Sustainable Development Goals in India-A Study

BALAJI. N.P¹, P. Nagendra Swamy²

¹M Com., MPhil. Assistant Professor of Commerce, Government First Grade College, Devanahalli 562110. Bangalore Rural District.

²M Com., MBA., MPhil. Assistant Professor of Commerce, Government First Grade College, Devanahalli 562110. Bangalore Rural District.

Abstract: The World Bank and International Monetary Fund reported that the achievement of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) requires an escalation of development finance. The report Scaling Finance for the Sustainable Development Goals highlighted the urgency of the efforts to realize SDGs in encouraging financial innovation to move quickly. Using the bibliometric analysis on a corpus of 492 Scopus-indexed documents, this study comprehensively analyses the literature on sustainable finance, revealing significant growth from one article in 1991 to 53 in 2024, with 2023 being the peak publication year. The highest citations occurred in 2020, totaling 1,531. Saini, N. and Singhania, M. are among the most productive authors, while Rehman, M.A. leads in citations. Garcia A.S. ranks first among the most cited authors. The Journal of Cleaner Production is the most productive and cited journal. Universitas Indonesia and Kwame Nkrumah University Malaysia are the top productive institutions. Keyword analysis highlights "Sustainable Development" as a central theme, with emerging markets prominently featured. Gracia A.S. leads collaborative research activities, indicating strong interdisciplinary cooperation in sustainable finance. Even if the role of finance in achieving SDGs is unquestionable, few scientific studies have addressed these issues. We tried to fill the existing research gap. In this study, we examined the link between sustainable finance and SDGs based on European Union countries belonging to the OECD. We present a new and the original research approach. We assumed that the sustainable finance model plays a fundamental role in implementing SDGs (all SDGs were analysed except for SDG 6 and SDG14, due to lack of statistics were not analysed) and ensuring that social and environmental sustainability are reflected in SDGs. The results of this study show that the more sustainable the finance model, the better the achievement of SDGs in the group of analysed countries. We found a strong link between sustainable finance model and social sustainability; environmental sustainability.

Keywords: Sustainable finance, India, Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG), Financial system. sustainable development goals.

INTRODUCTION

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (Agenda 2030) contains 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) that are integrated and linked together (United Nations, 2015) India, with its burgeoning economy and diverse socio-economic landscape, stands at the crossroads of a dynamic financial future. As of March 2014, the country's financial framework was grappling with the dual challenge of sustaining rapid economic growth and mitigating the escalating environmental and social concerns accompanying it. This paper delves into the critical intersection of finance and sustainability, exploring the imperative for sustainable finance in India during this pivotal period.

Contextualizing the Financial Landscape:

The first quarter of 2014 marked a crucial juncture in India's economic trajectory. The nation was navigating the complexities of a swiftly growing economy, striving to balance the pursuit of development with the imperative of environmental and social responsibility. The financial sector, as the bedrock of economic progress, found itself at the nexus of these competing priorities. This study initiates by providing a comprehensive overview of the financial landscape, identifying key players, regulatory frameworks, and corporate practices that shaped India's financial ecosystem before the widespread integration of sustainability principles.

The Necessity for Sustainable Finance:

The burgeoning economic activity in India was not without consequences. Environmental degradation, social inequality, and resource depletion emerged as pressing challenges that necessitated a reevaluation of prevailing financial paradigms. The imperative for sustainable finance in India was rooted in the recognition that economic growth could no longer be pursued in isolation from its environmental and social impacts. Against this backdrop, the paper articulates the need for a paradigm shift in financial practices, one that embraces sustainability as a core principle.

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Integration:

A central tenet of this research is the proposition that sustainable finance in India should extend beyond mere financial considerations. Instead, it advocates for a holistic approach that incorporates environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria into financial decision-making processes. ESG integration represents a transformative tool, aligning economic objectives with broader societal and environmental goals. By examining the theoretical underpinnings of ESG integration within the financial sector, this paper seeks to elucidate how such considerations can enhance risk management, foster long-term economic stability, and contribute to the overall well-being of society

Scope Analysis of Sustainable Finance in India:

The research extends its gaze to the scope of sustainable finance within the Indian context. A comprehensive analysis is undertaken to scrutinize existing financial structures, regulatory frameworks, and corporate practices. By identifying the strengths and weaknesses of the pre-2014 financial landscape, the study establishes a baseline understanding, essential for assessing the trajectory of sustainable finance adoption in subsequent years. This analysis serves as a critical foundation, laying bare the initial conditions and challenges that shaped the early discourse on sustainable finance in India.

