

# A Study on the Practice of Work-Life Balance Among White-Collar Employees

Jaya Surya Arunachalam<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Moli Ghosh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*MBA, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai-600119*

<sup>2</sup>*Asst. professor, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai-600119*

**Abstract:** The study investigates work-life balance among white-collar employees in the manufacturing sector, focusing on the interplay between employee engagement, job satisfaction, and organizational practices. Recognizing the unique challenges posed by demanding work schedules and high-pressure environments, the research aims to assess how current HR initiatives—such as flexible work options, wellness programs, and recognition schemes—contribute to employees' ability to maintain a healthy equilibrium between professional responsibilities and personal life. A cross-sectional design was employed, gathering primary data through structured questionnaires distributed digitally to 100 white-collar employees. Quantitative analyses, including Chi-square tests, correlation analyses, and one-way ANOVA, were conducted to examine the relationships between perceived HR policy effectiveness, employee motivation, and work-life balance ratings. The findings reveal that a significant majority of respondents rated their work-life balance highly, with a robust positive correlation observed between employee engagement and work-life balance. Moreover, HR policies were found to play a critical role, as employees who perceived these initiatives as highly effective were more likely to report superior work-life balance outcomes.

The study provides actionable insights for refining HR practices and underscores the importance of continuous support and tailored interventions to enhance employee well-being. These insights not only contribute to the academic discourse on work-life balance but also offer practical guidance for organizations seeking to foster a healthier, more productive, and engaged workforce.

**Keywords:** Work-life balance, White-collar employees, Employee Engagement, Job satisfaction

## 1 – INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

In today's dynamic and competitive business landscape, achieving work-life balance has emerged

as a cornerstone of employee well-being and organizational success. Work-life balance refers to the equilibrium between professional responsibilities and personal life, enabling employees to thrive both at work and at home. For organizations, fostering this balance is not just a moral obligation but also a strategic imperative, as it directly influences employee productivity, engagement, and retention.

The manufacturing sector, characterized by its demanding work schedules and high-pressure environments, presents unique challenges for maintaining work-life balance. Within this sector, white-collar employees—such as managers, engineers, and administrative staff—play a critical role in driving operational efficiency and innovation. However, they often face significant stress due to long working hours, tight deadlines, and the need to juggle multiple responsibilities. This makes it essential to explore how these employees manage their work-life balance and what factors contribute to their overall well-being.

Employee engagement and job satisfaction are two key determinants of work-life balance. Engaged employees, who are emotionally invested in their work, are more likely to find meaning and fulfilment in their roles, which positively impacts their ability to balance work and personal life. Similarly, job satisfaction—rooted in factors such as role clarity, career growth opportunities, and a supportive workplace culture—can enhance employees' overall well-being and reduce stress. For organizations, a leader in the manufacturing sector and the first to be certified as a Great Place to Work, understanding the interplay between these factors is crucial for sustaining a motivated and productive workforce.

The Organization has long been recognized for its commitment to trust, values, and service, and its HR practices reflect a deep understanding of employee needs. The organization has implemented a wide range of initiatives to foster engagement, job satisfaction, and work-life balance, including:

- **Recognition Programs:** Initiatives like Employee of the Month, SPOT Awards, I Appreciate You, Moment of Fame, and Team Excellence Awards celebrate employee contributions and foster a culture of appreciation.
- **Employee Care Groups:** These groups focus on policy deployment, family health, awareness communication, material support, training, and employee readiness, ensuring holistic support for employees and their families.
- **Health and Wellness Programs:** The Organization prioritizes employee well-being through yoga programs, gym facilities, fitness programs, counseling, and occupational health and safety initiatives.
- **Flexible Work Options:** The company offers flexible work arrangements, such as adjusted working hours and work-from-home guidelines, to help employees manage their personal and professional commitments.
- **Community and Social Initiatives:** Programs like Seed Ball, Miyawaki Forest, and CSR activities (e.g., COVID-19 shelters, and honoring jawans) reflect the organization's commitment to societal upliftment and employee engagement.
- **Retirement and Relocation Support:** The Organization provides retirement insurance, relocation assistance, and medical reimbursement to ensure long-term employee well-being.

Despite these robust practices, the demanding nature of the manufacturing sector poses on going challenges to maintaining work-life balance. This study seeks to explore the stability and assessment of work-life balance among white-collar employees at The Organization, with a specific focus on the role of employee engagement and job satisfaction. By examining how these factors interact, the research aims to provide actionable insights that can help The Organization further enhance its employee-centric practices. The research is particularly relevant in the context of evolving workplace dynamics, where employees increasingly prioritize well-being and

flexibility. By focusing on The Organization, this study not only addresses the unique challenges faced by white-collar employees in the manufacturing sector but also highlights the organization's commitment to creating a supportive and engaging work environment. The findings will contribute to the broader discourse on work-life balance, offering valuable lessons for other organizations facing similar challenges.

