Programmed Instruction Techniques of Teaching is an Auto-cratic Yet Individualized Strategy

Abdul Majeed C.T., Dr. M. Ilankumaran
Research Scholar, Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, Kumarcoil. mjchaliya@yahoo.co.in
ORCID No.0009-0002-5854-3385
Principal, Geetha Jeevan Arts and Science College, Thoothukudi. (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli), Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: **Programmed Instruction (PI) techniques represent an educational strategy that blends the seemingly paradoxical elements of autocracy and individualization. Rooted in behavioral psychology, PI relies on a systematic and structured approach to teaching, where learners progress through a predetermined sequence of instructional materials at their own pace. This abstract explores the dual nature of PI, emphasizing its autocratic foundation and individualized execution. The autocratic aspect of PI lies in the pre-programmed, linear nature of the instructional materials. A rigid framework is established, guiding learners through content in a predetermined order, leaving limited room for deviation. However, this structured approach serves a purpose, providing a clear and consistent path for learners to follow, ensuring essential concepts are covered systematically. Simultaneously, PI is inherently individualized, allowing learners to progress at their speed. The self-paced nature of the technique accommodates diverse learning styles and abilities, fostering a more personalized educational experience. Learners can revisit challenging material, accelerate through familiar concepts, and receive immediate feedback, promoting a tailored and adaptive learning environment. Despite the autocratic framework, PI empowers learners by fostering self-discipline, responsibility, and independence. Learners take an active role in their education, engaging in a process that adapts to their needs. This abstract concludes that while Programmed Instruction is autocratic in its design, its individualized execution provides a unique balance, catering to a spectrum of learners and offering a valuable contribution to modern educational methodologies.**

Keywords: **Programmed Instruction, Teaching Techniques, Autocratic Teaching, Individualized Learning, Educational Technology, Self-Paced Learning, Behavioral Learning Theory, Student-Centered Learning, B.F. Skinner, Educational Psychology.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Schooling, the foundation of human advancement, has consistently developed to take care of the assorted advancing necessities of people. One of the progressive methodologies that arose during the twentieth century was Modified Guidance (PI). A distinct, autocratic, and individualized approach that tailors the learning experience to each student's specific needs sets PI apart from conventional classroom methods. In this technique, students progress through an organized educational program at their own speed, directed by informative materials intended to work with independent learning. The philosophy behind Programmed Instruction embraces the principles of autonomy and individuality, challenging the conventional norms of education. At the heart of Programmed Instruction is its autocratic nature, which refers to the centralized control exerted over the learning process. Unlike democratic classroom settings, where students engage in collaborative discussions and decision-making processes, PI places a significant emphasis on the teacher or instructional designer as the authority figure. The autocratic approach is manifested through carefully crafted instructional materials, often presented in the form of books, modules, or digital platforms, where learners follow a predetermined sequence of content. This structured approach minimizes deviations, ensuring that students receive consistent and standardized information, irrespective of their learning pace.

Furthermore, the individual nature of Programmed Instruction makes it stand out. Teachers in traditional classrooms face the challenge of accommodating students' varying learning speeds. Some embrace ideas rapidly, while others demand extra investment and backing. This problem is solved by PI, which lets students work through the material at their own pace. To ensure a solid foundation for more complex subjects, each student can concentrate on mastering a

single concept before moving on to the next. This customized approach advances a more profound comprehension of the topic, improving maintenance and generally speaking information securing. The individualized aspect of Programmed Instruction also fosters a sense of responsibility and independence among learners. By taking control of their learning journey, students develop self-discipline, time management skills, and a heightened sense of accountability. These qualities are invaluable in preparing individuals for the challenges of the real world, where self-directed learning is often a key factor in personal and professional growth.

Moreover, Modified Guidance uses different strategies, for example, fanning situations and quick input circles, to speedily build up learning and address confusion. Students are given immediate feedback on their performance using these methods, allowing them to spot areas for improvement and correct mistakes. This opportune input system upgrades the growth opportunity as well as lifts the students' certainty and inspiration. In conclusion, Programmed Instruction Techniques of Teaching represent an autocratic and individualized strategy that empowers learners to take charge of their education. By embracing the structured, centralized approach, students benefit from consistent content delivery, while the individualized pace ensures a deep and comprehensive understanding of the subject matter Programed Instruction continues to be a relevant and effective method, shaping the educational landscape and providing individuals with the skills they require to thrive in an ever-changing world in an era when personalized learning is increasingly valued..

