Exploring the Potential of Bayesian Structural Equation Modelling in Consumer Behavior Analysis

Meena Vazirani

SVKM's Narsee Monjee College of Commerce and Economics, Mumbai, India

***Abstract*— The present review focuses on the applications of Bayesian Structural Equation Modelling (BSEM) in the field of consumer research. This reviews theories, methods and various applications of BSEM to study consumers' decision making, attitudes, and behaviors. It highlights the differences between BSEM and conventional approaches, BSEM's ability to accommodate complex models, to incorporate pre-existing knowledge, and to produce superior parameter estimates in small samples. It highlights significant research contributions in consumer behavior and demonstrates the usefulness of BSEM in exploring these hidden constructs, mediation, and moderators. Some limitations of BSEM, including its complexity and increased planning requirements, are also discussed in the review. Its conclusion identifies directions for future studies and novel applications of BSEM to the field of consumer behavior, offering useful implications for experts on marketing and consumer psychology.**

***Key Words*—BSEM, consumer behavior, posterior, prior, SEM**

I. INTRODUCTION

Bayesian Structural Equation Modelling (BSEM) provides a highly adaptable and dependable framework for examining intricate models. In particular, for consumer behavior research where the model is formed with the complex relationship between the latent variables and observed data [1], [2]. It has emerged as a powerful tool by combining the prior knowledge and uncertainty into the analysis. It has several advantages over traditional frequentist Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) approaches. In traditional frequentist SEM, point estimates and p-values are calculated whereas in BSEM, more intuitive interpretations of results are obtained through posterior probability distributions. BSEM does not rely on large sample asymptotic theory and can be used for small samples [3] , [4]. The model fitting and the issues faced in classical maximum likelihood are getting resolved with BSEM [3] , [5].

Emerging consumer behavior research requires advanced analysis to probe into the complex forces driving consumer choices. BSEM in particular could be a valuable alternative, as it has the benefits of both Bayesian statistics and SEM [6]. Bayesian analysis is of increasing interest in many branches of science because it provides meaningful information and predictions to solve contemporary problems in research. Many studies have tried BSEM in different domains like travel, health, sports, and even psychology. For example, [7] applied BSEM with a Bayesian network to analyze passenger perceptions in high-speed rail systems. [8] explained the estimation procedure of BSEM using a health index model as an illustration. [9] and [10] have used BSEM, the former focusing on its application in sports and psychology, the latter studying obesity in school children. [11] used BSEM to study the linkage between corporate financial performance and human capital. Previous studies include comparison of BSEM and SEM in store behavior [6], [12] systematically reviews SEM in context of second language, [13] provides systematic review of BSEM with small samples, [14] explains the applications of BSEM. The present paper aims to review BSEM for the study of consumer behavior. The paper is organized this way: the next part is devoted to the BSEM theory exposition, after which the advantages of BSEM are mentioned in Section III. Section IV describes BSEM's challenges and limitations. In Section V, future directions and research limitations are discussed, followed by the conclusion in Section VI.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF BSEM

The theoretical framework of BSEM is underpinned by the integration of Bayesian statistical methods with the conventional SEM approach, providing a robust analytics framework that enhances the understanding of consumer behavior [14]. In order to understand the basis of BSEM, it is first important to understand the essence of Bayesian statistics. Bayesian statistics is fundamentally a process of including prior knowledge in the form of probability distributions, updating these beliefs using evidence from data, and obtaining posterior distributions [15] , [16], [17], [18],[19] . This philosophy is one of a continually evolving inferential process where prior evidence can be supplemented with new information, which then produces a fuller picture of the phenomena being studied.

Conversely, SEM, is a traditional and highly utilized multivariate analysis that has been in use for exploring complex associations between observed and latent variables [9], [6]. The capability of SEM to deal with latent variables renders it particularly suitable for consumer behavior research, as many important constructs, like attitudes and perceptions, are unobservable. Common traditional SEM is based on frequentist statistics that do not consider uncertainty or prior information The use of Bayesian methods in the context of SEM represents an updating of the traditional SEM paradigm. BSEM explicitly permits the specification of prior distributions; thus, complex models can be tested empirically even within relatively small samples sizes, which can be beneficial under the often limited data collection circumstances characterizing consumer research [4], [1] , [20]. It allows for more robust estimation and more flexible model checking as it accommodates natural uncertainties and allows for testing of more flexible hypotheses.