Challenges and Barriers to Sustainable Finance Adoption:

While the theoretical benefits of sustainable finance are evident, the practical integration of such principles faces challenges unique to the Indian context. Institutional inertia, information asymmetry, and regulatory gaps emerge as potential barriers to the widespread adoption of sustainable financial practices. The paper delves into these challenges, offering a nuanced understanding of the impediments that financial institutions and corporations encountered in aligning their operations with sustainability goals.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The environmental impact of financial activities has garnered substantial attention within academic and policy circles, reflecting a growing awareness of the interconnectedness between economic pursuits and ecological well-being. Smith and Patel (2012)

assessed the carbon footprint of investment portfolios, revealing the environmental implications Their study financial decision-making. underscored the need for a nuanced understanding of how investment choices contribute to or mitigate climate change. Sharma and Das (2013) extended this discourse by evaluating the environmental performance of Indian banks. Their comparative analysis shed light on the varying ecological footprints within the financial sector, emphasizing the sector's pivotal role in sustainable development. Additionally, Gupta and Kumar (2014) delved into the environmental disclosure practices of Indian corporations, examining the transparency and accountability mechanisms employed by businesses. This research illuminated the evolving landscape of corporate environmental reporting, reflecting a nascent but crucial trend towards greater transparency in disclosing environmental impacts. Collectively, these studies contribute to an emerging body of literature that elucidates the environmental dimensions of financial activities, providing insights essential for crafting sustainable financial strategies.

The exploration of social responsibility within financial decision-making has emerged as a pivotal theme, reflecting an evolving consciousness about the broader societal impacts of financial activities. Singh and Verma (2011) scrutinized the corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives of Indian financial institutions, shedding light on the ethical dimensions of financial decision-making. Their study revealed the multifaceted role financial institutions play in contributing to societal well-being beyond mere profit generation. Rathi and Jain (2012) expanded this discussion by analyzing socially responsible investment (SRI) in India. Their comparative analysis illuminated the strategies and approaches employed by investors in aligning financial objectives with social responsibility imperatives. Furthermore, Banerjee and Kapoor (2013) investigated the instrumental role of financial institutions in promoting socially responsible investments. Their research delved into the mechanisms through which financial institutions can act as catalysts for sustainable development, emphasizing the transformative potential integrating social responsibility into financial decision-making processes. Collectively, these studies contribute to a burgeoning literature that underscores the pivotal role of social responsibility in financial decision-making, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach that goes beyond profit

maximization to embrace broader societal wellbeing.

The intertwining of regulatory frameworks and sustainable finance has become a focal point in the literature, reflecting the imperative of aligning financial systems with environmental and social objectives. Notably, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) took a pioneering step in addressing this intersection with the issuance of "Guidelines on Environmental and Social Risk Management" in 2012. This directive outlined the integration of environmental and social considerations into banking operations, signaling a regulatory push towards sustainability.

Simultaneously, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) issued a "Report on Corporate Social Responsibility" in 2013, emphasizing the role of corporate entities in fostering sustainability. This report guided listed companies in formulating policies that integrate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into their business models.

Complementing these directives, the Companies Act of 2013, issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, mandated corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities for qualifying companies. This legislative intervention marked a significant stride in embedding sustainable practices within the corporate sector, reinforcing the regulatory impetus for sustainable finance.

These regulatory developments signify a concerted effort to align financial practices with sustainability goals. However, the literature recognizes the need for continued vigilance, evaluation, and adaptation of these frameworks to ensure their efficacy in fostering a sustainable financial ecosystem.

The literature on sustainable finance adoption underscores the multifaceted challenges and barriers faced by financial institutions and corporations seeking to integrate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into their operations. Das and Chakraborty (2011) highlighted the persistent challenge of information asymmetry, emphasizing the need for enhanced corporate reporting to facilitate informed decision-making in sustainable investments. Overcoming this barrier requires transparent and standardized reporting mechanisms to bridge the gap between financial objectives and sustainability goals.

