A Comprehensive Survey and Analysis of Energy Meter Technologies, Communication Systems, and Measurement Methods

Vijaykumar Dandwani[1], Dr. Vishal Vora[2]
[1]Assistant Professor (E&C), Atmiya University, Rajkot
[2]Professorc & HoD (E&C), Atmiya University, Rajkot

***Abstract*—Smart energy meters have become essential components in modern power systems, enabling real-time monitoring and efficient management of energy consumption. Continuous advancements have improved their performance and functionality; however, achieving a fully integrated and reliable smart metering system remains a challenge. Smart meters combine key functionalities such as accurate energy measurement, system control, data communication, user interfacing, and synchronization, each posing distinct technical complexities. This paper presents a comprehensive survey and analysis of various types of smart energy meters, energy measurement and display techniques, and communication technologies employed in metering systems. The study aims to identify current trends, technical challenges, and potential research directions for the development of next-generation smart energy metering solutions.**

***Index Terms*—Smart energy meter; Internet of Things (IoT); wireless communication; data acquisition; real-time monitoring; metering infrastructure; communication protocols; energy measurement.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Today the major challenge for collecting data from various places is a big task. If the same task is related to energy consumption, user need to establish a larger connectivity between source and destination with loads. To overcome this, user need to depend on Internet of things (IoT) devices for data communication. The embedded technology of objects allows them to adapt to internal conditions or external space, which in turn influences decisions made. [1]

In conventional energy metering systems, energy billing and data collection processes are often manual, time-consuming, and prone to human error. Although digital meters are widely used, personnel from the utility department are still required to visit customer premises to record consumption data, which introduces operational inefficiencies and inaccuracies

The existing energy metering infrastructure exhibits several limitations, including:

- Manual and time-consuming data collection processes
- Dependence on human observation
- Possibility of human errors during meter reading
- Lack of real-time monitoring and data transparency
- Requirement for additional manpower
- Excessive paperwork and limited automation.[2]

To overcome these challenges, Smart Energy Meters (SEMs) have been introduced as a more advanced and reliable solution. With rapid advancements in IoT technologies, smart meters have evolved to integrate sensing, communication, and computation capabilities. By leveraging sensors, wireless communication modules, and cloud-based platforms, IoT-enabled smart meters facilitate automated, real-time energy monitoring and data management accessible from any location.

This paper presents a detailed survey and analysis of smart energy meter technologies. Section I discusses the classification and types of energy meters. Section II provides an overview of communication technologies employed in smart metering systems. Section III outlines various energy measurement methods/techniques. Section IV describe key findings and research perspectives. Finally, section V concludes the paper.

II. TYPES OF ENERGY METERS

A. Electromechanical Energy Meters

Conventional electromechanical watt-hour meters have been the most widely used type of electrical meter for decades. These meters operate on the principle of electromagnetic induction, where the power flowing through the meter energizes two induction coils, producing a magnetic flux on a conductive metal disc. The disc rotates at a speed proportional to the power consumption, and the total revolutions are recorded for billing purposes.

Despite their simplicity and proven reliability, electromechanical meters offer no advanced features beyond basic energy measurement. With the increasing need for real-time monitoring, remote control, and integration with modern power grids, these meters are gradually being replaced by more sophisticated alternatives.[3]

B. Automatic/Remote Meter Reading (AMR/RMR) Meters

Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) and Remote Meter Reading (RMR) technologies were developed to establish a communication link between consumers and utility providers. AMR/RMR meters retain the fundamental design of traditional meters but automate the reading process. Energy consumption data is collected automatically and transmitted to the utility database via wired or wireless communication channels, or through handheld devices operated by utility personnel.

While AMR/RMR meters improve operational efficiency and reduce manual labor, they support only one-way communication—from the meter to the utility—which limits their capability for remote control, demand response, and interactive services [3], [4]

C. Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)

Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) represents a significant leap in smart metering, integrating metering devices into a broader information and communication network. AMI supports bi-directional communication between utilities and consumers, enabling real-time monitoring, automated billing, and demand-based tariff management. [3], [4]

Communication in AMI systems can occur over Home Area Networks (HAN), Local Area Networks (LAN), and Wide Area Networks (WAN), allowing seamless data transfer across multiple layers of the distribution network.