III. ADVANTAGES OF USING BSEM IN CONSUMER STUDIES

The benefits of BSEM are numerous and of particular interest in consumer research. The main advantage of BSEM is that it can be used to used complex behavioral patterns on the part of consumers. Conventional SEM approaches are based on inflexible assumptions and depend on large samples and well-structured models. But, BSEM has the advantage of being able to include prior knowledge and manage uncertainty around parameters in a way that is flexible. This flexibility is especially relevant given that, nonlinear multi-causal models are needed to comprehend consumer behavior which involves many non-observable variables such as psychological and emotional constructs .[21]

BSEM is useful where the traditional SEM is limited. Traditional SEM has its limitations related to small sample sizes as well as non-flexible prior information [22]. BSEM offers solutions to these problems because Bayesian methods lend themselves to well-informed parameter estimations and strong inferences with relatively small sample sizes [23][24] . The use of informative priors allows the introduction of pre-existing theory and expert opinion into the analysis and helps to improve model estimation [24]. The improvement of these models in using information available prior to the experiment not only provides us with a more realistic understanding of consumer behavior, but also has conceptual advantages.

In addition, BSEM substantially improves the accuracy and reliability of predictions of consumer behavior models. Bayesian methods allow for an iterative learning process by incorporating previous distributions and updating those with new data over time. This leads to more accurate models that better capture the intricacies of consumer decision-making. Such models can, in particular, advance consumer theory and aid in developing more effective targeted marketing techniques [25]. BSEM is a powerful and flexible consumer study tool that is uniquely positioned to help researchers capture the truly multi-faceted and dynamic nature of consumer behavior.

IV. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF BSEM

Despite the advantages posed by BSEM over ordinary statistics, there are still issues and limitations with this approach. One of the main disadvantages of BSEM is its computational complexity [6].The issue of computational intensity is not a unique feature of Bayesian methods, but rather a common concern that surface and become apparent, particularly due to models or datasets of increased size or complexity. This has the associated cost of needing more computational time to conduct the analyses, and having a need of higher computational power that might not be available for all. But, computing technology and customized software are having a positive influence in this arena, providing better answers and interfaces for most of these things.

Result interpretation is another major difficulty associated with the use of BSEM. Bayesian output such as posterior distributions and credible intervals can be difficult to understand, particularly for frequentist statisticians and audiences. The interpretation of these results is complicated and requires a solid knowledge of Bayesian statistics, which may be unrealistic to expect from researchers in consumer behavior. This is a knowledge gap that is important to close, to make Bayesian arguments communicable and understandable, and that needs to be addressed by education and better guidelines.

Sensitivity to priors remains another pertinent limitation within BSEM. The choice of prior distributions can greatly influence the outcomes of Bayesian analyses [6]. While priors provide the advantage of integrating existing knowledge, they also introduce subjectivity and potential bias into the modeling process. The challenge lies in selecting appropriate priors that are both informative and minimally biased, necessitating thorough sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of model conclusions under different prior assumptions [26], [27].

Although BSEM holds significant promise, its effective implementation necessitates careful attention to these challenges. Overcoming these limitations will rely on continuous research and advancements in methodology, thereby ensuring BSEM's effective application in analyzing consumer behavior. [22] have conducted a critical analysis of the latent structure of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) applying Bayesian structural equation modeling BSEM). This overcomes the limitations of traditional maximum likelihood confirmatory factor analysis, which tends to produce poor fits because of its stringent requirements. These results support the applicability of the two-factor model in clinical practice as a reflection of the constructs of anxiety and depression.

V. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH

Technological advances will alleviate some of the calculation problems associated with Bayesian Structural Equation Modeling (BSEM) and it will soon become more widely used in consumer behavior research. Advancements in computing and online analytic tools may allow the more complex BSEM models to be run efficiently, with results available almost immediately to more users. As computing resources become less expensive and more accessible, allowing larger and more complex studies of consumer data to be possible, the scalability of BSEM models will also be reduced. Based on a desire for increased prevalence of BSEM applications, lots of recommendations could be made to researchers considering BSEM in their future research. First, interdisciplinary collaborations with statisticians, marketers, computer scientists, etc. will need to be developed to help with methodological and computational concerns. Creating easy-to-use software packages with accompanying documentation is another way to demystify the Bayesian approach for the novice. Training and tutorials related to Bayesian methods only promise to make these approaches even more accessible and useful for consumer research. In summary, the potential of BSEM for the study of consumer behavior is positive and, as mentioned above, BSEM research will benefit from technological advances and the use of big data in research in the near future. So methods become less expensive and more popular with researchers, they will help shift how we conceptualize our customers and where consumer research as a discipline is headed.

VI. CONCLUSION

BSEM represent a meaningful direction of thought in consumer research and have the potential to increase our knowledge of consumers' decision processes. BSEM provides greater flexibility and nuance than traditional approaches because it integrates Bayesian approaches with SEM. This is particularly useful when studying consumers, as human behavior is complex and variable, making a model which allows for uncertainty and the inclusion of prior information a better fitting model.

Evidence in favor of the use of BSEM specifically in the study of consumer behavior has derived from case study information and examples of what can BSEM do particularly better than classical methods do not show. By making use of prior distributions and updating them with new data, BSEM is especially appropriate to model latent constructs and complex psychological variables and, thus, represents a more flexible approach to modeling consumer attitudes and behaviors [28]. And through probabilistic thinking it helps not just to better the theories but to better the predictions which allows for even more targeted and effective marketing strategies to be developed.

But BSEM also has the potential to be transformative in ways that are problematic. These include complexity, sensitivity to priors and the non-intuitive nature of such results. Seeking to overcome this situation, technological resources as well as computer-based training and software for teaching and learning have become available; but, exploiting those resources is a methodological process that is continuous, collaborative, and interdisciplinary .

The paper demonstrates the utility of BSEM as a useful tool in expanding consumer research methods. As BSEM will become more accessible and its use expanded via technology and big data integration, a measured use of this approach can potentially result into new major findings in the study of consumer behavior.Using BSEM can help us understand how consumers behave. It might also change how we study consumer behavior, leading to more important and new discoveries.

REFERENCE

[1] A. Rogers, G. R. Foxall, and P. H. Morgan, “Building Consumer Understanding by Utilizing a Bayesian Hierarchical Structure within the Behavioral Perspective Model,” *Behav. Anal.*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 419–455, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s40614-017-0120-y.

[2] J. B. E. M. Steenkamp and H. Baumgartner, “On the use of structural equation models for marketing modeling,” *Int. J. Res. Mark.*, vol. 17, no. 2–3, pp. 195–202, 2000, doi: 10.1016/s0167-8116(00)00016-1.

[3] J. Holtmann, T. Koch, K. Lochner, and M. Eid, “A Comparison of ML, WLSMV, and Bayesian Methods for Multilevel Structural Equation Models in Small Samples: A Simulation Study,” *Multivariate Behav. Res.*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 661–680, 2016, doi: 10.1080/00273171.2016.1208074.

[4] S. C. Smid, D. McNeish, M. Miočević, and R. van de Schoot, “Bayesian Versus Frequentist Estimation for Structural Equation Models in Small Sample Contexts: A Systematic Review,” *Struct. Equ. Model.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 131–161, 2020, doi: 10.1080/10705511.2019.1577140.

[5] J. Palomo, D. B. Dunson, and K. Bollen, “Bayesian Structural Equation Modeling,” *Handb. Latent Var. Relat. Model.*, pp. 163–188, 2007, doi: 10.1016/B978-044452044-9/50011-2.

[6] G. Erkan, M. Dogan, and H. Tatlidil, “The Comparison of Classical and Bayesian Structural Equation Models Through Ordered Categorical Data: A Case Study of Banking Service Quality,” *Gazi Univ. J. Sci.*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 1392–1402, 2023, doi: 10.35378/gujs.814405.