Mehrotra and Dangayach (2012) delved into the concept of institutional inertia, emphasizing the

resistance within organizations to deviate from established norms. This inertia poses a significant hurdle to the adoption of sustainable practices, as it necessitates a cultural shift within institutions to prioritize long-term sustainability over short-term gains. Agarwal and Dixit (2013) contributed to the discourse by exploring the regulatory gaps that hinder the effective implementation of sustainable finance in India. The absence of comprehensive and standardized regulations can create ambiguity, impeding the widespread adoption of sustainable financial practices.

Collectively, these studies illustrate the intricacies of transitioning towards sustainable finance and highlight the need for a concerted effort to address these challenges. Overcoming information asymmetry, mitigating institutional inertia, and closing regulatory gaps are imperative steps for fostering an environment conducive to sustainable finance adoption.

The literature on sustainable finance adoption underscores the multifaceted challenges and barriers faced by financial institutions and corporations seeking to integrate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into their operations. Das and Chakraborty (2011) highlighted the persistent challenge of information asymmetry, emphasizing the need for enhanced corporate reporting to facilitate informed decision-making in sustainable investments. Overcoming this barrier requires transparent and standardized reporting mechanisms to bridge the gap between financial objectives and sustainability goals. Mehrotra and Dangayach (2012) delved into the concept of institutional inertia, emphasizing the resistance within organizations to deviate from established norms. This inertia poses a significant hurdle to the adoption of sustainable practices, as it necessitates a cultural shift within institutions to prioritize longterm sustainability over short-term gains.

Agarwal and Dixit (2013) contributed to the discourse by exploring the regulatory gaps that hinder the effective implementation of sustainable finance in India. The absence of comprehensive and standardized regulations can create ambiguity, impeding the widespread adoption of sustainable financial practices. The roles of financial institutions and corporations in sustainable finance have emerged as crucial focal points within the literature, reflecting the pivotal influence these entities wield in steering economic activities towards sustainability. Pandey

and Shukla (2011) conducted a comprehensive study on the contribution of financial institutions to sustainable development, emphasizing the transformative impact of their investment decisions on societal and environmental well-being. This research highlighted the pivotal role that financial institutions play as conduits for channeling capital towards sustainable and responsible initiatives.

Nature:

Regulatory bodies, notably the Reserve Bank of India, Securities and Exchange Board of India, and the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, have played pivotal roles in shaping the sustainable finance landscape. Their guidelines and directives, as evidenced in the literature, have set the stage for integrating environmental and social considerations into financial decision-making processes. The regulatory frameworks, however, represent not only a driving force but also pose challenges, as discussed in the studies of Das and Chakraborty (2011), Mehrotra and Dangayach (2012), and Agarwal and Dixit (2013), citing information asymmetry, institutional inertia, and regulatory gaps as barriers.

Furthermore, financial institutions and corporations emerge as key players in this narrative. Studies by Singh and Verma (2011), Rathi and Jain (2012), Banerjee and Kapoor (2013), Pandey and Shukla (2011), Deshmukh and Kaur (2012), and Gupta and Gupta (2013) illuminate the transformative roles these entities can play. Financial institutions act as conduits for sustainable investments, and corporations, through responsible governance and strategic decisions, contribute significantly to shaping a financial landscape that goes beyond profit maximization.

In essence, the literature reviewed signifies not only the challenges but also the immense potential for India to carve a sustainable financial path. As we reflect on the theoretical foundations laid before March 2014, it becomes evident that the seeds planted then have grown into a more robust and nuanced discourse on sustainable finance, shaping the trajectory of India's financial evolution towards greater resilience and responsibility.

Scope;

The scope of sustainable finance in India is vast and holds significant potential for fostering economic growth, environmental conservation, and social wellbeing. The multifaceted nature of this scope encompasses regulatory frameworks, financial instruments, corporate practices, and the integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations. The regulatory landscape plays a pivotal role in shaping the scope of sustainable finance in India. Initiatives like the Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) "Guidelines on Environmental and Social Risk Management" (RBI, 2012) and the Securities and Exchange Board of India's (SEBI) "Report on Corporate Social Responsibility" (SEBI, lay the groundwork for embedding sustainability into financial operations and corporate practices. The Companies Act of 2013 (Ministry of Corporate Affairs, 2014) further mandates corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities, providing a legal framework for businesses to contribute positively to society.

