Social Media and the Dopamine System: A Behavioral Neuroscience Perspective on Reward, Attention, and Addiction

Yeshwini Sharma[1], Sara Pothen[2]
Pes University

***Abstract*—The deep integration of social media into daily life has sparked widespread concern about its potential to foster problematic and addiction-like use. This review brings together current evidence from behavioral neuroscience to clarify the neurobiological and cognitive mechanisms that drive this phenomenon. We explore how social media platforms tap into the brain's mesolimbic dopamine system, a fundamental reward circuit, by providing powerful forms of social validation that serve as strong reinforcers. A key area of focus is platform design, especially the use of variable ratio reinforcement schedules, which operate like gambling systems and are highly effective in creating compulsive, lasting behaviors by maximizing dopamine release in states of uncertain anticipation. We review neuroimaging studies that show functional and structural changes in the brains of individuals with problematic social media use—including altered cue reactivity, reward processing, and gray matter volume in the prefrontal cortex, striatum, and amygdala—which bear a striking resemblance to those seen in substance use and gambling disorders. Additionally, we examine the cognitive outcomes, explaining how these neural alterations lead to attentional biases toward social media cues and weaken essential executive functions like inhibitory control and working memory. The review also outlines key vulnerability factors, such as the heightened neurodevelopmental sensitivity of the adolescent brain, certain personality traits, and new evidence of genetic predispositions. By positioning problematic social media use within established neuroscientific models of addiction, this paper highlights the critical need for more longitudinal research and the creation of evidence-based interventions and ethical design principles to lessen potential harm.**

***Index Terms*—social media addiction; dopamine; reward system; behavioral neuroscience; variable reinforcement; attentional bias**

I. INTRODUCTION

In modern society, social media has emerged as an omnipresent force, fundamentally altering how we communicate, interact socially, and consume information. With billions of users worldwide, platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, and TikTok occupy a significant part of daily existence, especially for adolescents and young adults, who can spend multiple hours each day in these digital spaces. [1] This unprecedented level of interaction has elevated social media from a simple communication utility to a potent environmental influence that actively molds human cognition, emotion, and behavior. [2]

While these platforms provide advantages like social connection and information access, a growing collection of research has pinpointed a usage pattern in some individuals that mirrors the characteristics of behavioral addiction. [3] This state, frequently called Problematic Social Media Use (PSMU) or Addiction-Like Social Media Use (ASMU), is not defined by the total time spent online, but by a group of symptoms that align with the diagnostic criteria for other addictive disorders. [4] The main components include a preoccupation with the platform, using it to alter one's mood, developing tolerance (requiring more use for the same effect), feeling withdrawal symptoms (like anxiety or irritability) when access is denied, and a persistent failure of control, which results in continued use despite negative impacts on other areas of life.[4] This clinical model offers a solid, scientific foundation for analysis, moving past casual uses of the word "addiction."

The core argument of this review is that social media's addictive qualities stem from its ability to co-opt and manipulate basic neurobiological systems that evolved over millennia to direct behavior toward rewards

essential for survival, such as food, mating opportunities, and, crucially, social connection.[6] The design elements of today's platforms—powered by complex algorithms—offer strong, unpredictable social rewards that forge a powerful reinforcement cycle, targeting the brain's central motivational circuits. The observable patterns of user interaction, like the frequency and timing of checking for updates, can be seen as a "digital phenotype." This phenotype is not arbitrary; it is the behavioral manifestation of an individual's unique neurobiological and psychological makeup. For example, a person with high neuroticism and weak impulse control might show a pattern of frequent, brief checking, particularly when stressed. [4] This pattern serves as a clear digital indicator of a specific cognitive and emotional state, implying that *how* someone uses social media can signal their particular vulnerabilities to addiction.

This paper will methodically review the evidence that supports this neurobiological model of PSMU. Section 2 will break down the function of the mesolimbic dopamine pathway and its activation by social rewards. Section 3 will examine the design features of social media, with a focus on the powerful psychological tool of variable reinforcement schedules. Section 4 will consolidate neuroimaging evidence of functional and structural brain changes tied to PSMU, making direct comparisons to substance use and gambling disorders. Section 5 will delve into the cognitive effects of these neural shifts, specifically the degradation of attention and executive control. Section 6 will outline the developmental, psychological, and genetic risk factors. Finally, Section 7 will address the wider implications of these findings and propose key directions for future research.

II. THE NEUROBIOLOGY OF REWARD: HIJACKING THE DOPAMINE SYSTEM

The human brain contains a sophisticated and powerful network dedicated to identifying, pursuing, and reinforcing behaviors that promote survival and reproduction. At the center of this network is the mesolimbic dopamine pathway, which has become a key target for the reward mechanisms of social media platforms.

