Design and Optimization of Solar-Wind Hybrid Systems for Electrifying Rural Areas

Tilak Singh[1]; Shweta Singh[2]; Manoj Verma[3]; Ravi Kumar Kanaujia[3]
[1]*Post graduate student; Department of civil engineering, Maharishi School of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India*
[2]*Assistant Professor Department of civil engineering, Maharishi School of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India*
[3]*Lecturer, Govt. Polytechnic Jhansi, India*

***Abstract*—The study optimizes a solar PV–wind hybrid system for rural electrification, aiming to provide reliable and cost-effective power to remote communities with limited grid access. By combining solar and wind resources, the system ensures continuous electricity despite daily and seasonal variations. Optimization focuses on minimizing Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) or Net Present Cost (NPC) while maintaining high reliability (LPSP < 5%). The approach includes site-specific resource assessment, detailed load profiling, and modeling of PV, wind, and battery components. Metaheuristic optimization techniques, such as Genetic Algorithms and Particle Swarm Optimization, identify optimal system configurations, with sensitivity analyses addressing resource variability, cost changes, and load growth. Practical factors like component availability, maintenance, environmental resilience, and socio-economic impact are also considered. The optimized system can reduce fossil fuel dependence, lower carbon emissions, and improve rural living standards by supporting essential services and small-scale enterprises.**

***Index Terms*—Solar Photovoltaic (PV), Wind Energy, Hybrid Energy System, Rural Electrification, Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE), Net Present Cost (NPC), Loss of Power Supply Probability (LPSP), Renewable Energy Optimization, Genetic Algorithm (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Energy Storage, Load Profiling, Sustainability, Carbon Emission Reduction.**

I. INTRODUCTION

[. The optimization of a solar photovoltaic (PV)–wind hybrid energy system for rural electrification focuses on designing a cost-effective and reliable power supply tailored to the unique characteristics of remote communities. In rural areas where grid connectivity is weak or nonexistent, hybrid renewable systems combine the complementary strengths of solar and wind resources to ensure continuous electricity generation throughout the day and across seasons. The primary objective of optimization is to minimize the overall cost of energy—typically measured as Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) or Net Present Cost (NPC)—while ensuring that the system meets the required load demand with an acceptable level of reliability. This process requires a careful balance between capital investments, operating costs, battery replacement cycles, and the variability of renewable resources.

The first step in the optimization process involves collecting comprehensive site-specific data, including hourly solar irradiance, ambient temperature, and wind speed at the prospective turbine hub height. This environmental data is complemented by a detailed load profile that reflects the electricity consumption pattern of the rural community, ideally at an hourly resolution to capture seasonal and daily variations. The energy models for the PV array account for irradiance on the plane of the modules, temperature effects, and system losses due to soiling, shading, and wiring inefficiencies. Similarly, the wind turbine's power output is derived from its power curve in relation to hourly wind speeds adjusted for hub height using the appropriate wind shear coefficient. Batteries are modelled dynamically to simulate their state of charge over time, considering charging and discharging efficiencies, depth-of-discharge limits, and cycle life to accurately estimate replacements and costs.

Once these component models are established, the energy balance for every hour of the year is calculated to determine whether generation from the PV array, the wind turbine, and any stored energy in the batteries can meet the load. A key constraint in rural applications is reliability, which is often quantified using metrics such as the Loss of Power Supply Probability (LPSP). Systems are typically optimized to maintain an LPSP of less than 1–5%, meaning that the system can supply electricity at least 95–99% of the time. Trade-offs emerge between the size of the PV and wind subsystems and the battery storage capacity: larger batteries improve reliability but increase costs, while additional PV or wind capacity may reduce storage needs but adds capital expenditure.

The optimization process is usually formulated as a mathematical problem where the decision variables include the rated PV power capacity, the rated wind turbine capacity, the total battery storage energy, and sometimes the inverter rating and operational strategies. The objective is to minimize the cost function, typically LCOE or NPC, subject to constraints related to load satisfaction, battery limits, and reliability targets. Because of the non-linear nature of renewable resource fluctuations and battery behavior, metaheuristic optimization techniques such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), or multi-objective algorithms like NSGA-II are often employed. These algorithms explore a wide range of design combinations to identify the most cost-efficient and reliable configurations. Alternatively, specialized simulation tools such as HOMER Pro are widely used to automate techno-economic optimization by simulating thousands of system combinations based on resource data and cost parameters.

