Human Computer Interaction (HCI): Content Summarization Tools

Anish Singh^{1,} Anushree Paul², Devanshu Soni³, Himangshu Deep Kalita⁴, Garv Porwal⁵, Dr. A. Kannagi⁶

^{1,2,3,4,5} UG Student, School of CS& IT Jain (Deemed-to-be University) Bengaluru, India ⁶Associate Professor, School of CS& IT Jain (Deemed-to-be University) Bengaluru, India

Abstract— This project explores various Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques for document summarization, focusing on both extractive and abstractive methods. Extractive summarization selects key sentences directly from the original text, while abstractive summarization generates new sentences to convey the essential meaning of the content. The study emphasizes user feedback on summary quality, relevance, and customization options to better understand how these methods perform in real-world contexts. Surveys and interviews are conducted to gather insights on user satisfaction, particularly regarding the clarity, accuracy, and personalization of the summaries. By incorporating customization features, the system aims to tailor summaries to individual preferences, making the content more accessible and relevant. The project's findings will help identify which summarization method serves as the most effective Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) tool, optimizing user engagement by providing concise, relevant, and user-specific summaries.

Keywords— Human computer interaction, hci, ChatGPT, NLP, machine learning, gemini, copilot, HCI.

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's hyper-connected world, individuals are constantly exposed to an overwhelming amount of digital information across various platforms. From news articles and academic papers to social media updates and emails, the sheer volume of content that users encounter on a daily basis can be both empowering and exhausting. While this access to information provides people with the tools to stay informed and make decisions, it often leads to cognitive overload, reducing productivity and making it difficult to filter out what is truly important. As a result, the need for more efficient methods of consuming and processing information has become more urgent than ever. Automated content summarization has emerged as a powerful solution to this challenge, offering users the ability to quickly grasp the essential points of large volumes of content without needing to engage with every detail. By condensing textual or multimedia data, summarization tools extract the most relevant information, allowing individuals to focus on the core message. This process not only saves time but also reduces mental fatigue, enabling users to make faster and more confident decisions. As the demands for efficiency and quick access to information grow, automated summarization has proven to be an invaluable asset in both personal and professional contexts.

The benefits of automated content summarization extend far beyond mere convenience. In today's digital age, content consumption is not limited to specific formats or platforms—individuals are expected to navigate diverse content forms, from lengthy reports and academic studies to real-time social media feeds and casual emails. Automated summarization tools can help streamline this process by tailoring summaries to individual preferences, delivering personalized insights that are more relevant to the user's needs. This customization makes information more accessible and manageable, especially for users who are short on time or need to sift through large amounts of data quickly.

Moreover, automated summarization plays a critical role in improving the accessibility of information for individuals with disabilities. For people with visual impairments, cognitive challenges, or other barriers to content consumption, having access to clear, concise summaries can significantly enhance their interaction with digital content. This aligns closely with the broader goals of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI), which aims to create inclusive and user-friendly interfaces that cater to a wide range of user needs. Summarization tools can help bridge gaps in accessibility by providing an efficient way for all users, regardless of ability, to engage with content meaningfully.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

As Human-Computer Interaction continues to evolve, automated content summarization is expected to play an increasingly central role in shaping how users interact with digital systems. By reducing cognitive overload and simplifying the consumption of vast amounts of information, these tools help create a more seamless interaction between users and digital content. This paper will be structured as follows: The literature review section will provide an overview of automated summarization techniques, discussing both extractive and abstractive methods. The methodology section will examine the accessibility benefits of summarization tools for individuals with disabilities, emphasizing their role in design. Ultimately, inclusive automated summarization stands as a vital tool for enhancing user experience in the digital age.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is а multidisciplinary field focused on improving how people interact with computers by making systems more user-friendly. Originally aimed at trained users, HCI now targets a wider audience, emphasizing intuitive design, mental models, and emotional intelligence in interfaces. Key approaches include anthropomorphic, cognitive, and predictive models, supported by low- and high-fidelity prototyping for iterative testing. While early research focused on younger, tech-savvy users, HCI now considers diverse groups, like older adults, to enhance accessibility. This field is set to play a vital role in advancing human-centered AI, enabling smoother human-computer interactions.[1]

