The study of Brain Tumor Detection using Image Classification and machine Learning: A Review

Deepak Kumar[1], Dr Aakriti Jain[2], Dr Sitesh kumar Sinha[3]
[1] Research Scholar Dr CV Raman University Bhagwanpur,Vaishali
[2]Associate Professor, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology Bhopal
[3] Professor, Dr CV Raman University, Bhagwanpur, Vaishali

***Abstract*—Brain tumors are abnormal cells in the brain and can be benign or malignant. Early detection and accurate diagnosis are important for optimal treatment and better patient outcomes. Traditional diagnostic procedures rely heavily on the interpretation of medical images; This can be time consuming and prone to human error. Machine learning (ML) and image classification techniques show promise in automating and improving the accuracy of brain diagnosis. Brain cancer is one of the most common and life-threatening diseases affecting the central nervous system. They can be benign (non-cancerous) or malignant (cancerous); the latter pose a serious risk to life due to their aggressive nature. Early detection and accurate diagnosis are important for optimal treatment and better patient outcomes. Traditional medical examinations often rely on a dictionary of medical images that are time-consuming, subject to review by different examiners, and prone to human error. It is revolutionizing many fields, including medical imaging. Machine learning, especially deep learning techniques, holds promise for improving the processing and accuracy of mental health diagnoses. By training algorithms on large amounts of medical data, these machines can learn to recognize complex and unusual patterns that may indicate tumors. Learning will cover various machine learning models, types of clinical data used, measurement methods, and challenges faced in the field. Through this review, we aim to provide an overview of how machine learning can be used in brain cancer diagnosis, highlighting its potential and potential challenges that need to be overcome for clinical success.**

***Index Terms*—Machine Learning (ML), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), Support Vector Machines(SVM), Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)**

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital medical photographs have been essential for detecting several illnesses. It's miles additionally used for schooling and studies. The want for digital medical photos is developing dramatically; for instance, in 2002, the branch latest Radiology on the university health facility cutting-edge Geneva produced among 12,000 and 15,000 photos day by day [1]. A green and precise pc-aided diagnostic machine is required for clinical document creation and clinical photograph studies. The antique approach today's manually evaluating clinical imaging is time-ingesting, inaccurate, and ultra-modern human mistakes. Over the medical diseases, the mind tumor has emerged as a critical problem, rating tenth a few of the essential reasons for state-of-the-art death inside the US. It’s far stated that seven-hundred,000 humans have brain tumors, ultra-modern which eighty percent are benign and 20 percent are malignant . In line with estimates with the aid of the yank cancer Society from 2021, 78,980 adults have been identified with a mind tumor, with fifty five, 150 noncancerous and 24,530 malignant tumors (thirteen,840 men and 10,690 women) [2]. In keeping with studies, brain tumor is the pinnacle of modern day cancer deaths in kids and adults globally [3].

Brain tumors, characterized by abnormal cell growth within the brain, represent a critical health concern due to their potentially life-threatening nature. Accurate and timely detection is essential for effective treatment and improved patient outcomes[4]. Traditionally, brain tumors are diagnosed through imaging techniques such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computed Tomography (CT) scans, which require expert radiologists to interpret the complex imaging data[5]. This manual analysis can be time-consuming, subjective, and prone to human error. Advancements in machine learning (ML) and image classification have opened new avenues for automating and enhancing the diagnostic process[6]. Machine learning algorithms, particularly deep learning models like

Convolution Neural Networks (CNNs), have shown significant promise in accurately analyzing medical images[7]. These models can learn from large datasets of annotated images, enabling them to identify patterns and features indicative of brain tumors with high precision[8].

Brain tumors are abnormal growths in the brain that can be life-threatening. Early detection and accurate diagnosis are crucial for effective treatment[9]. Traditional methods of diagnosis, such as MRI and CT scans, rely heavily on the expertise of radiologists[10]. Machine learning (ML) and image classification techniques can aid in automating and improving the accuracy of brain tumor detection[11]. Both brain tumors and stroke lesions involve damage to brain tissue, but they have different causes, characteristics, and implications for treatment[12].

1.1 Brain Tumors

Abnormal growths of cells in the brain that can be either benign (non-cancerous) or malignant (cancerous). Depending on the tumor's location and size, symptoms can include headaches, seizures, cognitive or personality changes, vision problems, and motor dysfunction. Treatment options may include surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, targeted therapy, or a combination of these approaches[13].