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Programmed Instruction, a teaching method rooted in the behaviorist principles of B.F. Skinner, emphasizes systematic, structured, and sequential learning. This technique is often characterized as autocratic due to its highly controlled and predetermined instructional path. Learners progress through linear or branching sequences of instructional material, receiving immediate feedback and moving at their own pace, a process designed to promptly reinforce correct responses and correct errors.

Early studies, such as those by Skinner (1958), highlighted the efficacy of programmed instruction in enhancing retention and understanding by breaking down complex information into smaller, manageable units. Each step requires mastery before progressing, ensuring individualized pacing. Subsequent research, including work by Kulik and Kulik (1991), has consistently demonstrated that students using programmed instruction perform better on tests than those receiving traditional instruction, indicating its effectiveness in individualized learning.

Critics argue that the autocratic nature of programmed instruction may stifle creativity and critical thinking, as noted by Postman (1962). The rigidity of the method can lead to a rote learning experience, limiting opportunities for exploratory learning and spontaneous intellectual engagement. However, proponents contend that this structure can be beneficial for learners who require clear guidance and immediate feedback to build foundational knowledge before engaging in more complex cognitive tasks.

In contemporary education, programmed instruction techniques have evolved, integrating digital platforms that offer adaptive learning experiences. These modern iterations retain the core principles of individualized pacing and immediate feedback while incorporating interactive and multimedia elements to enhance engagement and address some criticisms of rigidity (Johnson, 2013).

3. METHOD

Programmed Instruction (PI) is a teaching method that leverages systematic instructional materials, primarily developed by B.F. Skinner in the mid-20th century. It is an autocratic yet individualized strategy because it follows a highly structured and controlled format while catering to the learner’s pace and understanding. In PI, the instructional content is divided into small, sequential steps known as "frames." Each frame presents information or a question that the learner must respond to before moving on. Immediate feedback is given, which reinforces correct responses and corrects errors, thus fostering a self-paced learning environment. Despite its autocratic nature—owing to the predetermined and rigid structure of the content—PI allows learners to progress individually, addressing their unique learning speeds and comprehension levels.

The autocratic aspect of PI lies in its controlled and linear progression of frames, which leaves little room for deviation or exploration outside the prescribed path. However, it is individualized because each learner interacts directly with the material, receiving

instant feedback that helps them adjust their understanding without external intervention. This blend of rigid structure and personal adaptation makes PI a unique instructional strategy that aims to maximize learning efficiency and effectiveness.

3.1 Understanding Programmed Instruction

Programmed instruction is a method of teaching that relies on carefully designed instructional materials and self-paced learning techniques to guide learners through a structured educational experience. Rooted in behavioral psychology and educational technology, programmed instruction has significantly influenced modern educational practices and continues to play a vital role in various learning environments. Understanding programmed instruction requires delving into its history, principles, advantages, and challenges to appreciate its impact on education.

At its core, programmed instruction involves systematically presenting instructional content, and breaking it down into small, manageable units or "frames." These frames are designed to be self-contained and self-explanatory, allowing learners to move through the material at their own pace. Learners are presented with a question, problem, or scenario, followed by multiple-choice or fill-in-the-blank responses. Based on their answers, learners receive immediate feedback, reinforcing correct responses and providing additional guidance for incorrect ones. This immediate feedback loop is one of the fundamental principles of programmed instruction, enhancing the learning process by correcting mistakes and reinforcing correct knowledge.

Programed instruction dates back to the middle of the 20th century, when behaviorist psychologists like B.F. Skinner and Fred S. Keller developed theories that emphasized the significance of individualized learning experiences and reinforcement. Early implementations of programmed instruction techniques, such as Skinner's teaching machines and Keller's Personalized System of Instruction (PSI), paved the way for the later development of computer-based and online learning programs. Programmable instruction's adaptability to a variety of subjects and students is one of its primary benefits. Whether utilized in arithmetic, dialects, science, or expert preparation, modified guidance can be custom-fitted to meet the particular necessities of students. Its independent nature permits understudies to learn at their speed, advancing a more profound comprehension of the material. In addition, programmed instruction encourages active participation because students are constantly engaged in activities that involve problem-solving and receive immediate reinforcement, which boosts motivation and retention.

