AI-Designed Self- Decomposing Polymers with Embedded "Molecular Kill Switches"

Ayush Sahu[1], Abhinav Kumar Pandey[2], Aryaman Tiwary[3]
[1,2,3] *Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, BMS College Of Engineering, Bengaluru, India*

***Abstract*— This paper presents a generative artificial intelligence framework for designing domain-specific polymers with programmable decomposition properties. The system combines graph neural networks with environmental trigger modeling to create materials that decompose under specific conditions including pH variations, temperature fluctuations, oxidation and microbial presence. Three-tier architecture enables real-time molecular simulation through a React-based interface while maintaining chemical stability constraints through specialized GNN layers. This approach addresses critical gaps in sustainable material science by providing an end-to-end solution for generating environmentally responsive polymers that maintain structural integrity during use but decompose rapidly after disposal.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The accumulation of synthetic polymers in the environment represents one of the most pressing ecological challenges of our time. Conventional polymers persist for hundreds of years, with global plastic waste expected to reach 12 billion tons by 2050 if current production and waste management trends continue [1]. This environmental persistence stands in stark contrast to the often brief useful life of many polymer products. Recent advances in polymer chemistry have enabled the design of materials with controlled degradation properties, where specific chemical bonds can be engineered to break down under predetermined environmental conditions [2]. Simultaneously, the field of artificial intelligence has revolutionized materials science through its capacity to analyze complex structure-property relationships and accelerate the discovery of novel materials with tailored properties [3]. Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) have emerged as particularly powerful tools for molecular representation learning, enabling the prediction of complex molecular properties by effectively capturing the topological structure of molecules [4].

The motivation for this research stems from several converging factors. First, there exists an urgent need for polymeric materials that can degrade predictably in specific environments—whether agricultural settings, water treatment systems, or urban infrastructure—while maintaining their functional properties during their intended use period. Second, traditional polymer development follows a resource-intensive trial-and-error approach, with laboratory synthesis and testing requiring significant time and resources. Computational models that can accurately predict both the degradability and synthesizability of candidate polymers could dramatically accelerate this process [5]. Third, while machine learning models have demonstrated impressive predictive performance for molecular properties, they often function as "black boxes," providing little insight into the chemical features and mechanisms underlying their predictions. Explainable AI approaches that can elucidate structure-property relationships are critical for building trust in model predictions and guiding rational polymer design [6]. Finally, there is significant opportunity to develop domain-specific polymers with controlled degradation properties for targeted applications, addressing sector-specific challenges with tailored materials solutions.

II. RELATED WORK

The development of degradable polymers has progressed substantially in recent years. Langer and colleagues pioneered the design of biodegradable polymers for biomedical applications, demonstrating controlled degradation through hydrolyzable bonds [7]. More recently, Hillmyer et al. developed recyclable polyesters with tunable degradation rates in response to specific chemical triggers [8]. The application of computational methods to polymer science has also advanced significantly. Bicerano established quantitative structure-property relationships for polymers [9], while more recent work by Ramprasad et

al. utilized machine learning to predict polymer properties from fingerprint-based representations [10].
In the domain of AI for molecular property prediction, graph neural networks have shown particular promise. Duvenaud et al. introduced neural fingerprints for molecular graphs [11], while Yang et al. demonstrated the effectiveness of graph attention networks for modeling molecular properties [12]. The development of explainable AI approaches for chemistry has been pioneered by Sundararajan et al. with integrated gradients [13], and Pope et al. with graph attention mechanisms [14].
For polymer degradability prediction specifically, Helbling et al. developed quantitative structure-biodegradability relationships [15], while Choi et al. employed recurrent neural networks to predict hydrolysis rates [16]. Recent work by Zhang et al. has explored multi-task learning frameworks for simultaneously predicting multiple polymer properties [17].
Despite these advances, significant gaps remain in the development of explainable AI systems that can simultaneously predict degradability and synthesizability while providing mechanistic insights to guide polymer design. Our work addresses these gaps through a novel approach that combines graph neural networks with attention mechanisms to identify degradable functional groups, assess molecular properties affecting degradability, and evaluate synthetic accessibility.

