# e-DNA Technology for Insect Pest Management

Dr. S.Swetha<sup>1</sup>, Dr.N.Manoj Kumar<sup>2</sup>, B. Rukmini Devi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, Government Degree College for Women, Siddipet, Affiliated to Osmania University, Telangana.

Abstract- Environmental DNA (e-DNA) technology is a groundbreaking tool in biodiversity assessment and pest management. It works by detecting genetic material that organisms shed into their surroundings—such as soil, water, or air—through skin, saliva, feces, or decomposing bodies. In agriculture, this technique has gained attention for its ability to identify insect pests without needing to physically capture them. e-DNA offers a non-invasive, rapid, and highly accurate method to detect pests at an early stage, which is critical for effective and timely intervention.

Unlike traditional monitoring methods, which are often time-consuming and labor-intensive, e-DNA allows for large-scale surveillance with minimal disturbance to the ecosystem. It is particularly valuable in identifying elusive, nocturnal, or low-density insect species that are difficult to observe through conventional means. The technology supports sustainable agriculture by reducing unnecessary pesticide use and promoting precision pest control.

This article reviews the scientific principles behind e-DNA, its practical applications in pest surveillance, and its role in enhancing ecological health. It also addresses the challenges associated with environmental DNA, such as degradation, false positives, and the need for standardized methods. Overall, e-DNA represents a promising advancement in the field of integrated pest management and agricultural sustainability.

Index Terms- e-DNA, molecular biology, genomics, and bioinformatics

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Insect pests are one of the most persistent and destructive challenges facing global agriculture today. They significantly affect food security, crop productivity, and economic sustainability. Each year, insect pests destroy an estimated 20–40% of global crop yields, leading to billions of dollars in losses.

Their impact is especially severe in developing countries, where agriculture forms the backbone of the economy and livelihoods of millions of smallholder farmers. In addition to direct crop damage, the presence of insect pests can lead to increased costs in pest control, reduced marketability of produce, and the spread of plant diseases, further compounding the problem [7,8].

To manage these threats, farmers and agricultural scientists have traditionally relied on a range of pest monitoring and control techniques. Common surveillance methods include visual inspection, sticky or light traps, pheromone traps, and manual collection. While these tools have served as the foundation of integrated pest management (IPM) for decades, they suffer from several critical limitations. First, they are highly labor-intensive, requiring trained personnel to conduct frequent field visits and carefully analyze the collected samples. Second, these techniques can be time-consuming and often provide delayed responses, making it difficult to catch pest outbreaks in their early stages. Most importantly, they frequently fail to detect cryptic, nocturnal, or low-density species, which can remain undetected until their populations reach damaging levels[4].

In recent years, the fields of molecular biology, genomics, and bioinformatics have introduced transformative tools for biological monitoring and detection. Among these, environmental DNA (e-DNA) technology has emerged as one of the most promising approaches to overcoming the limitations of traditional pest surveillance. Originally developed for use in aquatic environments to monitor biodiversity, e-DNA has now been successfully

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, Government Degree College for Women, Karimnagar, Affiliated to Satavahana University, Telangana.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, Government Degree College, Narsapur, Affiliated to Osmania University, Telangana.

adapted for terrestrial ecosystems, including agricultural fields[6].

#### II. WHAT IS e-DNA TECHNOLOGY?

Environmental DNA, or e-DNA, refers to genetic material shed by organisms into their environment. This DNA can come from skin cells, saliva, feces, eggs, body parts, or dead organisms, and it accumulates in the environment—particularly in media such as soil, water, air, and plant surfaces. By collecting samples from these media, scientists can extract and analyze the DNA fragments to determine the presence of particular species, including insect pests.

The analysis of e-DNA involves advanced molecular techniques such as **polymerase chain reaction** (PCR) and **high-throughput DNA sequencing**. These methods allow researchers to amplify specific DNA regions and compare them with reference databases to identify species with high accuracy. Unlike traditional methods that rely on visually identifying the pest, e-DNA enables detection **even in the absence of live specimens**, making it ideal for early warning systems[2].

One of the greatest strengths of e-DNA lies in its sensitivity and specificity. Even when pest populations are low or composed of elusive, nocturnal, or cryptic species, e-DNA can detect their presence from environmental samples such as soil, plant surfaces, or water. This capability empowers farmers, researchers, and agricultural agencies to take proactive measures, reducing dependency on broadspectrum pesticides that often harm non-target organisms and contribute to pesticide resistance. By enabling such early intervention, e-DNA supports more sustainable and ecologically balanced pest management systems.