The authors in [2] explores human-automation interaction, focusing on automated tools like robots, drones, and chatbots used by everyday users. By analyzing 50 years of studies from the International Journal of Human-Computer Studies (IJHCS), it identifies key trends in this area, including task allocation, trust, and attention management, as well as newer issues like interdisciplinary approaches, regulation, explainability, and ethics. Though rich in historical and theoretical insights, the study lacks specific case studies or empirical data, limiting its direct application to current challenges in humanautomation interaction.

"Review of Automatic Text Summarization Techniques & Methods" by Adhika Pramita Widyassari and colleagues evaluates ChatGPT's performance in sentiment analysis and text summarization through face and criterion validity testing. The study finds ChatGPT effective for HCI research due to its efficiency, consistency, scalability, and cost-effectiveness, with reliable sentiment analysis and summarization capabilities. However, limitations include its reliance on training data, contextual challenges, risk of over-reliance, and ethical and privacy concerns.[3]

Automated Machine Learning (AutoML) aims to make ML accessible to non-experts, highlighting the importance of human-computer interaction (HCI) across ML workflows, from development to deployment. Initially focused on model selection and hyperparameter tuning, AutoML now covers all ML stages, emphasizing user-friendly UIs, transparency, and explainability to build user trust, especially in high-stakes fields like healthcare. Addressing fairness and bias remains essential, underscoring the need for human oversight. As AutoML becomes more autonomous, future research will need to rethink human roles, especially in dynamic environments, to maintain effective and trustworthy systems.[4]

Research on human-AI interaction in text summarization addresses the challenges of managing vast information and balancing human and AI strengths. Cheng et al. (2022) reviewed 70 studies to establish a taxonomy of human-AI interactions, identifying five types: guiding model output, selecting/rating output, post-editing, interactive editing, and writing with AI assistance. These types differ in human control level and interaction dynamics, with user-centered factors like efficiency, control, and trust being crucial in tool design. Emphasizing iterative refinement and user control, this study highlights the value of human-centered approaches for effective and trusted AI summarization tools.[5]

The integration of deep learning has greatly advanced Human–Computer Interaction (HCI), moving beyond traditional tools like keyboards to natural methods such as gesture and speech recognition. Models like CNNs and LSTMs have improved the accuracy of these interactions, enabling applications in virtual assistants and robotics. Multimodal interaction, combining multiple input types, enhances intuitiveness, though challenges remain in making systems robust in complex environments. Despite these issues, deep learning continues to drive innovation in HCI across various industries.[6]

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) has evolved from computer science origins to a multidisciplinary field involving psychology, design, and ergonomics. Moving beyond command-based systems, HCI now includes GUIs, voice interfaces, and multimodal designs. Modern advancements focus on intelligent, adaptive systems that personalize experiences and provide real-time feedback. Emerging fields like ambient intelligence and pervasive computing highlight HCI's role in seamlessly integrating technology into daily life, making it more accessible and user-centered.[7]

"Using ChatGPT for Human–Computer Interaction Research: A Primer," Wilbert Tabone and Joost de Winter review automatic text summarization, comparing extractive and abstractive methods. Through a systematic literature review, they highlight a trend towards abstractive summarization despite its challenges, along with advancements in extractive methods. Key issues include the difficulty of evaluation metrics, dependence on quality data, and scalability in real-time use. The paper also discusses limitations like bias, domain generalization, coherence, and human-like understanding, offering recommendations to address these challenges in future research.[8]