## 1.2 OBJECTIVE

### Primary Objective

- A Study on the practice of Work-Life Balance among White-Collar Employees.

### Secondary Objectives

- To study the role of employee engagement in influencing work-life balance .
- To analysis the relationship between job satisfaction and work-life balance among white-collar employees.
- To interpret the challenges faced by white-collar employees in maintaining work-life balance.

## 1.3 RESEARCH LIMITATIONS

- The study is confined to a single organization in the manufacturing sector.
- Data is collected at a single point in time (cross-sectional), limiting the ability to establish causal relationships or observe long-term trends.

## 1.4 NEED FOR THE STUDY

- The manufacturing sector's demanding work environment presents unique challenges for white-collar employees, such as managers, engineers, and administrative staff.
- These employees often face stress due to long working hours, tight deadlines, and multiple responsibilities, impacting their work-life balance.
- Despite the presence of various HR initiatives, assessing their effectiveness in addressing work-life balance issues is crucial.
- Understanding the relationship between employee engagement, job satisfaction, and work-life balance will help identify gaps in current practices.
- The study aims to provide actionable insights to improve employee well-being, productivity, and retention.

### 1.5 SCOPE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

- The study focuses specifically on white-collar employees in the manufacturing sector.
- It examines how employee engagement and job satisfaction influence work-life balance.
- The study will help identify key challenges faced by employees in maintaining a balanced professional and personal life.
- Findings will provide recommendations for improving HR practices, such as: Enhancing flexible work arrangements, Strengthening wellness programs, Improving recognition and reward systems.
- The insights gained may benefit other manufacturing organizations seeking to improve employee well-being and retention.
- The study contributes to both academic research and practical HR strategies for fostering a healthier, more engaged workforce.

## 2 - REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1 Review of Literature

Stanley, T. L. et al. (2019) – Best Practices & Job Satisfaction: Examines how a mentally challenging environment, fair remuneration, equitable promotion, and supportive working conditions contribute to job satisfaction. Emphasizes that recognition from supervisors and colleagues creates a positive work atmosphere.

Stefanovska, P. et al. (2017) – Job Motivation and Satisfaction: Highlights that high job satisfaction guides motivation. Concludes that satisfied staffs are likely to be flexible, loyal, and creative, as motivation stems from intrinsic forces like willpower and persistence.

Gitman and McDaniel (2007) – The Nature of Motivation: Defines motivation as a combination of forces that drive efficiency and energy. Frames motivation as a process of satisfying the gap between current conditions and desired outcomes.

Walker and Miller (2009) – Motivation Dynamics: Explores how motivation—whether driven by necessity, pleasure, or ambition—affects performance. Finds that highly motivated employees perform better, whereas unmotivated workers contribute minimally.

Arnold & Feldman (1982); O'Driscoll, Ilgen, & Hildreth (1992); Stumpf & Hartman (1984) – Organizational Compromise & Satisfaction: These

studies collectively suggest that a positive organizational climate (or compromise) correlates strongly with higher job satisfaction and employee commitment.

Nydegger (2002) – Evaluative Technique for Job Satisfaction: Develops a method for assessing individual job satisfaction and work-related stress by weighting various outcomes, thereby enhancing stress management and reward systems.

Feinstein (2000) – Organizational Impact of Job Satisfaction: Argues that high job satisfaction leads to better productivity, lower turnover, and improved overall organizational development.

Mosadeghard (2000) – Job Satisfaction & Organizational Retention: Emphasizes that satisfied employees contribute to growth, effectiveness, and retention, fostering long-term organizational success.

Stanley, T. L. (2019) – Job Satisfaction among Elderly Employees: Points out that for older workers, factors like workplace safety, continuous promotions, and recognition are vital for maintaining job satisfaction and commitment.

Sonmezer and Eryaman (2008) – Consequences of Low Job Satisfaction: Demonstrates that low job satisfaction leads to reduced work quality and higher turnover, negatively affecting overall organizational performance.

Bender and Heywood (2006) – Income and Job Satisfaction: Finds that when actual income exceeds expectations, especially in the education sector, job satisfaction increases significantly.

Groshen & Williams (1992) – White-Collar vs. Blue-Collar Workers: Differentiates between white-collar (more flexible, professional roles) and blue-collar (physically demanding, hourly jobs) workers, noting how these differences affect stress and work-life balance.

Katz and Krueger (2019) – Alternative Employment Agreements: Reviews conflicting evidence on the rise of alternative work arrangements and discusses their implications for job satisfaction.

Carleton & Kelly (2019) – Benefits of Alternative Work Arrangements: Argues that alternative employment agreements offering flexibility and control can enhance job satisfaction even in regular jobs.