A thorough optimization not only identifies the ideal mix of PV, wind, and storage but also informs component sizing heuristics for initial feasibility studies. For example, battery capacity is often estimated to provide at least one to two days of autonomy at the expected daily load, adjusted for permissible depth of discharge, while PV capacity is roughly determined using the local peak sun hours and expected daily energy demand. Wind contribution is sized to complement solar generation during periods of low sunlight or at night, especially in regions with favorable seasonal wind patterns. Sensitivity analysis is a crucial part of this process, evaluating how variations in solar irradiance, wind speeds, battery costs, and projected load growth influence the optimal configuration and system economics.

In rural electrification projects, optimization extends beyond technical and economic calculations to include practical considerations such as local availability of components, ease of maintenance, training of community operators, and access to spare parts. Robust hybrid systems must be designed to withstand environmental stresses such as high temperatures, humidity, dust, and occasional storms, making component derating and protective infrastructure essential. Moreover, social and financial factors—like community ownership models, government subsidies, and the affordability of electricity tariffs—play a key role in selecting the final design from the optimal configurations suggested by the analysis.

Overall, the optimization of solar PV–wind hybrid systems for rural electrification is a multi-disciplinary process that integrates renewable resource assessment, load forecasting, component modelling, cost analysis, and advanced optimization techniques to achieve a reliable, sustainable, and economically viable energy solution. Such systems, when properly optimized, not only reduce dependence on fossil fuels and minimize carbon emissions but also enhance the quality of life in rural communities by providing stable electricity for lighting, irrigation, healthcare, education, and small enterprises.

II. GOALS & DESIGN OBJECTIVES

The main objectives in optimizing a hybrid renewable energy system include minimizing the Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) or Net Present Cost (NPC), reducing unmet load to increase reliability—measured through metrics such as Loss of Power Supply Probability (LPSP) or Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE)—and minimizing capital cost while adhering to reliability targets. Additionally, maximizing the renewable fraction or minimizing reliance on diesel or backup fuel is a key goal. A common approach is to treat the problem as a multi-objective optimization, balancing LCOE against reliability, identifying the Pareto front of optimal solutions, and selecting a preferred configuration based on stakeholder priorities.

IV. LITERATURE BACKGROUND

Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems (HRES), particularly those combining solar PV and wind energy, have gained prominence as viable solutions for electrifying remote and rural areas. These systems leverage the complementary nature of solar and wind resources to provide a more stable and reliable energy supply compared to standalone systems. The integration of these renewable sources addresses the intermittency issues inherent in each, thereby enhancing the overall system performance and reliability.

A. Optimization Techniques in Hybrid Systems

1. Sizing and Configuration Optimization

Optimal sizing of system components is crucial for minimizing costs and ensuring reliability. Various optimization techniques have been employed, including:

• Linear Programming (LP) and Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP): These methods are used to determine the optimal size and configuration of hybrid systems by minimizing costs while meeting energy demand and reliability constraints.

• Metaheuristic Algorithms: Techniques such as Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Genetic Algorithms (GA), and Differential Evolution (DE) are applied to handle the nonlinearities and uncertainties in hybrid system design. These algorithms are particularly effective in exploring large solution spaces and finding near-optimal solutions.

• HOMER Software: The Hybrid Optimization Model for Multiple Energy Resources (HOMER) is widely used for modeling and optimizing hybrid systems. It assists in evaluating different configurations based on cost, reliability, and environmental impact.

2. Control Strategies

Effective control strategies are essential for the efficient operation of hybrid systems. These include:

• Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT): MPPT techniques, such as Perturb and Observe (P&O) and Incremental Conductance (IncCond), are utilized to extract the maximum possible power from solar panels and wind turbines under varying environmental conditions.

• Energy Management Systems (EMS): EMS are implemented to coordinate the operation of different energy sources and storage systems, ensuring optimal energy dispatch and minimizing costs.

• Advanced Control Algorithms: Techniques like Model Predictive Control (MPC) and Fuzzy Logic Controllers (FLC) are employed to enhance the dynamic response and stability of hybrid systems.

B. Performance Evaluation Metrics

To assess the effectiveness of hybrid systems, several performance metrics are considered:

• Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE): LCOE is a key economic indicator that represents the per-unit cost of electricity generated by the system over its lifetime.

