

Common Fixed-Point Theorem in Cone Metric Spaces for Rational Contractions

Poonam Sahu¹, Arvind Gupta², Roshni Sahu³, Anupama Gupta⁴, Praveen Shrivastava⁵

¹Research Scholar, Govt. M.V.M., Bhopal (M.P.)

²Professor, Govt. M.V.M., Bhopal (M.P.)

³Research Scholar, IEHE, Bhopal (M.P.)

⁴Professor, BU IT, Bhopal (M.P.)

⁵Professor, NRI VISMT College, Bhopal (M.P.)

Abstract—In this paper we prove the common fixed-point theorem in cone metric space for rational expression in normal cone setting. Our results generalized the main result of Jaggi [7] and Dass, Gupta [3]. we studied the concept of contractions mappings to obtain common fixed point in cone metric spaces for rational contractions.

Index Terms—Fixed Point, Cone Metric Spaces, Common Fixed Point, Rational Contractions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle with rational expressions have been expanded and some fixed and common fixed-point theorems have been obtained in [4], [5]. Huang and Zhang [6] initiated cone metric spaces, which is a generalization of metric spaces, by substituting the real numbers with ordered Banach spaces. Later, various authors have proved some common fixed-point theorems with normal and non-normal cones in these spaces [4],[5],[8],[10]. Recently Muhammad arshad et al[2] have introduced almost Jaggi and Gupta contraction in Partially ordered metric spaces to prove the fixed-point theorem.

1. Basic facts and definitions.

Definition 2.1[6]: Let E always be a real Banach space and P a subset of E . P is called a cone if and only if:

- (i) P is closed, nonempty and $P \neq \{0\}$;
- (ii) $a, b \in R, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$;
- (iii) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \Rightarrow x = 0$.

For Given a cone $P \subset E$, one can define a partial ordering \leq with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. The notation $x < y$ indicates that $x \leq y$

but $x \neq y$, while $x < y$ will show $-x \in \text{int}P$, where $\text{int}P$ denotes the interior of P . From now on, it is assumed that $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$.

The cone P is called normal if there is a number $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$0 \leq x \leq y \text{ implies } \|x\| \leq K\|y\|.$$

The cone P is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\{x_n\}$ is sequence such that

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq y,$$

for some $y \in E$, then there is $x \in E$ such that,

$$\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Equivalently the cone P is regular if and only if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent. It is well known that a regular cone is a normal cone.

Definition 2.2[6]: Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies

(d1) $0 < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(d2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(d3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X , and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

Example 2.1[6]: Let $E = R^2, P = \{(x, y) \in E \mid x, y \geq 0\} \subset R^2, X = R$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha|x - y|)$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition 2.3[6]: A point x of set X is said to be Common Fixed Point of mapping S, T if, $Sx = Tx = x$.

Definition 2.4[6]: Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X , $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n, m \geq k$,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \ll c;$$

Definition 2.5[6]: Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X , $\{x_n\}$ is a convergent sequence if there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq k$,

$$d(x_n, x) \ll c;$$

Then x is called limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Note that: - (i) Every convergent sequence in a cone metric space X is a Cauchy sequence.

(ii) A cone metric space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Definition 2.6[6]: Let $X = (X, d)$ be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called a contraction on X if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(y, Ty) + \beta \max\left\{\frac{d(y, Tx)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y)\right\} + L \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

For all $x, y \in X$ where $L \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and P a normal cone with normal constant M .

Proof: Choose $x_0 \in X$. Set $x_1 = Tx_0$, $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$

there is a positive real number $\alpha < 1$ s.t for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y), (\alpha < 1).$$

Geometrically this means that any points x and y have images that are together than those points x and y ; more precisely, the ratio $d(Tx, Ty) / d(x, y)$ does not exceed a constant α which is strictly less than 1.

2. Main Results

Definition 3.1: Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. A self-mapping T on X is called an almost jaggi contraction it satisfies the following condition:

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an almost jaggi contraction for all $x, y \in X$ where $L \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_n, Tx_n) + \beta \max\left\{\frac{d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right\} + L \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})\} \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta \max\left\{\frac{d(x_n, x_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right\} + L \min\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$(1 - \alpha)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\beta}{(1 - \alpha)} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$K = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \alpha)}, \alpha + \beta < 1, 0 < k < 1$$

And by induction

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) \\ d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + k^{n+2} + \dots + k^{n+m-1})d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x_0, x_1)$$

We get $\|d(x_n, x_m)\| \leq M \frac{k^n}{1-k} \|d(x_0, x_1)\|$ which implies that $d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. hence x_n is a Cauchy sequence, so by completeness of X this sequence must be convergent in X .

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tu) \\ d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + d(Tx_n, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + \alpha d(u, Tu) + \beta \max \left\{ \frac{d(u, Tx_n)}{d(x_n, u)}, d(x_n, u) \right\} + L \min \{d(x_n, Tu), d(u, Tx_n)\} \\ d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + \alpha d(u, u) + \beta \max \left\{ \frac{d(u, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, u)}, d(x_n, u) \right\} + L \min \{d(x_n, u), d(u, x_{n+1})\} \\ d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{n+1}) + \beta \max \left\{ \frac{d(u, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, u)}, d(x_n, u) \right\} + L \min \{d(x_n, u), d(u, x_{n+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

So, using the condition of normality of cone

$$\|d(u, Tu)\| \leq \|d(u, x_{n+1})\| + \beta \max \left\{ \frac{\|d(u, x_{n+1})\|}{\|d(x_n, u)\|}, \|d(x_n, u)\| \right\} + L \min \{\|d(x_n, u)\|, \|d(u, x_{n+1})\|\}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\|d(u, Tu)\| \leq 0$.

Hence, we get $u = Tu$, u is a fixed point of T .

REFERENCES

- [1] Asadi Mehdi, S. Mansour Vaezpour, Vladimir Rakocevic, Billy E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for contractive mapping in cone metric spaces, Math. Commun. 16 (2011) 147-155.
- [2] Darshad Muhamma, KarapinarErdalJamshaid Ahmad, Some Unique Fixed-Point Theorems for Rational Contractions in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2013.
- [3] Dass, B.K., Gupta, S, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 6, (1975) 1455-1458.
- [4] Fisher B., Common Fixed Points and Constant Mappings Satisfying Rational Inequality, (Math. Sem. Notes (Univ Kobe) (1978).
- [5] Fisher B., Khan M.S, Fixed points, common fixed points and constant mappings, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 11 (1978) 467-470.
- [6] Huang L.G. and Zhang X., Cone metric spaces and fixed-point theorems of contractive mappings, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 332(2)(2007)1468-1476.
- [7] Jaggi D.S., Some unique fixed-point theorems, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 8(1977)223-230.
- [8] Mahpeyker OzturkOn, Metin Basarr, Some common fixed-point theorems with rational expressions on conometric spaces over a Banach algebra, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 41 (2) (2012) 211-222.2013:248 doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-248.
- [9] Rezapour S., Hambarani R., Some note on the paper cone metric spaces and fixed-point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008) 719-724.
- [10] Olaleru, J.O. Some Generalizations of Fixed-Point Theorems in Cone Metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, (2009) Article ID 657914.
- [11] Sun Xiaoyan, Zhao Yian, Wang Guotao, New common fixed-point theorems for maps on conometric spaces, Applied Mathematics Letters 23 (2010) 1033-1037.
- [12] Uthayakumarandg R. arockiaprabakar international journal of analysis and applications issn 2291-8639 volume 3, number 2 (2013), 112-118