The authors in [9] highlights advancements in NLP through machine learning algorithms and their impact on Human-Computer Interaction (HCI), focusing on improved communication between humans and machines. It reviews key algorithms like HMMs, CRFs, RNNs, LSTMs, CNNs, and Transformers, and their roles in tasks such as speech recognition, sentiment analysis, and translation, which enhance HCI applications like virtual assistants. Challenges include the need for highquality data, multilingual model development, and fairness and interpretability. The paper suggests future research to address these challenges for more robust and interpretable HCI models. Software maintenance, especially code comprehension, is a crucial and often timeconsuming part of development, with code comments playing an essential role. While the quality of comments varies, recent Transformer-based models like CodeBERT, CodeT5, and Codex have improved automated comment generation. The COMCAT system builds on these models by integrating human feedback to produce inline comments tailored to developer needs. Human evaluations show COMCAT outperforms both manual and modelgenerated comments, emphasizing the value of human insights in automated documentation. Future directions include expanding COMCAT to other languages and enhancing its human-guided approach.[10]

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW

Ref	Focus Area	Key Findings	Challenges
No.			Identified
[1]	HCI research	HCI is crucial	HCI research
	explores	for user-	often focuses on
	mental models,	friendly	younger, tech-
	analyses	interfaces and	savvy
	trends, and	AI	participants.
	identifies	advancement	
	outdated		
	methods		
[2]	IJHCS	IJHCS	The IJHCS
	explores past	highlights	offers a
	developments	ongoing trends	theoretical
	and future	and emerging	foundation but
	trends in	themes in	may benefit from
	human-	human-	more case
	automation	automation	studies.
	interaction	interaction	
[3]	The evaluation	Reliable	Dependent on
	assesses	sentiment	training data,
	ChatGPT's	analysis,	risks
	capabilities for	effective	misinterpretation
	HCI research	summarization,	, lacks deep
		and validated	insight and
		outputs	adaptability.
[4]	Understand	Identifying key	Lacks detailed
	HCI during the	directions for	implementation
	development,	improving	of HCI
	deployment,	interactions in	mechanisms,
	and	this emerging	focusing more on
	maintenance	field	theoretical
	of AutoML		concepts.
[5]	Maps design	Provides the	Formative and
	opportunities	first taxonomy	qualitative,
	and user needs	of human-AI	focusing on
	for human-AI	interactions for	existing
	interaction in	text generation	interactions
	text		rather than
	summarization		introducing new
			ones.

[6]	Advances	Automates	Dependent on
	HCI, improves	complex tasks,	large datasets,
	user	offers	faces challenges
	experience,	personalized	with
	utilizes	experiences	generalization
	multiple input		across different
	types		tasks.
[7]	Analyzes the	Demonstrates	Primarily
	evolution of	effective	focused on
	HCI, assesses	integration of	quantitative
	usability and	emerging	analysis, which
	functionality	technologies	may overlook
			qualitative
			aspects of user
			experience.
[8]	Provides an	Comprehensiv	Dependence on
	overview of	e overview,	high-quality
	automatic text	clarity in	data, scalability
	summarization	methodology,	challenges, bias
	, extractive	balanced	in models.
	and abstractive	analysis	
	methods	-	
[9]	Provides an	Facilitates	Challenges
	overview of	intuitive,	include obtaining
	key ML	efficient	high-quality
	algorithms in	communicatio	annotated data,
	NLP and their	n between	model
	impact on	humans and	complexity
	improving	machines	across languages.
	efficiency and		
	accuracy		
[10	Automates	Enhances	Primarily
]	code comment	LLMs to focus	focused on
	generation,	on relevant	C/C++ code,
	identifies	locations and	which may limit
	optimal	comment types	applicability to
	comment		other languages.
	locations		

IV. METHODOLOGY

In our research, we conducted a detailed comparison of several leading AI-powered chat summarization tools, including Gemini, ChatGPT, and Copilot. We evaluated these platforms across a wide range of parameters to assess their capabilities, strengths, and weaknesses. By analyzing their performance on different metrics, we gained insights into how each tool excels in certain areas while facing limitations in others. This comparison provided a comprehensive understanding of their respective features and how they measure up against one another in the realm of chat summarization.