2.1. The Mesolimbic Dopamine Pathway: The Brain's Reward Circuit

The mesolimbic pathway serves as the main neural circuit for managing reward and motivation. It starts with a group of dopamine-producing neurons in the midbrain's ventral tegmental area (VTA), which extends to various forebrain areas, most importantly the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) in the ventral striatum, along with the amygdala and the prefrontal cortex (PFC). [6] For many years, dopamine was popularly called the "pleasure chemical." However, modern neuroscience has updated this view, showing that dopamine's main function is not in the hedonic feeling of pleasure ("liking"), but in the motivational drives of "wanting," anticipation, and reward-prediction error—the signal that an outcome was better than anticipated. [9] This system compels organisms to seek out and repeat actions that result in rewarding outcomes.

2.2. Social Validation as a Primary Reinforcer

As a deeply social species, humans find affiliation and positive social regard to be primary rewards vital for well-being and survival. [10] Social media platforms have leveraged this basic drive by turning social validation into distinct, measurable units: "likes," comments, shares, and follower numbers. A growing collection of neuroimaging studies offers direct proof that this type of digital social feedback functions as a strong, though abstract, reinforcer that directly engages the core parts of the mesolimbic reward system.[6] Functional MRI (fMRI) studies consistently show that getting positive feedback on one's posts, or even just anticipating it, triggers strong activation in the NAcc and ventral striatum—the very same areas that react to primary rewards like food and money, as well as to addictive substances.[8] This confirms the biological plausibility of social media's powerful rewarding quality; to the brain, a "like" is a concrete reward signal.

2.3. The "Dopamine Cycle" and Algorithmic Amplification

These neurobiological processes create a potent, self-reinforcing behavioral pattern often called the "dopamine cycle". [6] This cycle starts with an action (like posting a photo), which is followed by a period of waiting for social feedback. The arrival of notifications prompts a release of dopamine in the reward circuit, which reinforces the original action and makes it more likely the user will do it again.[9] The speed of this feedback is crucial. Unlike many real-

world activities where rewards are delayed and demand long-term effort, social media offers immediate gratification, which strengthens the link between the action and the reward, making the cycle highly compelling. [9]

This cycle is intensified by the advanced, AI-powered algorithms that control modern social media feeds.[2] These algorithms are built to maximize user engagement by learning an individual's preferences and providing a constant flow of personalized content that is highly likely to be rewarding.[6] In essence, these systems craft a custom "digital drug" for each user, tailored to their specific neural reward profile, guaranteeing a steady supply of dopaminergic stimulation and making it progressively harder to disengage. [1]

The constant, high-level stimulation of the dopamine system by these algorithmically-adjusted rewards can lead to major neuroadaptive changes, a process well-established in substance use disorders. Chronic overstimulation of the reward pathway can lead the brain to compensate by decreasing the number of available dopamine D2 receptors in the striatum.[8] In fact, neuroimaging studies have revealed that individuals with internet addiction show a marked reduction in D2 receptor availability, which mirrors findings in substance abusers. [14] This downregulation results in a "reward deficiency syndrome," where the brain's reward circuits become less sensitive. [14] As a result, a much more powerful stimulus is needed to get the same level of satisfaction, and natural, daily rewards may no longer suffice. A face-to-face chat, a walk in nature, or finishing a difficult task might feel uninteresting and unmotivating compared to the strong rewards of the digital world. This devaluation of natural rewards can lead to anhedonia—a diminished capacity to feel pleasure—and encourage the social withdrawal and loss of interest in other activities often cited as key symptoms of PSMU. This creates a vicious cycle where the less rewarding the real world seems, the more attractive the digital world becomes. [4]

III. THE ARCHITECTURE OF COMPULSION: VARIABLE REINFORCEMENT SCHEDULES

Beyond hijacking the brain's reward chemistry, the addictive nature of social media is deeply rooted in its interaction design, which employs powerful principles of behavioral psychology to cultivate compulsive engagement. The most effective of these is the variable reinforcement schedule, a mechanism that clarifies why users stay so attached to their feeds.

3.1. Operant Conditioning and the Power of Unpredictability

The idea of reinforcement schedules comes from the work of B.F. Skinner and the principles of operant conditioning, which state that behaviors are molded by their outcomes. [17] A reinforcement schedule is a rule that determines which instances of a behavior will be rewarded. While some schedules are fixed and predictable (e.g., a reward after every fifth action), the most effective schedule for producing high and steady rates of behavior is the variable ratio (VR) schedule. [18] On a VR schedule, a reward is given after an unpredictable number of actions. [18] It is this lack of predictability that makes the behavior so compelling and hard to stop.

