Scientific Augmentation of Acute Appendicitis its Clinical Insights, Diagnostic Strategies, and Treatment Modalities

Rameez Raja Mallah[1], Mohammed Shakeel Ansari[2]
[1]*Pg Scholar Dept of Ilmul Jarahat NIUM Banglore*
[2] *Associate professor Dept of ilmul jarahat NIUM Banglore*

***Abstract*—Acute appendicitis is the most common cause of acute abdomen and a leading indication for emergency surgery worldwide, particularly affecting adolescents and young adults. It typically results from obstruction of the appendiceal lumen by fecaliths, lymphoid hyperplasia, or rarely parasites and tumors, initiating a cascade of mucosal inflammation, bacterial overgrowth, ischemia, and potential perforation. While the classic presentation involves periumbilical pain shifting to the right lower quadrant (McBurney's point), only 50–60% of pediatric cases follow this pattern. Diagnosis combines clinical scoring systems such as the Alvarado score, laboratory markers (e.g., leukocytosis, CRP), and imaging modalities—ultrasound, CT, or MRI—depending on the clinical scenario. Management is either surgical or non-operative; laparoscopic appendectomy remains the gold standard, but non-operative antibiotic therapy has gained traction in select uncomplicated cases. However, surgical management provides a more definitive resolution, especially when complications like perforation or abscess are suspected. Appendicitis-related complications include wound infection, abscess, peritonitis, ileus, and adhesions. Despite advances, diagnostic challenges and variations in presentation, particularly in young children and the elderly, continue to necessitate careful clinical assessment.**

***Index Terms*—Acute appendicitis, Alvarado score, appendectomy, pediatric surgery, abdominal pain, diagnosis, non-operative management, perforation, laparoscopic surgery, complications.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Appendicitis refers to the inflammation of the vermiform appendix and is recognized as the leading cause of acute abdomen and the most frequent reason for emergency surgery worldwide.[1] It is among the most prevalent causes of sudden abdominal pain, with a lifetime occurrence estimated at 8.6% in men and 6.7% in women.[2] The appendix, often regarded as a vestigial structure, holds clinical significance primarily due to its tendency to become inflamed, leading to the condition known as acute appendicitis. This condition is particularly common in older children and young adults, typically presenting with a sudden onset of symptoms that prompt urgent medical attention.[3] Acute appendicitis (AA) is the most frequent surgical emergency in children, however, the classic presentation of symptoms is seen in only about 50–60% of cases. Studies have shown that the rate of appendiceal complications varies by age group: among the general paediatric population, it ranges from 17–33%, while in children aged 10 to 17 years, it's around 10–20%, and in those under 4 years old, the complication rate can reach as high as 80–100%. These complications significantly raise the mortality rate from 0.002% to as much as 3%, and contribute to morbidity, with unnecessary appendectomies occurring in 15–40% of cases. A negative appendectomy rate of 10–20% is generally deemed acceptable, as it reflects a cautious surgical approach to ambiguous cases in an effort to minimize serious complications. Still, appendectomy involves general anaesthesia and carries risks—even when the surgery turns out to be unnecessary—with a complication rate of 3–15%, and about 2% of cases requiring additional surgery. Although antibiotic treatment has been shown to be effective for uncomplicated appendicitis in adults, its use in children remains a subject of ongoing debate.[4] The incidence of appendicitis varies by region, age, sex, and socioeconomic status. It is more common in adolescents and young adults, with a peak occurrence between the ages of 10 and 30 years. Males are generally affected more frequently than females. Developed countries tend to report higher rates, possibly due to dietary habits and better diagnostic capabilities, while developing nations may see lower

reported cases, potentially due to underdiagnosis or limited access to healthcare.[5]

Anatomy

In adults, the appendix typically measures between 6 and 9 cm in length, although its size can range from less than 1 cm to over 30 cm. Its outer diameter usually falls between 3 and 8 mm, while the inner (luminal) diameter ranges from 1 to 3 mm. The blood supply to the appendix comes from the appendicular artery, a branch of the ileocolic artery, which arises from the superior mesenteric artery (SMA).which originates behind the terminal ileum and travels through the mesoappendix near the base of the appendix. Lymph from the appendix drains into lymph nodes located along the ileocolic artery. The nerve supply includes sympathetic fibers from the superior mesenteric plexus (T10–L1) and parasympathetic fibers carried by the vagus nerve.