Key features of AMI include energy usage monitoring, theft detection, power quality assessment, device fault diagnosis, and enhanced control over consumer energy consumption.

D. Smart Energy Meters

Smart energy meters build upon the capabilities of AMR/RMR and AMI systems by providing comprehensive monitoring, control, and analytical functionalities. These meters support end-to-end communication, advanced data storage and management, real-time fault detection, and interactive energy displays for consumers.

Compared to traditional and AMR/RMR meters, smart meters enable utilities to implement demand response programs, detect and diagnose system faults proactively, and enhance transparency in energy consumption. Table 1 summarizes a comparative analysis of electromechanical, digital, and smart energy meters across key parameters such as communication capability, data storage, and functional features [3].

Table 1 Energy meters comparative analysis

Parameter	Electromechanical Meter	AMR/RMR Meter	AMI	Smart Energy Meter
Measurement Type	Energy only	Energy only	Energy + Power Quality	Energy + Power Quality + Advanced Analytics
Data Collection	Manual	Automated (One-way)	Automated (Bi-directional)	Automated (Bi-directional, Real-time)
Communication Capability	None	One-way (to Utility)	Bi-directional (Utility ↔ Consumer)	Bi-directional (Utility ↔ Consumer, IoT-enabled)
Real-time Monitoring	No	Limited	Yes	Yes
Data Storage	None	Limited	Centralized	Local + Cloud Storage
Remote Control	No	No	Partial	Yes
Fault Detection	No	Limited	Yes	Yes (Proactive & Automated)
Automation / Billing	Manual	Semi-automated	Automated	Fully Automated
Integration with Smart Grid	Low	Moderate	High	Very High
Additional Features	None	Automatic reading	Theft detection, Demand tariffs	Advanced analytics, Interactive display, Fault diagnosis

Analytical Findings

From a comparative perspective:

- Electromechanical meters are reliable but limited to basic measurement; unsuitable for modern grid automation.
- AMR/RMR meters reduce manual intervention but lack bi-directional communication and advanced control features.
- AMI systems provide real-time data and utility-consumer interaction but require significant network infrastructure.

- Smart meters offer the most comprehensive solution, supporting IoT integration, remote monitoring, fault management, and intelligent billing, making them essential for the implementation of smart grids.

III. COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES

The implementation of the Internet of Things (IoT) primarily relies on Machine-to-Machine (M2M) or Machine-to-Server communication, enabling interconnection and real-time data exchange among heterogeneous devices. IoT communication networks are broadly classified into two categories: (1) Wired Communication Networks and (2) Wireless Communication Networks.

A. Wired Communication Networks

Wired communication technologies ensure high reliability and substantial data throughput, making them well-suited for mission-critical operations and backbone network infrastructure. Commonly employed wired solutions include Power Line Communication (PLC), Fiber Optics, and Digital Subscriber Line (DSL).

Power Line Communication (PLC): PLC facilitates bidirectional data exchange between utility control centers and smart meters through pre-existing electrical power lines. Although its implementation minimizes additional infrastructure requirements, PLC performance can be constrained by electrical noise, resulting in relatively higher latency and limited bandwidth compared to radio-frequency (RF) systems. Typical PLC configurations operate within a bandwidth range of 1–30 MHz, achieving data rates of approximately 2–3 Mbps, coverage distances of 1–5 km, and latency levels between 5–7 µs.

Fiber Optics: Fiber optic networks deliver extremely high data transmission rates—reaching up to 40 Gbps—over extended distances of up to 100 km, while maintaining minimal latency (~3.33 µs/km) and superior reliability. These attributes make fiber optics an optimal choice for real-time, large-volume data transmission and as a foundational component of smart grid backbone infrastructure. Despite relatively high deployment and maintenance costs, many utilities can capitalize on existing fiber networks to support secure, low-latency communication for smart metering applications.

Digital Subscriber Line (DSL): DSL technology enables digital data transmission over conventional telephone lines, eliminating the need for additional communication infrastructure. Variants such as Very High Bit-rate DSL (VDSL), High Bit-rate DSL (HDSL), and Asymmetric DSL (ADSL) provide different trade-offs between speed and distance. Specifically, VDSL offers 15–100 Mbps over 1.2 km, HDSL delivers 2 Mbps over 3.6 km, and ADSL supports 1–8 Mbps over 5 km.