Earl, Iskandar, & Elizondo (2019) – Job Matching & Satisfaction: Highlights that accepting jobs that do not align with one's interests can lead to long-term dissatisfaction and unstable employment histories.

Caplan (1983) – Interest Alignment in Job Selection: Suggests that individuals are more satisfied and successful when they choose jobs that match their interests.

Holland (1997) – Work Environment and Interest Match: Asserts that people seek work environments that allow them to use their skills and align with their interests, thereby boosting satisfaction.

Judge et al. (2002) – Personality Traits and Job Satisfaction: Demonstrates that the Big Five personality traits (openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, neuroticism) significantly correlate with levels of job satisfaction.

Fried & Ferris (1987) – Impact of Job Design on Satisfaction: Emphasizes that the design of a job, including meaningful tasks and feedback, plays a crucial role in determining job satisfaction.

Hackman & Oldham (1975) – Job Characteristics Model: Introduces a model identifying key job attributes (autonomy, task significance, feedback, skill variety, task identity) that drive motivation and satisfaction.

Jha et al. (2019) – Work-Family Conflict & Organizational Resources: Discusses the challenges posed by high work demands and technological advances, stressing the need for organizational resources to manage work-family conflict.

Demerouti et al. (2001) – Role of Organizational Resources: Defines organizational resources (physical, social, psychological, structural) as factors that help reduce conflict and promote personal growth and satisfaction.

Bakker & Demerouti (2007) – Intrinsic Motivation via Organizational Resources: Argues that adequate resources such as feedback and career opportunities lead to intrinsic motivation and improved job satisfaction.

Jha et al. (2019) – Mitigating Work-Family Interference: Notes that when organizational resources help mitigate the loss of time and energy due to work-family conflict, job satisfaction improves.

Stuart D. Sidle (2008) – Stress Management Interventions: Reviews various stress management approaches—job redesign, cognitive-behavioral strategies, meditation, relaxation programs—and discusses their effectiveness in reducing workplace stress.

Gallup (2017) – Technology Literacy & Workforce Opportunities: Finds that employees with higher technological competencies enjoy better job

opportunities and contribute more effectively to productivity.

Fazrina (2018) – Work-Life Balance Challenges in Indonesia: Reports that 85% of employees struggle with balancing work and personal life, which leads to dissatisfaction and reduced productivity.

Balmforth & Gardner (2006) – Consequences of Work-Life Imbalance: Indicates that an imbalance between work and personal responsibilities contributes to stress and lower job satisfaction.

Fisher, Bulger, & Smith (2009) – Time and Energy Allocation in Work-Life Balance: Describes work-life balance as a conflict in allocating time and energy between various roles, influencing overall satisfaction.

Barsei (2018) – Impact of Long Working Hours: Demonstrates that excessive working hours diminish family time and increase stress, leading to burnout.

Delina & Raya (2013) – Organizational Support for Work-Life Balance: Argues that companies that actively support work-life balance see improvements in employee productivity and satisfaction.

Makela, Vesa, & Mayerhofer (2011) – Work Demands on Married Employees: Finds that high work demands particularly affect married employees, making it challenging to balance family responsibilities.

Eby et al. (in Parkes & Langford, 2008) – Role of Flexible Work Arrangements: Emphasizes that flexible scheduling and supportive management are key to achieving a healthy work-life balance.

Cowan & Bochantin (2011) – WLB in Blue-Collar vs. White-Collar Workers: Reveals that blue-collar workers face greater work-life balance challenges due to the physically demanding nature of their jobs.

Frizzell (2015) – Economic Constraints on Blue-Collar Workers: Notes that blue-collar workers often deprioritize work-life balance due to economic constraints and job security concerns.

Pulkeria (2016) – Work-Life Balance among White-Collar Workers: Observes that while white-collar employees have more flexible schedules, they still face issues such as long working hours and high expectations.

Schaufeli & Bakker (2004) – Employee Engagement and Organizational Success: Defines employee engagement as dedication, vigor, and commitment, which are essential for enhancing productivity and innovation.

Ratanjee & Emond (2013) – Prevalence of Employee Engagement Issues: Reports low levels of engagement among employees, which adversely affects organizational performance.

Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes (2002) – Benefits of High Employee Engagement: Demonstrates that engaged employees contribute significantly to organizational productivity, innovation, and customer satisfaction.

Pandita & Singhal (2017) – Correlation Between WLB and Engagement: Finds that employees with better work-life balance exhibit higher engagement, leading to lower turnover and enhanced satisfaction.

Dale Carnegie Indonesia (2017) – Engagement Among Millennials: Reveals that only 25% of millennials are actively engaged in their work, underlining the need for policies that improve work-life balance.

Maslach & Leiter (2016) – Burnout as a Consequence of Chronic Stress: Defines burnout as extreme fatigue, cynicism, and reduced efficacy, highlighting its negative impact on employee retention and performance.