3.2. Social Media as a Digital Slot Machine

A powerful way to understand the psychological pull of social media is to compare it to a slot

machine, a classic device that runs on a VR schedule. [11] A gambler pulls the lever (the action) not knowing if that pull will lead to a jackpot (the reward). The chance that the *very next* pull could be the winner drives fast, repetitive, and persistent play.

Social media platforms are built in exactly the same manner. When a user refreshes their newsfeed, they are essentially pulling a digital lever. The feed might show a highly rewarding item—a "like" on their latest post, an engaging news story, a message from a friend—or it might show nothing of interest. This uncertainty turns the act of checking into an irresistible gamble.[11] The intermittent and unpredictable arrival of notifications, likes, and comments is a perfect example of a VR schedule, conditioning users to check their devices compulsively while anticipating a possible reward. [3]

3.3. Neurobiological Underpinnings and Design Features

Neuroscience offers a clear reason for the power of VR schedules. Research shows that dopamine release in the NAcc is at its highest not when a reward is received, but during the *anticipation* period when the result is uncertain. [9] The brain is more stimulated by the *possibility* of a reward than by the reward itself. Social media design elements are engineered to prolong this state of anticipation. The "infinite scroll"

removes natural stopping cues, ensuring there is always more potential content just a swipe away. The "pull-to-refresh" motion is a direct physical equivalent of a slot machine's lever, creating a moment of suspense before new content appears. [11] These features keep the user's dopamine system in a constant state of "seeking," which drives continuous engagement.

This mechanism may also functionally reshape the brain's wider attentional and motivational systems. By consistently rewarding quick, novelty-seeking actions, VR schedules train the brain to prioritize and value short, unpredictable bursts of information over tasks that demand sustained, goal-oriented focus. The principle of neuroplasticity states that the brain adapts to what it is rewarded for. As a result, the circuits that control attention allocation are molded to constantly search for the next new stimulus, as this has been repeatedly linked with a potential dopamine reward. This learned cognitive approach directly clashes with the requirements of deep work, like reading a textbook or writing a report, which provide delayed and more predictable rewards. The brain, conditioned by the digital slot machine, finds such tasks unstimulating and is more easily drawn away by distractions, offering a direct mechanistic connection between platform design and observed drops in concentration and academic achievement. [9]

A key outcome of VR schedules is their strong resistance to extinction—the process where a behavior diminishes when it is no longer rewarded. [18] Since the user never knows if the next refresh will bring a reward, the checking behavior continues for a surprisingly long time, even when there is little to no reinforcement. This clarifies the struggle individuals with PSMU have when trying to disengage and the persistence of the urge to check their devices.

IV. THE ADDICTED BRAIN: NEUROIMAGING EVIDENCE AND STRUCTURAL CHANGES

The conceptual links between PSMU and other addictive disorders are backed by a growing collection of neuroimaging research that shows convergent functional and structural changes in the brains of affected individuals. Methods like functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), which tracks brain activity through blood flow, structural MRI, which evaluates gray matter volume (GMV), and Electroencephalography (EEG), which measures electrical brainwave activity, have offered a glimpse into the neural correlates of PSMU. [8]

4.1. Functional Alterations in Key Neural Circuits

Neuroimaging studies have pinpointed dysregulation in several vital brain networks in individuals with PSMU, which mirrors findings in substance and gambling addictions.

Cue Reactivity and Craving: A key feature of addiction is heightened reaction to cues associated with the substance or behavior. fMRI studies reveal that when individuals with PSMU are shown social media-related cues (like app logos or notification sounds), they display significant activation in brain areas essential for craving and impulse, such as the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), and striatum. [8]

Reward Processing: Although the reward system is clearly involved, its developmental path in PSMU seems to be complex. Cross-sectional studies verify heightened activation in the NAcc and ventral striatum during the anticipation and reception of social rewards. [6] However, longitudinal studies in adolescents point to a more complex pattern: an initial hyper-responsiveness to social rewards in early adolescence might be followed by a gradual *decrease* in this response with pubertal development among those who later develop ASMU.[4] This path may indicate the development of neural tolerance or reward desensitization, a central feature of addiction where exposure to a strong reward result in a dulled response over time.

Brainwave Activity (EEG): Social media use is linked to significant changes in brainwave patterns. EEG studies have noted a drop in Alpha waves (8-12 Hz) during use, especially with emotionally charged content, which points to an increase in cognitive load and mental arousal. [20] Concurrently, there is an increase in fast-wave Beta (12-30 Hz) and Gamma (30-100 Hz) activity, which indicates sustained cognitive and emotional engagement and can continue even after use has stopped. Furthermore, extended use is tied to a rise in slow-wave Delta activity (0.5-4 Hz), a neural sign associated with mental exhaustion and digital fatigue. [20]

4.2. Structural Brain Changes

In addition to temporary functional shifts, chronic PSMU is linked to lasting structural changes in the

brain, especially reductions in gray matter volume (GMV).

