Wind Load Analysis of Curved Roof Structures: Influence of Foundation Soil and Terrain Conditions

Amit Kumar¹, V.K. Modi² and Ramanuj Jaldhari³

¹M. Tech Scholar, Kautilya Institute of Technology and Engineering, Jaipur, India. ²Associate Professor, Kautilya Institute of Technology and Engineering, Jaipur, India. ³Assistant Professor, Aayojan School of Architecture, Jaipur, India.

Abstract-Curved roof structures are widely used for their aesthetic appeal and structural efficiency but are highly susceptible to wind-induced forces. This study numerically analyzes wind load effects on curved roof structures, focusing on medium soil conditions and wind speeds across Delhi, Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, and Port Blair. Using STAAD Pro, key parameters such as base shear, overturning moment, and maximum displacement were evaluated under varying wind scenarios. Findings reveal a direct correlation between wind intensity and structural demand, with higher wind speeds leading to increased base shear, overturning moments, and displacements. Despite these effects, all structural responses remained within permissible limits, ensuring operational safety. Design recommendations emphasize reinforcements and optimized stiffness in high-wind regions to enhance performance. This study highlights the importance of site-specific wind load considerations in curved roof structure design, providing insights for safer. more resilient architectural solutions.

Index Terms—Wind Load, Curved Roof, STAAD Pro, Structural Response, Base Shear, Overturning Moment, Displacement.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wind is the movement of air due to atmospheric pressure differences, creating turbulent boundary layer flows influenced by surface roughness and obstacles. Low-rise structures, common in civil engineering, experience significant wind effects, particularly on their roofs, which vary in shape—flat, pitched, gable, hipped, or curved.

Curved roofs are widely used in structures requiring large, unobstructed spans, such as exhibition halls, sports arenas, and airport hangars. Constructed with lightweight materials, they are highly susceptible to wind-induced suction pressures due to turbulence within the atmospheric boundary layer. Their aerodynamic behavior depends on factors such as rise-to-span ratio, wind incidence angles, turbulence intensity, and Reynolds number. As the rise-to-span ratio increases, wind flow separation shifts leeward, altering pressure distribution. This study analyzes wind load effects on curved roofs, considering key aerodynamic parameters to enhance their structural stability and efficiency.



Figure 1 Schematic diagram of a curved roof at elevated level

Research on wind loads and structural responses of curved roof structures has evolved significantly over the past three decades. Studies can be broadly categorized into experimental investigations using wind tunnel tests and analytical studies utilizing Finite Element Method (FEM) and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations.

Kwon et al. (2016) developed a web-based aerodynamic database for wind analysis of low-rise buildings. Sharma et al. (2023) numerically analyzed wind pressure distribution on different roof shapes using Ansys CFX and found that cylindrical and dome roofs experience lower suction pressures due to reduced flow separation. Singh & Roy (2019) explored roof slope effects on pyramidal roofs, revealing that optimized slopes can enhance wind resistance. Zhou et

© March 2025 | IJIRT | Volume 11 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2349-6002

al. (2019) and Rani & Ahuja (2017) focused on dome and circular canopy roofs, emphasizing the importance of wind tunnel testing and CFD simulations in understanding wind behavior.

Sakib et al. (2021) reviewed wind loads on canopies, highlighting their susceptibility to wind-induced pressures, while Sun et al. (2014) investigated Reynolds number effects on semi-cylindrical roofs, demonstrating variations in drag coefficients with increased turbulence. Ding et al. (2014) studied aerodynamic stiffness and damping, concluding that unsteady aerodynamic forces significantly influence dynamic responses.

Verma & Ahuja (2015) examined wind pressure on domical and cylindrical roofs, demonstrating that aerodynamic performance is highly dependent on wind incidence angles. Abraham et al. (2013) investigated side walls' impact on wind loads, showing that structural elements like parapets alter pressure Table 1 Previous Literature distributions. Yang et al. (2013) introduced a novel Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) method for estimating wind-induced responses, offering improved precision over conventional approaches.

Several studies, including Castelli et al. (2011) and Zhou et al. (2012), explored wind load response on large-span roofs, proposing modified methodologies for equivalent static wind load predictions. Melbourne (1995) and Holmes & Paterson (1993) used aeroelastic models and turbulence simulations to assess wind load variations based on rise-to-span and lengthto-span ratios.