Seppala & Moeller (2018) – Engagement to Prevent Burnout: Stress the importance of maintaining high employee engagement as a means to prevent burnout.

Anwar (2017) – Burnout's Impact on Performance: Observes that burnout leads to lower motivation, reduced job performance, and increased absenteeism.

Neal & Kuang (2005) – Work-Family Benefits and Job Satisfaction: Demonstrates that a supportive work-family culture and well-structured benefits significantly boost job satisfaction.

Hewitt (2005) – Healthcare Information and Decision Making: Argues that providing employees with detailed healthcare cost information can influence decision-making and satisfaction levels.

Micelli & Lane (1991) – Perceived Benefits vs. Actual Value: Finds that employees' satisfaction with benefits is more closely linked to their perceptions than to the actual monetary value provided.

Joseph (2014) – Industrial Disputes and Stakeholder Engagement: Examines how industrial disputes and their resolution in employer-employee relations can influence broader organizational reforms.

Krishna Moorthy (2005) – Industrial Relations in Tamil Nadu's Textile Industry: Studies post-reform industrial relations, noting a decrease in disputes and worker involvement, which indirectly impacts job satisfaction.

Sapkal (2015) – Conciliation in Industrial Disputes: Finds that mandatory conciliation processes resolve disputes faster than traditional labour court procedures, suggesting more efficient conflict management.

Venugopalan (2011) – Dispute Prevention Mechanisms: Assesses various industrial dispute prevention methods, highlighting the prevalent use of conciliation and voluntary negotiation.

Sofijanov & Chatleska (2013) – Empowerment Through Participation: Emphasize that involving employees in decision-making empowers them, thereby enhancing job satisfaction and supporting a better work-life balance.

Bateman & Crant (2011) – Shared Decision-Making and Engagement: Argue that collaborative decision-making and shared benefits foster higher employee engagement and contribute to an improved work-life balance.

Westhuizen (2010) – Employee Involvement and Control: Suggests that both direct and indirect participation in decision-making provide employees with greater control over their work, reducing work-life conflict.

Beardwell & Claydon (2007) – Power Sharing in Organizations: Indicate that distributing decision-making power between employers and employees boosts job satisfaction and productivity, indirectly supporting a healthier work-life balance.

Empirical Studies (Dede, 2019; Umar, 2019; Harpreet, 2019; Oyebamiji, 2018; Nwoko & Emerole, 2017): Collectively demonstrate that increased employee participation in decision-making leads to enhanced morale, commitment, and productivity, all of which promote better work-life balance.

Democratic Participatory Theory – Pateman (1970): Provides a theoretical framework asserting that employee involvement enhances individual freedom and control, which positively influences work-life balance.

Somech (2002) – Effectiveness of Employee Involvement: Finds that involving employees in decision-making is highly effective in achieving organizational goals while noting potential risks if not properly managed.

Brownell (1982) – Definition of Participation: Defines participation as an organizational process in which employees influence decisions that affect their work and personal lives.

Kim (2002) – Participation and Organizational Effectiveness: Argues that granting employees the

right to participate and share responsibilities leads to increased motivation and better performance outcomes.

Cadwallader et al. (2010) – Communication and Teamwork: Identifies that well-organized teamwork and effective communication are key variables that strengthen the positive effects of employee participation on the organizational climate.

Ladd & Marshall (2004) – Participation and Commitment: Reports that active employee involvement in decision-making significantly enhances job satisfaction and fosters stronger organizational commitment.

Aliyu (2019) – Impact on Absenteeism and Performance: Demonstrates that greater employee involvement leads to reduced absenteeism, increased commitment, and improved overall productivity.

Mambula, Francis, & Zirra (2021) – Decision Making & Productivity: Investigates the effect of employee involvement on organizational productivity, reaffirming the importance of participative practices in modern workplaces.

Work-Life Balance and Employee Engagement at PT. AAA: A case study revealed that only 20–30% of employees were willing to work overtime on Sundays due to burnout, underscoring the critical need to address work-life balance.

Work-Life Balance Among Bank Employees in Madurai (2001): Analyzes bank employees' perceptions of work-life balance, finding no significant differences across job classifications and suggesting that generic policies may not address individual needs.

Work and Family Life Balance in the Magnesite Industry, Tamil Nadu (2002): Examines how strenuous working conditions—such as heavy manual labour and extreme environmental factors—severely impact work-life balance and job satisfaction.

Work-Life Balance of Women Employees in the IT Sector (2001): Explores the role of job flexibility in enhancing work-life balance among women, showing that flexible arrangements lead to higher satisfaction in both work and personal life.

Work-Family Enrichment and Work-Life Balance (2002): Investigates the dual impact of work-family conflict and facilitation on overall work-life balance, finding that facilitation can offset some negative effects of conflict.