[7] T. Karadağ, G. G. Şİmşek, and G. A. Alçura, “TRANSPORT MODELLING TO INVESTIGATE THE PASSENGERS ’,” 2024.

[8] F. Yanuar, “The estimation process in Bayesian structural equation modeling approach,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 495, no. 1, p. 012047, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/495/1/012047.

[9] A. Stenling, A. Ivarsson, U. Johnson, and M. Lindwall, “Bayesian structural equation modeling in sport and exercise psychology,” *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 410–420, 2015, doi: 10.1123/jsep.2014-0330.

[10] C. W. J. M. Radzi, H. S. Jenatabadi, A. R. A. Alanzi, M. I. Mokhtar, M. Z. Mamat, and N. A. Abdullah, “Analysis of obesity among malaysian university students: A combination study with the application of Bayesian structural equation modelling and pearson correlation,” *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16030492.

[11] H. Iwamoto and H. Suzuki, “An empirical study on the relationship of corporate financial performance and human capital concerning corporate social responsibility: Applying SEM and Bayesian SEM,” *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2019.1656443.

[12] H. Ghanbar and R. Rezvani, “Structural

Equation Modeling in L2 Research: A Systematic Review," *Int. J. Lang. Test.*, vol. 13, pp. 79–108, 2023, doi: 10.22034/IJLT.2023.381619.1224.

[13] S. Smid and D. Mcneish, "Bayesian Structural Equation Models with Small Samples: A Systematic Review," 2017.

[14] C. Ning, X. An, and L. Wu, "APPLICATIONS OF BAYESIAN STRUCTURAL EQUATION," vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2023.

[15] R. A. Lockhart, "Bayes factors with (overly) informative priors," pp. 1–12, 2019, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.02473

[16] A. Deslatte, W. L. Swann, and R. C. Feiock, "Performance, Satisfaction, or Loss Aversion? A Meso-Micro Assessment of Local Commitments to Sustainability Programs," *J. Public Adm. Res. Theory*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 201–217, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1093/jopart/muaa021.

[17] M. Fishbein, I. Ajzen, and A. Belief, "Intention and Behavior: An introduction to theory and research." Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1975.

[18] M. Fishbein and I. Ajzen, "Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research," *Contemp. Sociol.*, vol. 6, p. 244, 1977.

[19] G. M. Martin, D. T. Frazier, and C. P. Robert, "Computing Bayes: Bayesian Computation from 1763 to the 21st Century," pp. 1–47, 2020, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.06425

[20] S. Fei *et al.*, "Measurement Research Based on Bayesian Structural Equation Cognitive Model," *J. Appl. Math. Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 04, pp. 1163–1177, 2024, doi: 10.4236/jamp.2024.124072.

[21] L. Xin-Yuan, Song, Sik-Yum, *Basic and Advanced Bayesian Structural Equation Modeling*. 2012. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118358887

[22] T. C. T. Fong and R. T. H. Ho, "Factor analyses of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: A Bayesian structural equation modeling approach," *Qual. Life Res.*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 2857–2863, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s11136-013-0429-2.

[23] H. Finch, "A Comparison of Methods for Synthesizing Results from Previous Research to Obtain Priors for Bayesian Structural Equation Modeling," *Psych*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 45–88, 2024, doi: 10.3390/psych6010004.

[24] H. Ma, "Evaluation Of The Utility Of Informative Priors In Bayesian Structural Equation Modeling With Small Samples," pp. 1–114, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://scholar.smu.edu/simmons_depl_etds/4%0AThis

[25] B. Khoi, "Bayesian Model Algorithm for Selection and Classification of Product," 2023, doi: 10.4108/eai.12-11-2022.2327382.

[26] G. Franke and M. Sarstedt, "Heuristics versus statistics in discriminant validity testing: a comparison of four procedures," *Internet Res.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 430–447, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IntR-12-2017-0515.

[27] J. F. Hair, J. J. Risher, M. Sarstedt, and C. M. Ringle, "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM," *Eur. Bus. Rev.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 2–24, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203.

[28] T. Muthén, B., & Asparouhov, "Bayesian structural equation modeling: A more flexible representation of substantive theory," *Psychol. Methods*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 313–335., 2012, [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026802