

# An Economic Analysis of Agricultural Land Degradation and Urbanization in Karnataka

Dr.Girisha M.C

*Associate Professor, Department of postgraduate studies in Economics Government First Grade College for Women M.G. Road Hassan*

**Abstract**—Karnataka, one of India’s fastest-growing states, is simultaneously experiencing rapid urbanization and significant agricultural land degradation, creating complex economic challenges. The state’s urban population has expanded from 38.7% in 2011 to projected levels exceeding 50% by 2036, driven primarily by Bengaluru’s metropolitan expansion and regional growth corridors. This transformation has increased land values and altered labor markets, incentivizing the conversion of agricultural land to residential, industrial, and commercial uses. Parallel to this, the Desertification and Land Degradation Atlas (2018–19) identifies approximately 6.96 million hectares of Karnataka’s land as degraded, with water erosion accounting for the largest share. These processes reduce soil fertility, lower yields, and raise production costs, thereby weakening the profitability of farming and accelerating land conversion pressures. This paper analyzes the drivers of land degradation and urbanization in Karnataka through economic and spatial perspectives, highlighting their interactive effects on agricultural sustainability, livelihoods, and regional development. It emphasizes the need for integrated policy measures, including stronger land-use planning, watershed management, payments for ecosystem services, and zoning reforms to balance growth with ecological resilience. Addressing these challenges is vital to ensure that Karnataka’s trajectory of urban-led economic growth does not compromise long-term agricultural productivity, rural livelihoods, and environmental sustainability.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Karnataka is highly urban-centric relative to India’s average. It had 38.7% of its population living in urban areas in 2011 (Census), with projections indicating a steady climb to 43.4% in 2021, 48.3% in 2031, and 50.7% by 2036 (NIUA projections), underscoring a structural shift in settlement and employment patterns. In parallel, the state faces

significant land degradation. ISRO’s national atlas documents 6.96 million hectares of land in Karnataka under degradation as of 2018-19, with water erosion (26%) the dominant process—implying large, recurring on-farm and off-farm costs (siltation, reservoir capacity loss, flood risk).

These trends interact economically: higher urban land values and non-farm wages pull land and labor away from agriculture; degradation depresses agricultural returns—together encouraging irreversible conversion. This paper organizes evidence, derives testable hypotheses, and lays out a rigorous research methodology to quantify magnitudes and craft policy. Rising urban demand increases land rents and speculative holding in fringes; farmers face higher opportunity costs of staying in cultivation. Labor migrates to non-farm work; farm labor scarcity raises wages and input costs; mechanization rises where feasible. Impervious surfaces, encroachment on drains/tanks and quarrying amplify runoff, peak flows, and sediment loads—accelerating soil erosion and flood damage on remaining farms downstream. Water erosion reduces topsoil depth and nutrient retention; salinity/chemical degradation lowers TFP; yield risk rises. Farm households respond by changing crops, fallowing, or exiting. Degradation depresses farm profitability strengthens conversion incentives more fragmented, vulnerable landscapes, further degradation. Spatial concentration: Karnataka shows high urban primacy with Bengaluru dominating; 2018 research documents strong regional disparities and primacy patterns. Bengaluru land-use change: Multiple remote-sensing studies show built-up cover rising sharply (1973→2022), with reductions in vegetation and water bodies; peri-urban agriculture declines materially. 6.96 million ha degraded in Karnataka (2018–19), up from 6.94 Mha (2003–05) and 6.95 Mha (2011–13). Water erosion

26.1% of degraded area is the leading process; other processes include vegetation degradation, salinity, and water logging depending on locale

All-India context: 29.7% of India's land was degraded in 2018–19; Karnataka is among the states contributing a large share to national degraded area. Forests & tree cover: Nationally, ISFR 2023 notes modest increases in forest/tree cover since 2021, though state-wise changes vary year to year; local news commentary in late-2024/2025 flags Karnataka-specific fluctuations and encroachment concerns. These matter because forest loss in catchments worsens erosion on adjoining farms. Karnataka's output is services-heavy. A recent compiled summary of the Karnataka Economic Survey 2023–24 reports agriculture's share around 13% of GSDP (services 67%). Exact ratios vary with prices and years, but the broad message is consistent: agriculture's income share is shrinking even as it employs a significant labor force—heightening sensitivity to land and water risks.

