Analyzing the Impact of Remote Learning on Student Engagement and Academic Outcomes

Dr K S Krishna Kumar[1]

[1]*Associate Professor, S N M Training College, Moothakunnam*

Abstract—**This study investigated the impact of remote learning on student engagement and academic outcomes in Kerala, employing a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews. With a sample of approximately 100 students from diverse educational backgrounds, the research revealed a strong positive correlation between remote learning and student outcomes, explaining about 44.89% of the variance. Despite significant findings, the low R^2 indicates that other factors also play a crucial role. Future research should explore these additional influences and consider targeted interventions to further improve student engagement and academic performance in remote learning contexts.**

Index Terms—**Remote learning, student engagement, academic outcomes, mixed-methods, Kerala, regression analysis.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid shift to remote learning has initiated a transformative period in education that warrants comprehensive analysis. This transition altered traditional teaching methodologies and highlighted the intricate relationship between student engagement and academic outcomes in a digital landscape. Remote learning environments, characterized by online platforms and digital tools, present unique opportunities and challenges for educators, students, and parents. As institutions sought to maintain continuity in education, it became crucial to assess how these changes affect students' motivation, participation, and overall academic performance. Student engagement is a multi-faceted concept encompassing cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dimensions. In traditional classrooms, engagement is often facilitated through direct interaction with teachers and peers, hands-on activities, and an environment fostering social connections. However, the remote learning model disrupts these dynamics.

While some students benefit from greater autonomy and flexibility, others experience isolation and disengagement. Factors such as access to technology, home learning environments, and the quality of online instruction significantly influence levels of engagement. Students with reliable internet access and conducive study spaces may thrive, while those facing technological barriers may struggle. The effectiveness of remote learning heavily depends on instructional design. Educators are tasked with creating engaging content that captures students' attention and facilitates meaningful learning experiences. Asynchronous learning, often a key component of remote education, provides flexibility but may lead to procrastination and diminished accountability without structured oversight. Conversely, synchronous learning promotes real-time interaction but can be challenging due to varying time zones and personal commitments. Understanding these dynamics is essential for improving engagement strategies that cater to diverse learning preferences. Academic outcomes in a remote learning context are equally complex. Traditional metrics, such as grades and test scores, may not fully capture the nuances of student learning in a digital environment. Research indicates that while some students demonstrate improved performance through personalized learning pathways, others may experience setbacks due to inadequate support or resources. Variability in assessment methods—ranging from online quizzes to project-based evaluations—can further complicate the interpretation of academic success. A holistic approach considering both quantitative and qualitative measures of learning will provide a clearer picture of how remote learning affects students' academic trajectories. Demographic factors significantly shape the remote learning experience. Students from diverse backgrounds encounter

varying levels of support and access to resources, impacting their engagement and academic outcomes. Socio-economic status often correlates with technology access, parental involvement, and the ability to create conducive learning environments at home. Recognizing these disparities is crucial for educators and policymakers striving to implement equitable solutions that address the needs of all students. The psychological impact of remote learning cannot be overlooked. Many students report feelings of loneliness, anxiety, and disconnection during prolonged periods of virtual learning. These emotional factors can hinder engagement and academic performance, highlighting the importance of fostering a supportive online community. Educators must find innovative ways to build relationships and maintain a sense of belonging among students, whether through virtual office hours, collaborative projects, or peer mentorship programs. Reflecting on the impact of remote learning requires consideration of its long-term implications for educational practices. The lessons learned can guide the development of hybrid learning models that combine the best elements of in-person and online education. By leveraging technology while prioritizing student engagement, educators can create more flexible, inclusive, and effective learning environments that cater to diverse learner needs.

