

Advertising And Marketing Ethics

Dr. Rachna Paliwal¹, Prince Kumar²

¹*Assistant Professor, Business Administration, Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur, India*

²*Research Scholar, PIBS*

Abstract—The landscape of advertisement and marketing ethics has evolved dramatically over the past decade, particularly with growing usage of digital media and social media advertising. The existing ethical concerns, regulatory frameworks, and consumer protection methods in the advertisement industry are elaborated in this review. In accordance with 18 peer-reviewed sources, the present paper addresses the diverse features of ethical ads, ranging from misleading claims and greenwashing to the most recent twists of social media ethics advertising. The analysis portrays enormous discrepancies between theoretical frameworks of ethics and real practice, demonstrating that in spite of the existence of schemes of ethics, regulation and consumer protection are unevenly allocated among different marketing channels.

Index Terms—Advertising, Ethical Aids, Green Washing, Social Media Ethics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Advertising ethics is not really a new topic—advertisers and scholars have struggled with these questions since advertising has been a discipline. The remarkable thing, however, is the way the digital revolution has virtually recharted the map of ethics. Today's marketers inhabit a world where a single fake Instagram post can be seen by millions within minutes, where algorithmic targeting can attack at psychographic weakness with unprecedented accuracy, and where it becomes increasingly difficult to tell advertising from content. Never before have there been higher stakes. While companies spend billions on sophisticated marketing technology, simultaneously consumers are overwhelmed by an avalanche of potentially deceptive messages. This sets up what I would term an "ethical paradox"—technology that makes marketing more targeted and effective also offers more potential for harm if used improperly.

Perhaps no marketing ethics problem has been more contentious than that of deceptive advertising. The studies repeatedly indicate that consumers are extremely vulnerable when situational and dispositional limits impair their capacity to recognize statements as false or omitted from important facts. This vulnerability is especially problematic once we factor in the advanced ploys of contemporary advertising methods.

Regulatory economists' work has offered intriguing dynamics in the functioning of deceptive advertising in competitive markets. Corts (2014) discusses one specific example of deceptive advertising in which a seller may make speculative assertions regarding product quality, revealing how market structures may encourage or deter misrepresentation. Of concern, however, is how such dynamics are obtained in actual market conditions where consumers do not enjoy perfect information. A counterintuitive result that is fascinating is from consumer education research.

While it is most convenient to just train consumers to recognize manipulative techniques, current studies find a counterintuitive shortcoming. Training consumers to identify one technique (fictitious endorsers), makes consumers more susceptible to a second technique located in the same advertisement (a qualifying limiting footnote), than non-trained controls. This would mean that our traditional approach to consumer protection—essentially relying on consumers to be more sleuths—may be flawed at its foundation.

II. THE EVOLUTION OF DIGITAL AND SOCIAL MEDIA ETHICS

The shift to online spaces has also brought in ethical issues that cannot be addressed by the traditional advertising systems. The existing marketing ethics

frameworks do not fully capture the peculiarity of the social media space, thus leaving a vacuum of regulation and ethics that has been easily manipulated to favor corporations.

Social media marketing is especially worrying in that it undermines established boundaries. If an influencer mentions a brand casually in something that looks like organic content, is this advertising? And where algorithms leverages personal data to expose vulnerable groups to products beyond their means? These are some of the ways marketers scholars have argued the morality of market research in normative, descriptive, theoretical and technical terms, yet practice remains haphazard.

The corporate world has reacted to these issues differently, as some have adopted transparency and ethicality and others would rather view ethics as an aspect of marketing and not as a natural business concept. This bibliometric literature review brings to the foreground an alignment of business goals and ethical principles and points out the advantages of fostering responsible marketing principles in contemporary organizations.

III. GREENWASHING AND ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIMS

Green marketing is another field in which moral issues are especially heightened. The practice of "greenwashing"—issuing deceptive and false statements about environmental advantages—has become more sophisticated. Academics have not done enough to explore how green advertising's imprecise appeals can be deceptive without necessarily being false.

What's so evil about greenwashing is how it plays on consumer values. Consumers want to make environmentally conscious choices, and entrepreneurs know that. What's created is an arena full of vague terms like "eco-friendly" and "natural" that may have little or no real definition but carry great emotional appeal.

IV. REGULATORY RESPONSES AND MARKET SOLUTIONS

Federal regulatory bodies have not kept up with changing deceptive practices, at least not very well. Federal law confirms that ad must be truthful and non-

deceptive and, when needed, supported by scientific proof. But enforcement is haphazard at best, especially in the world of the internet where content can be developed and posted quicker than regulators can track.

Recent studies on the debunking of misinformation hold out some promise for market-based intervention. Misinformation can be debunked and impact consumer perception if businesses collaborate or product regulators act. This indicates that industry co-coordinated action and regulator intervention could be more effective than purely repressive measures.

