

She Asked for It: Exploring the Blame Culture in Women's Double Victimization

Manimekhalai Sethuraman Iyer

Asst.Prof. (Under sub-theme: Nurturing Women & Nurturing Society)

M.A. (Mass Communication & Journalism, University of Mumbai)

Dnyan Ganga Education Trust's Degree College of Art's, Commerce & Science, Kasarvadavli Thane(w).

Abstract—This paper explores the pervasive culture of victim-blaming in cases of gender-based violence, particularly sexual harassment and assault. The phrase “She asked for it” has become symbolic of a broader societal tendency to scrutinize women’s behavior, clothing, or choices instead of holding perpetrators accountable. Drawing on objectification theory, and rape culture, this paper unpacks how such attitudes contribute to the normalization of violence and silence survivors. Using case studies, media analysis, and psychological research, the paper argues that blame culture is not an isolated pattern of thought, but a structural issue deeply embedded in patriarchal systems. The consequences of this mindset include retraumatization, underreporting, and continued impunity for offenders. Finally, the paper offers recommendations for shifting toward a Recommendation-survivor-centered, justice-focused framework in both policy and public discourse.

Keywords—Victim-Blaming, Objectification, Rape Culture, Sexual Harassment, Survivor-Centered Approach, Gender Stereotypes, Psychological Impact, #MeToo Movement, Healing and Support Systems

I. INTRODUCTION

“Why was she out so late?” “What was she wearing?” “Did she lead him on?” These are the questions too often asked not of perpetrators, but of victims. They form the foundation of a culture that does not just tolerate gender-based violence — it rationalizes it. This culture of victim-blaming, where women are held accountable for the crimes committed against them, is a form of secondary violence that reinforces trauma and deters justice.

At the heart of this paper is a question that underlines the very structure of societal response to sexual violence: *Why do we blame women for their own victimization?* This tendency, often summarized by the insidious phrase “*She asked for it,*” reflects

deeper societal anxieties around power, gender, and control. It’s not just about disbelief — it’s about punishment. It’s a way of upholding patriarchal norms by ensuring that women internalize fear, shame, and silence.

This paper argues that victim-blaming is not an accidental response but a cultural script — deeply ingrained in media, law, and public perception. Through the lenses of objectification theory and rape culture, this study aims to deconstruct the blame culture and its effects on both individuals and institutions. By analyzing real-world examples and academic research, the paper reveals how societal narratives redirect attention from perpetrators to victims, ultimately perpetuating cycles of harm. The goal is to identify not just the problem, but actionable ways forward — toward a justice system and public discourse that centers truth, dignity, and survivor empowerment.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The objectification of women, victim-blaming, and the normalization of sexual violence have been studied extensively in feminist theory, sociology, psychology, and law. This section reviews prominent literature on these themes, with a particular focus on how these issues are intertwined with broader cultural, institutional, and legal systems.

1. Victim-Blaming in India’s Legal and Cultural Context

Victim-blaming in India has been a critical area of research, with scholars examining how societal norms and legal frameworks contribute to the marginalization of women who are victims of violence. The deeply ingrained patriarchal structure often places the onus of preventing violence on the woman, suggesting that her behavior or attire “invited” harm.

- Singh, 2018: In the context of sexual violence in India, Singh (2018) argues that victim-blaming is perpetuated through social norms that emphasize the responsibility of women to avoid situations of harm, rather than focusing on the responsibility of the perpetrator. These views are entrenched within everyday interactions and legal responses, reinforcing gender inequality.
- Khan & Bhat, 2019: Khan and Bhat (2019) found that victim-blaming is not only pervasive among the general public but is also deeply embedded within institutional structures, including law enforcement. Police and legal officials, particularly in rural areas, often display hostile or dismissive attitudes toward rape victims, suggesting that they somehow invited the crime due to their actions or lifestyles.

This victim-blaming mentality is further reinforced by media portrayals and the perception of women as the "weaker" sex, responsible for maintaining moral standards.

2. Sexual Violence and Rape Culture in India

Rape culture in India is a significant topic of research, examining how societal attitudes normalize sexual violence and harassment. India's history of gender-based violence and its portrayal in media and public discourse play a crucial role in fostering an environment where such violence is normalized and victims are blamed.

- Buchwald et al., 2005: The concept of rape culture, as discussed by Buchwald et al. (2005), applies well to India's context. The Nirbhaya case (2012) became a focal point for many academic analyses, which argue that India is deeply entrenched in rape culture. The blame the victim mentality perpetuated in Indian society contributes to the underreporting of crimes and the reluctance of victims to seek justice.
- Chauhan, 2015: Chauhan (2015) critiques the Indian legal system, noting that the definition of rape itself has been historically limited and often reflects outdated gender norms. This underrepresentation of women's agency in legal terms often contributes to the perpetuation of rape culture.

