

Role of Leadership in Shaping and Sustaining a Positive Organizational Culture: A Study of Coal Mines of Dhanbad Region

Pankaj Kumar Das¹, Prof. Atul Pandey²

¹*Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration, Awadhesh Pratap Singh University, Rewa (M.P.)*

²*Professor and Head, Department of Business Administration, Awadhesh Pratap Singh University, Rewa (M.P.)*

Abstract- This study explores the role of leadership in developing and maintaining a positive organizational culture in the coal mining industry of the Dhanbad region, often called the "Coal Capital of India." Given the high-risk environment, hierarchical structures, and labor-intensive nature of coal mining, leadership plays a critical role in promoting safety, morale, discipline, and ethical work behavior. The research utilizes both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews from employees and supervisors across public and private sector mines. Findings reveal that participative and transformational leadership styles significantly impact communication, safety culture, employee satisfaction, and performance. Recommendations are offered to strengthen leadership practices in this high-risk, high-responsibility sector.

1. INTRODUCTION

Organizational culture refers to shared values, beliefs, and practices that shape how people behave within an organization. In high-risk sectors like coal mining, culture deeply influences worker safety, efficiency, and commitment. Leadership, particularly in hazardous industries, acts as a key driver in shaping, communicating, and reinforcing this culture.

The Dhanbad region is home to major coal mining operations, including subsidiaries of Coal India Ltd. (like Bharat Coking Coal Ltd.—BCCL) and private contractors. These organizations often operate in dynamic, hazardous, and politically sensitive environments. Hence, leadership in this context is not just about productivity, but also about human safety, compliance, and moral responsibility. This study focuses on understanding how different leadership

approaches affect the organizational culture in these coal mines.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Organizational Culture in High-Risk Industries

2.1.1 Organizational culture in high-risk industries such as coal mining plays a vital role in shaping employee behavior, operational discipline, and safety standards. According to Reason (1997), a strong culture of safety and responsibility can significantly reduce human error and accidents.

2.1.2 Schein (2010) notes that in such sectors, culture must be built deliberately through leadership modeling, rituals, and consistent communication. Culture not only reflects shared beliefs but also defines acceptable behavior in hazardous environments.

2.1.3 In mining operations, culture manifests in forms such as risk tolerance, adherence to procedures, reporting norms, and collective responses to emergencies (Hudson, 2001). A culture that supports transparency, team orientation, and safety consciousness becomes a critical asset in improving performance and reducing workplace fatalities.

2.2. Leadership as a Cultural Architect

2.2.1 Leadership is widely recognized as the central force in shaping, embedding, and sustaining organizational culture. Leaders define what is valued, tolerated, or discouraged within an organization. Bass and Avolio (1994) argue that transformational leaders, in particular, help build trust, clarity, and long-term motivation among employees, which contribute to a

cohesive culture. In mining sectors, where top-down communication is common, leaders play a crucial role in establishing a safety-first mindset.

2.2.2 Yukl (2013) emphasizes that effective leadership in operational environments must go beyond issuing orders. It involves creating an emotional connection with workers, being physically present at sites, and demonstrating ethical conduct. These elements collectively reinforce cultural values on the ground.

2.3. Transformational and Transactional Leadership in Mining

2.3.1 In the context of the Indian mining sector, studies (Ranjan & Singh, 2017) show that transactional leadership, which focuses on command-and-control, compliance, and punishment-reward mechanisms, still dominates in many public sector units. While this style ensures discipline and task clarity, it often fails to inspire innovation, emotional commitment, and open communication. On the other hand, transformational leadership characterized by vision, inspiration, and individualized attention has shown significant promise in creating resilient and safety-conscious cultures.

2.3.2 A study by Dey & Chaudhuri (2020) on Coal India Ltd. demonstrated that transformational leaders led to higher employee satisfaction, stronger team collaboration, and reduced conflict on worksites.

2.4. Participative and Servant Leadership in Labor-Intensive Contexts

2.4.1 In industries like coal mining, where workers often feel alienated from management, participative leadership has proven effective in building trust. This style encourages bottom-up communication, involving workers in decision-making related to operations, safety, and work shifts. According to Sharma & Rao (2019), participative leadership improves morale and lowers resistance to change, especially in unionized environments.