Google Gemini

Google Gemini has been positioned as a groundbreaking multimodal AI model. The model has the capability to seamlessly process and produce text, images, audio, and even code. Google Gemini's such versatility keeps this tool apart from the rest as it promises more adaptability and overall AI experience.

Microsoft Copilot

Heavily rooted in almost all Microsoft ecosystems, Copilot harnesses OpenAI's GPT-4 technology to offer intelligent inputs across a gamut of applications such as Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, Microsoft PowerPoint, and even Outlook. The tool is built to enhance user productivity by integrating real-time suggestions and streamlining tasks.

OpenAI ChatGPT

The most popular in the LLM domain, OpenAI's ChatGPT has enjoyed widespread acclaim for its powerful conversational capability and capacity to produce human-like responses. The tool excels in enticing users with its unique conversation, accurate information, and creative art.

The (Table 2) mentions the model performance and key insights along with accuracy.

TABLE 2. COMPARISON BETWEEN GOOGLEGEMINI,MICROSOFTCOPILOTANDCHATGPT AND THE BEST TOOL FOR SPECIFICFEATURE/ ASPECT:

Feature/Asp	Google	Microsoft	ChatGPT (by
ect	Gemini	Copilot	OpenAI)
Primary Use	Integrated	Integrated	General-
Case	AI in Google	AI in	purpose
	services	Microsoft	conversation
	(search,	Office apps	al AI
	Workspace)	(Word,	
		Excel)	
Platform	Google	Microsoft	Standalone;
Integration	Search,	Office Suite	used in
	Workspace	(Word,	various
	(Docs,	Excel,	platforms
	Sheets, etc.)	PowerPoint,	and
		etc.)	applications
AI	Search	Contextual	Conversation
Capabilities	enhancement	assistance,	al, code
	s, document	automation	generation,
	creation,	in Office	writing
	summarizati	apps	assistance
	on		
Natural	Advanced	Tailored	Highly
Language	NLP for	NLP for	advanced
Processing	search and	Office	NLP for
	document	documents	general
	understandin		conversation
	g		and content
			creation
Availability	Integrated in	Integrated	Available via
	Google	in Microsoft	OpenAI API,
	services	365	ChatGPT
			app, and
			other
			integrations

© March 2025 IJIRT	Volume 11 Issue	10 ISSN: 2349-60	02
----------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----

Customizati	Limited	Office-	Highly
on	customizatio	specific	customizable
	n	customizati	via API and
		on	user
			preferences
Learning	Trained with	Leverages	Trained on
Model	data from	Microsoft's	diverse
	Google	proprietary	datasets with
	services	data and	reinforcemen
		models	t learning
			from
			OpenAI
Unique	AI-driven	Automates	Versatile
Features	search	repetitive	content
	results,	tasks in	generation,
	Workspace	Office apps	broad
	document		knowledge
	enhancement		base
	s		
Target	Google	Microsoft	General
Audience	users,	Office	users,
	businesses	users,	developers,
	using	businesses	businesses
	Google	using Office	
	Workspace	365	
Pricing	Included in	Included	Free tier
Model	Google	with	available;
	services	Microsoft	premium
	(some may	365	versions via
	be	subscription	subscription
	premium)	8	

Final Summary:

- Microsoft Copilot: Best for Office automation and deep productivity integration within Microsoft 365.
- ChatGPT: Best for versatility, customization, and availability, excelling in conversational AI and content creation.
- Google Gemini: Strong in Google Workspace, but limited compared to the broader applications of the other tools.

V. USER FEEDBACK

For our research we conducted a survey where we received 57 responses and the results are:



Figure 1: Familiarity with Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) among respondents.