The location of the appendix can vary greatly—more so than any other organ—and if it is particularly long, it can extend into almost any area of the abdomen.

The most common positions include:

- Retrocecal (65%) – Positioned behind the cecum (most frequent location).
- Pelvic (30%) – Extending downward over the pelvic brim.
- Subcecal – Situated directly beneath the cecum.
- Pre-ileal – Located in front of the terminal ileum.
- Post-ileal – Found behind the terminal ileum.
- Paracecal – Positioned to the side of the cecum and the ascending colon.[6,7]

Physiology

Recent study indicates that the vermiform appendix may have a role in immunological function and gut health maintenance, especially in early life, despite being historically thought to as a vestigial organ with no meaningful function. The Immune System There is a lot of lymphoid tissue in the appendix, which is particularly noticeable in children and adolescents. This tissue aids in the development of the immune system and contributes to the synthesis of immunoglobulin A (IgA) by: contributing to B lymphocyte development. aiding in the production of GALT, or gut-associated lymphoid tissue. contributing to pathogen protection and mucosal immunity.[8]

Reservoir of Microbiome It is thought that the appendix acts as a store for commensal gut bacteria, which aids in replenishing the colon with a healthy microbiota after antibiotic use or diarrheal disorders. This role might be essential for preserving the balance of gut microbes and avoiding recurring illnesses such as Clostridium difficile colitis.

Epidemiology

The lifetime risk of developing acute appendicitis is about 7%. It is the leading cause of acute abdominal pain that requires surgery in children and is responsible for 1-8% of pediatric emergency visits due to sudden abdominal pain.[9] Appendicitis is a frequent surgical emergency worldwide, with an annual incidence of 100 to 200 cases per 100,000 people, most commonly affecting adolescents and young adults between 10 and 30 years old (Ferris et al., 2017). It occurs slightly more often in males, who have a lifetime risk of about 8.6%, compared to 6.7% in females (Addiss et al., 1990). The incidence varies by region and diet, with higher rates seen in industrialized countries, possibly due to diets low in fiber. Although some developed countries have experienced a decline in overall cases, the occurrence of perforated appendicitis remains relatively high, especially among children under 5 and elderly individuals, often because of delayed diagnosis. Additionally, appendicitis cases tend to increase somewhat during the summer months, which may be related to enteric infections (Omari et al., 2014). [10,11]

Etiopathogenesis

The exact cause and development process of appendicitis are not fully understood. However, blockage of the appendiceal lumen is widely considered the primary trigger in acute cases. This obstruction can be caused by fecaliths, parasites like *Enterobius vermicularis*, tumors, or enlargement of lymphoid tissue. The likelihood of obstruction increases as the inflammation becomes more severe. Fecaliths and other blockages are found in about 40% of uncomplicated cases, 65% of gangrenous appendicitis without rupture, and nearly 90% of gangrenous cases with rupture.

Traditionally, it is believed that appendicitis follows a predictable series of events leading to rupture. Initially, blockage at the proximal end of the appendix results in a closed-loop obstruction. The lining of the appendix

continues to secrete mucus, causing the appendix to swell. This distension activates visceral stretch receptors, leading to dull, generalized pain around the mid-abdomen or lower epigastric region. As swelling progresses due to continuous secretion and rapid growth of bacteria inside the appendix, the pain intensifies. Nausea and vomiting are common at this stage. When pressure within the appendix rises above venous pressure, blood flow is compromised—veins and capillaries are compressed, but arteries remain open, causing congestion and swelling.