These studies underscore the critical role of roof geometry, wind turbulence, and terrain conditions in determining wind-induced pressures on curved roofs. The insights gained provide a framework for optimizing curved roof design, ensuring structural stability and resilience against wind loads.

| Author(s) | Methods Used | Key Findings | Limitations |
|--|--|---|--|
| Aram | Computational Fluid | Increased concavity of tall curved | Structural efficiency |
| Alizadegan et | Dynamics (CFD) | buildings increases suction and | decreases beyond certain |
| al. (2024) | simulation | overturning moments | curvature levels |
| Ji Yao et al. (2024) | Numerical simulations of variable cross- section roofs | Suction pressure at roof middle increases with rise-to-span ratio below 0.3; further increases reduce suction | Lack of extensive real-world validation |
| Aditya Kumar | CFD simulation of | Sharp-edged pitched roofs generate | Limited to specific |
| Jha et al. | pitched and circular | higher wind forces compared to | configurations; lacks general |
| (2022) | roofs | smooth circular roofs | applicability |
| Edmundo Amaya- Gallardo et al. (2021) | Reynolds-Averaged Navier Stokes (RANS) simulation | Mean pressure coefficients highly sensitive to roof curvature and wind direction | Limited validation data for vaulted canopy roofs |
| K. Bala Venkata Sai et al. (2021) | STAAD Pro software simulation for wind loads on multistory curved buildings | Wind load significantly affects bending moments and shear forces; structure stiffness varies with wind direction | Does not account for dynamic wind effects |
| Jian Guo et al. (2020) | Guo et al. (2020)Analytical approach for calculating wind load shape factorIdentified sensitivity of long stadium roofs to wind loads; codes insufficient for complex surfaces | | Absence of definitive methods for calculating wind load shape factor |
| Monalisa | CFD and wind tunnel | Roof curvature significantly reduces | Limited analysis on |
| Mallick et al. | studies on C-shaped | pressure coefficients compared to | surrounding environmental |
| (2019) | buildings | non-curved designs | factors |

| Author(s) | Methods Used | Key Findings | Limitations |
|--|--|---|--|
| Mikel Ogueta Gutierrez et al. (2016) | Wind tunnel tests on curved roof over a football stadium | Highlighted the complexity of wind load calculations on uniquely designed curved roofs; standard codes insufficient for such designs | Limited by the complexity of multi-segmented curved roof designs |
| Yuan-Lung Lo (2016) | Hermite polynomial translation for non- Gaussian pressure spectra | Roof curvature and Reynolds number significantly affect wind-induced pressure spectra | Limited to non-Gaussian pressure characteristics |
| Wei Ding et al. (2014) | Wind tunnel experiments and CFD simulations on vibrating curved roofs | Unsteady aerodynamic forces impact dynamic response; reduced frequency critical to roof behavior | Limited parameter range in wind tunnel experiments |

This study aims to analyze the wind load effects on curved roof structures by evaluating the impact of foundation soil conditions, terrain categories, and wind incidence angles using STAAD Pro. It investigates structural response parameters, including base shear, overturning moment, and displacement, while validating results against experimental and theoretical models. The findings will contribute to practical design guidelines for improving the safety and performance of curved roof structures under varying wind conditions.

The research follows a systematic approach, beginning with a comprehensive literature review to establish the framework for analyzing wind-induced pressures on curved roofs. A parametric analysis is conducted to assess the influence of geometric factors, including rise-to-span ratio, wall height, and roof curvature, on wind pressure distribution. Wind load simulations in STAAD Pro incorporate varying wind incidence angles and turbulence intensities, considering open, suburban, and urban terrain conditions to capture surface roughness effects. Additionally, soil-structure interaction is modeled using medium soil conditions to study its influence on load transfer mechanisms. The numerical simulations focus on key structural parameters such as displacement, stress concentration, and load distribution, with validation performed by comparing results with available experimental data and theoretical models. A detailed load combination assessment is carried out to identify potential vulnerabilities in structural performance. Finally, design recommendations are proposed to enhance the stability and resilience of curved roof structures under diverse wind conditions.

| Table 2 Material Properties of Structural Ele | ments |
|---|-------|
| and Soil Conditions | |

| Material Type | Grade/T ype | Elasti c Modul us (E) (GPa) | Poisso n's Ratio (v) | Densi ty (kN/ m ³) |
|----------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Concrete | M30 | 30 | 0.2 | 25 |
| Steel Reinforce ment | Fe500 | 200 | 0.3 | 78.5 |
| Soil | - | 25 | 0.35 | - |