Table 2 Frequency band, data rates, and coverage of wired communication technologies

Technology	Band-width	Data Rate	Coverage	Latency
PLC	1–30 MHz	2–3 Mbps	1–5 km	5–7 µs
Fiber Optic	Up to 353,000 GHz	Up to 40 Gbps	Up to 100 km	3.33 µs/km
VDSL	30 MHz	15–100 Mbps	1.2 km	N/A
HDSL	1–2 MHz	2 Mbps	3.6 km	N/A
ADSL	1.1 MHz	1–8 Mbps	5 km	N/A

Wired communication technologies, including PLC, Fiber Optics, and DSL, offer reliable and high-speed data transmission for smart grid infrastructure. While PLC provides cost-effective integration using existing power lines, fiber optics ensure ultra-high bandwidth and low latency suitable for backbone networks. DSL offers moderate data rates over telephone lines, making it a practical solution where dedicated networks are unavailable. [4] [5].

A comparative summary of bandwidth, data rate, and coverage characteristics for these wired communication methods is presented in Table 2.

B. Wireless Communication Networks

Wireless networks provide flexible, scalable, and cost-effective connectivity, suitable for mobile and distributed IoT devices. Key wireless technologies include Radio Frequency (RF), Zigbee, Wi-Fi, LoRaWAN, and Cellular Networks.

Radio Frequency (RF): RF communication supports short- to medium-range data transmission, operating in unlicensed bands (typically 2.4 GHz or 5 GHz) with data rates from kbps to several Mbps. RF is cost-effective but susceptible to interference and environmental obstacles. Typical coverage ranges from tens to hundreds of meters.

Zigbee: Based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard, Zigbee is a low-power, low-data-rate protocol designed for sensor networks and home automation. It operates at 2.4 GHz, provides data rates up to 250 kbps, and supports mesh networking with node-to-node coverage up to 100 m.

Wi-Fi: Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11) offers high-speed data transmission over short to medium distances (up to 100 m indoors). Data rates range from 11 Mbps (802.11b) to several Gbps (802.11ac/ax). Wi-Fi supports real-time applications but consumes more power than low-power IoT protocols.

LoRaWAN: LoRaWAN enables long-range, low-power communication in sub-GHz bands (433 MHz, 868 MHz, 915 MHz). It covers up to 15 km in rural and several kilometers in urban areas, supporting data rates of 0.3–50 kbps. It is ideal for battery-powered devices with infrequent data transmission.

Cellular Networks: Cellular IoT leverages existing 2G/3G/4G/5G networks for wide-area connectivity. NB-IoT provides low power consumption with extended coverage, while 5G offers ultra-low latency (<1 ms) and high data rates (>1 Gbps). Cellular networks are suitable for large-scale IoT deployments requiring mobility and reliable long-range connectivity [4] [5].

Table 3 summarizes the key frequency bands, data rates, coverage, and typical applications of wireless communication technologies.

In summary, wired networks provide high reliability, low latency, and large data throughput, making them suitable for backbone and critical applications, while wireless networks offer flexibility, scalability, and ease of deployment, enabling efficient connectivity for distributed and mobile IoT devices. The selection of communication technology depends on the application's data rate, coverage, power, and cost requirements.

Table 3 Frequency bands, data rates, coverage, and typical applications of wireless communication technologies

Technology	Frequency Band	Data Rate	Coverage	Power Consumption	Applications
RF	2.4/5 GHz	kbps–Mbps	10–100 m	Medium	Short-range sensor networks
Zigbee	2.4 GHz	250 kbps	100 m	Low	Home automation, sensors
Wi-Fi	2.4/5 GHz	11 Mbps–Gbps	Up to 100 m	High	Real-time data, multimedia
LoRaWAN	433/868/915 MHz	0.3–50 kbps	Several km	Very low	Remote sensors, smart meters
Cellular	2G/3G/4G/5G	kbps–Gbps	Wide-area	Medium–High	Mobile IoT, smart cities

IV. ENERGY MEASUREMENT METHODS

Several research efforts have proposed diverse methodologies for monitoring and managing energy consumption using smart energy meters. Most of these approaches integrate Internet of Things (IoT) platforms, microcontroller-based systems, and standard communication protocols to achieve efficient data acquisition, real-time monitoring, and automated control.