III. METHODOLOGY

A. System Architecture

- PolyMorphAI implements a three-tier architecture that separates concerns between molecular generation, stability validation, and user interaction.
- Backend Layer: The system uses FastAPI services to handle GNN inference with PyTorch Geometric, while chemical validation is performed through RDKit integration. The implementation includes:
- Graph neural network models for degradability prediction.
- Polymer generation algorithms with environmental trigger incorporation.
- API endpoints for generation and prediction services.

B. Graph Neural Network Implementation

The core of PolyMorph-AI's predictive capability is its Graph Neural Network implementation. The DegradabilityGNN class implements a three-layer

GCN architecture:
python

```
class DegradabilityGNN(torch.nn.Module):

    def ____init____(self, num_node_features): super(DegradabilityGNN, self).__init__() self.conv1 = GCNConv(num_node_features, 64) self.conv2 = GCNConv(64, 32)
    self.conv3 = GCNConv(32, 16) self.fc = torch.nn.Linear(16, 1)
    def forward(self, x, edge_index, batch): x = F.relu(self.conv1(x, edge_index))
    x = F.relu(self.conv2(x, edge_index)) x = F.relu(self.conv3(x, edge_index)) x = global_mean_pool(x, batch)
    x = self.fc(x)

return torch.sigmoid(x)
```

This architecture processes molecular graphs where nodes represent atoms and edges represent bonds, with specialized pooling to handle variable-sized molecules.

C. Polymer Generation Strategy Polymer Generation Strategy

The PolymerGenerator class implements the generative aspects of the system, incorporating domain-specific templates and kill switch functional groups:

The generator maintains libraries of:

- Kill switch functional groups. (esters, amides, acetals, etc.)
- Construction groups for polymer backbone formation.
- Domain-specific templates for agriculture, water management, and urban applications.

Each generated polymer incorporates strategic placement of degradable linkages that respond to specific environmental triggers while maintaining structural integrity during intended use periods.

IV. EQUATIONS

A. Forward Pass in GNN

B. General GNN Layer (Message Passing Framework) At each layer lll:

$$hv(l+1)=\sigma(W(l)\cdot AGGREGATE(l)(\{hu(l):u\in N(v)\cup\{v\}) \quad (1)$$

2.1. GCN Layer (Kipf & Welling, 2017)

$$H(l+1)=\sigma(D^{-1/2}*A*D^{-1/2}*H(l)*W(l)) \quad (2)$$

C. Loss Function

Assuming node classification with cross-entropy loss:

$$L=-\sum\sum YlogY' \quad (3)$$

$$Shydro=0.20\times hydrolyzable\_ratio \quad (1)$$

D. Backward Pass (Gradients) Let's denote:

- $Z=D^{-1/2}*A*D^{-1/2}*H(l)*W(l)$
- $H(l+1)=\sigma(Z)$

D. Reactive Groups Ratio Ratio:

reactive_ratio=Σ(thiol, azide, imine groups)/Total Atoms

Property	Weight
Molecular Weight	0.15

4.1. Gradient w.r.t. Weights W(l)W^{(l)}W(l):

$$\partial L/\partial W(l)=(D^{-1/2}*A*D^{-1/2}*H(l))^{\top}\cdot\partial L/\partial Z$$

4.2. Gradient through Activation:

$$\partial L/\partial Z=\partial L/\partial H(l+1)\odot\sigma'(Z)$$

Score Contribution:

$$S=0.15\times reactive\_ratio \quad (1)$$

Where ⊙\odot⊙is element-wise multiplication.

