Oil Spill Detection with Deep Learning Techniques

Mrs. B. Lalitha Rajeswari[1], T poojitha[2], P Sruthi[3], T Nithish Kumar[4], P Menaja[5]

[1]*Supervisor, Assistant Professor, Computer Science and Engineering - Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, Vasireddy Venkatadri Institute of Technology, Andhra Pradesh, India*

[2,3,4,5]*Student, Computer Science and Engineering - Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, Vasireddy Venkatadri Institute of Technology, Andhra Pradesh, India*

Abstract— **Oil spill detection in marine environments is crucial for mitigating environmental damage and enabling swift response actions. This study presents a deep learning-based app-roach that integrates YOLOv8 for segmentation and DenseNet for classification, providing a robust and efficient solution for detecting and classifying oil spills.**

The YOLOv8 segmentation model is trained on a dataset sourced from Roboflow, containing annotated images of different oil spill types, including truecolor, sheen, and rainbow spills. With 50 epochs of training on 640×640 pixel images, YOLOv8 effectively detects and segments oil spills in real-time, generating segmentation masks with confidence scores. The DenseNet model further enhances the system by performing binary classification with an accuracy of 99.67%, distinguishing between oil spill and non-oil spill images.

A user-friendly interface is integrated into the system, featuring OTP-based user registration, profile management, feedback analytics, and an admin authentication module for monitoring and management. The combination of YOLOv8's real-time seg-mentation capabilities and DenseNet's high classification accuracy ensures a comprehensive solution for oil spill detection. This approach enables faster response times, improved environ-mental protection, and enhanced decision-making for marine pollution management.

Keywords— **Oil Spill Detection, YOLOv8, DenseNet, Deep Learning, Image Segmentation, Marine Pollution.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The damage from oil spills in oceanic habitats endangers both aquatic heritages and sea creatures as well as shoreline population centers. Quick detection of these spills together with precise identification remains crucial to avoid environmental destruction and create beneficial response measures. Standard procedures for detecting oil spills through satellite imaging and aerial surveys and manual observations commonly present time-limited response abilities and reduced accuracy and high financial costs. Deep learning together with computer vision technology provides promising methods to improve both efficiency and reliability of oil spill detection systems.

The presented system uses YOLOv8 for real-time segmentation and DenseNet for high accuracy classification of oil spills through deep learning technology. YOLOv8 model achieves spatial precision in oil spill detection through segmentation and works alongside DenseNet which delivers precise classification with an accurate rate of 99.67%. Through an integration of both YOLOv8 and DenseNet models the system offers complete capabilities for oil spill detection along with classification functionality.

The system offers usability through its responsive design which includes OTP based authentication for new users and comprehensive features for profile control together with feed-back assessment components. A monitoring and managing system operation function is integrated through admin authentication. The project integrate deep learning approaches with a user-friendly interface to create a fast and scalable system for real-time oil spill detection so environmental protection initiatives together with swift response programs can be better supported.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Environmental problems related to oil spills require effective detection systems combined with methods for their quick control. Over the years, researchers have explored remote sensing and machine learning techniques alongside deep learning-based approaches. Traditional satellite analysis and spectral band methods have historically been used for oil spill detection. However, these techniques often suffer from limitations in accuracy, real-time processing, and adaptability to environmental conditions [1].

Early studies primarily relied on satellite-based imaging methods, including optical and radar technologies, for tracking and monitoring oil spills. Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) has proven particularly useful due to its ability to capture images under various weather conditions and at any time of day. SAR images enable the identification of oil spills based on unique radiometric and textural patterns [2]. However, manual analysis of SAR images is time-consuming and prone to human error, necessitating the adoption of automated solutions.

Machine learning techniques such as Support Vector Machines(SVM) and Random Forest classifiers have been employed to improve oil spill classification accuracy [3]. These methods extract essential image features from SAR and optical imagery based on texture and spectral characteristics. However, their performance heavily depends on feature selection and preprocessing, requiring domain expertise and manual intervention [4].

With the advancement of deep learning, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have demonstrated outstanding performance in image recognition and object detection tasks. Studies have explored CNN-based models such as VGGNet, ResNet, and AlexNet for oil spill detection using satellite and aerial imagery [5]. While these models achieve superior accuracy compared to traditional machine learning approaches, they require large datasets and high computational power.

Real-time object detection systems have increasingly adopted YOLO (You Only Look Once) models for oil spill detection. Research has demonstrated the effectiveness of YOLOv3 and YOLOv4 in detecting oil spills in aerial and satellite images [6]. These models operate at high speeds, making them ideal for real-time monitoring. However, earlier YOLO versions lacked built-in segmentation capabilities, limiting their ability to accurately outline oil spill boundaries.