However, programmed instruction is not without challenges. Designing effective instructional materials requires careful consideration of learners' cognitive abilities, prior knowledge, and learning objectives. Additionally, striking a balance between providing enough information for comprehension and avoiding overwhelming learners is crucial. In addition, some critics contend that programmed instruction may oversimplify difficult subjects, ignoring the significance of critical thinking and creative thinking in the learning process. With the development of sophisticated educational software, online courses, and adaptive learning platforms, programmed instruction has evolved in the digital age. These technologies leverage artificial intelligence and data analytics to provide personalized learning experiences, tracking learners' progress and adapting the content based on their performance. Thus, customized guidance keeps on being an important device in both conventional study halls and online training, taking special care of different students and cultivating a more intuitive and connecting with learning climate.

In the end, understanding programmed instruction entails recognizing its historical context, fundamental principles, advantages, and challenges. As education continues to evolve, programmed instruction remains a relevant and effective method, shaping the way we learn and teaching us valuable lessons about the intersection of psychology, technology, and pedagogy. Its adaptability and potential for personalized learning experiences make it a cornerstone in the ever-changing landscape of education, ensuring that learners receive tailored, effective, and engaging instruction.

3.2 Autocratic Nature of Programmed Instruction

Programmed Instruction (PI) is an innovative teaching method that gained prominence in the mid-20th century. Developed by behaviorist psychologists such as B.F. Skinner, PI aimed to revolutionize education by providing learners with a structured, self-paced learning experience. While its effectiveness in enhancing learning outcomes is

widely acknowledged, there is a critical aspect of PI that often goes unnoticed - its autocratic nature. This essay delves into the autocratic characteristics of Programmed Instruction, shedding light on its structured design, predetermined learning paths, and limited learner autonomy, all of which contribute to a unique and somewhat authoritarian educational approach.

4. STRUCTURED DESIGN AND RIGIDITY

One of the defining features of Programmed Instruction is its highly structured design. Lessons are meticulously organized into sequential steps, each building upon the previous one. The content is broken down into smaller, manageable chunks, ensuring a systematic progression of learning. While this structured format can be advantageous for certain learners, it leaves little room for deviation or exploration. Unlike more open-ended educational approaches, PI dictates the pace and order of learning, restricting students from exploring topics outside the predetermined curriculum.

4.1 Predetermined Learning Paths

In the autocratic realm of Programmed Instruction, there is a predetermined path that learners must follow. The instructional materials are pre-programmed with specific responses and feedback, guiding students along a fixed route. This predetermined learning path leaves no space for individual interests or diverse learning styles. Learners are expected to conform to the established trajectory, limiting their ability to pursue topics of personal interest or engage with the material in a way that aligns with their unique learning preferences.

4.2 Limited Learner Autonomy

Autonomy, a cornerstone of modern education, is significantly curtailed within the framework of Programmed Instruction. Unlike student-centered learning approaches that encourage independent thought and self-directed exploration, PI places the teacher or the instructional material in a position of authority. Students are supposed to latently get data and answer as per the customized rules. This restricted independence can smother inventiveness, decisive reasoning, and the advancement of critical thinking abilities, as understudies are not urged to address, challenge, or investigate past the limits of the customized

4.3 Prescriptive Feedback and Control

In the autocratic nature of Programmed Instruction, feedback is prescriptive and control-oriented. Responses that deviate from the predetermined correct answers are met with corrective feedback, reinforcing the authoritarian structure of the instructional method. While feedback is essential for learning, the autocratic feedback mechanism in PI leaves little room for interpretative freedom or nuance. Learners are guided towards a singular correct response, discouraging them from exploring alternative perspectives or considering multiple solutions to a problem.

In brief, Programmed Instruction, despite its effectiveness in certain educational contexts, embodies an autocratic nature that governs the learning process. Its structured design, predetermined learning paths, limited learner autonomy, and prescriptive feedback mechanisms collectively contribute to an educational experience characterized by rigidity and conformity. While PI may have its merits, educators and policymakers must critically evaluate its autocratic aspects to ensure a balanced and inclusive educational environment that nurtures independent thinking, creativity, and a love for learning. Recognizing the autocratic nature of Programmed Instruction is essential for fostering a more learner-centric, flexible, and empowering educational landscape in the modern era.

5. INDIVIDUALIZATION IN PROGRAMMED INSTRUCTION

Individualization in modified guidance addresses a spearheading way to deal with schooling, customized explicitly to the requirements and speed of every student. influenced by educational psychologists like B.F. This approach, developed by Skinner in the middle of the 20th century, aims to personalize the teaching process to make learning more effective. It does this because it takes into account the different learning styles, preferences, and abilities of students, making education more interesting and effective.