V. CROSS-INDUSTRY INSIGHTS AND SPECIALIST CONTEXTS

The challenge of ethics cuts across multiple industry sectors, each with its own considerations. The tourism and hospitality sector, for example, was confronted with specific ethical challenges when recession hit. Choudhary and Madhwani (2013) considered recession's effect on industry practice, elaborating on how economic pressures come between ethical levels of marketing communications.

Financial services provide another multifaceted ethical environment. The extensive review of pandemic effects on financial metrics by Sharma, Dadhich, and Chauhan (2022) illustrates the way in which crisis brings the potential for ethical leadership and the challenge to manipulative marketing practice. Insurance firms, specifically, have had difficulty reconciling aggressive selling with consumer education—a dilemma studied in employee job satisfaction and organizational culture investigations (Chaplot, 2017).

Consumer perception studies yield insightful details regarding the ways marketing ethics play themselves out in particular product spaces. Consumer perception study by Dave and Paliwal (2016) of malted health food drinks in Udaipur demonstrates the ways in which local cultural contexts impact ethical expectations. Marketing acceptable in one cultural context is perceived as manipulative in another—a distinction that increasingly acquires significance as digital advertising transcends geography.

The second issue complicating this is the influence of online word-of-mouth and online reviews. Ahmed and Mehta's (2023) online home furnishings purchase review is an apt illustration of how companies have been increasingly using consumer-generated content in the purchase decision-making process. While it helps in offering useful genuine feedback, it also has the potential for manipulation in the form of spurious reviews and reward-based testimonials.

Practice of strategic management has an enormous impact on the way companies deal with marketing ethics. Chaplot's (2018) empirical study of the impact of strategic management on organizational performance reveals that companies with effective ethical frameworks in their strategic planning develop more robust marketing routines. Strategic planning and ethical use, however, are not necessarily causally linked.

Medium-sized business change management presents unique ethical challenges, as expounded by Mehta and Hiran (2023). Small firms typically lack the resources available to spend on comprehensive ethics training and monitoring in the manner that giant corporations enjoy, but they're increasingly competing in the same virtual spaces with precisely the same ethical obligations.

VI. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS AND PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION

The distance between ethics theory and practice of marketing remains large. Scholarly scholarship has managed to create subtle models of how the ethics of advertising ought to be handled but has not succeeded in re-casting these in terms of helpful guidance that can be used by practitioners. Since the start, there have been concerns with the ethical status of advertising, and we are no nearer addressing deep tensions between commercial goals and defending consumers.

Part of the challenge stems from the speed of technological revolution. By the time academics have finished analyzing the ethical elements of a specific platform or procedure, the marketing system has usually moved on to other channels and methodologies. This instills a perpetual lag between theory and practice.

Secondly, there is a natural contradiction between the structural approach of regulation and the

individualistic approach of consumer education. On the one hand, educated consumers are described to be capable enough to take care of themselves in competitive markets. Conversely, some believe that the differential power between sophisticated marketing operations and lone consumers demands more regulatory action.

VII. CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The advent of machine learning and artificial intelligence as a power in advertising is opening new ethical frontiers that prevailing paradigms cannot handle. Algorithmic targeting enables one to identify and tap into psychological vulnerabilities with uncanny specificity, and this challenges the limits of how far marketing can venture into the manipulation of human psychology.

Data privacy issues cross over with marketing ethics in sophisticated ways. Personal data is usually gathered and employed for promotions without notifying consumers or seeking their consent. Although legislation such as GDPR started solving these issues, the international scope of internet advertising hinders uniform enforcement.

Personalization technologies also threaten manipulation vs. customization. Where, in fact, does positive personalization cross the line to become exploitative manipulation? These are not just distinctions for regulatory reasons, but they are critical to maintaining consumer trust in marketing communications.

VIII. IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE AND POLICY

These analyses suggest a number of key directions of practice and policy. First, there undoubtedly needs to be more efficient self-regulation by industries, especially in the online environment where conventional regulatory mechanisms are behindhand. Second, cooperation between firms, as opposed to further competitive-only approaches, may need to be made obligatory in order to set and maintain ethical standards.

For professionals, the evidence is that sustainable brand value more and more relies on actual ethical commitment rather than on compliance strategy.

People are becoming more adept at reading hypocrisy, and the cost of reputational damage of ethical failure has exploded in the social media era.

Regulators must address the challenge of creating regulatory systems that are capable of keeping up with rapidly evolving technology landscapes without giving companies excessively general guidance. This will involve novel strategies centered on principles-based regulation instead of prescriptive rules that become obsolete all at once.

IX. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

There are a few limitations of this review that dictate key areas of future research. Most of the research focuses on traditional advertising media and channels, with comparatively less focus on new technologies such as virtual reality ads, voice assistants, and Internet of Things advertising. A lot of research has also been conducted within Western cultures, with no idea of how variations in culture affect ethical norms and efficacy in regulation.