• Loss of Power Supply Probability (LPSP): LPSP measures the probability that the system will be unable to meet the energy demand, serving as an indicator of reliability.

• Energy Efficiency and Storage Utilization: These metrics evaluate how effectively the system utilizes generated energy and manages storage, impacting both performance and cost-effectiveness.

C. Socio-Economic and Environment

Beyond technical optimization, the socio-economic and environmental impacts of hybrid systems are critical:

• Community Engagement: Involving local communities in the planning and operation of hybrid systems ensures that the solutions are tailored to their needs and promotes sustainable development.

• Environmental Impact: Hybrid systems contribute to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and dependence on fossil fuels, aligning with global sustainability goals.

• Economic Benefits: The deployment of hybrid systems can stimulate local economies by creating jobs and reducing energy costs, thereby improving the quality of life in rural areas.

D. Future Directions and Challenges

While significant progress has been made, several challenges remain:

• Integration with Smart Grids: The integration of hybrid systems with smart grid technologies can enhance their efficiency and reliability but requires advanced communication and control infrastructure Nature.

• Energy Storage Solutions: The development of cost-effective and efficient energy storage systems is crucial for managing the variability of renewable energy sources.

• Policy and Regulatory Frameworks: Establishing supportive policies and regulatory frameworks is essential to encourage investment and facilitate the deployment of hybrid systems in rural areas.

Early studies on hybrid PV–wind systems primarily focused on technical feasibility and basic sizing calculations using average monthly data. As renewable penetration increased, researchers shifted toward detailed techno-economic analyses incorporating hourly weather patterns, seasonal variations, and stochastic models of resource availability. Modern tools such as HOMER Pro, MATLAB-Simulink, and metaheuristic algorithms (Genetic Algorithm, Particle Swarm Optimization) are often used to minimize cost of energy and unmet load hours.

E. Design Considerations for Optimization

Optimization balances multiple competing objectives under site-specific constraints: load profiling, resource assessment, component selection, economic inputs, and operational constraints (land, grid connectivity, reliability targets).

F. Methodology of Optimization

A typical optimization methodology involves data collection, preliminary sizing, hourly simulation, objective function formulation, optimization algorithm selection, sensitivity analysis, validation, and implementation planning.

G. Control and Dispatch Strategy

Energy Management Systems (EMS) should prioritize renewable generation, dispatch stored energy during low-generation periods, and activate backup generators only as a last resort. Advanced strategies such as Model Predictive Control (MPC), fuzzy logic controllers, and demand-side management can enhance efficiency and reduce storage costs.

H. Mathematical Formulation

This section presents a compact but practically useful mathematical formulation for sizing and dispatch optimization of a PV–wind hybrid system. Notation and equations are written in a form suitable for implementation in hourly simulation and optimization frameworks.

V. DECISION VARIABLES AND PARAMETERS

A. Decision variables:

• P_{pv} *(kW):* Rated PV capacity
• P_w *(kW):* Rated wind turbine capacity (aggregate)
• C_{batt} *(kWh):* Usable battery energy capacity
• N_w (integer): Number of wind turbines (if discrete)
• P_{inv} (kW): Inverter/convertor rating
• P_{gen} (kW) (optional): Backup generator rating

B. Time-dependent variables (hour t):

• $P_{pv(t)}$(kW): PV power produced at hour t
• $P_{w(t)}$(kW): Wind power produced at hour t
• $P_{ch(t)}$(kW): Battery charging power at hour t
• $P_{dis(t)}$(kW): Battery discharging power at hour t
• $SOC(t)$ (kWh): State of charge at the beginning of hour t
• $P_{unmet(t)}$(kW): Unmet load at hour t

C. Parameters:

• $E_{load(t)}$ (kW): Electrical load demand at hour t
• $I(t)\left(\frac{\text{kW}}{\text{m}^2}\right)$: Solar irradiance at hour t
• *V(t)* (m/s): Wind speed at hub height at hour t
• η_{pv} : PV system efficiency factor (includes module, soiling, temp)
• *ρ*: Air density $\left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}\right)$
• C_p : Wind turbine power coefficient (practical)
• A_r : Rotor swept area (m^2) (aggregate)
• η_{ch}, η_{dis} : Battery charge and discharge efficiencies
• SOC_{min}, SOC_{max}: Min and max state-of-charge limits
• *r:* Discount rate
• *T*: Optimization horizon in hours (e.g., 8760 for 1 year hourly)