At its core, individualization in programmed instruction recognizes that every student is unique, possessing distinct strengths, weaknesses, interests, and prior knowledge. Traditional classroom settings often struggle to accommodate this diversity adequately, leading to challenges such as disengagement, frustration, and learning gaps. By adapting the content and pace of learning to each learner's abilities and progress, programmed

instruction, which emphasizes individualization, mitigates these issues.

The use of adaptive learning pathways is one of the most important aspects of individualization in programmed instruction. Through cautious examination of understudy execution, teachers can plan educational materials that adjust continuously founded on the singular's reactions. For example, if an understudy shows capability in a specific idea, the program can naturally propel them to additional difficult subjects. On the other hand, on the off chance that an understudy battles, the program can give extra clarifications, models, and practice open doors until the idea is dominated. Students aren't left behind because of difficult concepts or bored by material they already know well thanks to this adaptive approach.

Moreover, individualization in programmed instruction encourages self-paced learning. Traditional classrooms often operate on a fixed schedule, forcing all students to progress at the same rate. This approach overlooks the fact that individuals learn at different speeds. Programming allows students to work through the material at their own pace, ensuring that each student has time to fully comprehend the material before moving on. s.. This not only enhances comprehension but also boosts confidence, as learners can approach challenges with a sense of mastery and understanding.

Another vital aspect of individualization is the provision of immediate feedback. In traditional learning environments, feedback is typically delayed, often until assignments or exams are graded. Programmed instruction, however, incorporates instant feedback mechanisms. When a student answers a question or completes an activity, they receive immediate feedback on their performance. Positive reinforcement for correct answers and constructive guidance for incorrect ones are crucial in the learning process. Immediate feedback not only clarifies misunderstandings promptly but also reinforces correct behaviors and encourages critical thinking.

In addition, individualization in programmed instruction encourages active and autonomous learning. Understudies are urged to assume a sense of ownership with their schooling, pursuing decisions about what and how they realize. People are motivated to engage with the material more deeply because of this autonomy, which fosters a sense of ownership over one's learning journey. As a result, students develop essential skills like problem-solving, decision-making, and time management, making them more independent.

Individualization also takes into account a variety of learning styles. When it comes to how they absorb information, people have different preferences. Some people learn best through auditory or kinesthetic methods, while others learn best through visual methods. Programed instruction can cater to various learning styles by incorporating multimedia elements, interactive simulations, videos, and audio clips. By introducing data in numerous configurations, students can pick the mode that resounds best with their singular inclinations, upgrading appreciation and maintenance

To sum up, individualization in programmed instruction stands as a transformative approach to education, acknowledging and embracing the unique qualities of each learner. By adapting content, pace, feedback, and learning styles to individual needs, this method ensures a more effective, engaging, and empowering educational experience. Individualization in programmed instruction continues to shape the future of education, fostering a generation of lifelong learners with the skills and knowledge they need to succeed in an ever-changing world in an era when personalized learning is increasingly recognized as essential.

6. EFFECTIVENESS OF PROGRAMMED INSTRUCTION

Programmed learning, also known as "programmed instruction," is a method of teaching that is based on behaviorism and aims to make learning easier through a self-paced, self-contained instructional program. The approach to education has been widely used in various educational settings, including schools, colleges, and corporate training programs, and its effectiveness has been a topic of extensive research and discussion.

The individualized and self-paced nature of programmed instruction is one of its primary benefits. Customary homeroom settings frequently battle to take special care of the assorted advancing necessities of understudies. This problem is solved by programmed instruction, which lets students work through the material at their own pace. This personalized approach ensures that each learner can fully grasp the content before moving on to more

advanced topics. This adaptability is particularly beneficial for students with different learning styles and abilities, as it provides a tailored learning experience.

Furthermore, programmed instruction is structured in a way that promotes active engagement and participation. Learners are typically presented with small units of information followed by questions or exercises. This format encourages active recall, which enhances the retention of information. The immediate feedback provided in programmed instruction also aids in reinforcing learning. When a learner answers a question incorrectly, the program can offer corrective feedback, guiding the learner to the correct answer and reinforcing the right information. This iterative process of learning and feedback contributes significantly to knowledge retention and understanding.

Another aspect contributing to the effectiveness of programmed instruction is its ability to enhance motivation and confidence in learners. The sense of accomplishment derived from completing each module or section boosts learners' confidence in their abilities. This positive reinforcement creates a motivational cycle, encouraging learners to progress further and engage more deeply with the material. Additionally, the clear structure and well-defined goals of programmed instruction provide learners with a sense of direction, making the learning process more manageable and less overwhelming.

