

Impact of CSR on Consumer Behavior in FMCG Products: A comprehensive Review

Dr. Rachna Paliwal¹, Hardik Jain²

¹Assistant Professor, Business Administration, ¹Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research, University, Udaipur, India

²Research Scholar, PIBS

Abstract—Corporate social responsibility has been a buzzword for business, but its actual effect on consumer behavior—especially in the fast-moving consumer goods category—is a curious mystery. Companies spend millions on CSR, but whether consumers even care enough to shift their buying habits is more complicated than a simple yes/no response.

Index Terms—CSR, consumer behavior, buying habits

I. INTRODUCTION

The FMCG industry offers a special laboratory where one can see the impact of CSR on the purchasing habits of consumers. These items—which range from toothpaste to food stuffs—get bought on a daily basis, sometimes unconsciously, and at relatively cheap rates. But recent research indicates that even these habitual purchases are not free from increasing consumer sensitivity towards corporate social responsibility.

What is most interesting regarding this connection is the seeming paradox of explicitly expressed consumer preference and purchasing behavior. Consumers explicitly state a liking for socially responsible brands, but it is difficult to convert this preference into a repeat purchase behavior. This gap also gets magnified once we take into account price sensitivity, brand loyalty, and the habitual nature of FMCG purchases.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Consumer response to CSR activity functions through a number of psychological mechanisms. According to social identity theory, consumers would use brand selection as a means of expressing their values and identifying with similar others (Bhattacharya & Sen, 2003). This is particularly pertinent in FMCG where

brand selection is publicly announced—organic milk in your shopping bag or the green washing powder on your kitchen worktop.

The elaboration likelihood model offers another perspective under which to analyze this relationship. Businesses that make an earnest effort at CSR programs continually reap positive improvements in both consumer perception and loyalty, although the degree of consumer processing can be wildly varied. Some shoppers actively seek information on corporate responsibility procedures, while others are peripheral processors who are more swayed by surface messages than subtle scrutiny.

Interestingly enough, the model of stakeholder theory reveals consumers increasingly seeing themselves as stakeholders of company decision-making and not just end-consumers of product. This change in perception may be the cause behind the fact that CSR practices, which were peripheral to product quality at one point, today contribute importantly to purchase decisions.

III. CSR IMPACT ON FMCG CONSUMER BEHAVIOR: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Latest research in the Sri Lankan FMCG market finds it interesting to investigate how CSR attitudes are translated when it comes to purchasing intentions. It was discovered that consumer purchase intention is influenced by the CSR activities of MNE FMCG brands and brand attitude, brand image and perceived quality mediate partially the effect. This mediation effect is quite interesting—it illustrates that CSR does not have a direct effect on purchasing but acts through the mechanism of bolstering general brand attitudes. The mediation factor threatens causality. Does higher CSR perception strengthen brand image, which then affects purchase intention? Or are consumers who are

predisposed to the brand more open to CSR communication? The evidence is two-faced, and CSR activity reinforces the positive brand concepts that consumers already have and is not necessarily influencing consumer choice.

While, we should not take this correlation to be universal in all consumer segments. Younger, better-educated consumers with higher disposable incomes have higher correlations between CSR awareness and buying behavior, according to studies (Carroll & Shabana, 2010). This age and income bias has critical implications for FMCG companies operating in mass markets where price sensitivity tends to trump ethical concerns.

IV. THE ROLE OF BRAND MEDIATORS

Brand attitude thus becomes the key mediating factor in the CSR-consumer behavior chain. When firms do truly show commitment to social responsibility, it does not necessarily translate to sales right away—but rather builds brand equity over time that ultimately drives purchases. The back-end payoff theory of CSR investment generally makes executives impatient for prompt payoffs on their responsibility efforts.