Wi-Fi-Based Energy Monitoring System: The system proposed in [1] employs a Wi-Fi module programmed via the Arduino IDE. An opto-coupler detects the energy pulses representing power consumption, and data is transmitted to the *ThingSpeak* cloud through the ESP8266-12E module. In this configuration, one energy unit corresponds to 3200 LED flashes, with LED brightness proportional to power usage and unit cost. This implementation provides a low-cost and cloud-integrated monitoring solution.

MQTT-Based IoT Energy Meter: In [2], an IoT-enabled system based on the MQTT protocol facilitates lightweight and efficient data transfer. The ESP32 microcontroller collects power usage data and transmits it to the AWS cloud. The hardware employs RS-485 communication to interface with the energy meter, ensuring robust data exchange. A web-based dashboard visualizes usage patterns and allows exporting consumption data in Excel format for further analysis.

Prepaid Smart Energy Meter: The prepaid metering system proposed in [6] enables electricity usage only until the available credit is exhausted. Current sensors capture real-time load data and communicate with an ATmega328P microcontroller, which displays consumption on an LCD screen. An ESP8266 module transmits real-time data to a mobile application. Once the balance falls below a preset threshold, the system automatically disconnects the supply via a relay circuit, thus supporting prepaid billing and energy control.

IoT-Based Real-Time Monitoring System: The design reported in [7] utilizes Arduino and ESP8266 modules for real-time energy monitoring and notification. Consumption data is transmitted through the MQTT cloud, and users receive billing alerts via SMS or email. This design enables global access to consumption data, allowing remote management and timely awareness of usage trends.

GSM-Based Smart Energy Meter with Theft Detection: The system presented in [8] integrates GSM communication to transmit energy consumption (in kWh), billing details, and system alerts such as line disconnection or reconnection. A vibration sensor enhances system security by detecting tampering or unauthorized interference, making the setup suitable for residential and industrial installations.

Web-Enabled Energy Monitoring and Billing System: In [9], a microcontroller-based solution automates data logging and billing. Using a NodeMCU module, energy usage information is transmitted to a cloud server, which can be accessed through a secure web portal. This enables continuous usage tracking and supports data-driven decision-making for utilities and consumers alike.

Automated Metering with Dual Microcontroller Architecture: The design proposed in [10] combines an automated metering unit, LCD display, Base Station Controller, household node, and GSM module for two-way communication. Real-time energy readings and billing information are shown locally and transmitted remotely to the base station. Alerts prompt users to recharge accounts, and the supply is automatically disconnected when the balance reaches zero. Arduino Mega manages sensing and communication modules (LCD, GSM, RTC), while Arduino Uno handles IoT functionality, ensuring distributed processing and efficient performance.

GSM-Based Monitoring with LDR Sensor: A system developed in [11] employs a GSM shield integrated with a microcontroller, LDR sensor, and relay module. The LDR monitors LED blinking corresponding to energy pulses, and consumption data is transmitted through GSM communication. An RTC module provides precise timing and interrupt control. The setup supports SMS-based notifications for billing updates and consumption reports, while load reconfiguration and power disconnection can be performed remotely or automatically for pending dues.

Cost-Effective IoT-Based Smart Meter with Theft Detection: In [12], a compact and economical smart energy meter is presented, integrating an ESP8266-12E module with an energy meter via an opto-coupler. Real-time data is displayed on an OLED screen, and a ULN2003 driver circuit controls a relay for load switching. A current sensor enables detection of power theft, while periodic uploads to the *ThingSpeak* cloud allow continuous monitoring by both users and utilities.

These studies collectively highlight the integration of IoT microcontrollers, sensors, and communication interfaces—such as Wi-Fi, GSM, and MQTT—for real-time monitoring, billing, theft detection, and load management. The choice of system architecture depends on technical criteria including data transmission reliability, scalability, deployment cost, and user interface design.

The reviewed methodologies demonstrate that smart energy meters can efficiently combine IoT frameworks, wireless modules, and intelligent sensors to enable automated data collection, real-time billing, and secure communication.

Depending on application requirements, designs can prioritize features such as prepaid control, theft detection, cloud integration, or cost-effectiveness, ensuring adaptability across residential, industrial, and commercial domains.

Table 4 summarizes the primary technologies and methodologies used for implementing smart energy meters.