Deep learning-based segmentation models such as U-Net and Mask R-CNN have been introduced to provide detailed identification of oil spill regions [7]. These models generate pixel-wise segmentation masks, reducing detection errors and helping measure affected areas. However, their high computational demands limit their applicability in real-time scenarios.

The integration of YOLOv8 for detection and segmentation has significantly improved oil spill monitoring, enabling real-time identification of spill regions with greater precision [8]. YOLOv8 outperforms previous versions by offering faster inference times and more accurate segmentation. Additionally, the combination of YOLOv8 with DenseNet enhances classification accuracy, providing a comprehensive oil spill detection framework.

DenseNet has been successfully applied to various environ- mental monitoring tasks, including oil spill classification [9]. When combined with YOLOv8, DenseNet enhances the detection and classification of oil spills, offering reliable decision making tools for environmental agencies and response teams.

Recent research has also explored the integration of deep learning with user-friendly interfaces. Systems incorporating authentication mechanisms, profile management, and feedback analysis provide secure and optimized user access for oil spill detection platforms. Additionally, cloud based deployments of Deep learning models enable real-time environmental monitoring, facilitating rapid disaster response [9].

III. METHODOLOGY

The proposed oil spill detection system integrates two advanced deep learning models: YOLOv8 for segmentation and DenseNet for classification. The system follows a structured pipeline that includes data preprocessing, model inference, classification, and user interface integration.

A. System Architecture

The system architecture consists of multiple components working in sequence to detect and classify oil spills in marine environments. Figure 1 illustrates the overall workflow of the proposed model.


Fig.1.System Architecture of Oil Spill Detection

B. Data Preprocessing

The input images are preprocessed to ensure uniformity across the dataset. The preprocessing

steps include:

- Resizing images to 640×640 pixels for compatibility with YOLOv8.
- Normalizing pixel values to a range of [0,1].
- Data augmentation techniques such as rotation, flipping, and contrast adjustments to enhance model generalization.

C. YOLOv8 Segmentation Model

YOLOv8 is an advanced object detection model capable of segmenting oil spills in real time. The model is trained using a dataset containing various spill types, including truecolor, sheen, and rainbow spills.

Mathematical Representation of YOLOv8 Segmentation:

$$\hat{y}=f(X,\vartheta) \qquad (1)$$

Where :

- $\hat{Y}$ represents the segmented output (oil spill region).
- X is the input image.
- ϑ denotes the trainable parameters of the YOLOv8 model.

Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS) is applied to remove redundant detections:

$$IoU(A,B)= \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} \qquad (2)$$

Where IoU (A,B) is the Intersection over Union (IoU) between two bounding boxes A and B.

D. DenseNet for Classification

To ensure accurate classification of oil spills, we integrate a DenseNet based CNN classifier. The classifier predicts whether an image contains an oil spill or not.

Mathematical Representation of DenseNet:

$$y=\sigma(WX+b) \qquad (3)$$

Where:

- Y is the classification output (0:NoSpill,1:OilSpill).
- X is the feature map from convolutional layers.
- W and b are the weight matrix and bias term.
- σ is the activation function (Softmax for multi-class classification).

E. Algorithm for Oil Spill Detection

The workflow of the proposed system is outlined in Algorithm III-E.

Oil Spill Detection Algorithm:

Input: Image of water body containing possible oil spill.
Output: Segmentation mask and classification result. Preprocess the input image (resize, normalize). Apply YOLOv8 model to detect oil spill regions. Generate segmentation mask for detected spills. Apply Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS) to refine results. Extract segmented region and pass it to DenseNet. DenseNet classifies whether the region contains an oil spill. Display results with confidence scores.

F. User Interface Integration

The system includes a user-friendly interface that supports:

- User Registration: OTP-based verification ensures se- cure access.
- Profile Management: Users can update their details and track their previous detection results.
- Feedback Analytics: Users provide feedback on model performance, which is collected for analysis and further model improvements.
- Admin Authentication: Admin users manage and monitor the system, reviewing logs and user interactions.

G. Advantages of the Proposed Approach

- Real-Time Detection: YOLOv8 enables fast segmentation of oil spills.
- High Accuracy: DenseNet achieves 99.67% classification accuracy.
- User-Friendly Interface: A seamless UI ensures accessibility and usability.
- Improved Environmental Monitoring: Rapid identification of oil spills allows quicker response and environ-mental protection.


Fig.2.Flow chart of the Oil Spill Detection Methodology

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

A correct implementation of the oil spill detection system re-quires an orderly sequence that includes both data preparation and model training before leading to inference and user inter-face development. YOLOv8 segmentation integration with DenseNet classification divides the detection system in to solid detection capabilities. The workflow of the system appears in Figure 2.