Rape culture in India also intersects with the country's pervasive caste and religious hierarchies, where marginalized groups—such as Dalit women

and Muslim women—experience compounded discrimination and violence.

3. The Role of Media in Shaping Gender Norms and Victimization

The Indian media plays a powerful role in shaping public perceptions of sexual violence, and its portrayal of victim-blaming is a significant concern. Researchers have examined how media depictions influence societal attitudes toward gender violence, especially in high-profile cases.

- Sharma & Ghosh, 2016: Sharma and Ghosh (2016) argue that media coverage of sexual violence cases often sensationalizes the victim's behavior, focusing on their attire, relationships, or actions before the assault. This framing shifts the responsibility to the victim, subtly suggesting that they contributed to the violence.

- Sarkar, 2017: Sarkar (2017) found that the media's tendency to sensationalize certain cases of sexual violence while ignoring others based on class or caste further perpetuates harmful stereotypes. In particular, cases involving young, upper-caste women are often more publicized, with a focus on the victim's innocence, while women from marginalized communities often face a double burden of societal neglect and systemic indifference.

This media-driven cycle of victim-blaming has been identified as a significant barrier to improving the reporting and response to gender-based violence in India.

4. Institutional Responses and Legal Reforms

India's legal system has undergone significant reforms in response to high-profile sexual violence cases, most notably the Nirbhaya case. However, the effectiveness of these reforms in reducing victim-blaming and improving institutional responses to sexual violence remains a subject of debate.

- Raghavan, 2015: Raghavan (2015) evaluates the Nirbhaya Act (2013), which aimed to strengthen legal provisions for women's safety, particularly focusing on changes to the Indian Penal Code and the creation of fast-track courts. While these reforms are a step forward, Raghavan critiques the continued presence of victim-blaming attitudes within police forces and judicial practices.

- Kumar & Verma, 2019: Kumar and Verma (2019) argue that while legal changes have been made, there remains a lack of sensitivity within law enforcement agencies. Police officers are still often reported as interrogating victims about their behavior, attire, and personal history in ways that perpetuate victim-blaming.

Although India has made strides in legal reforms, systemic issues within the judicial and police systems continue to hinder the protection of women's rights.

Case Studies & Cultural Examples

Victim-blaming is not an abstract idea — it manifests in daily conversations, headlines, courtrooms, and social media threads. This section presents a series of cultural and legal examples that show how women are routinely blamed for their own victimization, and how society's focus shifts from the perpetrator's actions to the victim's behavior, choices, or past.

1. Nirbhaya Case (2012)

Overview: One of the most prominent and transformative cases in recent Indian history, the Nirbhaya case refers to the brutal gang rape and murder of a 23-year-old woman in Delhi on December 16, 2012. The victim, later named "Nirbhaya" (meaning fearless), was assaulted by six men on a moving bus. She succumbed to her injuries two weeks later.

Victim-Blaming: During the investigation and trial, there was widespread victim-blaming, with some public figures and media outlets suggesting that the victim could have avoided the assault by dressing modestly or by not being out late at night. This highlighted deeply ingrained attitudes about gender and sexuality in India.

2. The Kathua Rape Case (2018)

Overview: In January 2018, an 8-year-old girl from a nomadic Muslim community in Kathua, Jammu and Kashmir, was abducted, raped, and murdered by a group of men, including a police officer. The case was highly politicized and came to symbolize the intersection of communalism, sexual violence, and victim-blaming.

Victim-Blaming and Cultural Responses: When the case first broke, local residents and political leaders rallied around the accused, claiming that the girl's community and religion were part of a "conspiracy" to malign Hindus. The victim's family was vilified,

and public support for the victim was minimal due to the religious and communal undertones.

3. The Unnao Rape Case (2017)

Overview: In 2017, a 16-year-old girl from Unnao, Uttar Pradesh, accused BJP (Bharatiya Janata Party) lawmaker Kuldeep Singh Sengar of raping her. The case became a flashpoint for issues of political power, corruption, and institutional failure.

Victim-Blaming: The victim's family was repeatedly threatened and harassed. Initially, the police did not take the case seriously, and the victim's father was even allegedly tortured to death in custody. In a deeply disturbing instance of victim-blaming, the authorities suggested that the victim had ulterior motives or that she was making up the case for political reasons.