4.3. Backprop through layers:

$$\partial L/\partial H(l)=(D^{-1/2}*A*D^{-1/2})^{\top}\cdot(\partial L/\partial Z\cdot(W(l))^{T}) \quad (1)$$

E. Surface Area Estimation Normalized Surface Area:

sa=max(0.0,min(1.0,(TPSA×10^−20/(mw/10^3))−0.1/10 −0.1)))

Formalized Degradation Score Calculation for Polymers

A. Molecular Weight Contribution Normalized Molecular Weight:

Score Contribution:

$$Ssa=0.20\times sa$$

mw=max(0.0,min(1.0,ExactMolWt−5000/10^6−5000)) Score Contribution:

$$Smw=0.15\times(1-mw) \quad (1)$$

B. Crystallinity Approximation Crystallinity Metric:

cryst=1/1+NumRotatableBonds

Score Contribution:

Scryst = 0.15×(1−cryst)

F. Hydrophilicity Metric

Ratio:

hydrophilicity_ratio=Σ(OH, NH2,COO groups)/Total Atoms

Score Contribution:

Shydrophilic=0.15×hydrophilicity_ratio

G. Overall Degradation Score Total Score:

Degradation Score=max(0.0,min(1.0,∑Si))

C. Hydrolyzable Bonds Ratio Ratio:

hydrolyzable_ratio = Σ(ester, amide, acetal bonds)/Total Bonds

Weight Allocation Summary

Score Contribion:

Crystallinity	0.15
Hydrolyzable Bonds	0.20
Reactive Groups	0.15
Surface Area	0.20
Hydrophilicity	0.15

- Kill-switch: absent

Molecular feature breakdown revealed moderate hydrolyzable-bond density (0.24 bonds per total), low crystallinity index (0.33), and elevated normalized surface area (0.71), collectively driving its mid-range degradability.

V. SUMMARY OF KEY EQUATIONS

A. GNNs learn node representations by aggregating and transforming neighbor information across graph layers.

B. GCNs use normalized graph structure to smooth and combine node features efficiently.

C. Model training minimizes prediction error using a loss function and backpropagation through graph layers.

D. Polymer degradability depends on chemical structure features like molecular weight, crystallinity, and functional groups.
E. A weighted scoring system combines multiple chemical properties to estimate overall polymer degradation potential.

VI. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

We had generated 10,000 candidate polymers across three application domains (agriculture, water management, urban planning), each under one of three user-specified environmental triggers (pH, temperature, microbial presence). Our Graph Neural Network (GNN) degradability predictor converged within 50 epochs to a validation mean squared error of ≈ 0.003 (MAE ≈ 0.05), demonstrating high fidelity in forecasting relative biodegradation propensity. Synthesizability predictions based on molecular weight, ring count, rotatable bonds and sp^3 carbon fraction—exhibited strong agreement ($R^2 \approx 0.82$) with established synthetic-complexity heuristics.

- Aggregate performance
  - Degradability scores spanned 0.12–0.96 (mean = 0.56, σ = 0.17).
  - Synthesizability scores ranged 0.62–0.99 (mean = 0.87, σ = 0.08).
  - Kill-switch incorporation: 82 % of polymers included at least one labile functional group, enabling on-demand breakdown.

Analysis

1. Multi-objective trade-off

Degradability correlated negatively with molecular weight ($r = -0.75$) and positively with hydrolyzable bond ratio ($r = +0.82$), confirming that incorporation of labile groups effectively tunes degradation. Simultaneously, the synthesizability module maintained high scores by privileging linear architectures and sp^3-rich motifs, ensuring that enhanced degradability did not come at the expense of manufacturability.

2. Domain-specific trends
   - Water management polymers (triggers: pH > 8, UV, oxidation) achieved the highest mean degradability (0.62) but slightly lower synthesizability (mean 0.83), reflecting the use of carbonate and disulfide functionalities.
   - Urban planning polymers (triggers: T > 40 °C, mechanical stress, moisture) delivered balanced profiles (mean degradability 0.51, synthesizability 0.88), owing to siloxane and peroxide groups that impart both stability and controlled breakdown.

3. Kill-switch efficacy

Over 80 % of generated structures featured at least one "kill-switch" motif—esters, anhydrides, or imines—validating the template-driven generation strategy. Polymers lacking explicit kill-switches still manifested moderate degradability through increased surface area and hydrophilicity, underscoring the model's holistic score synthesis.

Together, these results demonstrate that PolyMorph-AI can rapidly produce application-targeted polymers with tunable lifetimes and robust synthetic accessibility, paving the way for smart materials that meet both performance and end-of-life criteria.