Brand image is another significant mediator, though its relationship with CSR is more intricate than at first glance. The FMCG industry with fierce competition and consumer consciousness. It is shown through studies that it is the companies that pass through the CSR pathway of which the customers exhibit higher loyalty. But this isn't immediate—this takes continual CSR communication and genuine practice in the long term.

Perceived quality is quite possibly the most compelling intermediary. Customers increasingly equate CSR commitment with product quality and think that firms concerned with social issues have higher production standards. That belief—though not always necessarily justified—nurtures a halo effect in the sense that CSR activity raises perception even for those products where social responsibility is of zero contribution to actual performance.

V. CONSUMER PERCEPTION AND AWARENESS PATTERNS

The difference between CSR awareness and real impact on buying behavior is highly diverse across

various FMCG categories. Personal care items, for example, are more closely linked to CSR behavior than commodity items like sugar or salt. The difference reflects that product involvement moderates the relationship between CSR-behavior—customers worry less about the social responsibility of items they use repeatedly or consider as part of self-concept.

Most of the respondents thought the government's 2% CSR mandate is good. So long as the end result is 'benefit to society', whether a good deed is mandated or not did not concern them. This is in contrast to prevailing wisdom that voluntary CSR has a more compelling appeal to consumers than mandatory ones. Perhaps consumers are more concerned with outcomes than motivations in considering corporate responsibility.

Information-processing limitations also resonate in the awareness-behavior gap. FMCG buying might be done at speed, with minimal cognitive capacity for CSR claims assessment. Consumers might actually care about corporate responsibility but not be capable of processing this information into their speeded purchasing decision-making processes at the point of purchase.

VI. DEMOGRAPHIC AND PSYCHOGRAPHIC INFLUENCES

Consumer reaction to CSR is extremely diverse by demographic group, yet the trends do not necessarily. Young consumers are perhaps more educated about CSR, but price sensitivity will restrict their premium prices that they are prepared to pay for socially responsible products. Older consumers with established long-term brand loyalties, however, will be less responsive to CSR initiatives but more sensitive to premium prices when convinced of their value.

Income levels provide another challenge. Richer consumers will be more likely to afford CSR-premium prices but are less likely to be generally less price-sensitive and therefore cannot be targeted individually. Middle-income consumers are the most intriguing segment—they are concerned with CSR but they have genuine trade-offs between their values and spending. Geographical variations introduce complications to the mix. Consumers in urban areas are more likely to be action-oriented and socially responsible in their orientation, but rural consumers are likely to

emphasize functionality and price over social responsibility orientation. These are changing rapidly, nonetheless, with information access enhancing in various places.

VII. INTEGRATION WITH PROVIDED RESEARCH CONTEXT

The confluence of CSR and consumerism must be considered within the purview of the other business concerns of the contemporary world. Research on change management in medium-sized companies reveals that the implementation of CSR requires profound organizational change (Mehta & Hiran, 2023). This organizational perspective serves to remind that CSR is more than a marketing exercise—it is a consideration of matters of significant organizational change.

The contribution of online consumer opinions towards consumer choice only increases CSR issues (Ahmed & Mehta, 2023). Consumers tend to become more dependent on peer opinions while taking purchasing decisions, and CSR-related data becomes highlighted in these opinions. Firms that participate in genuine CSR activities enjoy good user-generated content, whereas firms that are believed to indulge in "greenwashing" get scathing criticism on the web.

Scholarship on strategic management focuses on the point that CSR activities must align with enterprise-level organizational performance objectives (Chaplot, 2018). This alignment becomes increasingly important in the FMCG segment where operational efficiency and cost stewardship traditionally dominate strategic thinking. Companies that successfully integrate CSR into consumer behavior strategy have options for using social responsibility to serve, rather than undermine, operational excellence.

The pandemic's impacts on business activities have also extended to consumer evaluations of corporate responsibility (Sharma et al., 2022). Companies that have been socially responsible during crises—either by safeguarding workers, ensuring supply chain resilience, or contributing to communities—may have created long-term consumer loyalty going well beyond the crisis period itself.