4. The Hyderabad Veterinary Doctor Case (2019)

Overview: In November 2019, a 26-year-old veterinarian in Hyderabad was brutally gang-raped and murdered by four men. The victim had gone missing after her bike broke down, and her body was later found burnt in an abandoned area. The case sparked nationwide protests and conversations about women's safety in India.

Victim-Blaming and Public Outcry: Early reports in the media suggested questions about the victim's behavior, such as whether she was "careless" for being out late at night. However, widespread public outrage quickly drowned out the victim-blaming narratives, and there was a collective demand for stronger laws against sexual violence.

5. The Badaun Rape and Murder Case (2014)

Overview: In May 2014, two teenage girls were abducted, gang-raped, and murdered in the Badaun district of Uttar Pradesh. Their bodies were found hanging from a tree, and the case sparked outrage over the alarming levels of violence against women in rural India.

Victim-Blaming and Cultural Factors: Initial reports from the police and local authorities sought to downplay the severity of the crime. In some reports, there was subtle victim-blaming, questioning the victims' character and actions before the incident, suggesting they may have "invited" the violence.

6. The Brock Turner Case (USA, 2016)

One of the most widely cited examples of modern blame culture is the case of Brock Turner, a Stanford University student convicted of sexually assaulting an unconscious woman behind a dumpster. Despite overwhelming evidence and a guilty verdict, Turner was sentenced to only six months in jail, of which he served three.

Much of the media coverage centered around Turner's swimming career and his "bright future," while the victim's name and testimony were withheld for her protection. The leniency of the sentence and the language used in coverage (e.g., referring to the assault as "20 minutes of action") sparked outrage, especially after the survivor's victim impact statement went viral.

The Turner case exemplifies how institutions and public narratives protect privileged male perpetrators while casting doubt on or minimizing the victim's experience. The implicit message: her intoxication, her presence at the party, and her unconsciousness somehow made her complicit in her own assault.

III. CONCLUSION

These case studies from India illustrate the pervasive issues of victim-blaming, institutional failures, and gender inequality that contribute to a culture of sexual violence. They highlight the need for reforms in legal frameworks, police accountability, and cultural attitudes toward women. While these cases have sparked important conversations about justice, much work remains to be done in challenging the deep-rooted stereotypes and practices that enable violence against women to continue.

Impacts/Effects of Blame Culture

Blame culture is not just a rhetorical or ideological problem — it has measurable, lasting effects on individuals and institutions. By shifting responsibility from perpetrators to victims, it reinforces silence, undermines justice, and causes severe emotional and psychological harm. This section outlines the dual-level impacts of blame culture: the personal toll on survivors and the structural damage to society at large.

1. **Self-blame and shame:** When a survivor is told, directly or indirectly, that their behavior caused the violence, they may internalize that narrative and feel responsible.
2. **Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD):** Victim-blaming can worsen or prolong PTSD symptoms by reinforcing a sense of helplessness or guilt.

3. **Anxiety and depression:** Isolation, fear of disbelief, and lack of support can lead to mental health crises.
4. **Suicidal ideation:** Studies show that women who experience both violence and victim-blaming are at increased risk of suicidal thoughts and attempts.
5. **Deterrence from Reporting and Seeking Help and spiralling silence**

In one 2010 study published in the *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, women who experienced negative social reactions after disclosing sexual assault were significantly more likely to suffer long-term psychological distress than those who received supportive responses.

Recommendations: Towards a Survivor-Centered Approach

To dismantle blame culture and build a society that truly supports survivors, we must move from reactive to proactive strategies — from silencing to listening, from judgment to justice. A survivor-centered approach means prioritizing the needs, dignity, and voices of those who experience violence, while holding perpetrators and institutions accountable.

This shift requires action on multiple fronts: cultural, educational, institutional, and legal.

1. Comprehensive Consent and Gender Education

Education is the foundation for cultural change.

- Starting from an early age, schools should:
- Teach comprehensive sex education that goes beyond biology to include concepts of consent, boundaries, and healthy relationships.
 - Challenge gender stereotypes that associate masculinity with dominance and femininity with submission.
 - Equip students with bystander intervention skills, so they can recognize and respond to harmful behavior in real time.

2. Media Accountability and Responsible Reporting

Media outlets wield immense influence over public perception. Journalists and editors should:

- Follow ethical guidelines when reporting on sexual violence, including using accurate, non-sensational language.
- Avoid victim-shaming headlines and photos that imply blame or moral judgment.

- Center survivor narratives, especially when consent has been violated — not the reputations of accused perpetrators.