Inflammation then spreads to the outer layer (serosa) of the appendix and the parietal peritoneum, which results in the classic shift of pain to the lower right abdomen. Because the appendix's mucosa is especially vulnerable to reduced blood flow, it breaks down early, allowing bacteria to invade. The antimesenteric border, which has the poorest blood supply, is most affected—small areas of tissue death (infarcts) form here. As the cycle of swelling, bacterial invasion, reduced blood flow, and tissue death continues, perforation usually occurs at this weak point beyond the obstruction. However, this progression does not happen in all cases. Some episodes of acute appendicitis may resolve on their own without leading to rupture.[12]

Obstruction of Appendiceal Lumen
↓
(May be caused by fecaliths, lymphoid hyperplasia, parasites, tumors)
↓
Mucosal Secretion Continues → Luminal Distension
↓
Increased Intraluminal Pressure
↓
Venous Outflow Obstruction
↓
Vascular Congestion and Ischemia
↓
Bacterial Overgrowth and Invasion
↓
Inflammation of Appendix Wall
↓
Serosal Involvement → Localized Peritonitis
↓
Parietal Peritoneum Irritation → Pain Localizes to Right Lower Quadrant
↓
Mucosal Necrosis and Ulceration
↓
Appendiceal Wall Infarction (esp. antimesenteric border)
↓
Perforation → Peritonitis or Abscess Formation [13]

Clinical manifestations[14,15,16]

Appendicitis commonly develops as a gradually intensifying inflammatory condition, characterized by a recognizable sequence of symptoms, though the presentation can vary depending on the patient's age, sex, and the extent of the disease.

1. Pain

- Early Stage Pain: The discomfort usually starts as a dull, poorly defined ache around the navel or in the upper abdomen, caused by irritation of visceral nerve fibers during the initial phase of appendiceal inflammation.
- Localized Pain: Within 12 to 24 hours, the pain typically shifts to the right lower quadrant of the abdomen, near McBurney's point, as the inflammation affects the parietal peritoneum—this change is considered a classic feature of appendicitis.
- Atypical Pain Patterns: If the appendix is positioned behind the cecum (retrocecal), the pain may be felt more in the lower back or flank, whereas a pelvic location of the appendix may lead to discomfort in the suprapubic region.

2. Gastrointestinal Symptoms

- Loss of Appetite: Commonly occurs and can appear before the onset of abdominal pain.
- Nausea and Vomiting: Typically develop after the pain begins, which helps differentiate appendicitis from conditions like gastroenteritis, where vomiting usually comes first.
- Bowel Changes: Either constipation or diarrhea may be present, especially in cases of pelvic appendicitis, where inflammation can irritate the rectum.

3. Fever and Systemic Indicators

- Mild Fever: A low-grade temperature (between 37.5°C and 38.5°C) is frequently seen in uncomplicated cases of appendicitis.
- Elevated Temperature: A higher fever (above 38.5°C) or the presence of chills may suggest

complications such as a perforated appendix or the development of an abscess.

- Increased Heart Rate: Tachycardia is commonly associated with fever or a broader systemic inflammatory response.

4. Physical Examination Findings

- Tenderness in the Right Lower Quadrant: This is the most consistent clinical sign, typically most pronounced at McBurney's point.
- Rebound Tenderness: Increased pain when pressure is suddenly released from the right lower abdomen, suggesting irritation of the peritoneum.
- Muscle Guarding and Rigidity: The abdominal wall may become tense, either due to voluntary muscle contraction or involuntary reflex tightening in response to inflammation.

Special Signs: [17,18,19]

- Dumphy's Cough Tenderness is a key physical sign indicating inflammation of the parietal peritoneum. It helps distinguish acute appendicitis from right-sided ureteric colic.
- Tenderness and rebound tenderness at McBurney's point are common findings. Rebound tenderness, also known as Blumberg's sign, results from parietal peritoneum inflammation and can be observed in any case of peritonitis.
- Guarding and rigidity are typically found in the right lower abdomen (right iliac fossa). However, if these signs are present in the back muscles, specifically the erector spinae, it suggests a retrocecal position of the appendix.
- Rovsing's Sign: Experiencing pain in the right lower quadrant when pressure is applied to the left lower quadrant.
- Psoas Sign: Pain triggered by passively extending the right hip, which indicates irritation of the psoas major muscle, often seen with a retrocecal appendix.
- Obturator Sign: Pain caused by internally rotating the flexed right hip, suggesting irritation of the obturator muscle, commonly associated with a pelvic appendix.
- Hyperesthesia in Sherren's Triangle: Increased sensitivity in the area bordered by the anterior superior iliac spine, the umbilicus, and the pubic symphysis, caused by irritation of the lower abdominal nerves.
- Rectal Examination: Tenderness detected along the right side of the rectal wall, which helps in differential diagnosis.
- Murphy's triad: Pain, Vomiting and Temperature