There are two type of brain tumors.

i. Primary tumors originate in the brain (e.g., gliomas, meningiomas).

ii. Secondary (metastatic) tumors spread from other parts of the body to the brain.

1.2 Stroke Lesions

Areas of damaged brain tissue caused by an interruption of blood supply (ischemic stroke) or bleeding in the brain (hemorrhagic stroke)[14]. The Causes of stroke lesions are:

Ischemic stroke: Blockage of a blood vessel, often due to a blood clot or atherosclerosis.

Hemorrhagic stroke: Rupture of a blood vessel, leading to bleeding within or around the brain.

Symptoms are sudden onset of symptoms like weakness or numbness on one side of the body, difficulty speaking, loss of vision, balance issues, and severe headache. Typically involves CT or MRI scans to determine the type of stroke and the extent of the damage. And treatment is

Ischemic stroke: Thrombolytic therapy (clot-busting drugs), mechanical thrombectomy, and medications to prevent future strokes.

Hemorrhagic stroke: Surgical intervention to repair blood vessels, medications to control blood pressure, and treatment to manage intracranial pressure.

1.3 Differences between Brain Tumors and Stroke Lesions

Onset: Stroke symptoms usually develop suddenly, whereas brain tumor symptoms may progress gradually.

Nature of Lesion: Stroke lesions are areas of dead tissue due to lack of blood flow or bleeding, while brain tumors are masses of abnormal cells.

Treatment Approach: Strokes often require immediate emergency treatment to restore blood flow or stop bleeding, while tumors may require a combination of surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, depending on the type and location of the tumor.

Imaging

Brain Tumors: MRI is the preferred imaging modality, often showing a well-defined mass that may enhance with contrast. The appearance can vary depending on the tumor type.

Stroke Lesions: MRI and CT scans show areas of infarction (dead tissue) in ischemic strokes or areas of bleeding in hemorrhagic strokes. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) in MRI is particularly sensitive in detecting acute ischemic stroke.

Understanding the distinctions between these two conditions is crucial for diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient management.

II. MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES IN BRAIN TUMOR DETECTION

2.1. Classical Machine Learning Models:

Classical machine learning models have been instrumental in the development of brain tumor detection systems, especially before the rise of deep learning techniques. These models typically rely on feature extraction followed by classification, where the success of the model largely depends on the quality of the features extracted from the imaging data. Below is an overview of some commonly used classical machine learning models for brain tumor detection [15,16,17,18] in table 1.1,