Gray Matter Volume Reductions: A consistent finding from multiple structural MRI studies is a negative relationship between the severity of PSMU and GMV in several key brain areas.[13] These areas include the prefrontal cortex (PFC)—particularly the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) and OFC, which are vital for executive functions—as well as the amygdala, involved in emotional processing, and the striatum, crucial for reward and habit formation. [24]

Functional Implications: These structural changes are not just correlational; they carry significant functional consequences. Reduced GMV in the PFC is associated with deficits in top-down cognitive control, like impaired decision-making and poor impulse control. A smaller amygdala volume might be related to emotional dysregulation, while changes in the striatum can ease the shift from goal-directed to compulsive, habitual actions. Crucially, these specific patterns of GMV reduction closely resemble the structural brain changes seen in individuals with long-term substance use disorders and pathological gambling, offering a strong neuroanatomical basis for classifying PSMU as a true behavioral addiction. [24]

The deep neurobiological similarities between PSMU and other well-established addictive disorders are methodically outlined in Table 1. This comparative summary emphasizes the convergent patterns of neural dysfunction across dopaminergic systems, key brain regions, cue reactivity, and cognitive control, reinforcing the argument that PSMU is not merely a "bad habit" but a condition with a shared neurobiological origin.

Table 1. Comparative Neurobiological Correlates of Addictive Disorders

Neurobiological Feature	Problematic Social Media Use (PSMU)	Substance Use Disorder (SUD)	Gambling Disorder (GD)
Primary Neurotransmitter System	Dopamine (Mesolimbic Pathway) [6]	Dopamine (Mesolimbic Pathway) [8]	Dopamine (Mesolimbic Pathway) [25]
Key Brain Regions (Functional & Structural Changes)	PFC (OFC, DLPFC), ACC, Amygdala, Striatum (NAcc), Insula [24]	PFC (OFC, DLPFC), ACC, Amygdala, Striatum (NAcc), Insula [8]	PFC, ACC, Striatum, Insula [25]
Dopamine System Dysregulation	Reduced D2 receptor availability [14] ; Hypersensitivity to cues, potential tolerance [4]	Reduced D2 receptor availability, blunted dopamine release [8]	Altered dopamine release and receptor function [25]
Cue Reactivity (fMRI)	Activation of ACC, OFC, striatum to social media cues [12]	Activation of ACC, OFC, striatum to drug cues 23	Activation of reward circuits to gambling cues 17
Impaired Decision-Making (e.g., Iowa Gambling Task)	Poor performance, preference for risky choices [25]	Poor performance, preference for risky choices [25]	Poor performance, preference for risky choices [25]
Inhibitory Control Deficits	Reduced PFC activity, impaired impulse control [13]	Reduced PFC activity, impaired impulse control [22]	Reduced PFC activity, impaired impulse control [25]

V. COGNITIVE CONSEQUENCES: THE EROSION OF ATTENTION AND EXECUTIVE CONTROL

The changes in brain function and structure linked to PSMU result in noticeable deficits in higher-order cognitive abilities. These impairments, especially in the areas of attention and executive function, can lead to significant real-world problems for academic achievement, career success, and overall quality of life.

5.1. Attentional Bias and Incentive Sensitization

A key cognitive sign of addiction is the emergence of an attentional bias, a concept well-described by the Incentive Sensitization Theory of addiction. [1] This theory suggests that with repeated exposure to an addictive substance or behavior, the neural systems that handle "wanting" (incentive salience) become sensitized, while the systems for "liking" (hedonic pleasure) do not, and may even become tolerant. Through classical conditioning, cues linked to the addictive behavior gain immense incentive salience, causing them to strongly capture attention.

Research confirms that individuals with PSMU display this cognitive bias. Using experimental methods like the dot-probe task, studies have demonstrated that problematic users preferentially and automatically direct their attention toward social media-related stimuli (like app icons) when shown alongside neutral stimuli. [1] This bias is not a passive occurrence; it actively pulls cognitive resources toward the source of the addiction, making it more difficult to concentrate on other tasks.