CITATIONS

[1]O. Queen, G. A. McCarver, S. Thatigotla, B. P. Abolins, C. L. Brown, V. Maroulas, and K. D. Vogiatzis, "Polymer graph neural networks for multitask property learning," npj Comput. Mater., vol. 9, no. 1, p. 90, 2023.

[2] R. Gurnani, C. Kuenneth, A. Toland, and R. Ramprasad, "Polymer informatics at-scale with multitask graph neural networks," arXiv:2209.13557 [cs.LG], Sept. 2022.

[3] E. R. Antoniuk, P. Li, B. Kailkhura, and A. M. Hiszpanski, "Representing polymers as periodic graphs with learned descriptors for accurate polymer property predictions," arXiv:2205.13757 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci], May 2022.

[4] M. Aldeghi and C. W. Coley, "A graph representation of molecular ensembles for polymer property prediction," arXiv:2205.08619 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci], May 2022.

REFERENCE

[1] Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Science Advances, 3(7), e1700782.

[2] Zhu, J. B., Watson, E. M., Tang, J., & Chen, E. Y. X. (2018). A synthetic polymer system with repeatable chemical recyclability. Science, 360(6387), 398-403.
[3] Butler, K. T., Davies, D. W., Cartwright, H., Isayev, O., & Walsh, A. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. Nature, 559(7715), 547-555.
[4] Wu, Z., Ramsundar, B., Feinberg, E. N., Gomes, J., Geniesse, C., Pappu, A. S., & Pande, V. (2018). MoleculeNet: a benchmark for molecular machine learning. Chemical Science, 9(2), 513-530.
[5] Coley, C. W., Green, W. H., & Jensen, K. F. (2018). Machine learning in computer-aided synthesis planning. Accounts of Chemical Research, 51(5), 1281-1289.
[6] Roscher, R., Bohn, B., Duarte, M. F., & Garcke, J. (2020). Explainable machine learning for scientific insights and discoveries. IEEE Access, 8, 42200-42216.
[7] Langer, R., & Tirrell, D. A. (2004). Designing materials for biology and medicine. Nature, 428(6982), 487-492.
[8] Zhu, J. B., Watson, E. M., Tang, J., & Chen, E. Y. X. (2018). A synthetic polymer system with repeatable chemical recyclability. Science, 360(6387), 398-403.
[9] Bicerano, J. (2002). Prediction of polymer properties. CRC press.
[10] Kim, C., Chandrasekaran, A., Huan, T. D., Das, D., & Ramprasad, R. (2018). Polymer genome: a data-powered polymer informatics platform for property predictions. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 122(31), 17575-17585.
[11] Duvenaud, D. K., Maclaurin, D., Iparraguirre, J., Bombarell, R., Hirzel, T., Aspuru-Guzik, A., & Adams, R. P. (2015). Convolutional networks on graphs for learning molecular fingerprints. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 28.
[12] Veličković, P., Cucurull, G., Casanova, A., Romero, A., Lio, P., & Bengio, Y. (2017). Graph attention networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10903.
[13] Sundararajan, M., Taly, A., & Yan, Q. (2017). Axiomatic attribution for deep networks. International Conference on Machine Learning, 3319-3328.
[14] Pope, P. E., Kolouri, S., Rostami, M., Martin, C. E., & Hoffmann, H. (2019). Explainability methods for graph convolutional neural networks. Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 10772-10781.
[15] Helbling, D. E., Hollender, J., Kohler, H. P. E., Singer, H., & Fenner, K. (2010). High-throughput identification of microbial transformation products of organic micropollutants. Environmental Science & Technology, 44(17), 6621-6627.
[16] Choi, K. Y., & McAuley, K. B. (2008). Polymer reaction engineering: Modeling considerations for control studies. Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering, 25(5), 893-902.
[17] Zhang, Y., & Lee, A. A. (2019). Bayesian semi-supervised learning for uncertainty-calibrated prediction of molecular properties and active learning. Chemical Science, 10(35), 8154-8163.