Work-Life Balance in IT Companies in Pune (2003): Identifies five strategic approaches (time-based,

information-based, money-based, direct services, and cultural change) to improve work-life balance in fast-paced IT environments, leading to enhanced employee commitment and reduced stress.

### 3 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 SAMPLING METHOD

The sampling method which is considered for the study is Convenience sampling. Convenience Sampling: Easy access to white-collar employees.

#### 3.2 SOURCES OF DATA

Primary data:

The primary data is collected through a Questionnaire by distributing the questionnaire in digital (Google form) form.

The questionnaire contains the following types of Questions: Demographic Questions, Likert Scale Questions, Rating Scale Questions, and Open-Ended Questions.

Secondary data:

The secondary source of data is collected through internet websites, magazines, records and journals.

#### 3.3 AREA OF THE STUDY

The study is conducted within one of the automotive industry in Chennai.

#### 3.4 SAMPLE SIZE

Sample size of the study is 100.

#### 3.5 TOOLS FOR ANALYSIS

The tools used for analysis are Pie chart, Bar chart, Percentage analysis, Cross Tabulation, and Chi-square test.

#### 3.6 HYPOTHESIS

##### 3.6.1 Chi-Square Test: Association between HR Policies and Work-Life Balance

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): There is no statistically significant association between employees' perceptions of HR policies (e.g., flexible work options, recognition, and reward programs) and their reported level of work-life balance.
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): There is a statistically significant association between employees' perceptions of HR policies and their reported level of work-life balance.

### 3.6.2 Correlation Analysis: Motivation vs. Work–Life Balance

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): There is no statistically significant correlation between employees' motivation levels and their reported work–life balance.
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): There is a statistically significant positive correlation between employees' motivation levels and their reported work–life balance.

### 3.6.3 ANOVA: Work–Life Balance across Departments

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): There is no statistically significant difference in average work–life balance ratings among employees from different departments.
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): There is statistically significant difference in average work–life balance ratings among employees from different departments.

## 4 – DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

### 4.1 HYPOTHESIS TESTING

#### 4.1.1 Chi-Square Test

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	40.197 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.419	4	.000
N of Valid Cases	100		

a. 6 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .24.

Fig 4.1

(Alternative Hypothesis Accepted), the Chi-square test revealed a statistically significant relationship ( $p < 0.001$ ) between the perceived effectiveness of HR policies (e.g., flexible work options, recognition programs) and work-life balance (WLB) among white-collar employees.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): *There is a statistically significant association between employees' perceptions of HR policies and their reported level of work–life balance.*

### 4.1.2 Correlation Analysis

Correlations

		How often do you feel energized and motivated at work?	how would you rate your current overall work–life balance?
How often do you feel energized and motivated at work?	Pearson Correlation	1	.347**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	100	100
how would you rate your current overall work–life balance?	Pearson Correlation	.347**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	100	100

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Fig 4.2

(Alternative Hypothesis Accepted), the analysis found a significant positive link between how motivated employees feel at work and their perception of work-life balance.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): *There is a statistically significant positive correlation between employees' motivation levels and their reported work–life balance.*

### 4.1.3 One-Way ANOVA

#### 1.1 Work-Life Balance (WLB) Across Departments

#### 1.2 Variables Tested:

- Independent Variable: Department (Engineering, Management, Production, Services).
- Dependent Variable: Work-Life Balance Rating.

ANOVA

how would you rate your current overall work–life balance

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.321	3	.107	.665	.576
Within Groups	15.469	96	.161		
Total	15.790	99			

Fig 4.3

(Null Hypothesis Accepted), Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): *There is no statistically significant difference in average work–life balance ratings among employees from different departments.*

The ANOVA test found no significant differences in work-life balance (WLB) ratings across departments ( $F = 0.665$ ,  $p = 0.576$ ). All departments reported very high WLB scores (mean  $\approx 4.8$ – $4.96/5$ ), with minimal variation:

- Engineering: 4.96 ( $\pm 0.196$ )
- Production: 4.92 ( $\pm 0.408$ )
- Services: 4.82 ( $\pm 0.528$ )
- Management: 4.88 ( $\pm 0.332$ )

