The Impact of Leadership Styles on Employee Motivation and Retention in the Manufacturing and Production Industry

Miss Nandita Rathnavath[1], Dr. Reshma Nair[2], Prof. (Dr.) Bhawana Sharma[3]

[1]BBA (Human Resource) – Final Year, Amity Business School, Amity University Mumbai
[2]Amity Business School, Amity University Mumbai
[3]Director-International Affairs & Programs, Officiating HOI, Amity Business School, Amity University Mumbai

Abstract: **Leadership is a cornerstone of organizational success, especially in industries marked by physically demanding labor, repetitive work routines, and strict hierarchical structures—such as manufacturing and production. These settings often suffer from challenges like low employee morale, poor job satisfaction, and a high employee turnover rate. This dissertation investigates how varying leadership styles—specifically transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic—impact employee motivation and retention within such high-pressure environments. A mixed-method approach involving both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews will be employed to gather robust data from employees and managers. The research aims to generate empirical insights that can help organizations refine their leadership strategies to foster a more motivated, engaged, and stable workforce. Ultimately, the findings are expected to assist HR professionals and leaders in implementing effective, context-specific leadership models to improve productivity and reduce attrition.**

Key Words-
- **Leadership styles**
- **Employee Motivation**
- **Retention**
- **Manufacturing Industry**
- **Transformational Leadership**
- **Transactional leadership**

INTRODUCTION

The manufacturing and production industry plays a critical role in the economic infrastructure of a country, yet it is frequently marred by significant employee-related challenges. Due to the nature of the work—often repetitive, labor-intensive, and physically demanding—employee disengagement, low morale, and high turnover rates are common concerns. In this context, leadership emerges as a key influencer of employee behavior, job satisfaction, and organizational loyalty.

Different leadership styles can either exacerbate or mitigate these issues. For instance, transformational leadership, characterized by inspirational motivation and individualized consideration, can uplift employee spirits and foster a sense of purpose. Conversely, autocratic leadership may create a stifling work culture, increasing stress and driving employees away. Understanding the dynamics between leadership approaches and workforce outcomes is crucial for companies aiming to retain skilled employees and maintain a productive workforce.

This research explores how different leadership styles affect employee motivation and retention in the manufacturing and production sector, with the goal of uncovering actionable insights for organizational development.

OBJECTIVES

1. To analyze the impact of different leadership styles on employee motivation in the manufacturing industry.
2. To examine the relationship between leadership styles and employee retention.
3. To identify the most effective leadership strategies for enhancing workforce motivation and reducing turnover.

HYPOTHESIS

Null Hypothesis (H_0)
1. Leadership style does not significantly influence employee motivation in the manufacturing and production industry.
2. Leadership style does not significantly impact employee retention in the manufacturing and production industry.

3. Transformational leadership does not significantly increase employee motivation.
4. Transactional leadership does not significantly affect employee retention.
5. Laissez-faire leadership has no significant effect on employee motivation or retention.
6. There is no significant relationship between leadership communication effectiveness and employee job satisfaction.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1)

1. Leadership style significantly influences employee motivation in the manufacturing and production industry.
2. Leadership style significantly impacts employee retention in the manufacturing and production industry.
3. Transformational leadership significantly increases employee motivation.
4. Transactional leadership significantly affects employee retention.
5. Laissez-faire leadership significantly impacts employee motivation and retention.
6. There is a significant relationship between leadership communication effectiveness and employee job satisfaction.

HYPOTHESIS RESULTS

1. Frequency of Reading Online Reviews
   Rejected H_0. Significant impact on purchasing decision.
2. Importance of Online Reviews
   Rejected H_0. Significant influence on purchasing decision.
3. Trust in Products with Excellent Reviews
   Rejected H_0. Significant impact on likelihood of purchase.
4. Influence of Online Reviews on Trying New Products
   Rejected H_0. Significant influence on decision to try new products.
5. Suspicion of Online Reviews
   Rejected H_0. Significant effect on consumer suspicion and skepticism toward review authenticity.
6. Impact of Negative Reviews
   Rejected H_0. Significant impact on consumers' decision to avoid purchasing a product.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Bass, Bernard M. (1985) – *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*

This foundational text introduced transformational leadership, emphasizing that leaders who inspire, intellectually stimulate, and care for employees foster higher motivation and performance. It's particularly relevant to manufacturing environments where strong, visionary leadership can counteract job monotony and improve retention.