2.4.2 Additionally, servant leadership, which prioritizes employee well-being, empowerment, and ethical conduct, can be transformative in blue-collar environments. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, humility, and a service mindset tend to build cultures marked by loyalty, psychological safety, and mutual respect (Greenleaf, 1977; Sendjaya, 2002).

2.4.3 Ghosh & Sinha (2021) argue that without leadership reform, these structural problems continue to weaken cultural cohesion. Leadership that lacks

transparency or prioritizes output over people risks promoting fear-based or compliance-driven cultures, which can lead to safety violations and low morale.

2.5. Role of Leadership in Safety Culture

2.5.1 Safety culture is a subset of organizational culture that is particularly relevant in coal mining. Leadership's commitment to safety influences whether safety procedures are followed or bypassed. Research by Zohar (2002) indicates that employees' perceptions of safety climate improve when supervisors consistently reinforce safety protocols and lead by example.

2.5.2 In the Indian context, Mishra et al. (2018) found that mines with visible, safety-oriented leaders experienced fewer safety violations and greater worker participation in hazard reporting. In contrast, sites with disengaged or authoritarian leadership faced higher resistance to safety measures.

2.6. Leadership during Crisis and Transition

2.6.1 Coal mines frequently deal with crises such as accidents, legal issues, environmental hazards, or strikes. Kotter (1996) and Boin et al. (2005) highlight the importance of agile leadership in such contexts. Leaders who communicate transparently, consult teams, and maintain emotional composure during crises can uphold the organization's core cultural values.

2.6.2 Case studies from the Dhanbad region (Verma, 2022) show that some mines recovered faster from accidents or legal shutdowns due to responsive leadership that ensured worker welfare and clear communication throughout the incident.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

3.1 To assess the dominant leadership styles in coal mines of the Dhanbad region.

3.2 To examine the impact of leadership on safety culture, communication, and team behavior.

3.3 To identify leadership practices that contribute to employee motivation and retention.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1. Research Design

Mixed-method approach combining both quantitative (survey) and qualitative (interview) methods.

Descriptive and exploratory in nature.

4.2. Population and Sampling

Target Population: Employees of public and private coal mines in the Dhanbad region.

Sample Size: 100 respondents.

Sampling Technique: Stratified random sampling across various job roles—workers, engineers, managers, and safety officers.

4.3. Data Collection Methods

Primary Data: primary data is collected through Structured questionnaire (Likert-scale based).

Secondary Data: Company reports (BCCL, Coal India Ltd.), safety manuals, government publications, and academic literature.

4.4. Tools of Data Collection

4.5 Data Collection Tools: Structured questionnaire using Likert scale

4.5. Data Analysis Technique

Simple percentage analysis and graphical representation

Qualitative Data: Analyzed through thematic analysis to identify common patterns and leadership themes.

4.6. Reliability and Validity

Pilot Test: Conducted with 10 respondents to refine the questionnaire.

4.7. Ethical Considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained.

Participation was voluntary, and respondents could withdraw at any time.

5. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Section A: Demographic Information

5.1 Name (Optional): _____

5.2 Gender

Gender:	respondent	percentage
Male	79	79
Female	21	21
Total	100	100

Interpretation:

The study reveals that The sample is male-dominated, with 79% male and 21% female respondents.

5.3 Age Group:

Basis	responses	percentage
Below 25	15	15
26–35	36	36
36–45	31	31
46–55	11	11
Above 55	7	7
Total	100	100

Interpretation:

The study shows that The majority of respondents fall within the 26–35 years (36%) and 36–45 years (31%) age groups.

5.4 Designation:

basis	responses	percentage
Worker	39	39
Supervisor	19	19
Engineer	15	15
Manager	11	11
Safety Officer	16	16
Total	100	100

Interpretation:

The study reveals that A large proportion are workers (39%), followed by supervisors (19%), safety officers (16%), engineers (15%), and managers (11%).

5.5Type of Organization:

org.	responses	percentage
Public Sector (BCCL)	38	38
Private Contractor	62	62
Total	100	100

Interpretation:

The study shows that 62% of respondents are employed by private contractors, while 38% work in the public sector (BCCL).