Table 4 primary technologies and methodologies used for implementing smart energy meters

Ref	Controller	Communication	Sensors/Modules	Key Features
[1]	Arduino	Wi-Fi (ESP8266 12E)	Opto-coupler, LED	Energy monitoring via LED flashes; data uploaded to ThingSpeak cloud
[2]	ESP32	MQTT/AWS Cloud, RS-485	Current sensor	Web-based graphical visualization; Excel data export
[9]	ATmega328P	ESP8266 IoT	Current sensor, LCD	Prepaid system; real-time usage display; auto cut-off on balance expiry
[10]	Arduino	Wi-Fi (ESP8266), MQTT	Energy sensor	Global monitoring; SMS/E-mail notifications; real-time billing
[11]	Microcontroller	GSM	Vibration sensor, current sensor	Energy monitoring, security alerts; automatic power theft detection
[12]	NodeMCU	GSM/Cloud server	Current/voltage sensors	Automated energy tracking and billing; user interface for consumption monitoring

[13]	Arduino Mega + Uno	GSM	LCD, RTC, Current & Voltage sensors	Automated billing and consumption display; auto cut-off; dual microcontroller setup for monitoring and control
[14]	Microcontroller	GSM shield	LDR sensor, relay, RTC	SMS-based consumption updates, bill generation, remote load reconfiguration; auto-disconnection
[15]	ESP8266 12E + OLED	ThingSpeak Cloud	Opto-coupler, current sensor, ULN2003 relay	Compact, cost-effective; power theft detection; real-time monitoring via cloud

V. DISCUSSION

Currently, three primary categories of energy meters are in widespread use: (1) Electromechanical meters, (2) Automatic Meter Reading (AMR)/Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) systems, and (3) Smart Energy Meters. Among these, smart energy meters offer significant advantages due to their capability for bidirectional communication, enabling both data acquisition and remote control, which enhances monitoring, billing accuracy, and grid management.

In terms of communication technologies, wired communication through fiber-optic networks provides superior performance in terms of bandwidth, reliability, and data transmission rates. However, its high deployment and maintenance costs make it economically unfeasible for large-scale smart metering systems, particularly in residential or rural contexts. Consequently, wireless communication has emerged as a more practical solution for smart energy meter deployment. In the Indian context, Wi-Fi infrastructure is predominantly available in urban regions, while cellular networks ensure broader coverage, extending to rural and semi-urban areas, making them more suitable for large-scale smart grid integration.

Energy consumption measurement can be accomplished using three distinct techniques. The first approach involves counting the LED pulse emissions from the energy meter, where each pulse corresponds to a predefined unit of energy consumption. The second method is based on direct measurement of line current and voltage, followed by computation of real power. The third approach utilizes the Home Area Network (HAN) port embedded within modern meters, which allows digital data acquisition directly from the meter's internal measurement circuitry. Each of these methods presents trade-offs in terms of accuracy, implementation complexity, and cost, and their selection depends on the specific application and communication framework employed.

VI. CONCLUSION

Smart energy meters offer a substantial improvement over traditional electromechanical and AMR/AMI systems through bidirectional communication, enabling real-time monitoring, accurate billing, and efficient grid control. While fiber-optic communication ensures high-speed and reliable data transfer, its high installation cost limits large-scale adoption. Conversely, wireless technologies such as Wi-Fi and cellular networks provide a cost-effective and flexible alternative, particularly suitable for urban–rural deployment scenarios.

Effective energy measurement relies on the appropriate selection of sensing and communication techniques. LED pulse counting, current–voltage measurement, and HAN-based digital acquisition each present trade-offs in accuracy, complexity, and cost. Integrating optimal metering and communication methods will be crucial for realizing scalable, reliable, and intelligent smart grid infrastructure that supports future energy management systems.

For a geographically diverse and large country such as India, relying on a single communication technology for smart energy meter deployment is insufficient. To ensure comprehensive coverage and reliable data acquisition, a dual-mode communication approach is essential. Smart energy meters equipped with the capability to switch between multiple communication interfaces can enhance scalability and adaptability across different regions.

In urban areas, where Wi-Fi infrastructure is widely available, selecting the Wi-Fi communication mode enables users to access real-time consumption data and daily energy usage analytics, thereby promoting user awareness and demand-side energy management. Conversely, in rural and semi-urban regions, where cellular networks offer broader availability, the cellular communication option allows consumers to receive periodic usage summaries, such as monthly consumption reports, through messaging services.