## 5 – FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

### 5.1 KEY FINDINGS

- 1 Departmental Representation: The workforce is dominated by the Services Department (33%).
- 2 Gender Imbalance: A male-dominated workforce (73% male).
- 3 Age Distribution: The majority of employees are in the 36–45 age group (44%).
- 4 Tenure Distribution: 39% of employees have 3–5 years of tenure.
- 5 Marital Status: 69% of employees are married.
- 6 Work-Life Balance (WLB) Ratings: Employees rate WLB exceptionally high (mean = 4.89/5), with 92% rating it 5/5.
- 7 Work-Life Conflict: 52% rarely miss personal/family events due to works.
- 8 Workplace Culture Support: 76% agree or strongly agree that supports work-life balance.
- 9 Employee Motivation: 64% "always" feel energized at work reflecting a positive work environment.
- 10 HR Policy Effectiveness: 77% rate HR policies (flexible work, recognition programs) as "Highly Effective" in supporting engagement.
- 11 Impact of Team Initiatives: Team-building activities (e.g., outbound training) significantly boost engagement for 51% reporting moderate impact.
- 12 Wellness Initiatives: 90% find wellness programs impactful highly effective.
- 13 Celebrations and Morale: 91% rate Family Day/Children's Day as impactful.
- 14 Workload Pressures: 31% report slight impact of stress during high production demands.
- 15 HR Policies and WLB Link: Employees perceiving HR policies as "Highly Effective" are far more likely to report excellent WLB (5/5) (75/77 employees) ( $p < 0.001$ ).
- 16 Motivation-WLB Correlation: A significant positive link exists between motivation and WLB ( $r = 0.347$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ).
- 17 Departmental Consistency: No significant differences in WLB across departments ( $F = 0.665$ ,  $p = 0.576$ ), with all departments scoring highly (mean  $\approx 4.8$ – $4.96/5$ ).
- 18 The findings validate the alternate hypothesis (H1), confirming a significant relationship between employee engagement, job satisfaction, organizational practices, and work-life balance (WLB).

- 19 The strong positive correlation between motivation and WLB ( $r = 0.347$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ).
- 20 The statistically significant association between HR policies and WLB (Chi-square  $p < 0.001$ ).
- 21 While departmental differences in WLB were statistically non-significant ( $p = 0.576$ ), the uniformly high ratings across departments (mean  $\approx 4.8$ – $4.96/5$ ).

### 5.2 SUGGESTIONS

1. Enhance HR Policy Implementation:
  - Tailor flexible work options for high-pressure departments like Engineering.
  - Address neutral perceptions of workplace culture through transparent communication.
2. Targeted Stress Management:
  - Introduce stress-relief programs for the 14% significantly affected by workload pressures.
3. Promote Inclusivity:
  - Launch gender equity initiatives (e.g., leadership programs for female employees).
  - Expand family support (e.g., childcare) for married employees (69%).
4. Refine Wellness Programs:
  - Expand mental health resources to address the 10% with limited satisfaction.
5. Customize Team Initiatives:
  - Design department-specific activities to engage the 5% perceiving minimal impact.
6. Diverse Celebrations:
  - Incorporate multicultural events to address the 9% neutral responses.
7. Continuous Monitoring:
  - Conduct annual feedback surveys and departmental audits to sustain WLB.

## 6 – CONCLUSION

### 6.1 Conclusion

The study reveals a strong interconnection between work-life balance, employee engagement, job satisfaction, and effective HR practices, as evidenced by exceptionally high WLB scores (mean = 4.89/5) and a statistically significant link between HR policies and employee well-life balance ( $p < 0.001$ ). This research confirms that an environment characterized by flexible work arrangements, robust