# III. APPLICATIONS OF e-DNA IN INSECT PEST MANAGEMENT

Environmental DNA (e-DNA) has rapidly emerged as a valuable tool in modern agriculture, particularly in the surveillance and management of insect pests. By allowing scientists to detect the presence of insect species through the genetic material they leave in their environment, e-DNA enhances the precision and efficiency of pest management strategies [1]. The following are key applications of e-DNA in the context of insect pest control:

#### a. Early Detection of Invasive Species

One of the most critical applications of e-DNA is in the early detection of invasive insect pests. Invasive species, once established, are often difficult and costly to eradicate and can severely disrupt agricultural ecosystems. e-DNA provides a sensitive method to detect these pests before they cause widespread damage. For instance, the spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii*), a notorious pest in soft fruit crops, has been successfully identified in early stages using e-DNA analysis. Such early detection allows agricultural authorities and farmers to take prompt action to prevent the spread and establishment of these harmful species, thereby reducing crop losses and the need for intensive chemical treatments[8].

#### **b.Monitoring Pest Population Dynamics**

Understanding how pest populations fluctuate over time is vital for implementing effective pest management strategies. e-DNA sampling, when conducted periodically, can help track changes in pest populations within a specific area. These trends can inform decisions on when to apply control measures and help avoid unnecessary interventions. For example, if e-DNA levels indicate a rising trend of a particular pest species, farmers can initiate timely preventive measures. Conversely, if pest DNA levels decline after treatment, it may confirm the success of control efforts. This application is essential for sustainable pest management, as it promotes the judicious use of pesticides and other interventions [2].

#### c.Mapping Pest Distribution

e-DNA can also be utilized to create spatial distribution maps of insect pests across agricultural fields or broader regions. By collecting and analyzing environmental samples from different locations, researchers can identify pest hotspots and areas of infestation. These maps support precision agriculture by enabling targeted treatment, which minimizes input costs, environmental impact, and damage to non-target species. Mapping pest distribution also assists in regional pest surveillance programs and informs policy-level decisions related to quarantine, trade, and resource allocation.

#### d. Assessing Effectiveness of Control Measures

After implementing pest control measures—whether chemical, biological, or cultural—it is essential to assess their effectiveness. Traditional assessments

often rely on manual sampling, which may not accurately reflect changes in hidden or nocturnal populations. e-DNA provides a more sensitive alternative. By comparing DNA concentrations before and after treatment, farmers and researchers can objectively evaluate the impact of their interventions. A significant reduction in e-DNA levels indicates successful control, while persistence may suggest the need for alternative strategies.

### e.Detection of Cryptic and Nocturnal Pests

Many insect pests are difficult to detect using traditional methods due to their small size, nocturnal behavior, or hidden habitats. These cryptic species often evade early detection, resulting in delayed responses and greater damage. e-DNA circumvents this challenge by detecting DNA left behind in the environment, regardless of the pest's visibility or activity patterns. This feature is particularly useful in managing pests such as root-feeding larvae or stem borers, which are rarely observed but cause significant internal damage to plants[3].

# IV. ADVANTAGES OF e-DNA IN PEST DETECTION

Environmental DNA (e-DNA) technology is revolutionizing pest detection and monitoring in agriculture by offering a range of advantages over traditional methods. It introduces a more accurate, sensitive, and environmentally conscious approach to identifying insect pests, especially in complex and dynamic agricultural ecosystems. As the technology continues to evolve, it is likely to become an essential component of precision agriculture and climateresilient farming systems.

One of the foremost advantages of e-DNA is its non-invasive and highly sensitive nature. Traditional pest monitoring techniques often involve labor-intensive methods such as manual sampling, trapping, or visual surveys, which can be disruptive and may still miss elusive or low-density pests. In contrast, e-DNA allows for the detection of even trace amounts of genetic material shed by insects into the environment through excreta, saliva, body fragments, or secretions. These traces can be collected from soil, plant surfaces, or water and analyzed using molecular techniques. This high sensitivity enables the detection of pest species at very early stages, even before any visible damage to crops occurs. As a result, farmers and agricultural managers can initiate timely

interventions, which significantly improves the chances of effective pest control while reducing reliance on broad-spectrum chemical pesticides.

Another significant benefit of e-DNA is its ability to simplify the identification process, especially when dealing with species that are morphologically similar or cryptic. Traditional pest identification often requires the expertise of trained entomologists who can distinguish between look-alike species based on microscopic features. This process can be slow, subjective, and prone to human error. e-DNA eliminates this dependency by using genetic barcoding and molecular markers for precise identification. Once DNA is extracted from an environmental sample, it can be compared against existing reference databases to confirm species identity with high accuracy. This not only increases the reliability of pest detection but also makes the process more scalable and accessible to a wider range of users, including farmers, researchers, extension workers.