This pie chart illustrates the level of familiarity with HCI among 57 respondents. Nearly half (49.1%) of the participants are somewhat familiar with HCI, while 36.8% report being very familiar. Only 14% indicate that they are not familiar with the subject. This distribution suggests that a majority have at least some knowledge or experience with HCI, which could influence their perspectives on related topics.



Figure 2: Usage of Automated Chat Summarization Tools among Respondents.

This pie chart shows that 70.2% of respondents have used automated chat summarization tools, while 29.8% have not. The majority's prior experience with these tools suggests that most respondents may have a foundational understanding of their functionality and benefits, potentially influencing their views on their effectiveness.



Figure 3: Primary Use Cases for Automated Chat Summarization.

This pie chart indicates that 61.4% of respondents primarily use automated chat summarization tools for personal purposes, while 33.3% use them professionally. Smaller segments use these tools for college assignments or have no use for them. The results highlight that personal and professional needs drive most use cases for chat summarization



Figure 4: Key Features Expected in an Automated Chat Summarization Tool

Most respondents prioritize "Accurate context capture" (45.6%) in a chat summarization tool, followed by "Actionable insights" (21.1%) and "Time and date tracking" (17.5%). "Conciseness" is less emphasized, with few opting for no features.



Figure 5: A Look at the Importance of Chat Summaries

survey results reveal a strong emphasis on accuracy in chat summaries. A significant majority (47.4%) consider moderately accuracy important, highlighting its role in daily activities and work. Furthermore, a substantial portion (21.1%) deems accuracy as extremely important, suggesting that precise summaries are crucial for decision-making and task completion. While these findings underscore the value of accurate chat summaries, the combined percentage of those who find accuracy "slightly important" (8.8%) or "not important" (22.8%) indicates an opportunity to improve summary accuracy to cater to a broader range of user needs and preferences.



Figure 6: Enthusiasm for Automated Chat Summarization Tools

The survey results indicate a generally positive outlook on automated chat summarization tools. A substantial portion of respondents (40.4% and 38.6%) expressed their willingness to recommend these tools to others, highlighting their perceived value and effectiveness. However, a combined 21.2% remain neutral or unlikely to recommend, suggesting that there is room for improvement in terms of accuracy, user experience, and addressing specific concerns. Overall, the findings suggest a potential for widespread adoption of these tools, provided that ongoing efforts are made to enhance their capabilities and address user needs.



Figure 7: Mixed Satisfaction with Current Chat Summarization Tools

The survey results reveal a mixed sentiment regarding the current quality of chat summarization tools. While a significant portion of respondents (49.1%) expressed moderate satisfaction, a combined 24.6% are either dissatisfied or neutral. This indicates that there is room for improvement in terms of accuracy, comprehensiveness, and overall user experience. By addressing these areas of concern, developers and providers of chat summarization tools can enhance user satisfaction and foster wider adoption of these technologies.



Figure 8: Desired Features and Improvements in Chat Summarization Tools

The survey results provide valuable insights into the desired features and improvements for future automated chat summarization tools. A strong emphasis was placed on better handling of multilanguage conversations, reflecting the increasing global nature of communication. Additionally, respondents expressed a need for improved summarization capabilities for long chats, highlighting the challenge of condensing lengthy discussions into concise and informative summaries. While a smaller portion indicated they would like more detailed action items or no additional features, the overall feedback suggests a strong desire for more sophisticated and versatile chat summarization tools.



Figure 9: Prioritizing HCI Principles for Enhanced Chat Summarization

The survey results underscore the importance of applying HCI principles to enhance automated chat summarization. A significant majority of respondents (35.1%) prioritized enhanced user experience, emphasizing the need for intuitive and user-friendly interfaces. Furthermore, a combined 62.2% of respondents believed that HCI principles can positively impact multiple aspects of chat including personalization summarization, and accuracy. This broad consensus highlights the potential for HCI principles to significantly improve the overall quality and user satisfaction of chat summarization tools. However, the individual breakdowns suggest that there is still room for improvement in specific areas, indicating that continued focus on applying HCI principles is essential to address these challenges and provide users with the best possible experience.