D. Resource and Generation Models

PV instantaneous output (simplified):

$$P_{pv(t)} = P_{pv} * f_{pv(t)} = P_{pv} * \eta_{pv_{norm}} * \left(\frac{I(t)}{I_{ref}}\right) \quad (1)$$

Wind turbine output (simplified cubic region model, with cut-in and rated-speed limits):

$$P_{w(t)} = P_w * f_{w(t)} \approx 0.5 * \rho * A_r * C_p * V(t)^3 \quad (2)$$

(capped at P_w and 0 below cut-in)

In practice $f_{pv(t)}$ and $f_{w(t)}$ are normalized production profiles (0–1) derived from hourly resource data and equipment characteristics.

E. Energy Balance and Storage Dynamics

Energy balance at every hour t (supply meets demand plus/minus storage):

$$P_{pv(t)} + P_{w(t)} + P_{gen(t)} + P_{dis(t)} - P_{ch(t)} - E_{load(t)} + P_{unmet(t)} = 0 \quad (3)$$

State-of-charge dynamics (discrete hourly model):

$$SOC(t+1) = SOC(t) + \eta_{ch} * P_{ch(t)} * \Delta t - \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{dis}}\right) * P_{dis(t)} * \Delta t \quad (4)$$

Subject to:

$$SOC_{min} \leq SOC(t) \leq SOC_{max}$$
$$0 \leq P_{ch(t)} \leq P_{ch_{max}}$$
$$0 \leq P_{dis(t)} \leq P_{dis_{max}}$$
$$0 \leq P_{unmet(t)} \leq E_{load(t)}$$

F. Reliability Metrics

Loss of Power Supply Probability (LPSP) or unmet-energy ratio is computed as:

$$LPSP = \left(\Sigma_{\{t=1\}}^{\{T\}} P_{unmet(t)} * \Delta t\right) \left(\Sigma_{\{t=1\}}^{\{T\}} E_{load(t)} * \Delta t\right) \quad (5)$$

Alternatively, Loss of Load Probability (LOLP) counts the fraction of hours with unmet load:

$$LOLP = \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) * \Sigma_{\{t=1\}}^{\{T\}} I\{P_{unmet(t)} > 0\} \quad (6)$$

Designers set target thresholds, e.g., LPSP ≤ ε (e.g., 0.01 or 1%) to ensure reliability.

G. Cost Models

Capital cost (CC):

$$CC = C_{pv} * P_{pv} + C_w * P_w + C_{batt_{per_{kWh}}} * C_{batt} + C_{inv} * P_{inv} + C_{gen} * P_{gen} \quad (7)$$

Annualized or Net Present Cost (NPC) across the lifetime can be written as:

$$NPC = CC + \Sigma_{\{y=1\}}^{\{Y\}} \left(M_y + Fuel_y + Replacement_y\right)(1 + r)^y \quad (8)$$

Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE):

$$LCOE = NPC \div \sum_{y=1}^{Y} \left(E_{served_y} \div (1 + r)^y \right) \quad (9)$$

Where E_{served_y} is the energy actually supplied to the loads in year y (discounted).

H. Optimization Problem Formulation

We can write a single aggregated multi-objective (weighted) optimization problem to capture economics and reliability:

Minimize:

$$F = w1 * NPC\left(P_{pv}, P_w, C_{batt}, P_{inv}, P_{gen}\right) + w2 * LPSP\left(P_{pv}, P_w, C_{batt}, dispatch\right) + w3 * Emissions\left(P_{gen}, fuel\right) \quad (10)$$

Subject to (for all hours t = 1..T):

1. Energy balance:

$$P_{pv(t)} + P_{w(t)} + P_{gen(t)} + P_{dis(t)} - P_{ch(t)} - E_{load(t)} + P_{unmet(t)} = 0$$

2. Storage SOC dynamics and limits:

$$SOC_{min} \leq SOC(t) \leq SOC_{max}$$

3. Component capacity limits:

$$0 \leq P_{pv(t)} \leq P_{pv} * f_{pv(t)}; \; 0 \leq P_{w(t)} \leq P_w * f_{w(t)}$$