This attentional bias has a reciprocal, self-reinforcing relationship with craving. [1] Being exposed to a social media cue grabs attention, which can then trigger a subjective feeling of craving or an urge to use the platform. This heightened state of craving further narrows the attentional focus onto addiction-related thoughts and cues, forming a powerful cognitive cycle that is hard to break and fuels compulsive checking behavior. [1] Additionally, some research indicates that PSMU is also connected to a *negative* attentional bias—a tendency to selectively focus on negative information—which may be influenced by co-occurring anxiety and contribute to the high rates of depression observed in this group. [3]

5.2. Impairment of Executive Functions

Executive functions (EFs) are a group of top-down cognitive processes, mainly managed by the prefrontal cortex, that are vital for goal-directed behavior. The main components include inhibitory control (the capacity to suppress dominant responses), working memory (the capacity to hold and process information online), and cognitive flexibility (the capacity to switch between tasks or mental sets). [6] PSMU is consistently linked with impairments in these areas.

Inhibitory Control: The most frequently reported deficit is in inhibitory control. Behaviorally, this shows up as an inability to resist the urge to check notifications, to stop scrolling despite planning to, or to disengage from the platform to focus on more critical tasks. [6] This deficit is a direct functional result of the reduced PFC activity and gray matter volume mentioned in the previous section.

Working Memory and Cognitive Flexibility: The very design of modern social media feeds, with their rapid context-switching and constant flow of new information, puts a significant strain on working memory and cognitive flexibility. [7] This digital multitasking is linked to poorer performance on a variety of cognitive tasks. The continuous stream of information can overwhelm cognitive resources, impeding the brain's ability to effectively store information in long-term memory. [7] While some studies suggest a possible inverted U-shaped relationship, where moderate use might improve task-switching abilities, excessive and compulsive use is consistently tied to cognitive impairment. [7]

The connection between PSMU and executive dysfunction is made more complex by mediating factors like emotional disturbance and poor sleep quality. The emotional instability and anxiety often linked with PSMU can drain cognitive resources, while sleep deprivation is known to directly harm prefrontal cortex function, thereby worsening deficits in EFs. [5]

The link between PSMU and impaired executive function seems to be bidirectional and cyclical. On one side, the design of social media platforms, with their constant notifications and variable rewards, actively challenges and strains executive functions.[7] Prolonged and excessive strain on this cognitive system can lead to its decline, supporting the idea that PSMU causes EF impairment. On the other side, executive functions are the very skills needed to regulate behavior, delay gratification, and resist temptation.[7] Individuals with weaker baseline EFs, perhaps due to neurodevelopmental conditions like ADHD or just natural variation, would find it inherently harder to resist the strong pull of social media's immediate rewards. They are therefore more susceptible to falling into compulsive usage patterns. This points to a vicious cycle: an individual with initially weaker EFs is more likely to develop PSMU; the resulting excessive use further weakens their executive functioning, which in turn deepens their dependence and makes it even harder to disengage. This model underscores the critical need for interventions that

either directly strengthen EFs or lessen the cognitive load imposed by platforms.

VI. A PROFILE OF VULNERABILITY: DEVELOPMENTAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL, AND GENETIC FACTORS

Although the design of social media platforms creates an environment with addictive potential for everyone, not all users develop PSMU. Individual variations in neurodevelopment, psychology, and genetics are crucial in determining who is most at risk.

6.1. The Adolescent Brain: A Critical Period of Risk

Adolescence is a time of significant neurodevelopmental change that creates a unique period of vulnerability to addictive behaviors, including PSMU. This vulnerability arises from a maturational imbalance in the brain: the subcortical limbic and reward systems, including the NAcc, become hyper-responsive to rewarding stimuli early in puberty, while the prefrontal cortex, which handles top-down regulation and impulse control, continues to develop well into the early 20s. [1]

This neurodevelopmental gap makes adolescents biologically predisposed to be hypersensitive to social feedback—peer approval and rejection are felt with greater intensity. [14] Social media platforms, which provide a constant and measurable stream of this exact type of social reward and punishment, are therefore uniquely powerful and compelling for the adolescent brain. Longitudinal neuroimaging studies have started to map the effects of this interaction. Research following adolescents over several years has found that habitual social media checking in early adolescence (ages 12-13) is linked to distinct paths of brain development. Specifically, frequent checkers show increasing sensitivity over time in brain areas involved in anticipating social feedback, suggesting that heavy social media use may actively shape the course of adolescent neural development, potentially encouraging future compulsive use. [16]

6.2. Personality, Self-Esteem, and Co-morbidities

An individual's psychological makeup is a strong indicator of their risk for developing PSMU.