Karnataka's Urbanization: 2011 and NIUA Projections (% Urban)

Year	% Urban
2011 (Census)	38.7
2021 (projection)	43.4
2031 (projection)	48.3
2036 (projection)	50.7

Source: NIUA, "Handbook of Urban Statistics 2022," 2011 Census baseline.

#### Karnataka Land Degradation and Dominant Drivers

Indicator (2018–19)	Estimate
Total degraded area	6.96 million ha
Trend since 2003–05	Slightly up (6.94→6.96 Mha)
Dominant process	Water erosion (26.1% of degraded area)

Source: ISRO/SAC Desertification & Land Degradation Atlas

#### Stylized Economic Signals in Urban–Rural Fringe

Variable	Implication for Agriculture
Built-up area (1973→2022)	Farmland loss; fragmentation; heat island effects
Vegetation & wetlands	Lower groundwater recharge; higher runoff; erosion risk
Land prices & speculative holding	Opportunity cost of farming rises; conversion pressure
Farm labor supply (young)	Higher wages, mechanization; cropping shifts

Sources: peer-reviewed & institutional studies on Bengaluru's

## II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

It integrates econometrics with GIS/remote sensing. Data, Units of Observation, and Time Frame 31 districts (post-bifurcations; ensure consistent mapping), 2001–2024 (or 2003–2019 for degradation atlas rounds; 2001–2023 for agriculture & weather).

Dependent variables (by district  $d$ , year  $t$ ): Agricultural outcomes: yield index (crop-weighted), net sown area, gross irrigated area share, cropping intensity, real farm income proxy (value of output deflated). Land conversion outcomes: % area under built-up; change in cropland share; sanctioned conversions (counts/area) where available.

## III. OBJECTIVES

The study has confined the following objectives to analyse the land degradation and urbanization in Karnataka:

- To study the causes and measures for land degradation and intension of the urbanization in Karnataka.
- To analyse the problem of land degradation and its impact on livelihood activities

Fixed-effects panel:

$$y_{dt} = \alpha_d + \gamma_t + \beta_1 \text{Urban}_{dt} + \beta_2$$

$$\text{Degrad}_{dt} + \beta_3 (\text{Urban}_{dt} \times \text{Irrigation}_{dt}) + \delta' X_{dt} + \varepsilon_{dt}$$

$$\{dt\} = \alpha_d + \gamma_t + \beta_1 \text{Urban}_{dt} + \beta_2 \text{Degrad}_{dt} + \beta_3 (\text{Urban}_{dt} \times \text{Irrigation}_{dt}) + \mathbf{\delta'X}_{dt} +$$

$$\epsilon_{dt} = \alpha + \gamma_t + \beta_1 \text{Urban}_{dt} + \beta_2 \text{Degrad}_{dt} + \beta_3 (\text{Urban}_{dt} \times \text{Irrigation}_{dt}) + \delta' X_{dt} + \epsilon_{dt}$$

- Outcome  $y_{dt}$ : yields, net sown area, or cropland share.
- $\alpha$ : district FE (time-invariant heterogeneity, e.g., soils);  $\gamma_t$ : year shocks (statewide prices, policy).
- Interaction term identifies whether irrigation buffers degradation impacts in

The following are the reasons and controlling measures are strictly to be taken:

- ✓ Price and land-value signals (Opportunity **cost**) Rapid urbanization — especially around Bengaluru, Mysuru and other growth corridors — has sharply raised land prices on the peri-urban fringe. Farmers face high opportunity costs of retaining cropland; many sell or lease for housing/commercial use. This is a core economic driver of conversion.
- ✓ Labor reallocation and demographics Non-farm jobs in urban centres increase wages and draw rural labour away, raising production costs for remaining farms and prompting mechanization or exit. Aging farm households are more likely to sell land. (Seen across Karnataka districts.)
- ✓ Degraded productivity (land quality declines) Water erosion, declining topsoil, salinity pockets and vegetation loss reduce yields and raise per-hectare costs (fertilisers, soil conservation), lowering farm returns and making conversion more attractive. ISRO atlas quantifies extent and dominant processes.
- ✓ Infrastructure & weak land-use planning Infrastructure announcements (roads, IT parks) create speculative pressure; inadequate or poorly enforced zoning (buffers around tanks/wetlands) allows encroachment of sensitive land and accelerates degradation. Investigative reporting and academic work document these planning gaps in Bengaluru region.
- ✓ Market failures in environmental services Upstream land degradation imposes downstream flood/siltation costs (public goods externalities). Absent payments for ecosystem services (PES), private landowners underinvest in erosion control. National plans (NAP to combat desertification) highlight need for targeted incentives.