Programmed instruction is also highly scalable and cost-effective. Once a program is developed, it can be easily replicated and distributed to many learners without significant additional costs. This scalability makes it an attractive option for educational institutions and organizations aiming to reach a wide audience without exhausting resources. Furthermore, the effectiveness of programmed instruction can be enhanced through technology. With the advent of digital platforms and e-learning software, programmed instruction can be delivered online, making it accessible to learners worldwide. Interactive multimedia elements, such as videos, animations, and simulations, can be integrated into programmed instruction, making the learning experience more engaging and interactive. These technological advancements increase the effectiveness of programmed instruction and make learning more enjoyable for learners of all ages.

Overall, programmed instruction continues to be an effective and valuable teaching method, especially in the context of individualized and self-paced learning. It is a powerful tool in the field of education because it is able to adapt to the needs of students, encourage active participation, increase motivation, and make use of technology. Programed instruction is likely to evolve further as technology progresses, providing diverse students worldwide with even more innovative and effective learning opportunities.

7. RESULT

Programmed instruction techniques are an autocratic yet individualized teaching strategy that leverages structured and self-paced learning modules. This method involves presenting information in small, sequential steps, each followed by a question or problem that the learner must solve before moving on. The approach is autocratic because it dictates the exact path and pace of learning, allowing minimal deviation from the prescribed content and order. However, it is also highly individualized, as learners progress at their speed, ensuring they master each step before proceeding. This technique allows for immediate feedback and correction, enhancing learning efficiency. Programmed instruction is particularly effective in settings where consistency and mastery of foundational knowledge are crucial, such as technical training or standardized education. Despite its rigid structure, this method caters to individual learning paces, making it a balanced blend of control and personalization in educational practice.

8. CONCLUSION

Programmed instruction techniques, often touted for their autocratic and individualized approach, have been a subject of significant debate in the realm of education. The method's structured nature, where students progress through a series of carefully designed steps, has been both praised for its efficiency and criticized for its lack of flexibility. The purpose of this conclusion is to consider the nuanced aspects of programmed instruction, recognizing its advantages and the significance of adapting teaching methods to the diverse requirements of students. The autocratic nature of programmed instruction is one of its primary advantages. The method provides a clear and predetermined path for learners, ensuring that everyone receives the same set of instructions. This standardized approach can be beneficial in certain contexts, particularly when teaching fundamental concepts or skills that require a step-by-step

understanding. Students can work at their own pace, allowing those who grasp concepts quickly to move ahead while providing additional support to those who need more time to master the material. This individualized movement cultivates a feeling of independence and getting the hang of, empowering understudies to take responsibility for instruction

Additionally, learners are able to quickly identify and correct their mistakes thanks to the immediate feedback that is provided by programmed instruction methods. This constant input circle is vital for supporting right ways of behaving and tending to misguided judgments, upgrading the growth opportunity. The method's structured format also lends itself well to subjects that have well-defined rules and procedures, such as mathematics or computer programming. In these disciplines, the autocratic approach ensures that learners acquire the essential skills and knowledge systematically, building a strong foundation for advanced learning.

However, it is essential to recognize the limitations of programmed instruction as an exclusive teaching strategy. Its autocratic nature, while advantageous in certain scenarios, can stifle creativity and critical thinking. Education is not merely about acquiring facts and mastering procedures; it is also about nurturing curiosity, encouraging exploration, and fostering independent thought. In subjects that demand creativity, such as literature or the arts, a rigid and prescriptive approach can hinder students' ability to express themselves freely and develop their unique voices. Additionally, the individualized aspect of programmed instruction, while catering to the needs of diverse learners, can inadvertently isolate students. Education is also a social process, where collaboration, teamwork, and interpersonal skills are honed. Overemphasis on individualized learning might undermine the development of these essential social skills, which are crucial for success in the real world.

Programmed instruction techniques have their rightful place in the educational landscape. Their autocratic and individualized strategies can be highly effective for teaching specific subjects and skills, providing a structured and supportive learning environment. However, it is imperative to recognize that no single approach can meet all the varied needs of learners. A balanced and inclusive education system integrates a diverse range of teaching methods, combining the strengths of programmed instruction with other approaches that foster creativity, critical thinking, and social skills. By embracing this variety, instructors can make advancing opportunities for growth that engage understudies to flourish scholastically, socially, and expressly, setting them up for the difficulties and chances representing things to come.