Personality Traits: Research using the Big Five model of personality has consistently shown that high neuroticism (a tendency toward negative emotions like anxiety and stress) is a major risk factor for PSMU, while high conscientiousness (a trait marked by self-discipline and goal-orientation) seems to be a protective factor. [7] The influence of agreeableness, extraversion, and openness to experience is less consistent in different studies. Additionally, traits from the "Dark Triad" or "Dark Tetrad," like Machiavellianism, which includes manipulativeness and a cynical view of the world, have also been positively linked with symptoms of social media addiction. [21]

Psychological Factors: Beyond stable personality traits, more temporary psychological states are also important. Low self-esteem, a strong fear of negative evaluation from others, loneliness, and boredom are all significant predictors of PSMU. [3] Individuals in these states may use social media to compensate for offline insecurities, seeking the validation and connection they feel they are missing, or simply as a way to cope with and escape negative feelings. [3]

Mental Health Co-morbidities: There is a strong and often reciprocal relationship between PSMU and other mental health issues, especially depression and anxiety. [1] Excessive social media use, with its associated social comparison and potential for negative interactions, can worsen symptoms of depression and anxiety. [3] Conversely, individuals with pre-existing mental health problems may be more inclined to engage in problematic use as a form of self-medication or avoidance, which creates a harmful feedback loop.

6.3. The Role of Genetics

New research from behavioral genetics shows that our interaction with digital media is not solely an environmental effect. Twin studies, which compare trait similarities in identical versus fraternal twins, have shown that individual differences in time spent on various types of online media, including social networking, are moderately heritable. Heritability estimates usually fall between 20% and 49%, indicating that a considerable part of the variation in how people use social media can be linked to genetic factors. [22]

While this research is still in its early phases, molecular genetic studies have started to pinpoint candidate genes that might be involved. Unsurprisingly, many of these genes are connected to the dopamine system. For instance, certain polymorphisms (common variations) in the DRD2 gene, which codes for the dopamine D2 receptor, and

the ANKK1 gene have been tentatively associated with problematic internet use. 24

These genetic findings are best interpreted through the concept of gene-environment correlation. This idea suggests that individuals' genetic predispositions cause them to actively choose and shape environments that match their genotype. [22] For example, a person with a genetic tendency for impulsivity or sensation-seeking might be more drawn to the highly stimulating and rewarding environment of social media. This environment then reinforces their behavior, strengthening the addictive pattern. In fact, studies suggest that the well-known link between media use and mental health issues is largely due to such shared genetic influences that predispose individuals to both conditions. [22]

VII. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The body of evidence examined in this review provides strong support for classifying Problematic Social Media Use as a behavioral addiction with distinct neurobiological and cognitive foundations. The convergence of findings—from the co-opting of the mesolimbic dopamine system and the use of powerful variable reinforcement schedules to the striking similarities in functional and structural brain changes seen in substance use and gambling disorders—presents a clear picture of a modern public health issue. The noticeable effects on cognitive function, including diminished attentional control and weakened executive functions, highlight the real-world impact of this condition, especially for vulnerable groups like adolescents.

However, it is important to keep a balanced view. Social media is not an inherently harmful technology. For many, it is a crucial tool for staying connected, getting information, and finding community, particularly for individuals from marginalized groups who might not have offline support systems.[1] The problem is not use itself, but a specific pattern of compulsive and uncontrolled use that harms well-being. Thus, the aim should not be to ban it, but to encourage healthy, intentional use.

Clinically, these findings suggest that established therapeutic methods could be effective. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), a key treatment for many addictive disorders, has shown potential in tackling digital addictions by helping individuals recognize and change harmful thought patterns and behaviors related to their use.[22] On an individual level, practical harm-reduction tactics like turning off notifications, setting strict time limits, and taking regular "digital detoxes" can help break compulsive cycles and regain a sense of control. [9]

Despite our increasing knowledge, major research gaps still exist. The following areas are critical for future investigation:

- Longitudinal Neuroimaging Studies: The most urgent need is for large-scale, long-term longitudinal studies that follow children and adolescents over many years. While current research shows strong correlations, only longitudinal designs can definitively determine causality: does PSMU *lead to* the observed brain changes, or do pre-existing differences in brain structure and function create a risk for developing the condition?. [1]
- Platform-Specific Effects: Research needs to stop treating "social media" as a single entity. The neurocognitive impacts of the fast, constant context-switching required by TikTok are likely different from the social comparison and image-centric nature of Instagram or the text-based conversations on X (formerly Twitter). Future studies should explore the unique neural signatures and cognitive effects of different platform designs.[20]
- Development of Biomarkers: Finding reliable neural, cognitive, or behavioral biomarkers for PSMU is vital for early detection and intervention. Such biomarkers could help identify at-risk individuals before severe negative outcomes occur, enabling targeted preventive measures. [8]
- Ethical Platform Design and Humane Technology: Lastly, the findings in this review pose a significant ethical challenge to the tech industry. The dominant business model, which focuses on maximizing engagement to boost advertising revenue, has resulted in platforms that are designed to exploit the vulnerabilities of human psychology. A fundamental change is required, shifting from the "attention economy" to a model of "humane technology." This will demand unprecedented collaboration between neuroscientists, psychologists, ethicists, and

software engineers to create digital environments that promote human well-being instead of undermining it. [2]

In conclusion, the intersection of social media and the brain's dopamine system is a complex and developing area of research. By continuing to use the rigorous methods of behavioral neuroscience, we can enhance our understanding of this relationship, create effective interventions for those who are struggling, and ultimately help shape a digital world that is better aligned with our collective mental health.