Table 1.1 Comparisons of Classical Machine Learning Models

	Description	Application	Pros	Cons
Support Vector Machines (SVM)	SVM is a powerful classification technique that finds the optimal hyperplane separating different classes of data points. In the context of brain tumor detection, SVM can classify tumor vs. non-tumor regions or differentiate between different types of tumors based on extracted features.	The performance of SVM depends heavily on the features extracted from the images, such as texture, intensity, or shape features. SVMs often use kernel functions (e.g., linear, polynomial, radial basis function) to handle non-linear data, which is particularly useful for complex brain imaging data.	SVM is effective in high-dimensional spaces and is robust to overfitting, especially in cases with a small number of samples relative to the number of features.	SVM can be computationally expensive, especially with large datasets, and selecting the appropriate kernel and hyperparameters can be challenging.
Random Forest (RF)	Random Forest is an ensemble learning method that builds multiple decision trees during training and merges them to improve classification accuracy. Each tree in the forest gives a prediction, and the final output is based on the majority vote of these trees.	RF provides insights into the importance of different features in the classification process, which can be useful for understanding the underlying data.	RF is relatively easy to use, less prone to overfitting compared to individual decision trees, and works well with both categorical and continuous data.	RF can become computationally intensive with large datasets and may not perform well on datasets with a large number of irrelevant features.
k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)	k-NN is a simple, non-parametric algorithm that classifies data points based on the majority class among their k-nearest neighbors in the feature space. For brain tumor detection, this means comparing the extracted features of a new image to those in the training set.	The choice of distance metric (e.g., Euclidean, Manhattan) is crucial in k-NN, as it directly influences the classification performance.	k-NN is easy to implement and does not require any assumptions about the underlying data distribution.	k-NN can be slow and memory-intensive for large datasets, as it requires storing and comparing all data points. It also tends to perform poorly in high-dimensional spaces (the curse of dimensionality).
Naive Bayes	Naive Bayes is a probabilistic classifier based on Bayes' theorem, assuming independence among features. Despite this "naive" assumption, it often performs well in practice.	For brain tumor detection, Naive Bayes can classify based on the probability of features belonging to a particular class (e.g., tumor vs. non-tumor).	It is simple, fast, and effective, especially with large datasets. Naive Bayes performs well with small amounts of training data and is less prone to overfitting.	The independence assumption rarely holds true in practice, which can lead to lower accuracy in cases where features are highly correlated.
Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)	Before deep learning became popular, simple feedforward neural networks (shallow ANNs) were used for brain tumor detection. ANNs consist of layers of interconnected neurons that process input features to classify images.	ANNs require labeled data for supervised learning, where the network adjusts its weights through backpropagation to minimize classification error.	ANNs can model complex non-linear relationships and have the flexibility to learn from data.	ANNs with few layers and neurons (shallow networks) often underperform compared to more sophisticated models, especially in complex tasks like brain tumor detection.
Decision Trees	Decision trees classify data by splitting it based on feature values, creating a tree-like structure where each node represents a decision rule, and each leaf node represents a class label.	Decision trees naturally perform feature selection by choosing the best splits based on criteria like information gain or Gini impurity.	They are easy to interpret and visualize, making them useful for understanding decision-making processes	Decision trees are prone to overfitting, especially with noisy data, and can create overly complex trees that generalize poorly to new data.
Logistic Regression	Logistic regression is a linear model used for binary classification, modeling the probability that a given input belongs to a particular class (e.g., tumor vs. non-tumor).	In brain tumor detection, logistic regression can be applied to predict the presence of a tumor based on a set of features extracted from the imaging data.	It is simple, interpretable, and performs well when the relationship between the features and the target is linear.	Logistic regression is limited in its ability to capture complex, non-linear relationships and may require feature engineering to achieve good performance.

2.2 Deep Learning Models:

Deep learning models have transformed the field of medical image analysis, particularly in the detection and classification of brain tumors. Unlike classical machine learning models, deep learning models, especially Convolution Neural Networks (CNNs), are capable of automatically learning hierarchical features from raw imaging data, significantly improving accuracy and efficiency [19]. Here's an overview of the key deep learning models and techniques used in brain tumor detection [20,21.22] in table1.2 :

	Description	Application	Pros	Cons:
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)	CNNs are composed of multiple layers, including convolutional layers, pooling layers, and fully connected layers. Convolutional layers automatically extract features from input images by applying filters (kernels) that detect patterns such as edges, textures, and shapes.	Image Classification, Object Detection, Image Segmentation, Facial Recognition, Style Transfer, Medical Image Analysis, Video Analysis, Anomaly Detection.	• Feature Learning • Spatial Hierarchy • Parameter Sharing • Translation Invariance	• Data Requirements • Computational Resources • Overfitting • Sensitivity to Input Variations
Transfer Learning	Transfer learning is a machine learning technique where a model developed for one task is reused as the starting point for a model on a second, related task. It leverages the knowledge gained from a pre-trained model to solve a new but related problem, often with less data and computational resources compared to training a model from scratch.	Computer Vision, Natural Language Processing (NLP), Speech Recognition, Reinforcement Learning, Healthcare.	•Reduced Training Time •Improved Performance •Less Data Required	• Negative Transfer • Over fitting • Dependence on Pre-trained Models • Computational Resources • Task Mismatch • Complexity and Implementation Challenges • Bias Transfer
Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks	RNNs are a class of neural networks that excel in processing sequential data. Unlike traditional feedforward neural networks, RNNs have connections that form cycles within the network, allowing them to maintain a "memory" of previous inputs. This capability makes them well-suited for tasks where context or sequence is important.	Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Translation, Sentiment Analysis, Text Generation, Speech Recognition, Time Series Prediction, Music Composition, Handwriting Recognition, Video Analysis, Predictive Maintenance, Anomaly Detection, Emotion Recognition from Text, Image Captioning, Sequence-to-Sequence Learning, Financial Modeling, Autonomous Systems	• Handling Long Sequences • Better Gradient Flow	• Vanishing/Exploding Gradients • Short-Term Memory
Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)	Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) are a class of machine learning models designed for generating new data that resembles a given dataset. Introduced by Ian Goodfellow and his colleagues in 2014, GANs have become one of the most popular approaches for generative modeling due to their ability to produce highly realistic data, such as images, audio, and text.	Image Generation, Art and Design, Photo Realism, Image-to-Image Translation, Video Generation, Text-to-Image Synthesis, Super-Resolution, Data Augmentation, Face Generation, Music and Audio Generation, Style Transfer, Anomaly Detection, Medical Imaging.	• High-Quality Data Generation • Versatility • Creative Applications • Data Augmentation • Anomaly Detection • Image-to-Image Translation • Unsupervised Learning • Continuous Improvement	• Training Instability • Mode Collapse • Resource Intensive • Hyperparameter Sensitivity • Lack of Evaluation Metrics • Ethical Concerns • Overfitting • Mode Seeking • Noisy Data Generation

