

# Plant Disease Detection Using Convolutional Neural Networks

K. Divya Dharshini<sup>1</sup>, Mrs. P. Jasmine Lois Ebenezer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>II MCA, Department of Computer Applications, Sarah Tucker College, Tirunelveli-627007

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor and HOD, Department of Computer Applications, Sarah Tucker College, Tirunelveli-627007

*Abstract Agriculture is the foundation of global food security, yet it continues to face one of its greatest challenges: crop and fruit diseases. These diseases not only reduce the quantity of agricultural yield but also impact its quality, leading to significant economic losses and food shortages. Traditionally, disease detection has relied on human experts who manually inspect plants for visible symptoms. While effective in some cases, this process is slow, costly, subjective, and prone to human error, especially when symptoms appear similar across different diseases.*

*To overcome these challenges, this study introduces a computer-aided system for detecting plant diseases using Machine Learning (ML) and advanced image processing techniques. The proposed framework follows a structured pipeline consisting of image acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, classification, and performance evaluation. Several algorithms—Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests, k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)—were implemented and compared.*

*The experimental results highlight that CNN-based architectures outperform traditional ML methods, achieving an accuracy of over 95%. Such a system can play a vital role in precision agriculture by enabling early detection of plant diseases, minimizing crop loss, and supporting farmers in improving productivity.*

**Keywords:** Plant disease detection, Machine learning, CNN, Precision farming

## I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is not only the backbone of many economies but also a critical source of food and livelihood for billions of people worldwide. However, agricultural productivity is under constant threat due to pests and plant diseases.

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), nearly 20–40% of global crop yields are lost each year due to diseases and pests. Such losses

significantly affect both food availability and the income of farmers, particularly in developing nations where agriculture employs the majority of the population.

The conventional approach of disease detection involves human experts visually identifying symptoms on leaves, fruits, or stems. Although useful, this approach faces several limitations—it is time-consuming, requires skilled labor, lacks scalability, and may produce inconsistent results due to human subjectivity. Moreover, in rural or remote areas, access to agricultural specialists is often limited, leaving farmers without timely diagnosis or treatment recommendations.

Recent developments in computer vision and machine learning (ML) present a powerful alternative. By analyzing leaf images, machine learning algorithms can automatically extract features, identify hidden patterns, and classify diseases with remarkable accuracy. This research work proposes a machine learning-based detection system to support precision agriculture and provide farmers with faster, more reliable, and scalable disease diagnosis tools.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Numerous studies have demonstrated the potential of machine learning and deep learning in the field of plant disease detection. For instance, research on the PlantVillage dataset has shown that Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) can achieve over 95% classification accuracy, far exceeding traditional ML models. While conventional methods such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forests also provide reliable results, they often require handcrafted feature extraction techniques, such as analyzing leaf texture, color, and shape.

One of the greatest advantages of CNNs is their ability to automatically learn hierarchical features directly from raw images, eliminating the need for manual feature engineering. However, CNNs are computationally intensive and require large labeled datasets for training. Literature also highlights challenges such as reduced performance on noisy real-world images, dependency on balanced datasets, and the necessity for lightweight models that can run on mobile devices for field-level applications. To address these challenges, researchers have proposed integrating preprocessing techniques, transfer learning, and hybrid models that combine deep learning with traditional methods. These innovations aim to improve generalizability, reduce computational costs, and bring disease detection systems closer to practical use in agriculture.

### III. METHODOLOGY

The proposed system is composed of six core modules: Image Acquisition, Preprocessing, Feature Extraction, Classification, Result Evaluation, and User Interface. Together, these modules form a complete pipeline for automated plant disease detection.