A further advantage lies in the technology's ability to detect multiple species simultaneously from a single sample, a technique known as metabarcoding. In agricultural ecosystems, multiple insect speciesincluding pests, pollinators, and natural predators often coexist and interact in complex ways. Metabarcoding allows for a comprehensive understanding of the entire insect community within a field or region. This holistic insight is particularly valuable for designing integrated pest management (IPM) strategies that account for both pest suppression and the conservation of beneficial organisms. By capturing the diversity and abundance of different species, e-DNA supports data-driven decision-making that promotes ecological balance and long-term sustainability[5].

In addition to these functional benefits, e-DNA also contributes to **cost-effectiveness** and **time efficiency** in the long run. Though the initial setup may require investment in molecular tools and training, the ability to automate and standardize the process can lead to reduced operational costs over time.

### V. LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES OF e-DNA IN PEST DETECTION

While environmental DNA (e-DNA) technology offers immense potential in revolutionizing pest surveillance and management, it also comes with a

set of limitations and challenges that must be addressed to ensure its effective application in agricultural systems. These limitations pertain to both the technical aspects of DNA stability, detection accuracy, technical accessibility and the practical implementation of e-DNA-based monitoring in diverse field conditions.

One of the primary challenges is **DNA degradation**. Environmental DNA is inherently unstable and subject to degradation by environmental factors such as ultraviolet (UV) radiation, high temperatures, microbial activity, and varying pH levels. These factors can break down DNA molecules in soil, water, or air, reducing their detectability over time. As a result, the quality and quantity of retrievable DNA can vary significantly depending on the environmental conditions, which may affect the accuracy and reliability of species detection.

This leads to a **short detection window** for certain pest species. In some cases, the DNA of an organism may degrade so rapidly that it becomes undetectable shortly after the organism has left the area. This temporal limitation can complicate efforts to determine whether a pest is currently present or if the detected DNA is merely a remnant from an earlier time. Such ambiguity can hinder timely decision-making in pest management.

Another significant concern is the potential for **false positives and false negatives**. False positives may occur when DNA from a species is detected even though the organism is no longer present, often due to residual DNA in the environment. Conversely, false negatives can arise when DNA is too degraded or present in quantities too low to be detected, leading to the incorrect assumption that a pest is absent. Additionally, cross-contamination during sample collection, transportation, or laboratory processing can further distort results, making it crucial to follow strict contamination control procedures.

The **technical expertise required** for e-DNA analysis also presents a barrier to widespread adoption. Unlike traditional pest detection methods that may rely on visual identification or mechanical trapping, e-DNA requires sophisticated laboratory infrastructure, such as PCR machines and DNA sequencing equipment. It also demands skilled personnel trained in molecular biology techniques and bioinformatics for data analysis. For smallholder

farmers or regions with limited resources, accessing such expertise and equipment may not be feasible. Furthermore, the lack of **standardized protocols** for

Furthermore, the lack of **standardized protocols** for e-DNA sampling, DNA extraction, amplification, and data interpretation poses another challenge. Currently, there is no universal methodology applicable across different insect species, habitats, and environmental conditions. Variations in sampling procedures and laboratory methods can lead to inconsistent results, making it difficult to compare findings across studies or regions. The development of standardized, validated protocols is essential to ensure the reliability and reproducibility of e-DNA-based pest monitoring[10].

## VI. FUTURE PROSPECTS OF e-DNA TECHNOLOGY IN INSECT PEST MANAGEMENT

Environmental DNA (e-DNA) technology is rapidly transforming from a research innovation into a practical tool for agricultural pest surveillance. As it continues to evolve, several promising advancements are on the horizon that could enhance its accessibility, efficiency, and impact. The integration of emerging technologies and supportive policy frameworks is expected to strengthen the role of e-DNA in promoting sustainable and intelligent pest management practices.

One of the most exciting future prospects is the integration of e-DNA technology with Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT). By combining molecular diagnostics with smart sensors and AI-powered analytics, it is possible to develop automated systems that can detect and report pest presence in real time. For instance, smart traps equipped with environmental sensors and e-DNA sampling units could continuously monitor fields, collect environmental samples, and instantly analyze them for pest DNA. The data could then be transmitted to a cloud-based platform where AI algorithms interpret the results and alert farmers or pest control teams through mobile apps or dashboards. Such systems would allow for rapid and data-driven responses to emerging pest threats, reducing crop damage and minimizing unnecessary pesticide use[11,12].