2. Gopal, R., & Chowdhury, R.G. (2014) – *Leadership Styles and Employee Motivation: An Empirical Study in the Manufacturing sector*

This empirical study in the manufacturing sector found that transformational leadership had the most positive effect on motivation, while laissez-faire leadership negatively impacted morale and retention. It highlights the importance of active communication and recognition in motivating industrial workers.

3. Nguyen, P. T., Mia, L., Winata, L., & Chong, V. K. (2017) – *Effect of Transformational-Leadership Style and Management Control System on Managers' Performance*

This study showed that transformational leadership, when paired with clear control systems, significantly boosts performance and motivation. It underscores the importance of balancing structure with empowerment, especially in highly regulated sectors like manufacturing.

4. Lok, Peter & Crawford, John (2004) – *The Effect of Organizational Culture and Leadership Style on Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment: A Cross-National Comparison*

This cross-national study found that supportive and participative leadership enhances job satisfaction and commitment, while autocratic styles contribute to higher turnover. It stresses the role of leadership in shaping positive work cultures across different sectors.

5. Chaudhry, Abdul Qayyum & Javed, Hassan (2012) – *Impact of Transactional and Laissez Faire Leadership Style on Motivation*

This research found that transactional leadership drives short-term results but lacks long-term

motivational impact. Laissez-faire leadership was found to be detrimental, leading to confusion and disengagement. It highlights the need for active, involved leadership in manufacturing contexts.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Collection Method

To investigate the impact of leadership styles on employee motivation and retention within the manufacturing and production industry, primary data was collected using a structured online questionnaire. The survey was designed to extract detailed information from students and professionals, primarily those who either have experience or academic exposure related to the manufacturing and production industry.

Survey Design

The questionnaire included both multiple-choice and close-ended questions, structured around the following key areas:

- Demographics: Age, education level, employment status, and field of study.
- Professional Exposure: Work experience in manufacturing or production.
- Leadership Perception: Preferred leadership styles, leadership qualities, and perceived effectiveness.
- Motivation & Retention: Views on motivation drivers, employee retention factors, leadership challenges, and leadership training effectiveness.

The questions were formulated in simple, non-technical language to ensure clarity and ease of understanding for all respondents. The survey was created using Google Forms and distributed through email and social media platforms.

Demographic Profile:

- Age Group: Majority were between 18–25 years, suggesting a younger population with academic exposure and early-stage career experiences.
- Education Level: Most respondents were pursuing or had completed a Bachelor's Degree, specifically in Business/Management.

- Employment Status: The predominant status was 'Student', followed by a few with part-time experience or exposure to manufacturing.
- Gender: A mix of responses was received, though some respondents skipped this question.
- Work Experience in Manufacturing:
  o Most respondents indicated no direct experience, but a significant portion had knowledge of the industry from academic or theoretical perspectives.

3.3 Statistical Tools Used

To ensure accurate interpretation and to extract meaningful insights from the data, the following statistical tools were utilized:

1. Descriptive Statistics

Used to summarize the basic features of the dataset. These include:

- Frequency counts and percentages to understand dominant leadership preferences.
- Mode to identify the most frequently selected options (e.g., most preferred leadership style).
- Cross-tabulations to analyze relationships between demographic variables and leadership perceptions.

Example Insight: A majority of respondents favored Transformational and Democratic leadership styles for motivating employees and improving retention.

2. Inferential Statistics

Though limited by the small sample size, some exploratory inferential tools were conceptually used to assess variable relationships:

- Chi-Square Test of Independence: Conceptually suited to determine if leadership style preferences differ across educational levels or fields of study.
- Correlation Analysis: Helps explore the relationship between leadership perception and motivation/retention factors.

3.4 Visualization Tools Used

Visual representation played a crucial role in interpreting and communicating the results. The following tools were used to convert raw data into clear, understandable visuals:

1. Bar Charts

Used to display the frequency of responses for questions related to:

- Preferred leadership styles
- Motivational factors (e.g., salary, work-life balance)
- Perceived challenges in the manufacturing sector
- Most important leadership qualities

2. Pie Charts

Employed to depict:
- Age group distribution
- Employment status
- Education levels
- Work experience in manufacturing

These visual tools helped in identifying patterns and making comparative observations across different categories.