1. Image Acquisition: High-quality images of plant leaves are collected from publicly available datasets or directly from the field using smartphones or cameras.
2. Preprocessing: Images are standardized through resizing, noise reduction, and contrast enhancement to ensure consistent quality.
3. Feature Extraction: Relevant features such as texture, color, and shape are extracted for traditional ML methods, while CNNs automatically extract deep hierarchical features.
4. Classification: Various algorithms (SVM, Random Forest, KNN, CNN) are applied to classify whether a leaf is healthy or diseased, and to identify the type of disease.
5. Result Evaluation: Models are assessed based on accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and training time.
6. User Interface: A simple interface can be designed to allow farmers to upload leaf images and receive instant feedback on possible diseases.

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental analysis was conducted using the PlantVillage dataset, which contains approximately

54,000 images of healthy and diseased plant leaves. This dataset covers 14 different crop species and 26 types of diseases, making it one of the most comprehensive resources for this field. For training and testing, the dataset was divided into 70% training, 20% validation and 10% testing.

Classical ML models (SVM, Random Forest, KNN) were implemented using the Scikit-learn library, while CNN models were developed in TensorFlow/Keras. Experiments were performed on a machine equipped with an Intel i7 processor, 16GB of RAM, and an NVIDIA GTX GPU to accelerate deep learning training.

### V. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The performance comparison of different models is summarized in the table below. Evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and training time were considered to provide a holistic understanding of each model's strengths and weaknesses.

Model	Accuracy (%)	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	89.5	0.88	0.87	0.87
Random Forest (RF)	91.2	0.90	0.90	0.90
k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)	85.6	0.84	0.83	0.83
Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	96.8	0.97	0.96	0.96

The results demonstrate that CNN achieved the highest accuracy at 96.8%, clearly outperforming traditional ML models. While Random Forest and SVM also provided reliable results, KNN showed relatively weaker performance. CNN's superior ability to automatically extract deep features explains its success, though it comes with significant computational requirements.

### VI. DISCUSSION

The experimental findings confirm that CNNs are the most effective for plant disease detection. Their capacity to identify complex features and patterns makes them highly accurate. However, one limitation is their heavy demand for computational resources, which can be a barrier in rural settings

where high-performance devices are not always available.

For such contexts, Random Forest or SVM models may serve as more practical alternatives since they provide decent accuracy with much lower computational costs. Another challenge lies in the system's dependence on large labeled datasets, which are not always feasible to collect in real-world conditions. Moreover, real-field images often contain noise, variations in lighting, and complex backgrounds that can negatively impact model accuracy.

Despite these limitations, the system demonstrates strong potential for scalability and practical use. It can aid farmers in early disease detection, reduce losses, and promote sustainable farming practices.

## 7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This research introduced a machine learning-based system for plant disease detection through image processing. By combining preprocessing, feature extraction, and classification, the system achieved promising results across several models, with CNNs outperforming all others.

For future improvements, work will focus on expanding datasets with real-field images, developing lightweight CNN architectures that can run on mobile phones, and integrating the system with IoT sensors and drones for large-scale field monitoring. These advancements will bring automated plant disease detection closer to practical, real-world agricultural use.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Saleem, M. H., Potgieter, J., & Arif, K. M. (2019). Plant disease detection and classification by deep learning. *Plants*, 8(11), 468.
- [2] <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8110468> Atila, Ü., Uçar, M., Akyol, K., & Uçar, E. (2021). Plant leaf disease classification using EfficientNet deep learning model. *Ecological Informatics*, 61, 101182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2020.101182>
- [3] Alzubaidi, L., Zhang, J., & Humaidi, A. J. (2021). Review of deep learning: Concepts, CNN architectures, challenges, applications, future directions. *Journal of Big Data*, 8, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-021-00444-8>
- [4] Khan, M. A., Akram, T., Sharif, M., & Javed, K. (2020). Two-stream deep CNN for plant disease detection. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 79, 15467–15490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-019-08343-3>
- [5] Zhao, C., Zhang, Y., Du, J., Guo, X., & Wen, W. (2020). Crop disease diagnosis system based on deep learning and mobile platforms. *Biosystems Engineering*, 193, 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2020.02.002>