Several clinical and laboratory scoring systems exist for diagnosing appendicitis, with the Alvarado score being the most commonly used. It is outlined as follows:

Alvarado score[12]

FINDINGS	SCORE
Migratory right iliac fossa pain	1
Anorexia	1
Nausea or vomiting	1
Tenderness: right iliac fossa	2
Rebound tenderness right iliac fossa	1
Fever ≥36.3°C	1
Leukocytosis ≥10 × 109 cells/L	2
Shift to the left of neutrophil	1
Total	10

- Score below 5: Diagnosis is uncertain.
- Score of 5–6: Findings are suggestive of appendicitis.
- Score of 6–9: Appendicitis is likely.
- Score above 9: Diagnosis of appendicitis is highly probable.

II. DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of appendicitis is based on a combination of clinical history, physical examination, and laboratory and/or imaging studies. While various diagnostic methods have been evaluated, relying solely on laboratory results has proven insufficient.

However, when clinical, laboratory, and imaging data are combined, the accuracy of diagnosis improves significantly. Physical examination alone can provide a diagnostic accuracy between 75% and 90%, though this largely depends on the clinician's experience. To enhance diagnostic accuracy and guide treatment decisions, several scoring systems have been developed that integrate clinical signs with laboratory findings.[1]

Acute appendicitis (AA) has been referred to as a "chameleon" of surgery because of the wide range of symptoms and indicators that make diagnosis difficult . To effectively identify AA, a variety of diagnostic scoring techniques have been devised. According to recent guidelines, patients should be categorized into low, middle, and high-risk groups based on laboratory tests and clinical criteria. In addition to confirming the diagnosis, clinicians must classify the severity of appendicitis in order to choose the best therapeutic approach, whether non-operative or surgical.

1. Clinical Indicators in Diagnosing Acute Appendicitis: The most frequently reported symptoms of acute appendicitis include pain that starts around the umbilical region and shifts to the right lower abdomen, along with loss of appetite and nausea, which may or may not be accompanied by vomiting. Common physical signs are elevated body temperature, McBurney's sign (tenderness at McBurney's point), rebound tenderness, Rovsing's sign (pain in the right lower abdomen when the left lower quadrant is palpated), psoas sign (right lower quadrant pain during passive extension of the right hip), and the obturator sign (pain in the right lower abdomen during internal rotation of the flexed right hip and knee). Despite these classic indicators, only about 6% of patients present with the textbook symptoms, and atypical presentations are frequently encountered.[20]
2. Biochemical Markers in Diagnosing Acute Appendicitis: Laboratory tests like total leukocyte count (TLC) and C-reactive protein (CRP) are commonly utilized to support the diagnosis of acute appendicitis and to help distinguish between uncomplicated and complicated cases. When both TLC and CRP are within normal limits, the combination shows high sensitivity and a strong negative predictive value. In addition, newer biomarkers—including absolute neutrophil count, calprotectin (CP), serum amyloid A (SAA), and myeloid-related protein 8/14 (MRP 8/14)—have demonstrated promising sensitivity and negative predictive value in detecting appendicitis. Elevated bilirubin levels (hyperbilirubinemia) and low sodium levels (hyponatremia) have also been investigated as indicators of complicated appendicitis. Moreover, the delta neutrophil index has emerged as a useful diagnostic tool, particularly in older patients.[21]
3. Importance of Scoring Systems in Diagnosing Acute Appendicitis: Relying solely on clinical signs and laboratory results offers limited diagnostic accuracy for acute appendicitis. To improve diagnostic precision and facilitate risk stratification, various scoring systems have been developed. The Alvarado score was one of the first tools introduced and is known for its high sensitivity, although its specificity is relatively low. Other scoring systems, such as the Appendicitis Inflammatory Response Score (AIRS) and the Adult Appendicitis Score (AAS), have also been designed to enhance diagnostic reliability.[22]
4. Imaging in the Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis: Diagnostic imaging techniques for acute appendicitis include ultrasonography (USG), contrast-enhanced CT (CECT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Among these, CECT is regarded as the gold standard for diagnosing appendicitis. However, USG is typically used as the initial imaging method, especially in both children and adults. When USG results are inconclusive, a low-dose CECT scan is advised for further evaluation in suspected cases [67]. For patients identified as high-risk based on clinical scoring—particularly those under 40 years of age—immediate surgery may be recommended without the need for cross-sectional imaging.[23]