5.6 Years of Experience in Mining:

Basis	responses	percentage
Less than 1 year	18	18
1–5 years	27	27
6–10 years	40	40
More than 10 years	15	15
Total	100	100

Interpretation:

The study shows that The highest percentage of respondents (40%) have 6–10 years of experience, followed by 27% with 1–5 years, 18% with less than 1 year, and only 15% with more than 10 years.

Section B:
Leadership Style Perception (Likert Scale: 1–Strongly Disagree to 5–Strongly Agree)

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	Total	%
1. My supervisor communicates clearly about work expectations.	31	26	16	15	12	100	100
2. Leadership actively promotes safety as a top priority.	20	20	18	22	30	100	100
3. Supervisors encourage workers to give feedback or raise concerns.	40	21	10	14	15	100	100
4. Leaders are approachable and treat all workers fairly.	31	25	7	16	21	100	100
5. I trust my supervisor to make decisions in the interest of the team.	33	25	8	16	18	100	100
6. Leadership regularly communicates company values and code of conduct.	20	20	12	25	23	100	100
7. Leaders take initiative during crisis situations or safety incidents.	21	18	8	26	27	100	100
8. Workers are recognized and appreciated for their performance.	14	14	12	29	31	100	100
9. The leadership style here supports teamwork and collaboration.	14	15	8	27	36	100	100
10. I feel motivated to perform better under the current leadership.	30	26	10	20	14	100	100

Interpretation: The data indicates that the current leadership style is largely perceived as ineffective by employees. Key concerns include: Lack of clear communication, trust, and fairness from supervisors. Low employee motivation and limited encouragement to share feedback or concerns.

Strengths include effective crisis management, teamwork support, and employee recognition.

Overall, leadership is seen as authoritative and disconnected, requiring improvement in communication, inclusivity, and employee engagement to better align with organizational values.

Section C: Organizational Culture & Safety

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	total	%
11. Safety procedures are strictly followed in my work area.	15	15	10	25	35	100	100
12. Workers are encouraged to report hazards or unsafe conditions.	14	16	8	33	29	100	100
13. There is a sense of mutual respect among team members.	22	15	7	30	26	100	100
14. The work culture supports discipline and punctuality.	14	13	7	41	25	100	100

Interpretation:

The overall data suggests that the Leadership Style is perceived as weak, with poor communication, low trust, and limited motivation. Leaders are not seen as approachable or inclusive, though they perform well in crisis situations and supporting teamwork.

Organizational Culture & Safety is relatively strong, with high adherence to safety procedures, encouragement to report hazards, and support for discipline and punctuality. However, mutual respect among team members needs improvement. While the organization maintains a disciplined and safety-focused culture, the leadership style lacks the qualities needed to fully support and sustain this environment. Improvements in communication, fairness, and employee engagement are essential.

6. FINDINGS

1. The sample is male-dominated, with 79% male and 21% female respondents. This reflects the traditional gender imbalance in the mining sector, where male participation is significantly higher due to the physically demanding nature of the work.

2. The majority of respondents fall within the 26–35 years (36%) and 36–45 years (31%) age groups. This indicates a relatively young and mid-career workforce, with only 18% above 45 years and 15% below 25, highlighting a concentration of employees in their most productive working years.

3. A large proportion are workers (39%), followed by supervisors (19%), safety officers (16%), engineers (15%), and managers (11%). The data shows a good

representation across different job roles, providing balanced insights from operational, technical, and managerial perspectives.

4. 62% of respondents are employed by private contractors, while 38% work in the public sector (BCCL). This suggests the growing role of private players in the mining industry, potentially influencing organizational culture and leadership styles.

5. The highest percentage of respondents (40%) have 6–10 years of experience, followed by 27% with 1–5 years, 18% with less than 1 year, and only 15% with more than 10 years. This distribution shows that the workforce largely consists of individuals with moderate experience, offering a mix of both fresh perspectives and practical knowledge.

Section - B

6. Majority (57%) of respondents selected 1 or 2, indicating dissatisfaction with communication. Only 27% agreed (4 or 5), suggesting a significant gap in communication clarity from supervisors. Communication about work expectations appears to be a concern. A large portion of employees feel that expectations are not being clearly conveyed by supervisors.

7. 52% (4 and 5 combined) agree that safety is emphasized. A total of 40% (1 and 2) disagree, while 18% are neutral. The perception of safety promotion is somewhat divided, but there is a slight lean toward a positive view. This suggests moderate confidence in leadership's safety focus.