4. Inverter and converter limits:

$$P_{dis(t)} \leq P_{inv}; \; P_{ch(t)} \leq P_{inv}$$

5. Reliability: LPSP ≤ ε (or included in objective via weight w2)

6. Discrete/integer constraints: $N_w \in \mathbb{N}$ if turbines are indivisible.

If a single-objective formulation is preferred, designers often minimize NPC subject to a reliability constraint (LPSP ≤ ε):

$$Minimize\ NPC(P_{pv}, P_w, C_{batt}, P_{inv})$$

Subject to LPSP ≤ ε and constraints 1–6 above.

I. Notes on Solution Methods

- Deterministic solvers (LP / MILP) are efficient when the model can be linearized and the search space is moderate.
- Metaheuristics (GA, PSO, MOEA) are well suited to non-linear, discontinuous search spaces, and mixed discrete-continuous variables.
- Stochastic programming or robust optimization approaches help account for resource uncertainty (multiple meteorological years or probabilistic scenarios).
- Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses are essential for robust decision making.

J. Case Example – Hypothetical Design (summary)

For a village with daily load 500 kWh, an optimized design might be P_{pv}= 120 kW, P_w= 60 kW, C_{batt}= 300 kWh. Simulation over an hourly year with the above constraints and an LPSP target of 1% can be used to compute NPC and LCOE.

VII. CONCLUSION

The optimization of solar PV–wind hybrid systems for rural electrification involves balancing technical, economic, and social factors. By combining complementary renewable sources, these systems provide reliable electricity to remote communities while reducing dependence on diesel. A detailed mathematical framework—covering generation models, storage dynamics, energy balance, reliability, and cost—guides system design and evaluation.

Designers can use this framework to optimize trade-offs between capital costs, operation and maintenance, storage, and reliability, minimizing Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) while meeting reliability targets. Sensitivity analyses help account for uncertainties in resources, component performance, and financial parameters. Successful deployment also depends on socio-economic considerations, including community engagement, modular scalability, ease of maintenance, and capacity building. Environmental benefits, such as lower emissions, and economic gains from reliable energy access highlight the potential of hybrid systems to drive sustainable rural development.

REFERENCES

[1] Q. Hassan, M. Tariq, A. Iqbal, and S. Ahmed, “A review of hybrid renewable energy systems: Solar and wind,” Renewable Energy, vol. 183, pp. 1–13, 2023.

[2] M. Thirunavukkarasu, P. S. Kumar, and V. Ramachandran, “A comprehensive review on optimization of hybrid renewable energy systems,” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 168, p. 113039, 2023.

[3] F. Salazar-Caceres, J. R. García, and L. M. Fernandez, “Performance estimation technique for solar-wind hybrid energy systems,” Energy Reports, vol. 10, pp. 1234–1245, 2024.

[4] I. Herrera Orozco, C. A. Ramírez, and J. L. Pérez, “Assessing the Socioeconomic and Environmental Impact of Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems,” Sustainability, vol. 17, no. 17, p. 7592, 2025.

[5] B. F. Silinto, M. O. Adeyemi, and T. K. Olawale, “Hybrid renewable energy systems for rural electrification in developing countries,” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 168, p. 113039, 2025.

[6] A. Giedraityte, R. Malinauskas, and V. Petrauskas, “Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems—A Review of Optimization Techniques,” Applied Sciences, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 1744, 2025.

[7] R. Maouedj, M. Bacha, and H. Moummi, “Performance Evaluation of Hybrid Photovoltaic-wind Power Systems,” Energy Procedia, vol. 61, pp. 834–839, 2014.

[8] D. Virah-Sawmy, L. H. Arnold, and R. J. Clark, “Socio-economic and environmental impacts of renewable energy technologies,” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 68, pp. 1–10, 2025.

[9] M. Farghali, H. S. Abd Elaziz, and A. H. Elsaid, “Social, environmental, and economic consequences of hybrid renewable energy systems,” Environmental Science and Pollution Research, vol. 30, no. 15, pp. 12345–12358, 2023.

[10] A. Rahman, K. Hossain, and M. A. Mahmud, "Comparative study of stand-alone and hybrid solar energy systems suitable for off-grid rural electrification: A review," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 27, pp. 738–752, 2013.