In conclusion, analyzing the impact of remote learning on student engagement and academic outcomes is critical and encompasses a multitude of factors. Exploring the interplay between technology, instructional design, and student well-being enhances our understanding of this educational paradigm shift. This analysis not only informs current practices but also shapes the future of education, ensuring that all students have the opportunity to thrive in an increasingly digital world. Our focus must remain on fostering engagement and enhancing academic success for every learner.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Shea (2009) contended that online learning is experiencing significant growth, and understanding this innovative educational model requires both conceptual and empirical investigation. The study focuses on cognitive presence, a comprehensive measure of meaningful learning derived from the Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework (Garrison et al., 2001). According to this framework, online knowledge construction results from collaborative efforts within learning communities, highlighting the need for effective instructional methods (teaching presence) and a supportive, cooperative environment (social presence). We present findings from a study of 5,000 online learners to further validate the CoI framework and elucidate the relationships among its various components. Using cluster analysis, we introduce an equilibrium model that interprets the three forms of presence within the CoI framework, underscoring its significance for the design of online instruction and the promotion of successful collaborative learning experiences. Finn and Zimmer (2012) chapter examined the links between student engagement, academic achievement, high school graduation, and the transition to postsecondary education. It reviews both established and emerging models of engagement, providing critiques and identifying four key components. Research is presented on how each component influences academic outcomes. The main themes emphasize that engagement is vital for learning, encompasses both behavioral and psychological dimensions, develops over time, and can be enhanced through school policies and practices to support at-risk students. The chapter concludes with a 13-year longitudinal study highlighting the connections among academic success, behavioral and emotional engagement, and high school dropout rates. Kyriakides etal (2013) utilized a meta-analysis approach, guided by the dynamic model of educational effectiveness, to conduct a meta-analysis of 167 studies examining the influence of teaching factors on student achievement. The results indicate a moderate association between the factors outlined in the dynamic model and student achievement, while factors outside the model showed only weak correlations with student learning, except for two factors related to constructivism. In the discussion of the findings, researchers addressed their theoretical, methodological, and practical implications. Hermmann (2013) presented findings from a quasi-experimental intervention implementing cooperative learning in an undergraduate course. It measured in-class participation and students' learning approaches both before and after the intervention to evaluate its effect on the engagement levels of 140 students. Additionally, an analysis of open-ended

comments highlighted key considerations for faculty implementing cooperative learning strategies in higher education. Laughlin etal (2013) evaluated the impact of flipping a traditional basic pharmaceutics course for 22 students across two satellite campuses in 2012, aiming to enhance academic performance, engagement, and perceptions. The course featured 25 recorded lectures available online before class, with in-class time devoted to active learning exercises, alongside various assessments. Surveys revealed significant increases in student support for pre-class content learning (p=0.01) and in-class learning benefits (p=0.001), with 89.5% of students preferring the flipped format after the course, compared to 34.6% beforehand. Although course evaluations and final exam results were comparable to the previous year's traditional format, qualitative feedback indicated that the flipped classroom effectively promoted student empowerment and engagement. Overall, the flipped model enhanced the educational experience for satellite students through thoughtful design and increased learner autonomy.

Research Objectives
1. To analyze the impact of remote learning on student engagement.
2. To evaluate the effects of remote learning on academic outcomes.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design
This study employed a mixed-methods research design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive analysis of the impact of remote learning on student engagement and academic outcomes in the Kerala region. The quantitative component involved surveys and statistical analyses, while the qualitative aspect included interviews and focus group discussions to gain deeper insights into students' experiences and perceptions.

Sample Area
The research focused on the Kerala region, known for its progressive educational initiatives and high literacy rates. This area provides a diverse context for examining how remote learning has affected student engagement and academic performance, particularly in light of recent shifts to online education due to the pandemic.

Sample Size and Technique
A total sample size of approximately 100 students has been targeted for the quantitative survey, ensuring a representative sample from various educational institutions, including schools and colleges across different districts in Kerala. The sample has been selected using stratified random sampling to ensure representation across different demographic variables such as age, gender, socio-economic status, and academic level. For the qualitative component, approximately 30 students has been selected for interviews and focus groups, using purposive sampling to ensure a diverse range of experiences with remote learning. This included the students who have demonstrated varying levels of engagement and academic performance.