Specialized tests are seldom required to confirm a diagnosis of acute appendicitis, as it is primarily based on clinical assessment. While there is no definitive diagnostic test for appendicitis, the careful use of basic blood and urine tests—especially those evaluating inflammatory markers—can help rule out alternative conditions and offer supportive evidence for the clinical diagnosis. Although various scoring systems and diagnostic algorithms have been developed to

assist in diagnosing acute appendicitis, they have not seen widespread adoption in routine practice.[24]

III. MANAGEMENT

Non-Operative Management

The treatment approach for appendicitis depends on the severity of the condition. Over the years, multiple clinical care guidelines have been developed. Most management protocols begin with intravenous antibiotics for 1 to 3 days, followed by a 7-day course of oral antibiotics. Commonly used regimens include a cephalosporin combined with tinidazole, or a broad-spectrum penicillin paired with a beta-lactamase inhibitor.[25]

The Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma has not provided a definitive recommendation on using antibiotics alone for cases of uncomplicated appendicitis. Various classification systems have been introduced to assess disease severity by correlating clinical symptoms, imaging findings, and patient outcomes. In practice, acute appendicitis is generally categorized as either uncomplicated or complicated. The patient's overall health status also plays a key role in determining whether surgical or non-surgical treatment is appropriate. Regardless of the management strategy, all patients should receive early empiric broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy. Initiating antibiotics promptly has been shown to lower the risk of postoperative wound infections.[26]

Before the introduction of antibiotics, acute appendicitis often progressed from an uncomplicated to a complicated stage, which led surgeon McBurney to advocate for surgical removal (appendectomy) in all cases. However, appendectomy carries its own risks, including complications, morbidity, and mortality. With the advent of antibiotics, a shift occurred—surgeons began exploring conservative, non-surgical treatment options for acute appendicitis. While non-operative management is well established for conditions like uncomplicated diverticulitis and salpingitis, its role in acute appendicitis remains under investigation. Recent research suggests that many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis can be managed safely with an initial course of antibiotics. More effective antibiotics are now being used to treat these cases. However, antibiotic therapy is not considered a full replacement for surgery.[27]

Over the past two decades, several European studies have shown that conservative, antibiotic-only treatment of acute appendicitis is a viable option for adults. The idea of managing appendicitis with antibiotics alone is not new; since antibiotics were first introduced, this approach has been explored, even as early as the 1950s, often out of necessity in settings like maritime or military environments. For example, Gurin and colleagues reported on 252 ship passengers with suspected appendicitis who couldn't undergo immediate surgery and were treated solely with antibiotics, achieving an 84% success rate. Additionally, for certain cases of complicated appendicitis, antibiotic therapy—often combined with drainage of large abscesses—is a well-established initial treatment strategy.

The timing of antibiotic treatment is crucial, as starting therapy as early as possible significantly improves success rates. If antibiotics fail to resolve the condition during the initial hospital stay, a rescue appendectomy should be performed. In cases of recurrent appendicitis, patients may either undergo a second round of antibiotics or proceed with an appendectomy. In both scenarios, the risk of complications or adverse outcomes from surgery does not increase. [25]

IV. OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT

For acute appendicitis without rupture, immediate appendectomy is the preferred treatment. Performing surgery promptly helps prevent the appendix from rupturing and spreading infection to the peritoneum.[18] Appendectomy is the most common treatment for acute appendicitis and can be done either through an open surgery or a laparoscopic approach. Although laparoscopic appendectomy was initially met with skepticism, multiple studies have demonstrated that it leads to faster postoperative recovery, making it the preferred method today. Patients often appreciate the smaller scars from laparoscopy, which is especially beneficial for obese individuals who would otherwise need a large incision for proper access.