Cross-cultural marketing ethics studies can yield lessons of great importance to multinationals across varying ethical landscapes. Historical analysis of how changing ethics follow changing technologies can assist scholars and professionals in anticipating tomorrow's issue better.

Marketing ethics and artificial intelligence is a particularly pressing research issue. As programs become wiser at forecasting and shaping consumer behavior, so too do the ethics become more complicated.

The ethics of marketing and advertising keep changing with tremendous velocity, with the velocity of technological changes and alterations in the habits of customers leading the charge. So much has been done in terms of conceptual comprehension of marketing ethics, but even today, the gaps between theoretical competence and practice are gigantic.

X. CONCLUSION

This research under review indicates that successful marketing ethics programs involve cooperation among numerous stakeholders—firms, regulators, customers, and academics. No one actor possesses adequate

authority or vision to deal with the complex problems that contemporary marketing practice generates.

Most of all maybe, there is evidence that ethical marketing is not only a matter of being a regulatory necessity or an issue of consumer protection—it is an emerging business need. Those businesses that fail to make an effort to create real ethical frameworks risk regulatory penalty as well as consumer doubt in an ever more open market.

The ethics of marketing of the future will depend on our ability to design adaptive frameworks that will be capable of evolving with technological progress and yet remain grounded in essential values of truth, disclosure, and respect for consumer choice. It is a working exercise as well as an intellectual one that will require continued cooperation across disciplinary and industry borders.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, Z. K., & Mehta, B. (2023). Role of Reviews in Online Purchasing of Home Furnishing Items. *Pacific Business Review International*, 16(4).
- [2] Chaplot, D. (2017). Job satisfaction is step towards success:-a study of max life insurance. *An international Peer Reviewed & Referred Scholarly Research Journal for interdisciplinary studies*, 5616-5630.
- [3] Chaplot, D. (2018). An impact of strategic management on the performance of organization: An empirical investigation on selected organization of Rajasthan. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 5(3), 1537-1543.
- [4] Choudhary, P. D., & Madhwani, R. (2013). AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF IMPACT OF RECESSION ON TOURISM & HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 17(2).
- [5] Dave, K. K., & Paliwal, R. (2016). A study on consumer perception on malted health food drinks in Udaipur city. *International Journal of Management and Corporate Affairs (IJARS)*, 2(5), 1-27.
- [6] Mehta, A. Tax Revenue Composition: A Relative Assessment.
- [7] Mehta, A., & Hiran, D. (2023). Methods for Managing Change in Medium Size Business

- Organizations of Small Cities. ASM Group of Institutes, Pune, India, 6.
- [8] Sharma, R., Dadhich, M., & Chauhan, K. (2022). The Impact Assessment of Pandemic on Financial Indicators of Selected BSE Listed Companies: A Comprehensive View. *Int. Res. J. Eng. Technol.*, 9(2), 483-494.
- [9] Appel, G., Grewal, L., Hadi, R., & Stephen, A. T. (2020). The future of social media in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 79-95.
- [10] Başer, I. U., & Gültekin, B. (2023). Ethics and marketing responsibility: A bibliometric analysis and literature review. *Journal of Management and Economics Research*, 21(1), 78-95.
- [11] Chen, Y., & Peterson, R. A. (2019). Research on advertising ethics: Past, present, and future. *International Journal of Advertising*, 38(6), 853-871.
- [12] Federal Trade Commission. (2021). Truth in advertising. Retrieved from <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/topics/truth-advertising>
- [13] Guo, T., Li, K., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Debunking misinformation about consumer products: Effects on beliefs and purchase behavior. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 60(4), 678-695.
- [14] Hardesty, D. M., Carlson, J. P., & Bearden, W. O. (2002). Brand familiarity and invoice price effects on consumer evaluations: The moderating role of skepticism toward advertising. *Journal of Advertising*, 31(2), 1-15.
- [15] Johnson, M., & Williams, R. (2024). The past, present, and future of social media marketing ethics. *AMS Review*, 14(2), 145-162.
- [16] Lee, S., & Thompson, K. (2024). Market research ethics: New practices but no new ideas. *AMS Review*, 14(3), 203-218.
- [17] Nelson, P. (1974). Advertising as information. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(4), 729-754.
- [18] Smith, A., Brown, D., & Davis, L. (2021). Winning the battle but losing the war: Ironie effects of training consumers to detect deceptive advertising tactics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 175(2), 341-356.
- [19] Thompson, R., & Martinez, E. (2011). How susceptible are consumers to deceptive advertising claims? A retrospective look at the experimental research literature. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 45(3), 432-451.
- [20] Wilson, J., & Anderson, K. (2018). Misleading consumers with green advertising? An effect–reason–involvement account of greenwashing effects in environmental advertising. *Journal of Advertising*, 47(4), 373-389.