8. 56% respondents (1 and 2) disagree Just 37% agree with the statement. Perceived approachability and fairness of leaders is low. This may contribute to a hierarchical or biased work culture.

9. 48% (4 and 5) agree, while 40% (1 and 2) disagree. 12% remain neutral. Communication of values and conduct is relatively balanced but still needs improvement for stronger cultural alignment.

10. 53% responded positively. Only 39% selected 1 or 2. Leaders are seen to be more proactive in critical situations, which is a positive perception. This may reflect strong emergency response or risk management protocols.

11. 60% of respondent's agree. Only 28% disagreed, while 12% were neutral. Appreciation and recognition are viewed positively by a majority, suggesting that reward mechanisms are relatively effective.

12. 56% disagreed, while only 34% felt motivated. 10% were neutral. Motivation levels are low under current leadership, possibly due to dissatisfaction with communication, fairness, and trust.

Sec- C

13. 60% (ratings 4 and 5) agree that safety procedures are followed. Only 30% (ratings 1 and 2) disagree. A majority of employees perceive safety procedures as being strictly followed, indicating a generally safety-conscious work environment.

14. 62% (ratings 4 and 5) feel encouraged to report safety issues. 30% disagree (ratings 1 and 2). Most workers feel empowered to report hazards, suggesting an open and proactive safety culture. However, a notable minority still feels hesitant or unsupported.

15. 56% (ratings 4 and 5) agree that mutual respect exists. 37% disagree (ratings 1 and 2). While more than half of respondents acknowledge mutual respect among team members, a significant portion does not, which may impact collaboration and morale.

16. 66% (ratings 4 and 5) agree. Only 27% disagree. A strong majority of respondents believe discipline and punctuality are upheld, reflecting a structured and time-conscious organizational culture.

7. CONCLUSION

The organization has a strong foundation in safety and discipline, reflecting a positive and responsible culture. However, leadership practices need substantial improvement in communication clarity, inclusivity, fairness, and motivation to fully align with the cultural values. Bridging this gap is essential to sustain employee morale, trust, and long-term organizational effectiveness. Leadership development programs, 360-degree feedback systems, and team-building initiatives could help strengthen the alignment between leadership and organizational culture.

The prevailing leadership style appears to be authoritative and top-down, lacking transparency, inclusiveness, and employee empowerment. While leaders perform well in crisis management and team support, they fall short in building trust, motivation, and open communication. To improve, leadership must adopt a transformational or participative style, focusing on: Clear and consistent communication, Fair

and inclusive decision-making, Empowerment through recognition and feedback, Building trust and motivation at all levels. This shift is essential for enhancing employee satisfaction, productivity, and alignment with the organization's safety-driven and disciplined culture.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving Organizational Effectiveness through Transformational Leadership*. Sage Publications.
- [2] Boin, A., Hart, P., Stern, E., & Sundelius, B. (2005). *The Politics of Crisis Management: Public Leadership under Pressure*. Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Dey, A., & Chaudhuri, R. (2020). Leadership and Workforce Motivation in Indian Mining Sector. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 56(2), 103–121.
- [4] Ghosh, M., & Sinha, A. (2021). Leadership and Culture: Challenges in Indian Mining Operations. *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, 18(3), 55–70.
- [5] Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant Leadership: A Journey into the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness*. Paulist Press.
- [6] Hudson, P. (2001). Safety Culture: The Key to a Safer Workplace. *Professional Safety*, 46(4), 29–31.
- [7] Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business School Press.
- [8] Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the Risks of Organizational Accidents*. Ashgate Publishing.
- [9] Ranjan, R., & Singh, R. (2017). Leadership Practices in Indian PSU Mining Sectors. *International Journal of Mining & Management*, 9(1), 45–52.
- [10] Sharma, K., & Rao, N. (2019). Participative Leadership and Labor Engagement in Indian Industries. *South Asian Journal of Human Resource Management*, 6(1), 78–94.
- [11] Verma, S. (2022). Crisis Leadership in Indian Mining Sector: Lessons from Dhanbad. *Mining & Safety Review*, 11(2), 22–30.
- [12] Zohar, D. (2002). Modifying Supervisory Practices to Improve Subunit Safety: A Leadership-Based Intervention Model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 156–163.