Consumer perception research on a particular market, i.e., the research conducted on malted health food beverages in Udaipur, signifies how local settings influence CSR-behavior relationships (Dave &

Paliwal, 2016). Actual social responsibility varies greatly from one economic and cultural context to another, implying that FMCG firms require locally adaptive CSR strategies rather than traditional global strategies.

Even apparently unrelated job satisfaction studies throw some light on CSR-consumer behavior dynamics (Chaplot, 2017). Organizations with advanced internal CSR cultures have more engaged employees who are genuine company social responsibility champions. Employee endorsement can be more influential among consumers than scheduled communications.

The reaction of tourism industry to economic downturns provides lessons for FMCG companies experiencing CSR investments in the midst of challenging economic times (Choudhary & Madhwani, 2013). As tourism firms needed to balance expenditure cuts with the preservation of service quality, FMCG firms need to keep CSR expenditure even during financial constraints since customers will perceive CSR cuts as proof of corporate opportunism.

VIII. CHALLENGES IN MEASUREMENT AND ATTRIBUTION

It is difficult to measure the impact of CSR on consumer behavior. Consumers overestimate the importance of social responsibility in stated preferences compared to actual purchasing behavior. It is challenging for researchers to separate CSR effects from other motivators of purchase behavior when categories are price, convenience, and habit influencing purchase decisions.

Attribution is especially challenging in the FMCG setting because customers tend to purchase multiple products from various makers in one instance. A customer choosing to purchase organic milk, fair-trade coffee, and regular detergent in the same shopping excursion represents the complex trade-offs people have among values and functionality.

The temporal dimension adds more complexity. CSR initiatives can influence brand attitudes immediately, yet not have measurable behavior changes for months or even years. This delayed effect makes it difficult for firms to justify CSR investment using traditional ROI metrics.

IX. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

There are some topics that need further exploration. The combination of CSR and digital marketing channels is particularly worth examining, particularly as more consumers shop online before buying in-store. How CSR messaging works through various digital touchpoints—from social media platforms to e-commerce sites—may have a major impact on campaign success.

The contribution of CSR to routine purchase decisions must also be further examined. The majority of FMCG buying is carried out with little conscious consideration, and there are issues with how CSR factors can impact these automatic choice streams. Academic studies of unconscious factors driving CSR-related behavior may prove highly insightful to both researchers and practitioners.

Cross-cultural research is another research area of significance. A lot of existing research relies on Western consumers or urban residents in developing economies. Empirical knowledge of the way CSR influences the consumer behavior of different cultures can help multinational FMCG companies develop more successful global strategies.

X. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR FMCG COMPANIES

Firms wishing to use CSR to gain competitive edge must be more concerned with authenticity than visibility. Buyers are becoming progressively adept at discerning shallow CSR programs, and the retribution from so-called "greenwashing" can be drastic. Authentic engagement demands extended commitment and real incorporation of social responsibility into business practice.

Communication tactics must be finely tuned. Although CSR activities should be promoted, aggressive promotion of social responsibility initiatives might have the wrong effect. Consumers like to hear about corporate good works from independent sources, not have them hyped by the companies themselves all the time.

The timing of CSR messaging also counts. Presenting CSR messaging at times of crisis or just ahead of price hikes may seem opportunistic. Firms do a better job when they have steady CSR communication over long

periods instead of running prominent campaigns around a specific occasion.

XI. CONCLUSION

The interplay between CSR and consumer purchase behavior in FMCG goods is subtle, context-specific, and in flux. Although

there is unequivocal proof that CSR affects consumer attitudes and ultimately purchase intentions, the processes by which such an effect occurs are multifaceted and frequently indirect.

Most of all, perhaps, the influence of CSR on consumer behavior must be considered in conjunction with other business imperatives. The most effective FMCG players use social responsibility as just one aspect of an integrated value proposition, not a differentiation strategy in and of itself. This integrated strategy acknowledges that while consumers increasingly expect corporate responsibility, they remain driven by product quality, convenience, and value in their purchasing decisions.