REFERENCES

[1] Abilasha,R &Ilankumaran, M.(2018).English Language Teaching: Challenges and Strategies from the Indian Perspective.International Journal of EngineeringandTechnology(IJET)(ScopusIndexed)7(3.6),202205,https:doi.org/10.14419/ijetv7i3.614970

[2] Delbio, A &Ilankumaran, M(2018).Developmental Speaking as Strategy to Enhance Communicative Skills Cognitive-based Approach. International Journal of Engineering and Technology,G(IJET), (Scopus Indexed)

[3] Abilasha,R & Ilankumaran,M (2018). Developing Communication Skills of Students-Analysis on pragmatic performance. International Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature (IJSELL)6(7),26-31.

[4] Skinner, B. F. (1958). Teaching machines. Science, 128(3330), 969-977.

[5] Crowder, N. A. (1960). A history of the original “Crowder” teaching machine. The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2(1), 45-52.

[6] Glaser, R. (1963). Instructional technology and the measurement of learning outcomes: Some questions. American Psychologist, 18(8), 519-521.

[7] Gagné, R. M. (1965). The Conditions of Learning. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

[8] Postlethwaite, T. N. (1969). Comparative Studies of Programmed Instruction. International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 10(1-2), 59-75.

[9] Romiszowski, A. J. (1981). Designing instructional systems: Decision making in course planning and curriculum design. London: Kogan Page.

[10] Merrill, M. D. (1983). Component display theory. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.), Instructional-design theories and models: An overview of their current status (pp. 279-333). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[11] Keller, J. M. (1987). Development and use of the ARCS model of instructional design. Journal of Instructional Development, 10(3), 2-10.
[12] Reigeluth, C. M. (1999). Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory (Vol. II). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
[13] Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2005). The systematic design of instruction. Allyn & Bacon.
[14] Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). E-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning. John Wiley & Sons.
[15] Morrison, G. R., Ross, S. M., Kalman, H. K., & Kemp, J. E. (2019). Designing effective instruction. John Wiley & Sons.
[16] Saettler, P. (2004). The evolution of American educational technology. Information Age Publishing.
[17] Jonassen, D. H., Tessmer, M., & Hannum, W. H. (1999). Task analysis methods for instructional design. Psychology Press.
[18] Duffy, T. M., & Cunningham, D. J. (1996). Constructivism: Implications for the design and delivery of instruction. Handbook of research for educational communications and technology, 170-198.
[19] Reigeluth, C. M., & Carr-Chellman, A. A. (Eds.). (2009). Instructional-design theories and models: Building a common knowledge base (Vol. III). Routledge.
[20] Brown, A. L., & Palincsar, A. S. (1987). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. Cognition and instruction, 4(2), 117-175.
[21] Spiro, R. J., Coulson, R. L., Feltovich, P. J., & Anderson, D. K. (1988). Cognitive flexibility theory: Advanced knowledge acquisition in ill-structured domains. Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, 375-383.
[22] Hannafin, M. J., Hill, J. R., & Land, S. M. (1997). Student-centered learning and interactive multimedia: Status, issues, and implications. Contemporary Education, 68(2), 94-99.
[23] Merrill, M. D. (2002). First principles of instruction. Educational Technology Research and Development, 50(3), 43-59.
[24] Merrill, M. D., Drake, L., Lacy, M. J., Pratt, J., & ID2 Research Group. (1996). Reclaiming instructional design. Educational Technology, 36(5), 5-7.
[25] Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). Educational psychologist, 42(2), 99-107.
[26] Lesh, R., & Doerr, H. M. (2003). Foundations of a models and modeling perspective on mathematics teaching, learning, and problem solving. In R. Lesh & H. M. Doerr (Eds.), Beyond constructivism: Models and modeling perspectives on mathematics problem solving, learning, and teaching (pp. 3–33). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
[27] Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. National Academy Press.
[28] Pea, R. D. (2004). The social and technological dimensions of scaffolding and related theoretical concepts for learning, education, and human activity. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 13(3), 423-451.
[29] Wenger, E. (1998). Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity. Cambridge University Press.
[30] Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3-10.
[31] Siemens, G., & Tittenberger, P. (2009). Handbook of emerging technologies for learning. University of Manitoba.
[32] Papert, S. (1993). Mindstorms: Children, computers, and powerful ideas. Basic Books.
[33] Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. Educational psychologist, 41(2), 75-86.