Another area of development is the creation of **portable e-DNA detection kits** for field use. Currently, most e-DNA analysis is performed in

laboratories using advanced equipment and trained technicians. However, portable, user-friendly devices are under development that aim to simplify this process and make e-DNA analysis accessible directly on farms. These handheld kits, often based on isothermal amplification technologies like Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP), would allow farmers and extension workers to conduct onsite DNA testing, detect target pests within minutes, and make informed decisions without waiting for innovations laboratory results. Such significantly enhance the speed and flexibility of pest monitoring, especially in remote or resource-limited areas.

In addition to technological advancements, the institutionalization of e-DNA monitoring through policy and regulatory support is vital for its largescale adoption. Governments and agricultural agencies can play a crucial role by incorporating e-DNA surveillance into national pest monitoring and biosecurity programs. This would enable early detection of invasive pests at entry points like ports, borders, and nurseries, thereby preventing outbreaks and protecting local biodiversity. Establishing official guidelines, funding for infrastructure, and training programs would also facilitate capacity-building among farmers, scientists, and extension workers[9]. Furthermore, e-DNA data can support the development of comprehensive pest forecasting systems by being integrated into national and regional pest databases. These systems could contribute to predictive modeling of pest outbreaks under changing climatic conditions, enabling proactive management and reducing agricultural losses[13].

The future of e-DNA technology in insect pest management is highly promising. Through the integration of AI and IoT, the development of portable detection tools, and the establishment of supportive policies and regulatory frameworks, e-DNA is poised to become a cornerstone of smart and sustainable agriculture. As these innovations mature, they will empower stakeholders across the agricultural sector to combat pest threats more effectively and sustainably than ever before.

#### VII. CONCLUSION

Environmental DNA (e-DNA) technology is emerging as a transformative innovation in the field

of insect pest management, offering a groundbreaking shift from conventional surveillance and control methods. Unlike traditional techniques that often rely on labor-intensive field observations, trapping, or physical identification, e-DNA offers a non-invasive, rapid, and highly accurate approach to detecting insect pests through the genetic material they leave in the environment. This molecular-level insight is proving especially valuable in the early detection of pest species, allowing for timely and targeted interventions before infestations reach damaging levels.

e-DNA represents a paradigm shift in pest detection and management, aligning with the broader goals of sustainable, smart, environmentally friendly agriculture. As it becomes more accessible and technologically refined, e-DNA will undoubtedly play an increasingly central role in systems—enabling agricultural future decision-making, reduced pesticide reliance, and improved ecosystem health. The convergence of biology, technology, and policy in this field holds great promise for addressing the global challenge of insect pest control in a changing world.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Bateman,P.W.,et al. (2021).The application of environmental DNA (eDNA) in terrestrial ecosystems.Science of The Total Environment, 763, 143142.
- [2]. Bohmann, K., et al. (2014). Environmental DNA for wildlife biology and biodiversity monitoring. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 29(6), 358–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.04.003
- [3]. Boyer, F., Valentini, A., Arnal, V., et al. (2020). Reliable detection of insect pests in agricultural soils using e-DNA. Agricultural Systems, 180, 102751.
- [4]. Clare, E. L., et al. (2022).Measuring biodiversity from DNA in the air. Current Biology, 32(3), 693–700.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.12.014
- [5]. Deiner, K., Bik, H. M., Mächler, E., et al. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding: Transforming how we survey animal and plant communities. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(21), 5872–5895.

- [6]. FAO(2020).Integrated Pest Management: Achievements and Challenges. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://www.fao.org/in-action/integrated-pest-management/en/
- [7]. Leese,F.,etal.(2021).Environmental DNA as a tool for pest surveillance in agriculture. Biological Invasions, 23, 3135–3152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-021-02545
- [8]. Oerke, E.-C. (2006). Croplossestopests. Journal of Agricultural Science, 144(1), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859605005708
- [9]. Pocock, M. J. O., et al. (2014).Environmental DNA for biodiversity research and monitoring.Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 5(7), 704–713. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12210
- [10]. Savary, S., et al. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3, 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0793-y
- [11]. Taberlet, P., Bonin, A., Zinger, L., &Coissac, E. (2018). Environmental DNA: For Biodiversity Research and Monitoring. Oxford University Press.
- [12]. Thomsen, P. F., &Willerslev, E. (2015). Environmental DNA – An emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. Biological Conservation, 183, 4– 18.
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.019
- [13]. Valentini, A., et al. (2016).Next-generation monitoring of aquatic biodiversity using environmental DNA metabarcoding.Molecular Ecology, 25(4), 929–942. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13428