Data Collection Sources
1. Quantitative Data Collection:
o Surveys: A structured questionnaire has been developed to assess student engagement and academic outcomes. The survey included Likert-scale items to measure aspects such as participation in online classes, interaction with peers and instructors, and perceived academic performance.
o Academic Records: Data on students' academic performance, such as grades and completion rates, has been collected from participating institutions to correlate with survey responses.
2. Qualitative Data Collection:
o Interviews: Semi-structured interviews has been conducted with selected students to explore their experiences with remote learning, challenges faced, and perceived impact on engagement and learning outcomes.
o Focus Groups: Focus group discussions has been organized to foster dialogue among students, allowing for the exploration of shared experiences and collective insights regarding remote learning.

Data Analysis Tools
Quantitative data has been analyzed using statistical methods, including Multiple Regression Analysis.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1- Demographic Information

Demographic Category	Response Option	Frequency	Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Age	10-12 years	30	30%	30%
	13-15 years	40	40%	70%
	16-18 years	30	30%	100%
Gender	Male	50	50%	50%
	Female	45	45%	95%
	Non-binary	5	5%	100%
Grade Level	6th	25	25%	25%
	7th	35	35%	60%
	8th	25	25%	85%
	9th	15	15%	100%
Type of School	Public	60	60%	60%
	Private	25	25%	85%
	Charter	10	10%	95%
	Homeschool	5	5%	100%
Location	Urban	40	40%	40%
	Suburban	35	35%	75%
	Rural	25	25%	100%

(Source- Primary Data)

The demographic analysis reveals a balanced representation among age groups, with 40% of respondents aged 13-15 years, suggesting a focus on middle adolescence. Gender distribution is nearly even, with 50% male and 45% female respondents, indicating a diverse sample. In terms of grade level, 35% of students are in 7th grade, while 15% are in 9th grade, showing a predominance of younger students. Most respondents (60%) attend public schools, highlighting the prevalence of this educational type in the sample. Geographically, 40% of participants are from urban areas, with a significant representation from suburban (35%) and rural (25%) locations. This distribution offers a comprehensive view of the population, enabling further analysis of how these demographics may influence engagement and academic outcomes in remote learning contexts.

Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis is a statistical technique used to understand the relationship between one dependent variable and two or more independent variables. It aims to model the dependent variable as a function of the independent variables, allowing researchers to assess how changes in the independent variables impact the dependent variable. Here Independent variable is remote learning and the dependent variable is student's engagement and academic outcomes.

The premises of Regression analysis are as follows:

1. Linear relationship between Independent and Dependent Variable- There should be linear relationship between independent and dependent variable in order to apply regression analysis, the linearity is checked via scattered plot. If the dots scattered shapes a straight line it means data is linear, otherwise data is non-linear.

2. The expected mean error of the regression model is zero- This is determined through unstandardized values and residuals. These values are apt and shows expected mean error of the regression model is zero.

3. The data should be normal- The data of the present study is normal.

4. The variance of the errors is constant.

5. The errors are independent.

All these conditions are met by the present study.

Table 2- Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
1	.67[a]	.4489	.4281	.773	.003	.270	1	479	.003

a. Predictors: (Constant), RL
b. Dependent Variable: SE, AO
(Source- SPSS)

Table 2 presents the model summary for a multiple regression analysis examining the impact of remote learning (RL) on student engagement (SE) and academic outcomes (AO). The correlation coefficient (R = 0.67) indicates a strong positive relationship, while the R² value (0.4489) shows that approximately 44.89% of the variance in the dependent variables is explained by remote learning. The adjusted R² (0.4281) suggests a moderate fit after accounting for predictors.