Table 3 Sectional parameters

| Structural Componen t | Cross- Sectio n (mm) | Dimension s (m) | Remarks |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Vertical Columns | 450 × 450 | 15 | Fixed supports |
| Horizontal Beams | 300 × 300 | 16 | Main structural beams |
| Inverse Beams | 300 × 300 | 16 | Provides additional support |
| Curved Roof Slab | 200 mm thick | 16.0×8.0 | Arched profile for wind load analysis |
| Total Structure Width | - | 16 | Full width of the structure |

© March 2025 | IJIRT | Volume 11 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2349-6002

| Tatal | - | 23 | Includes |
|-----------------------|---|----|--------------|
| Total Stars strong | | | 15m column |
| Structure | | | height + 8m |
| Height | | | roof height |
| Additional | - | 3 | Intermediate |
| Floor | | | level |
| Level | | | consideratio |
| Height | | | n |



Figure 2 (a) Front View, (b) Side View, and (c) Top View of curved roof building

| | Basic | | | |
|------------|---------|------------------------|--|--|
| City | Wind | Terrain Considerations | | |
| | Speed | | | |
| Delhi | 50 | Urban terrain, high | | |
| Denni | m/sec | exposure | | |
| | 20 | Semi-arid terrain, | | |
| Ahmedabad | | moderate wind | | |
| | III/Sec | exposure | | |
| | 33 | Urban/suburban | | |
| Bengaluru | m/sec | terrain, low to | | |
| | | moderate exposure | | |
| Dort Dlair | 44 | Coastal terrain, very | | |
| Fort Blair | m/sec | high exposure | | |

Figure 3 Detailing of the Curved roof building Table 4 Wind load Parameter

1. Output of analysis

The wind analysis of the curved roof grid frame structure using STAAD Pro evaluates structural behavior under wind load conditions for Delhi and Ahmedabad, with a medium soil foundation. The study examines base shear, displacement, and overturning moments, revealing the impact of wind speed variations on structural stability. Findings highlight the relationship between wind forces and structural response, aiding in optimized design decisions to enhance safety, stability, and efficiency under realistic environmental conditions.



Table 5 Maximum outcomes for each condition

| Condition | Wind Zone | Wind speed | Base Shear (kN) | Maximum Resultant Displacement (mm) | Overturning Moment (kN-m) |
|-----------|------------|---------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Run 1 | Delhi | 50 m/s | 1665.42 | 8.949 | 51.37 |
| Run 2 | Ahmadabad | 39 m/s | 1595.389 | 5.684 | 48.83 |
| Run 3 | Bengaluru | 33 m/s | 1520.325 | 4.356 | 47.707 |
| Run 4 | Port Blair | 44 m/s | 1616.294 | 7.06 | 49.91 |

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Overturning Moment

The overturning moment at the base of the curved roof grid frame structure is crucial for rotational stability under wind loads. Analysis shows that Delhi (51.37 kN-m at 50 m/s) experiences the highest overturning moment, followed by Port Blair, Ahmedabad, and Bengaluru with decreasing wind speeds. Displacement and base shear values follow a similar trend, with higher wind speeds leading to greater structural deformation. These findings highlight the impact of wind intensity on stability, emphasizing the need for robust design strategies in high-wind regions.

2.2. Base Shear

The base shear, representing horizontal forces on the foundation, varies with wind speed across locations. Delhi (1665.42 kN at 50 m/s) experiences the highest base shear, followed by Port Blair, Ahmedabad, and Bengaluru with decreasing values. This trend highlights the impact of wind intensity on lateral forces, emphasizing the need for robust foundation designs to ensure structural stability in high-wind regions.

2.3. Maximum Displacement

The displacement analysis highlights the maximum resultant displacement at critical nodes, emphasizing structural stability under wind loads. Delhi (8.949 mm at 50 m/s) records the highest displacement, followed by Port Blair, Ahmedabad, and Bengaluru with decreasing values. The results confirm that higher wind speeds lead to greater structural deformations, underscoring the need for optimized flexibility and stiffness in design. These findings emphasize location-specific considerations to ensure structural resilience and performance in high-wind regions.



Figure 4 Base shear comparison for different condition







Figure 6 Top Displacement comparison for different condition

III. CONCLUSION

This study analyzed the structural performance of a curved roof grid frame structure under varying wind conditions across Delhi, Ahmedabad, Bengaluru, and Port Blair using STAAD Pro. The results highlight the significant impact of wind speed variations on key structural parameters, including base shear, overturning moment, and displacement.