The scope of sustainable finance is expanded through the development and promotion of financial instruments geared towards sustainability. Green bonds, social impact bonds, and sustainability-linked loans are gaining traction, providing avenues for capital flow into environmentally and socially responsible projects (Banerjee & Kapoor, 2013). The diversification of such instruments contributes to a more comprehensive and flexible financial ecosystem aligned with sustainable objectives.

Corporations in India are increasingly recognizing the importance of incorporating ESG factors into strategies. Research on their business environmental disclosure practices of Indian corporations (Gupta & Kumar, 2014) emphasizes the growing awareness and the need for transparent reporting. As corporations embrace sustainable practices, they not only mitigate risks but also enhance their reputations, attracting socially responsible investors. Sustainable finance extends its scope to address social issues through financial inclusion and impact investing. Microfinance initiatives. community development financial institutions, and impact investment funds are contributing to inclusive economic growth (Pandey & Shukla, 2011). These endeavors align financial objectives with societal well-being, emphasizing the importance of addressing social issues through financial mechanisms.

The scope of sustainable finance is further broadened through educational and awareness programs. Initiatives that promote understanding of sustainable finance principles among financial professionals, investors, and the general public contribute to building a culture of sustainability within the financial sector (Rathi & Jain, 2012). In conclusion, the scope of sustainable finance in India extends across regulatory frameworks, financial instruments, corporate practices, and social impact. As the country continues its journey towards sustainable development, the integration of these elements will play a pivotal role in shaping a resilient, responsible, and inclusive financial landscape.

CONCLUSION

The intersection of sustainability and finance in developing nations presents a complex landscape ripe with opportunities and challenges. Our analysis has revealed the evolving discourse surrounding the relationship between sustainability and finance, emphasizing key themes such as environmental, social, and corporate governance criteria, the impact of investments, and considerations regarding climate change and human rights. Through a comprehensive bibliometric review, we have identified significant trends, influential articles, authors, and institutions, shedding light on the research trajectory in this domain. Moving forward. policymakers, practitioners, and researchers must continue exploring and addressing the interconnectedness of sustainability and finance in developing nations, leveraging insights from this analysis to drive informed decision-making and foster sustainable development pathways. While this study offers valuable insights into the relationship between sustainability and finance in developing nations, it is essential to acknowledge its limitations. Firstly, our analysis was confined to the Scopus database. Thus, future studies could benefit from incorporating additional databases such as Web of Science and Dimension. Secondly, the search string used in this study may not have captured all relevant articles, potentially limiting the comprehensiveness of our findings. Lastly, our focus on subject areas within Business and Economics may have overlooked valuable contributions from other disciplines, suggesting that future studies could broaden their scope to encompass a wider range of subject areas for a more holistic understanding.

REFERENCES

[1] Antadze, N. and F. R. Westley. 2012. Impact Metrics for Social Innovation: Barriers or Bridges to Radical Change? Journal of Social Entrepreneurship,

- [2] Babington J., S. Russell, and I. Thomson. 2017. Accounting and sustainable development: Reflections and propositions. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 48, pp. 21–34. Ditcher,
- [3] S., T. Adams, and A. Ebrahem. 2016. The Power of Lean Dat, Stanford Social Innovation Review, Winter 2016, pp. 36–41.
- [4] Ebrahim, A. and V. Rangan. 2014. What Impact? A Framework for Measuring the Scale and Scope of Social Performance. California Management Review, 56, pp. 118–141. EIB. 2017.
- [5] A Guide to Procurement for Projects Financed by the EIB. Global Impact Investing Network. 2020. Annual Impact Investor Survey. 2017.
- [6] The State of Impact Measurement and Management Practice: Second Edition. IFC. 2017. Principles for Impact Management.
- [7] Millar, R. and K. Hall. 2013. Social Return on Investment (SROI) and Performance Measurement. Public Management Review, 15, pp. 923–941.
- [8] Nicholls, A. 2009. We do good things, don't we? 'Blended Value Accounting' in Social Entrepreneurship. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 34, pp. 755-769.
- [9] Agarwal, R., & Dixit, A. (2013). "Sustainable Finance: Bridging the Regulatory Gap in India." International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management,