Laparoscopy also offers the advantage of better visualization of the abdominal and pelvic organs, helping identify other potential issues. However, the main drawbacks of laparoscopic appendectomy are higher costs and the possibility of a greater long-term risk of umbilical port site hernias, which may be more

common compared to the relatively low incidence of incisional hernias from traditional Lanz incisions.[19]
When appendicitis is not diagnosed until the perforation has occurred, the morbidity and death rate is higher than when a normal appendix is removed, despite the obvious benefit of saving patients from needless surgery. As a result, the best way to avoid severe peritoneal sepsis was to perform early surgery on all patients with suspected appendicitis. Importantly, Herrod et al.'s meta-analysis of additional big studies did not support the earlier optimism about the benefits of antibiotic therapy for uncomplicated acute appendicitis. At one year, their primary antibiotic treatment efficacy was 63%, while their appendectomy efficacy was 92%. Antibiotic treatment is associated with a notably higher risk of hospital readmissions, development of complicated appendicitis due to treatment failure, or recurrence of the condition. Therefore, it is essential that patients receive thorough counseling if antibiotic therapy is to be considered as the primary treatment option. Since the first incidental removal of an inflamed appendix during groin surgery for scrotal hernia by Amyand in 1735, and the first planned appendectomy performed by French surgeon Mesteivier in 1759, open appendectomy via a standard right lower abdominal incision (modified McBurney's gridiron or Lanz incision) performed as soon as possible after symptom onset has remained the preferred standard treatment.[28]

Complications of appendectomy include the following:

- Wound infections occur in 5 to 10% of cases.
- Pelvic abscesses can develop after surgery, especially in cases of perforated pelvic appendicitis.
- A short episode of paralytic ileus may occur.
- Fecal fistulas are rare but possible.
- In rare instances, gangrenous appendicitis can lead to pylephlebitis.
- Women using oral contraceptives may be at increased risk of venous thrombosis and embolism.
- Delayed complications include adhesions and intestinal obstruction. Injury to the iliohypogastric nerve during surgery can result in a right-sided inguinal hernia.[29]

Complications of acute appendicitis [30]

- Resorption of the inflamed tissue
- Recurrence or relapse of appendicitis
- Formation of an appendicular mass
- Development of an appendicular abscess
- Perforation of the appendix, which carries a mortality rate of around 20%
- Peritonitis and septicaemia
- Portal vein infection (portal pyaemia)
- Intestinal obstruction caused by paralytic ileus, inflammatory adhesions, or the formation of fibrous bands between the appendix and the omentum or small intestine

REFRENCES

[1] Di Saverio S, Podda M, De Simone B, Ceresoli M, Augustin G, Gori A, Boermeester M, Sartelli M, Coccolini F, Tarasconi A, De'Angelis N. Diagnosis and treatment of acute appendicitis: 2020 update of the WSES Jerusalem guidelines. World journal of emergency surgery. 2020 Apr 15;15(1):27.

[2] Snyder MJ, Guthrie M, Cagle S. Acute appendicitis: efficient diagnosis and management. American family physician. 2018 Jul 1;98(1):25-33.

[3] Babu KS, Savitha S. A study on acute appendicitis in a tertiary care hospital in Tamil Nadu, India. International Surgery Journal. 2017 Feb 25;4(3):929-31.

[4] Levin MD. Pathogenesis of acute appendicitis: review. Gastroenterol Hepatol Open Access. 2019;10(6):279–285. DOI: 10.15406/ghoa.2019.10.00396

[5] Souza SC, Costa SR, Souza IG. Vermiform appendix: positions and length-a study of 377 cases and literature review. Journal of Coloproctology (Rio de Janeiro). 2015 Oct;35:212-6

[6] Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL, editors. Sabiston textbook of surgery: the biological basis of modern surgical practice. 21st ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2022.

