), providing insight into the sustainability of road construction practices in various regions. The above data (Table 5) supports the comparative analysis of environmental impacts, emphasizing the need for local strategies to reduce emissions and resource usage.

CONCLUSIONS

An analysis of the environmental impact of asphalt concrete pavements in various Indian states shows significant differences in emissions, energy usage, and resource consumption, influenced by regional factors such as material sourcing, transportation distances, and construction methods. Asphalt pavements provide durability and resilience that are well-suited for India's varied climatic conditions. However, the study emphasizes the significant environmental impact linked to traditional asphalt production, especially concerning CO_2 emissions, energy consumption, and particulate matter (PM10) emissions. With the ongoing expansion of road infrastructure in India, it is crucial to address the environmental impacts associated with asphalt paving to ensure sustainable development. The CO_2 emissions are significantly elevated as a result of the energy-demanding processes associated with bitumen production and heating, exhibiting minor differences among states. Maharashtra and West Bengal exhibit elevated energy consumption attributed to extended transportation distances and reliance on non-renewable resources. Minimizing these emissions is essential for lowering the carbon footprint associated with road construction. The aggregates constitute the majority of the material utilized in asphalt, accompanied by significant environmental costs associated with their extraction and transportation. Areas in proximity to quarries, such as Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka, experience lower transportation emissions, indicating that local sourcing of aggregates is advantageous. The release of pollutants like particulate matter (PM10), NO_x, and SO_2 is considerable, especially in urban or industrial regions where air quality issues are already prevalent. Initiatives aimed at reducing these pollutants can significantly enhance air quality, particularly in urban centers and areas with heavy traffic. The production and construction of asphalt necessitate significant water usage, with certain areas such as Maharashtra exhibiting elevated levels of water consumption. Furthermore, the solid waste produced during maintenance phases requires meticulous management to prevent environmental contamination.

REFERENCES

[1] Awasthi, A., Mohan, A., & Tiwari, S. (2020). "Optimizing transportation logistics for sustainable infrastructure development." International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, 14(7), 517-528. DOI: 10.1080/15568318.2020.1738021.

[2] Bansal, M., & Singh, R. (2019). *Carbon Footprint of Road Infrastructure in India: A Case Study*. *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 8(2), 395-404.

[3] Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), India (2020). *Environmental Impact of Road Construction Activities in India*. CPCB, New Delhi.

[4] Choudhury, S., & Banerjee, R. (2016). *Impact of Transportation on Environment in India and Sustainable Development Policies*. *Energy Policy*, 98, 327-336.

[5] CIMbéton (2011). *Environmental impacts of concrete and asphalt pavements: A comparative analysis*. CIMbéton, Paris.

[6] Dantas, A., & Herndon, K. (2015). *Life-Cycle Assessment of Asphalt Pavements: Challenges and Case Studies*. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 156, 178-186.

[7] Environment, Transport, and Works Bureau (ETWB) (2003). *Guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessment of Roads*. ETWB, Hong Kong.

[8] Garg, S., Choudhary, R., & Singh, P. (2016). "Warm Mix Asphalt Technology: A Review." International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, 5(6), 244-249.

[9] Gong, H., & Cao, H. (2018). *Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of Asphalt Pavement Using Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement Material*. *Construction and Building Materials*, 169, 652-662.

[10] Indian Roads Congress (IRC) (2018). *Guidelines for Sustainable Road Construction (IRC: SP: 120)*. Indian Roads Congress, New Delhi.

[11] Jullien, A., & Ventura, A. (2012). *Environmental Assessment of Road Paving Using Recycled Materials*. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 67, 127-133.

[12] Kumar, A., Gupta, S., & Sharma, R. (2021). "Government initiatives for promoting sustainable infrastructure in India." Journal of Infrastructure Development, 13(2), 101-115. DOI: 10.1177/0974930621990012.

[13] Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MORTH) (2013). *Specifications for Road and Bridge Works*. Government of India.

[14] Mohan, A., Kumar, R., & Singh, D. (2018). "Impact of local aggregate sourcing on carbon emissions in road construction." Construction and Building Materials, 170, 167-176. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.03.046.

[15] National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) Annual Report. *Environmental Management Practices in Road Projects*. Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport & Highways.

[16] Rao, P., Singh, N., & Patil, S. (2019). "Air quality management in construction projects." Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 191(7), 440. DOI: 10.1007/s10661-019-7668-8.

[17] Siddique, R., Kaur, S., & Ranjan, R. (2016). "Utilization of recycled materials in road construction." Waste Management, 58, 355-367. DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2016.09.011.

[18] Thompson, M., Jackson, S., & Lee, R. (2022). "Lifecycle assessment for sustainable asphalt pavement projects." Journal of Cleaner Production, 300, 126917. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126917.

[19] Wirtgen Group (2013). *Sustainable Road Construction: A Resource-Conserving Approach Using Cold Recycling and Soil Stabilization*. Wirtgen Group.

[20] Zhao, Y., Wang, L., & Zhang, J. (2020). "Bio-based binders for sustainable asphalt pavement." Construction and Building Materials, 262, 120041. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.120041.