Some newsrooms have implemented survivor-sensitive reporting standards, and advocacy organizations have created media toolkits to help journalists cover sexual violence ethically.

3. Workplace and Campus Reform

Employers and educational institutions must move beyond performative policies and create environments where survivors feel safe and supported:

- Establish clear, survivor-friendly reporting mechanisms with options for anonymity and confidentiality.
- Provide mandatory sexual misconduct training for all staff and faculty.
- Implement zero-tolerance policies that are consistently enforced — regardless of the perpetrator’s position or tenure. It’s not enough to “raise awareness”; institutions must actively disrupt the power structures that allow abuse to go unchecked.

4. Cultural Change Through Storytelling and Solidarity

Perhaps most powerfully, culture changes through storytelling — and through listening. Survivor-led movements like:

- #MeToo
- #BelieveSurvivors
- #NotAskingForIt

...have begun reshaping public narratives. These movements reclaim power, disrupt silence, and challenge the idea that shame belongs to the victim. Solidarity is key. When survivors are believed, supported, and uplifted — rather than doubted or judged — healing becomes possible, and justice becomes more than just a theory.

A survivor-centered approach doesn’t only benefit individuals who have experienced violence. It benefits everyone — by fostering communities rooted in respect, empathy, and accountability. It’s a vision of justice where no one ever has to hear the words, “*You were asking for it.*”

IV. CONCLUSION

The phrase “*She asked for it*” is more than a cruel remark — it is the voice of a culture that insists

women are responsible for the violence committed against them. It is a narrative that silences survivors, protects perpetrators, and maintains a dangerous status quo rooted in control and fear. Through every catcall dismissed as a joke, every courtroom that interrogates a victim’s past, and every news headline that centers the accused over the survivor, blame culture persists. And it thrives in the spaces where we choose not to question it.

This paper has shown that victim-blaming is not an isolated phenomenon or a matter of misguided opinion — it is a structural issue, sustained by institutions, social norms, and historical inequalities. The theories of objectification, rape culture, and intersectionality reveal how this culture operates across gender, race, and class, affecting women differently but deeply.

Yet there is hope. As survivors speak out, as movements grow, and as conversations shift from “What did she do?” to “Why was this allowed to happen?” — we begin to unravel the myths that hold blame culture in place. Education, policy reform, and media accountability are not just tools of prevention — they are acts of justice.

To truly confront gender-based violence, we must dismantle the systems that excuse it. We must believe survivors. We must ask better questions. And we must build a culture where no woman is ever made to feel that her pain is her fault — where no one, ever again, has to carry the burden of being a double victim.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhat, A., & Soni, A. (2020). *#MeToo in India: Social media activism and the rise of sexual harassment awareness*. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 27(1), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521520905186>
- [2] Buchwald, E., Fletcher, P. R., & Roth, M. (2005). *Transforming a rape culture*. Milkweed Editions.
- [3] Chauhan, N. (2015). *Legal perspectives on rape in India: A critical review*. *Delhi Law Review*, 37(2), 101–120.
- [4] Desai, M. (2018). *Challenging patriarchy through grassroots feminist movements in rural India*. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(22), 34–41.

- [5] Khan, N., & Bhat, R. A. (2019). *Understanding the culture of victim-blaming in India: A sociological perspective*. International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, 39(9/10), 744–759. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-01-2019-0013>
- [6] Khan, S. (2018). *Muslim women and the politics of sexual violence in India*. Journal of South Asian Studies, 41(3), 418–435. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00856401.2018.1484999>
- [7] Kumar, S., & Verma, M. (2019). *Police response to sexual violence in India: Post-Nirbhaya reforms and persistent challenges*. Indian Police Journal, 66(4), 25–39.
- [8] Patel, V. (2017). *Caste, gender, and violence: Dalit women in India*. Indian Journal of Gender Studies, 24(3), 339–357. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521517726284>
- [9] Raghavan, V. (2015). *Justice Verma Committee report: A critical appraisal*. Journal of the Indian Law Institute, 57(1), 1–15.
- [10] Sarkar, S. (2017). *Media narratives and rape culture in India: An analysis of reporting on gender-based violence*. Journal of Media Studies, 33(2), 87–102.
- [11] Sharma, A., & Ghosh, S. (2016). *Framing rape in Indian media: A content analysis of newspaper coverage*. Media Watch, 7(2), 145–157. <https://doi.org/10.15655/mw/2016/v7i2/100579>
- [12] Singh, P. (2018). *Victim blaming in India: Societal conditioning and its consequences*. International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research, 3(5), 3705–3720.