3. Reliability and Validity

Although formal reliability testing (e.g., Cronbach's Alpha) wasn't conducted due to the limited size and format, efforts were made to ensure:

- Content Validity: Questions aligned with research objectives and reviewed by peers.
- Internal Consistency: Questions addressing motivation and retention were logically grouped and clearly worded.

Future studies with expanded data collection will benefit from incorporating Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and Reliability Testing for scale-based questions.

Chi-Square Hypothesis Testing Sections

1. Impact of Leadership Style on Employee Motivation
Hypothesis:

- Null Hypothesis (H0): The perceived effectiveness of different leadership styles does not significantly influence opinions on employee motivation in the manufacturing sector.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1): The perceived effectiveness of different leadership styles significantly influences opinions on employee motivation in the manufacturing sector.

Test Used: Chi-Square Test

Contingency Table:

Leadership Style	Higher salary and benefits	Work-life balance	Career growth	Total
Democratic	12	5	3	20
Transformational	10	6	4	20
Autocratic	5	3	2	10
Total	27	14	9	50

2. Impact of Leadership Style on What Motivates Employees
Hypothesis:
- Null Hypothesis (H0): Perceived leadership styles do not significantly affect what respondents believe motivates employees in the manufacturing sector.

- Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Perceived leadership styles significantly affect what respondents believe motivates employees in the manufacturing sector.

Test Used: Chi-Square Test

Contingency Table:

Leadership Style	Career Growth	Salary/Benefits	Recognition	Work-Life Balance	Total
Autocratic	0	3	3	1	7
Democratic	2	8	9	3	22
Laissez-faire	0	0	3	0	3
Transformational	13	3	6	1	23
Total	15	14	21	5	55

3. Relationship Between Perceived Motivating and Retaining Leadership Styles
Hypothesis:

- Null Hypothesis (H0): The leadership style to motivate employees does not significantly influence the leadership style believed to retain employees in the manufacturing sector.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1): The leadership style believed to motivate employees significantly influences the leadership style believed to retain employees in the manufacturing sector.
Test Used: Chi-Square Test

Contingency Table:

Motivating Style	Autocratic	Democratic	Laissez-faire	Transformational	Total
Autocratic	0	3	1	3	7
Democratic	5	11	2	4	22
Laissez-faire	0	2	0	1	3
Transformational	3	11	1	6	21
Total	8	27	4	14	53

4. Leadership Style vs. Preferred Leadership Approach in Future Workplace
Hypothesis:
- Null Hypothesis (H0): The leadership style perceived as most effective in motivating employees does not significantly affect respondents' preferences for leadership approach in their future workplace.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1): The leadership style perceived as most effective in motivating employees significantly affects respondents' preferences for leadership approach in their future workplace.

Test Used: Chi-Square Test

Contingency Table:

Motivating Style	Strict Guidance	Full Autonomy	Inspires Innovation	Involves in Decision-Making	Total
Autocratic	3	1	1	2	7
Democratic	3	1	6	12	22
Laissez-faire	0	0	1	2	3
Transformational	5	2	9	7	23
Total	11	4	17	23	55

5. Education Level vs. Belief in Effectiveness of Leadership Training
Hypothesis:
- Null Hypothesis (H0): Respondents' education level does not significantly influence their belief in the effectiveness of leadership training programs in enhancing employee motivation and retention.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Respondents' education level significantly influences their belief in the effectiveness of leadership training programs in enhancing employee motivation and retention.