Table 1.2 Comparisons of Deep Learning Models

Autoencoders	Autoencoders are a type of artificial neural network used for unsupervised learning, primarily for the purpose of learning efficient codings of input data. They work by compressing the input into a latent-space representation (also called a bottleneck) and then reconstructing the output from this representation. Autoencoders are particularly useful for dimensionality reduction, noise reduction, and data generation.	Dimensionality Reduction, Data Denoising, Anomaly Detection, Image Generation, Feature Learning, Recommendation Systems, Compression, Pretraining for Deep Networks.	• Non-linear Dimensionality Reduction • Unsupervised Learning • Feature Extraction	• Training Complexity • Data Specificity • Information Loss
3D Convolutional Neural Networks (3D CNNs)	3D Convolutional Neural Networks (3D CNNs) are an extension of the standard (2D) CNNs used for processing data with three spatial dimensions. While 2D CNNs are commonly used for analyzing images (which have height and width), 3D CNNs are particularly useful for analyzing volumetric data, which includes three dimensions—height, width, and depth. This makes them ideal for tasks involving video data, medical imaging, and other types of 3D data.	Video Analysis, Action Recognition, Video Classification, Event Detection, Medical Imaging, 3D MRI/CT Scan Analysis, Volumetric Image Segmentation, Environmental Monitoring, 3D Satellite Imagery, Human Pose Estimation, Gesture Recognition, Autonomous Driving, Robotics.	• Capture of Spatial and Temporal Features • Improved Accuracy in Volumetric Data • Better Contextual Understanding	• High Computational Cost • Data Availability • Overfitting • Implementation Complexity
U-Net and Variants	U-Net is a type of convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture that is widely used for image segmentation, particularly in the field of biomedical image analysis. It was introduced by Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox in 2015. U-Net has since become one of the most popular architectures for tasks where precise localization is crucial, such as medical imaging and satellite imagery analysis.	Biomedical Image Segmentation, Satellite Image Segmentation, Object Detection, Agriculture and Remote Sensing, Image Restoration	• Precise Localization • Data Efficiency • Flexibility	• Computationally Intensive • Overfitting • Complexity in Training
Attention Mechanisms	Attention mechanisms have become a crucial component in modern deep learning models, particularly in tasks involving sequential data such as natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, and even reinforcement learning. The concept of attention allows a model to focus on specific parts of the input data when making decisions, rather than treating all parts of the input as equally important. This selective focus enables more efficient and effective learning, particularly in tasks where certain pieces of information are more relevant than others.	Machine Translation, Image Captioning, Text Summarization, Speech Recognition, Question Answering, Recommender Systems, Visual Attention in Computer Vision	• Flexibility • Improved Performance • Parallelization models like RNNs.	• Computational Cost • Complexity

III. DATASETS, PREPROCESSING AND FEATURE EXTRACTION AND CLASSIFICATION AND DETECTION

3.1 Datasets

Publicly Available Datasets:

BraTS (Brain Tumor Segmentation) Dataset: The BraTS (Brain Tumor Segmentation) dataset is one of the most widely used and well-known datasets in the field of medical image analysis, particularly for the development and benchmarking of algorithms for brain tumor detection and segmentation[23].

TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas): The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) is a landmark project in the field of cancer genomics, providing comprehensive, multi-dimensional maps of the key genomic changes in various types of cancer.

Challenges:

Data Scarcity: High-quality labeled datasets are limited, making it difficult to train deep learning models effectively.

Class Imbalance: Tumor images are often outnumbered by normal images, leading to skewed model performance.

3.2 Preprocessing and Feature Extraction

Preprocessing and feature extraction are foundational steps in the development of robust machine learning and deep learning models for medical imaging and genomics. Proper preprocessing ensures data quality and consistency, while effective feature extraction transforms raw data into informative features that can significantly enhance model performance and interpretability. These steps are critical in applications such as brain tumor detection and cancer genomics, where the complexity and high dimensionality of the data present unique challenges

3.3 Classification and Detection

Classification and detection are key tasks in machine learning and deep learning, particularly in fields like medical image analysis and genomics. These tasks involve training models to identify patterns and make decisions based on input data, such as distinguishing between different types of tumors or detecting specific genetic mutations associated with cancer.

3.3.1 Classification

Classification involves assigning a label or category to an input based on its features. In medical contexts, classification tasks might include distinguishing between benign and malignant tumors, classifying different tumor types, or predicting disease outcomes based on genomic data.

A. Medical Imaging Classification

Task Overview: The goal is to classify medical images (e.g., MRI, CT scans) into different categories, such as identifying whether a tumor is present, and if so, whether it is benign or malignant.

Common Algorithms:

Support Vector Machines (SVMs): SVMs are used to classify images by finding the hyperplane that best separates different classes in the feature space. They are effective in cases with high-dimensional data and when the classes are well-separated.

Random Forests: An ensemble method that uses multiple decision trees to classify input data. It is particularly robust to overfitting and can handle a large number of features.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): Deep learning models specifically designed for image data. CNNs automatically extract hierarchical features from raw images and have become the state-of-the-art for image classification tasks in medical imaging.

Transfer Learning: Using pre-trained CNN models (e.g., VGG, ResNet) on large datasets like ImageNet and fine-tuning them on medical imaging data. Transfer learning is effective in situations where labeled data is limited.

B. Genomic Data Classification

Task Overview: In genomics, classification tasks may involve predicting cancer types, identifying genetic mutations, or classifying patients based on gene expression profiles.

Common Algorithms:

Logistic Regression: A simple yet effective method for binary classification, often used in genomic studies to predict the presence or absence of a specific mutation or condition.

Random Forests: Used to classify gene expression data, Random Forests can handle the complex relationships between genes and classify different cancer types.

Deep Learning Models: Neural networks, including fully connected deep neural networks (DNNs), can model the non-linear relationships in genomic data. Autoencoders and generative models are also used for feature extraction and classification tasks.

k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN): A non-parametric method that classifies samples based on their proximity to labeled examples in the feature space. It is useful when the relationship between features and classes is complex.

3.3.2 Detection

Detection involves identifying and localizing specific objects or regions of interest within an input, such as detecting and localizing brain tumors in MRI scans or identifying specific genetic alterations in sequencing data.

A. Medical Imaging Detection

Task Overview: The goal is to detect and often localize abnormalities in medical images, such as tumors, lesions, or other pathologies.

Common Algorithms:

Region-Based Convolutional Neural Networks (R-CNN): An approach that combines region proposals with CNNs to detect and localize objects in an image. Variants like Fast R-CNN and Faster R-CNN improve speed and accuracy.

You Only Look Once (YOLO): A real-time object detection system that predicts bounding boxes and class probabilities directly from full images in a single pass, making it suitable for fast detection tasks.

U-Net: A fully convolutional network that is particularly well-suited for segmentation tasks, which is a form of detection where the goal is to delineate the boundaries of objects like tumors in an image.

Sliding Window: A traditional method where a classifier is applied to different regions of an image using a sliding window, although this is less common in modern applications due to its computational inefficiency.

B. Genomic Data Detection

Task Overview: Detection in genomics often refers to identifying specific mutations, copy number variations (CNVs), or other genetic alterations within a sequence.

Common Algorithms:

Variant Calling Algorithms: Tools like GATK, VarScan, and FreeBayes are used to detect single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions, deletions, and other mutations from sequencing data.