engagement initiatives, and targeted recognition programs fosters both productivity and well-being. While addressing areas such as gender disparity and enhancing wellness programs remains an opportunity for growth, the organization has established itself as a benchmark for employee-centric excellence. Moving forward, continuous innovation and a commitment to inclusivity and policy refinement will be crucial in sustaining and elevating this legacy, providing a valuable roadmap for organizations striving to create workplaces where employees feel both valued and balanced.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Acharya, V., Baghai-Wadji, R., & Subramanian, K. (2009). Labor laws and innovation. CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP7171.
- [2] Addai, D. (2013). Employee involvement in decision making and worker motivation: A study of two selected banks in Ghana. Unpublished Thesis, University of Ghana.
- [3] Agyeman, R. D. (2012). An investigation into the effect of employee involvement practice on decision making process: A case study of Kumasi Anglican Senior High School. Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology.
- [4] Ahmad, A. (2018). Green work life balance, green human resource management practices on employee satisfaction. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32547963>
- [5] Aliyu, A. U. L. (2019). The impact of employee involvement on absenteeism and performance. <https://scholar9.com/publication/5dad93642f5c9f5612da4015900f103.pdf>
- [6] Amarakoon, A. U. A., & Wickramasinghe, V. (2012). Organizational support as a moderator in the relationship between work-life balance and employee engagement: An empirical study on Sri Lankan employees. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Business Management* (Vol. 7).
- [7] Anu Singh Lather, S., Garg, S., & Vikas, S. (2014). Green HRM practices – A case study of a few selected Indian companies. Presented at the National Conference on Organizational Reengineering: New age tool for competitive advantage. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32263570>
- [8] Anwar, S. (2017). The impact of burnout on employee performance. <https://youngster.id/featured/engagement-tenaga-kerja-milenial-indonesia-hanya-25>
- [9] Aryee, S., Srinivas, E. S., & Tan, H. H. (2005). Rhythms of life: Antecedents and outcomes of work–family balance in employed parents. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(1), 132–146. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.1.132>
- [10] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328.
- [11] Balmforth, K., & Gardner, D. (2006). Consequences of work–life imbalance on employee productivity. *New Zealand Journal Psychology*, 35(2), 69-76.
- [12] Barsei, A. N. (2018). Fenomena “work-life balance.” <https://www.kompasiana.com/tyobarsel/5ab46266caf7db4c3a37b063/fenomena-work-life-balance>
- [13] Barsei, A. (2018). The impact of long working hours on employee well-being. <https://www.kompasiana.com/tyobarsei/5ab46266caf7db4c3a37b063/fenomena-work-lifebalance>
- [14] Bateman, T. S., & Crant, J. M. (2011). Shared decision making and employee engagement: An empirical investigation.
- [15] Beardwell, J., & Claydon, T. (2007). Power sharing and participative management in organizations.
- [16] Bender, K. A., & Heywood, J. S. (2006). Job satisfaction of the highly educated: The role of gender, academic tenure, and earnings. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 53(2), 253–279.
- [17] Brownell, P. (1982). A field study examination of budgetary participation and locus of control. *The Accounting Review*, 57(4), 766–777.
- [18] Cadwallader, S., Jarvis, C. B., Bitner, M. J., & Ostrom, A. L. (2010). Frontline employee motivation to participate in service innovation implementation. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 38(2), 219–239.
- [19] Cadwallader, S., et al. (2010). Communication, teamwork, and their influence on employee participation. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 38 (2), pp. 219-239.
- [20] Caplan, R. (1983). Interest alignment in job selection: Implications for satisfaction and success.

- [21] Carleton, C., & Kelly, M. (2019). The benefits of alternative work arrangements: Flexibility and control at work. DOI: 10.1007/s11293-019-09628-3
- [22] Carnegie, D. (2017). Mayoritas milenial galau dalam pekerjaan, kamu juga? <https://www.dalecarnegie.id/sumberdaya/medi-a-coverage/mayoritas-milenial-galau-dalam-pekerjaan-kamu-juga/>
- [23] Chiang, F. F. T., Birtch, T. A., & Kwan, H. K. (2010). The moderating roles of job control and work–life balance practices on employees’ stress in the hotel and catering industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29, 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2009.04.005>
- [24] Cowan, R.L., & Bochantin, J.E. (2011). Work–life balance in blue-collar versus white-collar workers. 12(1), 19-26. doi: 10.1080/17459435.2011.601521
- [25] Dale Carnegie Indonesia. (2017). Employee engagement among millennials in Indonesia.
- [26] Dede, O., Umar, F., Harpreet, S., Oyebamiji, O., & Nwoko, J. (2019). The impact of employee participation on organizational performance: A multi-study analysis.
- [27] Delina, F., & Raya, R.P. (2013). Organizational support for work–life balance and its effect on productivity. *Journal of Commerce, Business, and Management (IJCBM)*, 2(5), 274-282.
- [28] Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499.
- [29] Earl, J., Iskandar, F., & Elizondo, F. (2019). Job matching and satisfaction: The impact of fit on long-term employment. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joec.12101>
- [30] Eby, L. T., et al. (2008). The role of flexible work arrangements in achieving work–life balance. In C. Parkes & P. Langford (Eds.).
- [31] Fazrina. (2018). Work–life balance challenges among employees in Indonesia. <http://brilio.net/creator/work-life-balance-menyeymbangkan-kehidupan-kerja-dengankehidupan-072158.html>
- [32] Fiestead, A., Jewson, N., Phizacklea, A., & Walters, S. (2002). Opportunities to work at home in the context of work–life balance. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 12(1), 54–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2002.tb00057.x>
- [33] Fisher, C., Bulger, M., & Smith, J. (2009). Time and energy allocation in work–life balance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 14(4), 441–456.
- [34] Frizzell, K. (2015). Economic constraints and work–life balance among blue-collar workers. Department of Psychological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas.
- [35] Gallup. (2017). Technology literacy and workforce opportunities: The digital skills imperative. 10th State of the global workplace. New York
- [36] Gitman, L., & McDaniel, C. (2007). The future of business: The essentials. Cengage Learning.
- [37] Groshen, E. L., & Williams, D. R. (1992). White- and blue-collar jobs in the recent recession and recovery: Who’s singing the blues? *Economic Review*, 28(4).
- [38] Gunaraj, Jackson. (2001). An Empirical Study Towards Work-Life Balance Among Bank Employees in Madurai Region. [https://www.academia.edu/42105127/AN\\_EMPIRICAL\\_STUDY\\_TOWARDS\\_WORK\\_LIFE\\_BALANCE\\_AMONG\\_BANK\\_EMPLOYEES\\_IN\\_MADURAI\\_REGION](https://www.academia.edu/42105127/AN_EMPIRICAL_STUDY_TOWARDS_WORK_LIFE_BALANCE_AMONG_BANK_EMPLOYEES_IN_MADURAI_REGION)
- [39] Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1975). Development of the job diagnostic survey. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60, 159–170.
- [40] Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279.
- [41] Hewitt, L. (2005). The influence of healthcare cost transparency on employee decision making.
- [42] Hill, J., et al. (2001). Work–life balance of women employees in the IT sector. DOI:10.29121/ijetmr.v7.i1.2020.499
- [43] Holland, J. L. (1997). Work environments and the matching of personal interests.
- [44] Jha, Y., et al. (2019). Work–family conflict and the role of organizational resources in enhancing job satisfaction.
- [45] Jha, Y., et al. (2019). Mitigating work–family interference through effective organizational support.
- [46] Joseph, R. (2014). Quo Vadis, industrial relations disputes resolution...? *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 50(1), 75–88.