A. Dataset Preparation
The successful training of YOLOv8 segmentation along with DenseNet classifier requires an optimal high-quality dataset. The Roboflow platform provides the dataset which includes truecolor and rainbow along with sheen oil spill images that have been properly annotated. The training and validation and testing distributions separate the dataset for achieving generalization.

Data Preprocessing Steps:

YOLOv8 requires images to be sized to 640×640 pixels there-fore all images undergo this resizing process. The normalization step transforms each pixel value to fall within the range 0 to 1 because it facilitates the improvement of model convergence. The data augmentation process employs horizontal flipping together with rotation and controls brightness modifications to expand dataset variability.

B. Training the YOLOv8 Segmentation Model
YOLOv8 operates as a segmenting system which trains on image annotations to detect oil spill regions. A step-by-step training procedure includes the following elements:

The segmentation model deployed is YOLOv8s-seg for per-forming its operations. During the optimization phase the algorithm applies Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) while using a learning rate of 0.01. The training process lasted for 50 epochs. The evaluation metrics consisting of Mean Ave-rage Precision (mAP) and Intersection over Union (IoU) help to analyze the segmentation quality.

YOLOv8 segmentation produces an accurate mask which specifically marks the boundaries of oil spill areas. The segmentation output appears as shown in Figure 2.

C. Training the DenseNet Classifier
DenseNet operates for binary classification to recognize oil spills in visual content. The segmentation system follows these implementation steps for processing.

- Model: DenseNet with 121 layers (DenseNet-121). The training procedure uses categorical cross-entropy as the loss function.
- Accuracy: Achieves 99.67% classification accuracy.
- Output: A binary classification result (Oil Spill/No Oil Spill).

D. Model Inference and Detection Process
The deployed YOLOv8 model conducts real-time detection of oil spill are as before creating segmentation boundaries. The DenseNet classifier receives segmented regions for verification purposes.

Inference Work flow:

The system receives an analysis image or captures an image directly for processing. Then the system creates segmentation masks that show identified spill areas. The DenseNet classifier reviews the separated regions to establish whether an oil spill exists. The system shows results containing confidence scores to users.

E. User Interface Development
The system implements a web-based interface that creates a smooth user interaction process. Users can access the following features through the user interface It provides.
The platform enables users to sign up with an OTP authentication protocol for enhanced security measures. Users possess capabilities to modify their profiles while accessing their detection history through the application. The system displays evaluation results immediately after users upload images to the system. Users can engage in a feedback loop that enables them to assess detection results thus helping the model achieve better accuracy. The system allows admin users to supervise system operations via admin access while they also handle the analytics of user feedback.

F. Advantages of the Implementation
High detection precision comes from the utilization of YOLOv8 and DenseNet models working together. Real- Time Processing capability allows the system to deliver instant res-ponses for oil spill incidents. The system delivers distributed monitoring capabilities because it can interface with drones along with satellite information for expanded

surveillance purposes. User-Friendly Interface: Allows easy access to detection results and system monitoring.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance assessment of the oil spill detection system relies on measuring its capabilities for precise segmentation while maintaining accurate classifications and fast real-time functions. Through the integration of YOLOv8 and DenseNet the system delivers a dependable and quick method to identify oil spills across different ocean ranges.

A. Evaluation Metrics
Multiple standard metrics help evaluate the model effectiveness during assessment.
- Mean Average Precision (mAP): Measures the precision-recall performance of the YOLOv8 segmentation model. The evaluation of predicted segmentation mask overlaps with the ground truth is determined through Intersection over Union measurements. The accuracy metric establishes the correct performance of DenseNet to differentiate between oil spill photos and photos without oil spills. The overall time duration it takes the system to analyze images and create output results constitutes inference time.

B. Segmentation Performance
YOLOv8 segmentation performs tests on pictures with marked oil spills within an annotated dataset. The developed model shows exceptional precision in its ability to find and divide various oil spill types. The summary of segmentation performance can be found in Table I.

Metric	Value
Mean Average Precision (mAP@50)	92.4%
Intersection over Union (IoU)	85.7%
Inference Time per Image	18ms

TABLEI: YOLOV8 SEGMENTATION PERFORMANCE

The segmentation model effectively classifies three different oil spill categories by identifying truecolor, sheen and rainbow oil spills. The output generated by the segmentation process can be observed in Figure V-B.

C. Classification Accuracy
The DenseNet classifier receives evaluation through

a distinct database which incorporates images from both oil spills and instances that do not show oil spills. The model delivers 99.67%.


Fig. 1 displays an example of how YOLOv8 segments oil spill types including true color and sheen and rainbow contaminants.

Metric	Value
Classification Accuracy	99.67%
Precision	98.9%
Recall	99.4%
F1-Score	99.1%

TABLEII: DENSENET CLASSIFICATION PERFORMANCE

D. Comparison with Existing Methods
The proposed approach receives evaluation by contrasting itself against both standard machine learning solutions and historic deep learning frameworks. The research findings show that integrating YOLOv8 with DenseNet achieves better accuracy and precision than previously established models.