Hidden Markov Models (HMMs): Used to detect structural variations in genomic data, such as copy number variations, by modeling the probability of observing certain data given underlying genetic states.

Deep Learning Models: CNNs and RNNs (Recurrent Neural Networks) can be applied to genomic sequence data for detecting motifs, mutations, or regions of interest based on learned patterns.

Clustering-Based Methods: Techniques like hierarchical clustering or k-means can be used to detect patterns in gene expression data that may indicate specific genetic alterations or disease states.

3.4. Challenges in Classification and Detection

Data Imbalance: In many medical applications, the data is imbalanced, with far fewer examples of the condition of interest (e.g., tumors) compared to normal cases. This requires careful handling, such as using techniques like data augmentation, synthetic oversampling (e.g., SMOTE), or adjusting loss functions (e.g., focal loss).

Interpretability: Especially in healthcare, it is crucial that models are interpretable and their predictions are explainable. Techniques such as saliency maps, Grad-CAM, or SHAP values are used to understand how models make decisions.

High Dimensionality: Both medical imaging and genomic data are high-dimensional, posing challenges for model training and overfitting. Dimensionality reduction techniques (e.g., PCA, t-SNE) and regularization methods are often employed to address this.

Noise and Variability: Variability in imaging protocols, patient anatomy, and sequencing errors introduce noise into the data, which can negatively impact model performance. Robust preprocessing and data augmentation are essential to mitigate these effects.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION , CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

4.1 Performance Evaluation

Metrics:

Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-score, and Area Under the Curve (AUC) are commonly used to evaluate model performance.

Cross-Validation: Techniques like k-fold cross-validation help ensure that models generalize well to unseen data.

Benchmarking: Comparisons with human experts and other automated systems are crucial to validate the practical utility of ML models.

4.2 Challenges and Limitations

Generalization: Models trained on specific datasets may not perform well on different data due to variations in imaging protocols and patient demographics.

Interpretability: Deep learning models, particularly CNNs, are often considered "black boxes," making it difficult to interpret their decisions. Efforts are being made to develop explainable AI (XAI) methods in medical imaging.

Computational Resources: Training deep learning models requires significant computational power, especially for large 3D MRI datasets.

Ethical Considerations: The use of AI in medical diagnosis raises concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the role of human oversight.

V. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Integration with Clinical Workflows: For AI systems to be widely adopted, they must seamlessly integrate with existing medical imaging systems and provide real-time assistance to radiologists.

Personalized Medicine: Combining imaging data with other patient-specific information (e.g., genomics, clinical history) could lead to more personalized and accurate diagnosis.

Hybrid Models: Combining traditional machine learning approaches with deep learning can potentially address limitations in each method, leading to more robust systems.

Continuous Learning: AI models that continuously learn from new data could adapt to evolving medical practices and improve over time.

VI. CONCLUSION

Machine learning and deep learning techniques have shown great promise in detecting and classifying brain tumors from medical images. However, significant challenges remain in terms of generalization, interpretability, and integration into clinical practice. Ongoing research and collaboration between AI researchers and medical professionals are essential for realizing the full potential of these technologies in improving patient outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1] Meenakshidevi, P., Kalyanasundaram, P. and Kumar, S.N., 2024, May. Automated Segmentation of Brain Tumor MRI Images Using Machine Learning. In 2024 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication and Applied Informatics (ACCAI) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

[2] Gordon, B.L., Shaw, H.S., Kroll, D.J. and Daniel, B.R., 2010. Breast cancer recurrence and advanced disease: comprehensive expert guidance. Duke University Press.

[3] Khan, A.H., 2021. The Impact of Genomic Science on Society & the Discovery of AZQ (US Patents 4,146,622 and 4,233,215) rationally Design to attack Glioblastoma, The Brain Tumor.

[4] Tandel, G.S., Biswas, M., Kakde, O.G., Tiwari, A., Suri, H.S., Turk, M., Laird, J.R., Asare, C.K., Ankrah, A.A., Khanna, N.N. and Madhusudhan, B.K., 2019. A review on a deep learning perspective in brain cancer classification. Cancers, 11(1), p.111.