Key findings indicate that higher wind speeds (e.g., Delhi, Port Blair) lead to increased base shear and overturning moments, necessitating robust structural and foundation designs. Displacements remained within permissible limits, though they increased with wind intensity, emphasizing the need for reinforcements in high-wind zones. The study confirms that wind loads dominate structural response over foundation properties, reinforcing the importance of location-specific design considerations.

By incorporating wind load analysis into structural design, this research provides valuable guidelines for optimizing stability, resilience, and durability of curved roof structures in diverse environmental conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] AIJ-RLB 2004, Architectural Institute of Japan, Recommendations for loads on buildings.
- [2] AS/NZS: 1170.2 2011, Structural design actions, Part 2: Wind actions, Australian / New Zealand Standard.
- [3] IS 875 2016 Part 3, Code of Practice for Design Loads (Wind Load), BIS New Delhi.
- [4] Biagini, P, Borri, C & Facchini, L 2007, 'Wind response of large roofs of stadions and arena', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 95, no. 9-11, pp. 871-887.
- [5] Biswas, G & Eswaran, V 2002, Turbulent Flows: Fundamentals, Experiments and Modeling, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- [6] Blackmore, PA & Tsokri, E 2006, 'Wind loads on curved roofs', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 94, no. 11, pp. 833-844.
- [7] Blessmann, J 1998, 'Wind loads on isolated and adjacent industrial curved roofs', proceedings of the jubileum conference on wind effects on buildings and structures, eds. JD. Riera & AG. Davenport, Port Alegre, pp. 137-171.
- [8] Blocken, BJ, Carmeliet, J & Stathopoulos, T 2007, 'CFD evaluation of wind speed conditions in passages between parallel buildings – effect of wall-function roughness modifications for the atmospheric boundary layer flow', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 95, no. 9-11, pp. 941-962.
- [9] Bosch, G & Rodi, W 1998, 'Simulation of vortex shedding past a square cylinder with different turbulence models', International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 601
- [10] BS EN 1991-1-4:2005+AI:2010 2011, UK National Annex to Eurocode 1 – Actions on structures Part 1-4: General actions – Wind actions, British Standards.
- [11] Castelli, MR, Toniato, S & Benini, E 2011, 'Numerical analysis of wind loads on a hemicylindrical roof building', International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1669
- [12] Cheng, CM & Fu, CL 2010, 'Characteristics of wind loads on a hemispherical dome in smooth

flow and turbulent boundary layer flow', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 98, no. 6-7, pp. 328-344.

- [13] Cochran, L & Derickson, R 2011, 'A physical modeller's view of Computational Wind Engineering', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 139-153.
- [14] Cook, NJ 1990, 'The designer's guide to wind loading of building structures, Part 2: Static Structures, Butterworths, London.
- [15] Davenport, AG 1967, 'Gust loading factors', Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 11-34.
- [16] Del Coz Díaz, JJ, García Nieto, PJ & Suárez Domínguez, FJ 2006, 'Numerical analysis of pressure field on curved self-weighted metallic roofs due to the wind effect by the finite element method', Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 192, no. 1, pp. 40-50.
- [17] Del Coz Díaz, JJ, García Nieto, PJ, VilánVilán, JA, Alvarez Rabanal, FP, Navarro-Manso, A & Alonso-Martínez, M 2013, 'Nonlinear analysis of the pressure field in industrial buildings with curved metallic roofs due to the wind effect by FEM', Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 221, pp. 202-213.
- [18] Ding, W, Uematsu, Y, Nakamura, M & Tanaka, S 2014, 'Unsteady aerodynamic forces on a vibrating long-span curved roof', Wind and Structures, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 649-663.
- [19] Ding, Z & Tamura, Y 2013, 'Contributions of wind-induced overall and local behaviours for internal forces in cladding support components of large-span roof structure', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 115, pp. 162-172.
- [20] EN 1991-1-4: 2005+A1 2010, Eurocode 1: Actions on Structures-Part 1-4: General Actions-Wind actions, European Standard.
- [21] Franchini, S, Pindado, S, Meseguer, J & Sanz-Andres, A 2005, 'A parametric, experimental analysis of conical vortices on curved roofs of low-rise buildings', Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol. 93, no. 8, pp. 639-650.