[7] Moore KL, Dalley AF, Agur AMR. 8th Edition. Wolters Kluwer.

[8] Barbas AS, Bush EL, Lin SS, Parker W. Biofilms in the large bowel suggest an apparent function of

the human vermiform appendix. *J Theor Biol.* 2007;249(4):826-831. doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.08.032

[9] Babu KS, Savitha S. A study on acute appendicitis in a tertiary care hospital in Tamil Nadu, India. International Surgery Journal. 2017 Feb 25;4(3):929-31.

[10] Addiss, D. G., Shaffer, N., Fowler, B. S., & Tauxe, R. V. (1990). *The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States*. American Journal of Epidemiology, 132(5), 910-925.

[11] Ferris, M., Quan, S., Kaplan, B. S., Molodecky, N., Ball, C. G., Chernoff, G. W., ... & Dixon, E. (2017). *The global incidence of appendicitis: a systematic review of population-based studies*. Annals of Surgery, 266(2), 237-241.

[12] Brunicardi FC. Schwartz's Principles of Surgery, McGraw-Hill, 2015: Schwartz's Principles of Surgery.

[13] Shenoy KR, Shenoy A. Manipal Manual of Surgery. 7th ed. New Delhi: CBS Publishers & Distributors; 2025.

[14] Andersson, R. E. (2004). *Meta-analysis of the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of appendicitis*. British Journal of Surgery, 91(1), 28–37.

[15] Bhangu, A., Søreide, K., Di Saverio, S., Assarsson, J. H., & Drake, F. T. (2015). *Acute appendicitis: modern understanding of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management*. The Lancet, 386(10000), 1278–1287.

[16] Humes, D. J., & Simpson, J. (2006). *Acute appendicitis*. BMJ, 333(7567), 530–534.

[17] Bhat S. SRB's Manual of Surgery. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers; 2019 Jun 30.

[18] Das S. A manual on clinical surgery. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers; 2022.

[19] Molloy RG, Kingsnorth AN, editors. Farquharson's textbook of operative general surgery. 10th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2024.

[20] Echevarria S, Rauf F, Hussain N, Zaka H, Ahsan N, Broomfield A, Akbar A, Khawaja UA, Farwa UE. Typical and atypical presentations of appendicitis and their implications for diagnosis and treatment: a literature review. Cureus. 2023 Apr 2;15(4).

[21] Diaz JJ, Ceresoli M, Herron T, Coccolini F. Current management of acute appendicitis in adults: What you need to know. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 2025 Feb 1;98(2):181-9.

[22] Krzyzak M, Mulrooney SM. Acute appendicitis review: background, epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Cureus. 2020 Jun 11;12(6).

[23] Lapsa S, Ozolins A, Strumfa I, Gardovskis J. Acute appendicitis in the elderly: a literature review on an increasingly frequent surgical problem. Geriatrics. 2021 Sep 18;6(3):93.

[24] Simpson J, Scholefield JH. Acute appendicitis. Surgery (Oxford). 2008 Mar 1;26(3):108-12.

[25] Becker P, Fichtner-Feigl S, Schilling D. Clinical management of appendicitis. Visceral medicine. 2018 Nov 24;34(6):453-8.

[26] Diaz JJ, Ceresoli M, Herron T, Coccolini F. Current management of acute appendicitis in adults: What you need to know. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 2025 Feb 1;98(2):181-9.

[27] Naik BB. A clinical study on conservative management of acute appendicitis in a tertiary care centre. International Surgery Journal. 2021 Mar 26;8(4):1190-4.

[28] Weledji EP, Zisuh AV, Ngounou E. Management of appendicitis: appendicectomy, antibiotic therapy, or both?. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2023 Apr 1;85(4):897-901.

[29] Bhabhor VP. Management of Appendicitis. InDoubts, Problems and Certainties about Acute Appendicitis 2022 Jan 12. IntechOpen

[30] Williams NS, O'Connell PR, McCaskie AW, editors. *Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery*. 28th ed. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2022.