E. Real-Time Performance and Practical Application
This system has real-time processing capabilities which stands as one of its essential benefits. Single images can be processed within an average time of 18 milliseconds when running the system for real-time oilspill surveillance in marine areas.

F. Limitations and Future Improvements
The system achieves high accuracy but it also contains some performance constraints.
The system possesses limited abilities in recognizing low-resolution images. The system leads to incorrect detection results when two similar-looking oil spills appear identically textured with one another. The surface spills make up most

of the dataset thus additional data about under water oil spills would enhance model generalization.

The following measures should be taken to overcome detected restrictions in future research: The dataset needs improvement through the addition of different environmental oil spill photographs from varied locations. A comprehensive exploration of modern agumentation methods will research methods to increase model resistance against errors. We should establish a combined model system that incorporates information from drone imagery together with satellite imagery for extensive monitoring operations.

VI. CONCLUSION

A combination of YOLOv8 segmentation and DenseNet classification offers an efficient detection system for oil spills according to test outcomes. The system provides real-time functionality combined with precise detection which establishes it as a promising instrument for environmental surveillance that helps quick marine pollution responses.

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A. Conclusion

This research develops an accurate deep learning algorithm that operates efficiently for marine environment oil spill detection. The method unites YOLOv8 for segmentation functionality and DenseNet for classification to create a system that detects and identifies oil spills precisely. YOLOv8 successfully detects oil spill regions with DenseNet providing accurate binary classification that reaches 99.67% accuracy levels.

The proposed system delivers numerous benefits through its rapid processing capability and accurate performance together with its ability to recognize three types of oil spills including truecolor, sheen and rainbow. The system benefits from its user-oriented interface which includes OTP authentication and both profile administration and feedback analytical features and data.

This research shows that using this methodology outperforms conventional practices and demonstrates its worth as a strategic tool for environment observation and emergency response. This system achieves precise segmentation and classification functionality which reduces oil spill ecological effects while assisting with marine pollution control decision-making processes.

B. Future Work

The system performs well in accuracy and efficiency yet additional research and improvement are needed. Some potential future directions include: The dataset needs expansion by including several forms of spill scenarios that range from underwater oil spills to spills occurring under extreme weather to boost model generalizetion capabilities. The model's performance becomes stronger through combining satellite imagery and drone data with traditional camera-based data to achieve scalability across broad monitoring operations. The system needs optimization for edge devices to achieve real-time oil spill detection at drone platforms and IoT sensors and embedded system level. The system must enable automated alerts to environmental agencies and response teams through a notification system which speeds up and strengthens oil spill containment practices. Advanced transfer learning techniques provide a solution to combine model accuracy improvement with decreased training time and minimized computational requirements. The system conducts tracking analysis which monitors oil spills as they spread through time while performing severity evaluations to assist with better response preparation.

The proposed system can achieve even better results in oil spill detection by combining these additional features which will enhance its readiness for wide spread marine observations. Deep learning technique improvements alongside emerging technologies will enable this research to develop more efficient environmental protection and disaster management strategies.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Garcia-Pineda, I. MacDonald, X. Li, C. Jackson, and W. Pichel, "Oil spill mapping and detection using MODIS imagery," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 118, pp. 210-219, 2012.

[2] F. Nunziata, M. Migliaccio, and A. Buono, "Oil spill detection using polarimetric SAR data," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 2192-2205, 2018.

[3] P. Brekke and A. Solberg, "Oil spill detection by satellite remote sensing, "*Remote Sensing of Environment*,vol.95,no.1,pp.1-13,2005.

[4] A. Singha, F. Reineman, and C. Jones, "Machine learning techniques for oil spill detection using multispectral imagery," *Journal*

of Applied Remote Sensing, vol. 13, no. 2, 2019.

[5] K. Lu et al., "Utilizing CNNs with satellite imagery to detect oil spill ,"*International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 41, no.12, pp. 4635-4652,2020.

[6] Y. Jiang, H. Zhao, and X. Zhang, "YOLO-based real-time oil spill detection in marine environments," *Environmental Monitoring and As-sessment*, vol. 192, no. 8, pp. 1-14, 2020.

[7] R. Kulkarni, T. Mishra, and V. Sharma, "Semantic segmentation for oilspill detection using deep learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 23842-23854, 2021.

[8] J. Huang et al., "DenseNet for remote sensing image classification: Applications to oil spill detection," *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 184, pp. 199-211, 2021.

[9] L. Fernandez, M. Jones, and C. Williams, "Cloud-based deep learning frame works for oil spill monitoring," *Environmental Informatics*, vol.27, no. 3, pp. 340-356, 2022.