REFERENCES

[1] Robinson, T. E.; Berridge, K. C. The neural basis of drug craving: an incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. Brain Res. Rev. 1993, 18, 247-291.

[2] Haidt, J. The Dangerous Experiment on Teen Girls. The Atlantic 2021

[3] Kuss, D. J.; Griffiths, M. D. Online social networking and addiction—a review of the psychological literature. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2011, 8, 3528-3552.

[4] Turel, O.; He, Q.; Xue, G.; Xiao, L.; Bechara, A. Examination of neural systems sub-serving Facebook "addiction". Psychol. Rep. 2014, 115, 675-695.

[5] Xiao, W.; Peng, J.; Liao, S. Exploring the Associations between Social Media Addiction and Depression: Attentional Bias as a Mediator and Socio-Emotional Competence as a Moderator. Int. J.

[6] Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 13496. 6. Meshi, D.; Tamir, D. I.; Heekeren, H. R. The Emerging Neuroscience of Social Media. Trends Cogn. Sci. 2015, 19, 771-782.

[7] Vaghefi, I.; Qahri-Saremi, H. A Combination of Personality Traits Might Make You More Addicted to Social Media. Binghamton University School of Management Research 2019.

[8] Volkow, N. D.; Morales, M. The Brain on Drugs: From Reward to Addiction. Cell 2015, 162, 712-725.

[9] Sherman, L. E.; Payton, A. A.; Hernandez, L. M.; Greenfield, P. M.; Dapretto, M. The Power of the Like in Adolescence: Effects of Peer Influence on Neural and Behavioral Responses to Social Media. Psychol. Sci. 2016, 27, 1027-1035.

[10] Eisen, S. A.; Lin, N.; Lyons, M. J.; Scherrer, J. F.; Griffith, K.; True, W. R.; Goldberg, J.; Tsuang, M. T. Familial influences on gambling behavior: an analysis of 3359 twin pairs. Addiction 1998, 93, 1375-1384.

[11] Haugen, F. The Power of One: How I Found the Strength to Tell the Truth and Why I Blew the Whistle on Facebook. Little, Brown and Company, 2023.

[12] Turel, O.; He, Q.; Brevers, D.; Bechara, A. Social networking sites use and the morphology of a social-cognitive and an emotional brain region. Soc. Neurosci. 2018, 13, 235-246.

[13] Meshi, D.; Elizarova, A.; Bender, A.; Verdejo-Garcia, A. Excessive social media use is associated with impaired risky decision-making: A behavioral and neuroimaging study. J. Behav. Addict. 2019, 8, 169-175.

[14] He, Q.; Turel, O.; Bechara, A. Brain anatomy alterations associated with Social Network Site (SNS) addiction. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 45064.

[15] Kuss, D. J.; Griffiths, M. D. Internet and gaming addiction: A systematic literature review of neuroimaging studies. Brain Sci. 2012, 2, 347-374.

[16] Primack, B. A.; Shensa, A.; Sidani, J. E.; Whaite, E. O.; Lin, L. Y.; Rosen, D.; Colditz, J. B.; Radovic, A.; Miller, E. Social media use and perceived social isolation among young adults in the U.S. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2017, 53, 1-8.

[17] Skinner, B. F. The Behavior of Organisms: An Experimental Analysis. B.F. Skinner Foundation, 1938.

[18] Greenfield, D. N. The Addictive Properties of Internet Usage. In Psychology and the Internet: Intrapersonal, Interpersonal, and Transpersonal Implications, 2nd ed.; Gackenbach, J., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2007; pp. 135–153.

[19] Ferster, C. B.; Skinner, B. F. Schedules of Reinforcement. Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1957.

[20] Montag, C.; Lachmann, B.; Herrlich, M.; Zweig, K. Addictive Features of Social Media/Messenger Platforms and Freemium Games against the Background of Psychological and Economic Theories. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2019, 16, 2612.

[21] Firth, J.; Torous, J.; Stubbs, B.; Firth, J. A.; Steiner, G. Z.; Smith, L.; Alvarez-Jimenez, M.;

Gleeson, J.; Vancampfort, D.; Armitage, C. J.; Sarris, J. The “online brain”: how the Internet may be changing our cognition. World Psychiatry 2019, 18, 119-129.