- [47] Judge, T. A., et al. (2002). Personality traits and job satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the Big Five.
- [48] Katz, L., & Krueger, A. (2019). Alternative employment agreements and their impact on job satisfaction.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793918820008>
- [49] Kim, Y. (2002). Participation and organizational effectiveness: Enhancing motivation through shared decision making. *Lessons for Management Leadership*. *Public Administration Review*, 62 (2) Pp 231-241.
- [50] Krishna Moorthy, N. (2005). Industrial relations scenario in textile industry in Tamil Nadu. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 40(4), 470-481.
- [51] Ladd, B.S., & Marshall, V. (2004). Employee participation and its impact on organizational commitment. *The Leadership and Organizational Development Journal*, 25 (8), pp. 646 -662.
- [52] Leiter, M. P., & Schaufeli, W. B. (1996). Consistency of the burnout construct across occupations. *Anxiety, Stress and Coping*, 9, 229-243.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10615809608249404>
- [53] Makela, L., Vesa, S., & Mayerhofer, H. (2011). Work demands on married employees: Balancing family and job responsibilities. *An International Journal*, 26(4), 256-274
- [54] Mambula, C. J., Francis, F., & Zirra, C. T. O. (2021). Effect of employee involvement in decision making on organizational productivity. *Archives of Business Research*, 9(3), 28-34.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.14738/abr.93.9848>
- [55] Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 15(2), 103-111.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311>
- [56] Micelli, A., & Lane, R. (1991). The relationship between perceived benefits and employee satisfaction.
- [57] Mosadeghard, F. (2008). A study of the relationship between job satisfaction, organizational commitment and turnover intention among hospital employees. *Health Services Management Research*, 21, 211-217.
- [58] Neal, D., & Kuang, Y. (2005). Work-family benefits and their effect on job satisfaction.
- [59] Nydegger, R. (2002). Occupational stress and job satisfaction in white and blue-collar workers. *International Business and Economics Research Journal*, 1(12), 35-44.
- [60] O'Driscoll, M. P., Ilgen, D. R., & Hildreth, K. (1992). Time devoted to job and off-job activities, interrole conflict and affective experiences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77, 272-279.
- [61] Pandita, S., & Singhal, R. (2017). The relationship between work-life balance and employee engagement. *The IUP Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 16(1), 38-57.
- [62] Pateman, C. (1970). Participation and democratic theory.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720444>
- [63] Pulkeria, S. (2016). Work-life balance challenges among white-collar employees.  
<http://blog.karir.com/2016/10/05/work-or-life-or-work-life-balance>
- [64] Ratanjee, V., & Emond, L. (2013). Prevalence of employee engagement issues and their impact on performance.  
<https://news.gallup.com/businessjournal/166280/why-indonesia-engage-youngerworkers.aspx>
- [65] Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3), 617-635.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2010.51468988>
- [66] Sapkal, R. S. (2015). To conciliate or not to conciliate: Empirical evidence from labour disputes in India.
- [67] Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Employee engagement: Definition, measurement, and implications for organizational success. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25, 293-315. doi: 10.1002/job.248
- [68] Seppala, E., & Moeller, M. (2018). Employee engagement as a buffer against burnout.  
<https://hbrascend.org/topics/1-in-5-employees-is-highly-engaged-and-at-risk-of-burnout/>
- [69] Sidle, S. D. (2008). Stress management interventions in the workplace: A comprehensive review. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 22(3), p. 111. Available at:  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.27747467&site=eds-live>
- [70] Sofijanov, S., & Chatleska, V. (2013). Employee participation in decision making:

Empowerment and its effects on work–life  
balance.