Figure 10: Top Challenges in Automated Chat Summarization

The survey results reveal several key challenges faced by users of automated chat summarization tools. The most prominent challenges include the lack of context, incomplete information, and poor language handling, each cited by 21.1% of respondents. These findings highlight the need for tools that can better understand the context of conversations, fill in missing information, and handle diverse linguistic styles effectively. Additionally, challenges such as difficulty integrating with other tools, issues with selecting important sentences, and multi-document summarization indicate a need for more comprehensive and versatile summarization solutions. Addressing these challenges is crucial for improving the accuracy, reliability, and overall user experience of automated chat summarization tools.

VI. CONCLUISON

Automated content summarization plays a critical role in managing the overwhelming digital information users encounter daily. These tools streamline content consumption, reduce cognitive overload, and enable faster decision-making by delivering concise, relevant insights. Whether in

academic, professional, or personal contexts, automated summarization enhances efficiency and productivity, allowing users to focus on core content without unnecessary details. Customizable summaries further improve user experience by making information more accessible and personalized. In line with Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) goals, summarization also enhances accessibility, particularly for users with disabilities, by providing clear, concise information that improves content engagement. As summarization technologies evolve, they will remain integral to the digital landscape, improving how users interact with vast amounts of data. Comparing tools like Microsoft Copilot, ChatGPT, and Google Gemini reveals unique strengths: Microsoft Copilot excels in office automation within Microsoft 365, ChatGPT offers versatility and conversational AI for content creation, and Google Gemini integrates well with Google Workspace but is limited in broader applications. This comparison highlights the distinct benefits each tool provides based on user needs and contexts.

REFERENCES

- H. Bansal and R. Khan, "A Review Paper on Human Computer Interaction," *Int. J. Adv. Res. Comput. Sci. Softw. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 53, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.23956/ijarcsse.v8i4.630.
- [2] C. P. Janssen, S. F. Donker, D. P. Brumby, and A. L. Kun, "History and future of humanautomation interaction," *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.*, vol. 131, pp. 99–107, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.05.006.
- [3] A. P. Widyassari *et al.*, "Review of automatic text summarization techniques & methods," *J. King Saud Univ. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1029–1046, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2020.05.006.
- T. T. Khuat, D. J. Kedziora, and B. Gabrys, "The Roles and Modes of Human Interactions with Automated Machine Learning Systems," May 09, 2022, *arXiv*: arXiv:2205.04139. Accessed: Aug. 08, 2024. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2205.04139
- [5] R. Cheng, A. Smith-Renner, K. Zhang, J. Tetreault, and A. Jaimes-Larrarte, "Mapping the Design Space of Human-AI Interaction in Text Summarization," in *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational*

Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Seattle, United States: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022, pp. 431–455. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.33.

- [6] Z. Lv, F. Poiesi, Q. Dong, J. Lloret, and H. Song, "Deep Learning for Intelligent Human–Computer Interaction," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 22, p. 11457, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3390/app122211457.
- [7] M. G. Kaur, "Research Paper on Human Computer Interaction (HCI)," vol. 5, no. 2, 2023.
- [8] W. Tabone and J. De Winter, "Using ChatGPT for human–computer interaction research: a primer," *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 9, p. 231053, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1098/rsos.231053.
- [9] Y. Arifin and M. C. Soeharyadi, "Machine learning algorithms in natural language processing for improved human-computer interaction," *Int. J. Commun. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 26–28, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.33545/2707661X.2024.v5.i1a.75.
- [10] S. Grandel, S. T. Andersen, Y. Huang, and K. Leach, "COMCAT: Leveraging Human Judgment to Improve Automatic Documentation and Summarization," Dec. 2021.