[22] Plomin, R.; von Stumm, S. The new genetics of intelligence. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2018, 19, 148-159.

[23] Ayorech, Z.; Plomin, R.; Viding, E. Online media use shows strong genetic influence. King's College London Research Report 2017.

[24] Weng, C. B.; Qian, R. B.; Fu, X. M.; Lin, B.; Han, X. P.; Niu, C. S.; Wang, Y. H. Gray matter and white matter abnormalities in online game addiction. Eur. J. Radiol. 2013, 82, 1308-1312.

[25] Buelow, M. T.; Suhr, J. A. Construct validity of the Iowa Gambling Task. Neuropsychol. Rev. 2009, 19, 102-114.

Works cited

[1] Social media and Dopamine: Studying Generation Z and Dopamine Levels - ResearchGate, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371774358_Social_Media_and_Dopamine_Studying_Generation_Z_and_Dopamine_Levels

[2] Social Media Addiction Statistics Worldwide - Mastermind Behavior Services, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.mastermindbehavior.com/post/social-media-addiction-statistics-worldwide

[3] Social media and Youth Mental Health: The US Surgeon General's Advisory - HHS.gov, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/sg-youth-mental-health-social-media-advisory.pdf

[4] How social media is Changing Our Brains - MMHPI, accessed October 8, 2025, https://mmhpi.org/topics/in-the-news/how-social-media-is-changing-our-brains/

[5] Social media is Changing Our Brains - Center for BrainHealth, accessed October 8, 2025, https://centerforbrainhealth.org/article/social-media-is-changing-our-brains

[6] Understanding Social Media Addiction: A Deep Dive - PMC, accessed October 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11594359/

[7] Developmental changes in brain function linked with addiction-like social media use two years later - Oxford Academic, accessed October 8, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/scan/article/19/1/nsae008/7604373

[8] Cognitive functioning and social networking sites addiction – a review - Psychiatria Polska, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.psychiatriapolska.pl/pdf-133147-82746?filename=82746.pdf

[9] Effect of Social Media Addiction on Executive Functioning Among Young Adults: The Mediating Roles of Emotional Disturbance and Sleep Quality - PubMed Central, accessed October 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10226546/

[10] Social Media Algorithms and Teen Addiction: Neurophysiological., accessed October 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11804976/

[11] The Emerging Neuroscience of social media, accessed October 8, 2025, https://psnlab.princeton.edu/document/236

[12] Neuroscience: What social media Does to Your Brain - Nivati, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.nivati.com/blog/neuroscience-what-social-media-does-to-your-brain

[13] How certain personality traits might make you more addicted to social networks - Binghamton News, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.binghamton.edu/news/story/1003/a-combination-of-personality-traits-might-make-you-more-addicted-to-social-

[14] (PDF) Internet Addiction in Comparison to Substance Addiction, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378451678_Internet_Addiction_in_Comparison_to_Substance_Addiction

[15] Implications of neuroimaging findings in addiction | Psychoradiology - Oxford Academic, accessed October 8, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/psyrad/article/doi/10.1093/psyrad/kkad006/7147324

[16] What Makes social media so Addictive, and How Does it Affect our ..., accessed October 8, 2025,

https://commons.und.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1062&context=psych-stu

[17] Social media Affects the Brain Like a Drug - Promises Behavioral Health, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.promises.com/addiction-blog/social-media-affects-the-brain-like-a-drug/

[18] Social Media and Executive Functioning: Best Practices for Teens | Life Skills Advocate, accessed October 8, 2025, https://lifeskillsadvocate.com/blog/social-media-and-executive-functioning/

[19] The Neuroscience of social media: How Algorithms Hijack Your Brain | Dr. Aaron Hartman, accessed Osocial media25, https://richmondfunctionalmedicine.com/neuroscience-of-social-media/

[20] Overview on brain function enhancement of Internet ... - Frontiers, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychiatry/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1094583/full

[21] Internet and Gaming Addiction: A Systematic Literature Review of Neuroimaging Studies - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed October 8, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4061797/

[22] Internet and Gaming Addiction: A Systematic Literature Review of Neuroimaging Studies, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232708786_Internet_and_Gaming_Addiction_A_Systematic_Literature_Review_of_Neuroimaging_Studies

[23] Social media and Mental Health: Social Media Addiction - HelpGuide.org, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.helpguide.org/mental-health/wellbeing/social-media-and-mental-health

[24] (PDF) Reinforcement Schedule in the Digital Age - ResearchGate, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395115230_Reinforcement_Schedule_in_the_Digital_Age

[25] Variable Ratio Schedule & Examples Explored - Magnet ABA, accessed October 8, 2025, https://www.magnetaba.com/blog/variable-ratio-schedule-and-examples