#### Controlling measures

- ✓ Strengthen and enforce urban growth boundaries & land-use zoning around Bengaluru, Mysuru, Hubballi-Dharwad and other growth centers — explicitly protect prime irrigated cropland and high-value watershed catchments. (Use statutory zoning + GIS-backed cadastre.)
- ✓ Mandate buffer zones for lakes, tanks, and major drains (rajakaluves) with strict no-build rules and GIS-based permit checks. (Addresses encroachment and hydrology disruption.)
- ✓ Targeted watershed treatment in erosion “hotspots” identified from ISRO atlas: contour bunding, reforestation of steep slopes, check-dams, desilting of tanks. Prioritize areas where degradation reduces downstream urban water storage or causes flood risk.
- ✓ Promote agroforestry and perennial ground **cover** on sloping farms; incentivize conversion from highly erosive annuals to protective systems (mango, areca, multi-strata agroforestry where appropriate).
- ✓ Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES): pay upstream farmers for practices that reduce siltation and maintain tank catchments (financed by municipal water budgets or watershed bonds).
- ✓ Vacant land/speculation tax and faster property-tax escalation on undeveloped urban-fringe holdings to discourage land-banking.
- ✓ Subsidies / low-interest loans for soil conservation investments (farm ponds, terrace stabilization) and for farmers switching to less erosive, water-smart crops.
- ✓ Interdepartmental taskforce (Revenue, Rural Development, Urban Development, Forests, Water) with quarterly performance metrics (area treated, conversions stopped, tank desilting volumes).
- ✓ Community-based Lake/tank stewardship (Panchayat - urban ward partnerships) to sustain maintenance, with small PES stipends for upkeep.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Karnataka’s development over the next decade will be defined by how it manages the urban–agriculture–environment nexus. With the state poised to cross 50% urbanization by the mid-2030s, and roughly

seven million hectares already under some form of land degradation dominated by water erosion, the economic case for hydrology-first planning, peri-urban agricultural protection, and market-aligned incentives is strong. A mixed-methods research design—district panels with spatial econometrics plus satellite-based LULC analytics—can quantify the marginal effects of urbanization and degradation on yields, cropland retention, and incomes, and can guide targeted, high-return investments in watershed restoration, drainage and lake systems, and resilient farm systems. Aligning land-use regulation with infrastructure sequencing and ecosystem services payments can reduce irreversible conversion of productive farmland, safeguard water security for cities, and stabilize rural livelihoods—ensuring Karnataka’s growth remains both economically efficient and ecologically durable.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Department of Economic and Statistics, Government of Karnataka. (2023). Economic Survey of Karnataka 2022–23 (and 2023–24 data collections).
- [2] Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation / Census of India. (2011). District Census Handbook — Bangalore / Karnataka: Part XII A / Primary Census Abstract (Urban Agglomeration). Government of India.
- [3] National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC) / ISRO. (2021). Annual Land Use and Land Cover Atlas of India / LULC maps (2005–06, 2011–12, 2015–16, 2020–21).
- [4] Bhuvan / NRSC. Bharath, A., & co-authors. (2023). Evaluation of Land Use/Land Cover Changes due to Urban Expansion: Case of Bengaluru Rural District. Scientific Reports / Journal Article (2023).
- [5] Eswar, M. (2018). Urbanisation in Karnataka: Trend and Spatial Pattern (Working paper / SSRN).
- [6] Reddy, V. R. (2003). Land Degradation in India: Extent, Costs and Determinants. Economic and Political Weekly, 38(44), 4700–4713.
- [7] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) — India. (2024). Tackling Land Degradation and Desertification / FAO in India — Country & Thematic Notes.
- [8] Nandini, C. L. (2018). Impact of Urbanization on Agricultural Production Systems and Peri-urban Agriculture. CAB/Journal chapter.
- [9] Preusse, V., et al. (2024). Urbanization and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices among peri-urban farmers in Bangalore. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics / Article (2024).
- [10] “Economics of Land Degradation in India” — Research compendium / working paper (ResearchGate). (Year varies; compiles extent, costs and policy responses).