Thus, incorporating flexible, hybrid communication technologies in smart energy meters will significantly improve network accessibility, data reliability, and consumer engagement, ultimately supporting the nationwide implementation of a robust smart grid infrastructure.

REFERENCES

[1] P. K. Chakravarthi, D. Yuvaraj, and V. Venkataramanan, "IoT–based smart energy meter for smart grids," in 2022 6th International

Conference on Devices, Circuits and Systems (ICDCS), Coimbatore, India: IEEE, Apr. 2022, pp. 360–363. doi: 10.1109/ICDCS54290.2022.9780714.

[2] S. Salunkhe, Y. K. Kanse, and S. S. Patil, “Internet of Things based Smart Energy Meter with ESP 32 Real Time Data Monitoring,” in 2022 International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), Tuticorin, India: IEEE, Mar. 2022, pp. 446–451. doi: 10.1109/ICEARS53579.2022.9752144.

[3] B. Avancini, J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, S. G. B. Martins, R. A. L. Rabêlo, J. Al-Muhtadi, and P. Solic, “Energy meters evolution in smart grids: A review,” Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 217, pp. 702–715, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.229.

[4] Hassan, H. N. Afrouzi, C. H. Siang, J. Ahmed, K. Mehranzamir, and C.-L. Wooi, “A survey and bibliometric analysis of different communication technologies available for smart meters,” Cleaner Engineering and Technology, vol. 7, p. 100424, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.clet.2022.100424.

[5] Y. Li, X. Cheng, Y. Cao, D. Wang, and L. Yang, “Smart Choice for the Smart Grid: Narrowband Internet of Things (NB-IoT),” IEEE Internet Things J., vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1505–1515, June 2018, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2017.2781251.

[6] Kaliappan, D. F. Farzana, B. Ponkarthika, G. Vignesh, T. Kesavan, and T. A. Dheeven, “IOT Based Smart Prepaid Energy Recharge Scheme for EB,” in 2022 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India: IEEE, Jan. 2022, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ICCCI54379.2022.9740753.

[7] G. Mehta, R. Khanam, and V. K. Yadav, “A Novel IoT based Smart Energy Meter for Residential Energy Management in Smart Grid Infrastructure,” in 2021 8th International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks (SPIN), Noida, India: IEEE, Aug. 2021, pp. 47–52. doi: 10.1109/SPIN52536.2021.9566032.

[8] Anand, Y. Chaudhary, R. Mukherjee, and A. Yadav, “GSM Based Smart Energy Meter with Theft Detection and Load Control,” in 2021 7th International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication (ICSC), Noida, India: IEEE, Nov. 2021, pp. 59–62. doi: 10.1109/ICSC53193.2021.9673274.

[9] P. R. Reddy, R. Kammanaboina, D. Prasad, P. R. Kapula, and A. K. Panigrahy, “Implementation of Smart Energy Meter through Prepaid Transaction using IoT,” in 2021 International Conference on Recent Trends on Electronics, Information, Communication & Technology (RTEICT), Bangalore, India: IEEE, Aug. 2021, pp. 310–314. doi: 10.1109/RTEICT52294.2021.9574015.

[10] P. Santhosh, A. K. S. Singh, M. Ajay, K. Gaayathry, H. S. Haran, and S. Gowtham, “IoT based Monitoring and Optimizing of Energy Utilization of Domestic and Industrial Loads,” in 2021 5th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), Madurai, India: IEEE, May 2021, pp. 393–397. doi: 10.1109/ICICCS51141.2021.9432121.

[11] H. K. Patel, T. Mody, and A. Goyal, “Arduino Based Smart Energy Meter using GSM,” in 2019 4th International Conference on Internet of Things: Smart Innovation and Usages (IoT-SIU), Ghaziabad, India: IEEE, Apr. 2019, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/IoT-SIU.2019.8777490.

[12] K. Barman, S. N. Yadav, S. Kumar, and S. Gope, “IOT Based Smart Energy Meter for Efficient Energy Utilization in Smart Grid,” in 2018 2nd International Conference on Power, Energy and Environment: Towards Smart Technology (ICEPE), Shillong, India: IEEE, June 2018, pp. 1–5. doi: 10.1109/EPETSG.2018.8658501.