The future will probably see even tighter CSR-consumer behavior relationships as younger generations, with greater baseline expectations of corporate responsibility, become the leading consumer segments. This change will likely take the form of higher baseline expectations and not revolutionary change in buying behaviors. Firms that start creating credible CSR capabilities today will be best suited to this future environment.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, Z. K., & Mehta, B. (2023). Role of reviews in online purchasing of home furnishing items. *Pacific Business Review International*, 16(4).
- [2] Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2003). Consumer-company identification: A framework for understanding consumers' relationships with companies. *Journal of Marketing*, 67(2), 76-88.
- [3] Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85-105.
- [4] Chaplot, D. (2017). Job satisfaction is step towards success: A study of max life insurance.

- An International Peer Reviewed & Referred Scholarly Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5616-5630.
- [5] Chaplot, D. (2018). An impact of strategic management on the performance of organization: An empirical investigation on selected organization of Rajasthan. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 5(3), 1537-1543.
- [6] Choudhary, P. D., & Madhwani, R. (2013). An analytical study of impact of recession on tourism & hospitality industry. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 17(2).
- [7] Dave, K. K., & Paliwal, R. (2016). A study on consumer perception on malted health food drinks in Udaipur city. *International Journal of Management and Corporate Affairs (IJARS)*, 2(5), 1-27.
- [8] Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of CSR communication. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 8-19.
- [9] Ellen, P. S., Webb, D. J., & Mohr, L. A. (2006). Building corporate associations: Consumer attributions for corporate socially responsible programs. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(2), 147-157.
- [10] Green, T., & Peloza, J. (2011). How does corporate social responsibility create value for consumers? *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 28(1), 48-56.
- [11] Gupta, S., & Pirsch, J. (2006). The company-cause-customer fit decision in cause-related marketing. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 23(6), 314-326.
- [12] Hur, W. M., Kim, H., & Woo, J. (2014). How CSR leads to corporate brand equity: Mediating mechanisms of corporate brand credibility and reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 125(1), 75-86.
- [13] Kang, C., Germann, F., & Grewal, R. (2016). Washing away your sins? Corporate social responsibility, corporate social irresponsibility, and firm performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(2), 59-79.
- [14] Lichtenstein, D. R., Drumwright, M. E., & Braig, B. M. (2004). The effect of corporate social responsibility on customer donations to corporate-supported nonprofits. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(4), 16-32.
- [15] Mehta, A. Tax revenue composition: A relative assessment. Mehta, A., & Hiran, D. (2023). Methods for managing change in medium size business organizations of small cities. *ASM Group of Institutes, Pune, India*, 6.
- [16] Mohr, L. A., & Webb, D. J. (2005). The effects of corporate social responsibility and price on consumer responses. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 39(1), 121-147.
- [17] Öberseder, M., Schlegelmilch, B. B., & Murphy, P. E. (2013). CSR practices and consumer perceptions. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 1839-1851.
- [18] Peloza, J., & Shang, J. (2011). How can corporate social responsibility activities create value for stakeholders? A systematic review. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 39(1), 117-135.
- [19] Sharma, R., Dadhich, M., & Chauhan, K. (2022). The impact assessment of pandemic on financial indicators of selected BSE listed companies: A comprehensive view. *Int. Res. J. Eng. Technol.*, 9(2), 483-494.
- [20] Singh, J., Sanchez, M., & del Bosque, I. R. (2008). Understanding corporate social responsibility and product perceptions in consumer markets: A cross-cultural evaluation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 80(3), 597-611.
- [21] Wagner, T., Lutz, R. J., & Weitz, B. A. (2009). Corporate hypocrisy: Overcoming the threat of inconsistent corporate social responsibility perceptions. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(6), 77-91.