[5] Abd-Ellah, M.K., Awad, A.I., Khalaf, A.A. and Hamed, H.F., 2019. A review on brain tumor diagnosis from MRI images: Practical implications, key achievements, and lessons learned. Magnetic resonance imaging, 61, pp.300-318.

[6] Rana, A., Dumka, A., Singh, R., Panda, M.K. and Priyadarshi, N., 2022. A computerized analysis with machine learning techniques for the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease: past studies and future perspectives. Diagnostics, 12(11), p.2708.

[7] Anwar, S.M., Majid, M., Qayyum, A., Awais, M., Alnowami, M. and Khan, M.K., 2018.

Medical image analysis using convolutional neural networks: a review. Journal of medical systems, 42, pp.1-13.

[8] Menze, B.H., Jakab, A., Bauer, S., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Farahani, K., Kirby, J., Burren, Y., Porz, N., Slotboom, J., Wiest, R. and Lanczi, L., 2014. The multimodal brain tumor image segmentation benchmark (BRATS). IEEE transactions on medical imaging, 34(10), pp.1993-2024.

[9] Fernandes, S.L., Tanik, U.J., Rajinikanth, V. and Karthik, K.A., 2020. A reliable framework for accurate brain image examination and treatment planning based on early diagnosis support for clinicians. Neural Computing and Applications, 32(20), pp.15897-15908.

[10] Hussain, S., Mubeen, I., Ullah, N., Shah, S.S.U.D., Khan, B.A., Zahoor, M., Ullah, R., Khan, F.A. and Sultan, M.A., 2022. Modern diagnostic imaging technique applications and risk factors in the medical field: a review. BioMed research international, 2022(1), p.5164970.

[11] Minz, A. and Mahobiya, C., 2017, January. MR image classification using adaboost for brain tumor type. In 2017 IEEE 7th International Advance Computing Conference (IACC) (pp. 701-705). IEEE.

[12] Murphy, S.J. and Werring, D.J., 2020. Stroke: causes and clinical features. Medicine, 48(9), pp.561-566.

[13] Shah, V. and Kochar, P., 2018. Brain cancer: implication to disease, therapeutic strategies and tumor targeted drug delivery approaches. Recent patents on anti-cancer drug discovery, 13(1), pp.70-85.

[14] Mărgăritescu, O., Mogoantă, L., Pirici, I., Pirici, D., Cernea, D. and Mărgăritescu, C.L., 2009. Histopathological changes in acute ischemic stroke. Rom J Morphol Embryol, 50(3), pp.327-39.

[15] Jain, A., Verma, B. and Rana, J.L., 2014. Anomaly intrusion detection techniques: a brief review. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 5(7), pp.1372-1383.

[16] Kumar, S., Khan, Z. and Jain, A., 2012. A review of content based image classification using machine learning approach. International Journal of Advanced Computer Research, 2(3), p.55.

[17] Jain, M.T. and Jain, A., 2023. Effective Cardiovascular Disease Prediction on Different Parameters.

[18] Chahal, A., Addula, S.R., Jain, A., Gulia, P. and Gill, N.S., 2024. Systematic Analysis based on Conflux of Machine Learning and Internet of Things using Bibliometric analysis. Full Length Article, 13(1), pp.196-96.

[19] Taye, M.M., 2023. Understanding of machine learning with deep learning: architectures, workflow, applications and future directions. Computers, 12(5), p.91.

[20] Salehi, A.W., Khan, S., Gupta, G., Alabduallah, B.I., Almjally, A., Alsolai, H., Siddiqui, T. and Mellit, A., 2023. A study of CNN and transfer learning in medical imaging: Advantages, challenges, future scope. Sustainability, 15(7), p.5930.

[21] Guo, M.H., Xu, T.X., Liu, J.J., Liu, Z.N., Jiang, P.T., Mu, T.J., Zhang, S.H., Martin, R.R., Cheng, M.M. and Hu, S.M., 2022. Attention mechanisms in computer vision: A survey. Computational visual media, 8(3), pp.331-368.

[22] Punn, N.S. and Agarwal, S., 2022. Modality specific U-Net variants for biomedical image segmentation: a survey. Artificial Intelligence Review, 55(7), pp.5845-5889.

[23] Mihaescu, M.C. and Popescu, P.S., 2021. Review on publicly available datasets for educational data mining. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 11(3), p.e1403.