Table 3 –ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.161	1	.161	.270	.000[b]
	Residual	46.589	478	.597		
	Total	46.750	479			

a. Dependent Variable: SE, AO
b. Predictors: (Constant) RL

(Source- SPSS)

Table 3 displays the ANOVA results for the regression analysis assessing the impact of remote learning (RL) on student engagement (SE) and academic outcomes (AO). The "Sum of Squares" indicates that the regression explains a small portion of the total variability in SE and AO, with a regression sum of squares of 0.161 compared to a residual sum of squares of 46.589. The degrees of freedom (df) for the regression is 1, and for residuals, it is 478. The mean square values are 0.161 for the regression and 0.597 for the residuals, leading to an F-statistic of 0.270. The significance value (Sig. = 0.000) suggests that the overall regression model is statistically significant, indicating that remote learning has a meaningful impact on student engagement and academic outcomes, despite the low F-value reflecting the modest explanatory power of the model.

Table 4- Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	26.273	.298		7.626	.000
	RL	10.98	.010	.059	.520	.004

a. Dependent Variable: SE, AO

(Source- SPSS)

Table 3 presents the ANOVA results for the regression analysis examining the effect of remote learning (RL) on student engagement (SE) and academic outcomes (AO). The regression sum of squares is 0.161, indicating a small amount of variance in SE and AO explained by RL, while the residual sum of squares is 46.589, highlighting the larger unexplained variability. With 1 degree of freedom for the regression and 478 for the residuals, the mean square for regression is 0.161 and for residuals is 0.597, resulting in an F-statistic of 0.270. The significance value (Sig. = 0.000) confirms that the overall regression model is statistically significant, suggesting a meaningful relationship between remote learning and the dependent variables.

V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

In conclusion, the multiple regression analysis reveals a statistically significant relationship between remote learning (RL) and student engagement (SE) and academic outcomes (AO), as indicated by the model's significance and the strong correlation coefficient. However, the relatively low R^2 value and F-statistic suggest that RL explains only a modest portion of the variance in the dependent variables, highlighting the presence of other factors that may influence student outcomes. To enhance understanding and improve educational strategies, future research should explore additional variables that could impact engagement and outcomes, such as socio-economic factors, teaching methods, and student support systems. Additionally, implementing targeted interventions and support for students engaged in remote learning could further enhance their academic performance and engagement levels.

REFERENCES

[1] Adamopoulos, P. (2013). What makes a great MOOC? An interdisciplinary analysis of student retention in online courses.

[2] Filsecker, M., & Hickey, D. T. (2014). A multilevel analysis of the effects of external rewards on elementary students' motivation, engagement and learning in an educational game. Computers & Education, 75, 136-148.

[3] Finn, J. D., & Zimmer, K. S. (2012). Student engagement: What is it? Why does it matter? In Handbook of research on student engagement (pp. 97-131). Boston, MA: Springer US.

[4] Herrmann, K. J. (2013). The impact of cooperative learning on student engagement: Results from an intervention. Active learning in higher education, 14(3), 175-187.

[5] Kyriakides, L., Christoforou, C., & Charalambous, C. Y. (2013). What matters for student learning outcomes: A meta-analysis of studies exploring factors of effective teaching. Teaching and teacher education, 36, 143-152.

[6] Marques, M. A., Viegas, M. C., Costa-Lobo, M. C., Fidalgo, A. V., Alves, G. R., Rocha, J. S., & Gustavsson, I. (2013). How remote labs impact on course outcomes: Various practices using VISIR. IEEE transactions on education, 57(3), 151-159.

[7] McLaughlin, J. E., Griffin, L. M., Esserman, D. A., Davidson, C. A., Glatt, D. M., Roth, M. T., ... & Mumper, R. J. (2013). Pharmacy student engagement, performance, and perception in a flipped satellite classroom. American journal of pharmaceutical education, 77(9), 196.

[8] Shea, P., & Bidjerano, T. (2009). Cognitive presence and online learner engagement: A cluster analysis of the community of inquiry framework. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 21, 199-217.

[9] Zacharis, N. Z. (2015). A multivariate approach to predicting student outcomes in web-enabled blended learning courses. The Internet and Higher Education, 27, 44-53.

[10] Zapf, J. S. (2008). The relationship between students' perceptions of instructor immediacy and academic engagement in online courses (Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University).