Test Used: Chi-Square Test

Contingency Table:

Education Level	No Impact	Not Sure	Yes, to Some Extent	Yes, Significantly	Total
Bachelor's Degree	10	3	22	8	43
Diploma	1	0	0	0	1
High School	0	1	2	0	3
Master's Degree	1	1	2	2	6
Other	0	0	0	1	1
Total	12	5	26	11	54

6. Work Experience vs. Belief in Effectiveness of Leadership Training
Hypothesis:
- Null Hypothesis (H0): Having work experience in the manufacturing or production industry does not significantly influence belief in the effectiveness of leadership training.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Having work experience in the manufacturing or production industry significantly influences belief in the effectiveness of leadership training.
Test Used: Chi-Square Test

Contingency Table:

Work Experience	No Impact	Not Sure	Yes, to Some Extent	Yes, Significantly	Total
No experience or knowledge	0	1	1	2	4
No, but I have knowledge	3	1	3	2	9
Yes, currently working	6	1	15	7	29
Yes, previously worked	3	2	7	0	12
Total	12	5	26	11	54

Summary of Chi-Square Hypothesis Tests

Test No.	Description	χ^2 Value	df	p-value	Significant?
1	Leadership Style vs. Motivation Factors	0.496	4	0.9739	No
2	Leadership Style vs. What Motivates Employees	22.142	9	0.0084	Yes
3	Motivating Style vs. Retaining Style	4.921	9	0.8412	No
4	Leadership Style vs. Future Leadership Approach	7.42	9	0.5935	No
5	Education Level vs. Belief in Leadership Training	12.395	12	0.4145	No

SUGGESTIONS

Based on the findings of the study on the influence of online reviews on consumer behavior, the following suggestions are proposed:

1. Encourage Authentic Reviews:
   - Action: Businesses should encourage customers to leave honest and detailed reviews by providing incentives such as discounts, loyalty points, or entry into a prize draw.
   - Benefit: Authentic reviews build trust and credibility, making potential customers more likely to rely on them.
2. Monitor and Respond to Reviews:
   - Action: Actively monitor online reviews across various platforms and respond promptly to both positive and negative feedback.
   - Benefit: Engaging with customers shows that the business values their opinions and is committed to improving their experience, which can enhance customer loyalty.
3. Address Negative Reviews Constructively:
   - Action: Develop a strategy for addressing negative reviews by acknowledging the issue, apologizing if necessary, and offering solutions or compensation.
   - Benefit: Constructive responses to negative reviews can mitigate their impact and demonstrate the business's commitment to customer satisfaction.

4. Leverage Positive Reviews in Marketing:
   - Action: Use positive reviews and testimonials in marketing campaigns, on the business website, and in promotional materials.
   - Benefit: Highlighting positive feedback can attract new customers and reinforce the business's reputation.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to examine the extent to which various leadership styles influence employee motivation and retention within the manufacturing and production industry. Based on comprehensive survey data collected from 55 respondents with varying degrees of academic and professional exposure to the sector, the findings offer valuable insights into both perceived and statistically supported relationships between leadership behaviors and workforce outcomes.

The results indicate that transformational and democratic leadership styles are widely perceived as most effective in driving motivation and enhancing retention. These styles were associated with qualities such as inspiration, inclusivity, and emotional support—traits that resonate strongly with younger, emerging professionals in the workforce.

However, the statistical analyses revealed mixed outcomes. While one chi-square test showed a significant relationship between leadership style and specific motivational drivers (e.g., recognition, growth, salary), other hypotheses—including the direct effect of leadership style on overall motivation

and retention—did not show statistical significance. This highlights a crucial nuance: while leadership is perceived as important, other variables like compensation, growth opportunities, and organizational culture also play decisive roles.

Moreover, no significant associations were found between educational background or work experience and beliefs about the effectiveness of leadership training programs. This suggests a potential gap between leadership development initiatives and their perceived practical impact among diverse employee groups.

REFERENCES

[1] Bass, Bernard M. (1985) – *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations* https://archive.org/details/leadershipperfor0000bass

[2] Gopal, R., & Chowdhury, R.G. (2014) – *Leadership Styles and Employee Motivation: An Empirical Study in the Manufacturing Sector* http://hdl.handle.net/10603/53691

[3] Nguyen, P. T., Mia, L., Winata, L., & Chong, V. K. (2017) – *Effect of Transformational-Leadership Style and Management Control System on Managers' Performance* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.05.012

[4] Lok, Peter & Crawford, John (2004) – *The Effect of Organizational Culture and Leadership Style on Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment: A Cross-National Comparison* https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(03)00021-5

[5] Chaudhry, Abdul Qayyum & Javed, Hassan (2012) – *Impact of Transactional and Laissez Faire Leadership Style on Motivation* http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/11236