© October 2025 | IJIRT | Volume 12 Issue 5 | ISSN: 2349-6002

Detecting Periodontal Bone Loss Through Artificial Intelligence

Veronica.R[1], I. Sheik Mohammed [2], Dr. Sathish Rajendran[3] Dr Prashanthi P[4], Dr. Annie Arockia Mary[5], Dr. Lakshmi Priya[6], Dr Jaideep Mahendra[7]

[1,2]CRRI, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College and Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

[3,4,5,6,7]Associate professor, Department of Periodontics, Meenakshi Ammal Dental College and Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

***Abstract*—Periodontitis, a chronic inflammatory disease resulting in irreversible alveolar bone loss (PBL), presents a significant diagnostic challenge in dentistry due to the inherent subjectivity and variability of traditional radiographic interpretation. This review evaluates the rapidly expanding role of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly Deep Learning (DL) models, in automating the detection, quantification, and classification of PBL from dental radiographs. Analysis of recent literature reveals that DL models, primarily Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and their variants (e.g., YOLO, Keypoint R-CNN), consistently achieve high diagnostic accuracy, often exceeding 85% and proving comparable or superior to human clinicians in speed and consistency. The primary drivers for adoption are the need to eliminate subjective operator variability, improve workflow efficiency, and provide precise, quantifiable measurements of bone loss. However, widespread clinical integration is constrained by the dependence on large, high-quality, expertly annotated datasets, a lack of standardized reporting, and the "black box" nature of complex DL algorithms. In conclusion, AI serves as a powerful, promising decision-support tool poised to revolutionize periodontal diagnostics, provided the current data and standardization challenges are overcome.**

***Index Terms*—Artificial Intelligence (AI); Deep Learning (DL); Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN); Periodontitis; Periodontal Bone Loss (PBL); Dental Radiographs; Automated Diagnosis; Radiographic Analysis; YOLO; Keypoint R-CNN; Diagnostic Accuracy; Clinical Decision Support; Standardization; Dataset Annotation; Explainable AI.**

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Periodontitis and the Diagnostic Challenge

Periodontitis is a highly prevalent global health concern, affecting a significant portion of the adult population and linked to systemic diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular conditions. Its defining feature is the progressive destruction of the supporting tissues of the tooth, manifesting radiographically as periodontal bone loss (PBL). Accurate assessment of PBL typically measured by the distance from the Cemento-Enamel Junction (CEJ) to the Alveolar Bone Crest (ABC) is foundational for diagnosis, staging, grading, and treatment planning.

However, conventional radiographic analysis suffers from critical limitations:

1. Subjectivity: The precise identification of the CEJ and ABC on two-dimensional (2D) radiographs is prone to intra- and inter-observer variability.
2. Inconsistency: Diagnosis often varies depending on the clinician's experience and the time spent interpreting the image.
3. Inefficiency: Manual, tooth-by-tooth measurements are time-consuming and labor-intensive in a busy clinical setting.

1.2. The Emergence of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly the subfields of Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL), offers a solution by automating complex image recognition tasks. This review aims to systematically analyze and compare the efficacy, applications, and challenges of various AI models in the detection, quantification, and classification of PBL.

II. METHODOLOGY OF AI IN PBL DETECTION

The methodology of applying AI to periodontal diagnostics fundamentally relies on computer vision techniques to replicate and enhance the human process of radiographic analysis.

2.1. Radiographic Modalities and Pre-processing

Most AI research focuses on 2D dental radiographs, primarily Panoramic Radiographs (PAN) for comprehensive screening and Intraoral Periapical (IOPA) Radiographs for high-resolution detail. Less frequently, Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) is used, although its application is limited by higher radiation dose and cost.

Successful models often employ crucial pre-processing steps:

- Image Enhancement: Techniques like image sharpening, contrast adjustment (e.g., histogram equalization), and noise reduction (e.g., Gaussian filtering) are applied to improve the distinction of key anatomical landmarks for the AI to recognize.

2.2. AI Model Architectures and Functions

AI Model Category	Specific Architectures	Primary Function in PBL Detection
Deep Learning (DL)	CNNs: YOLO (v5, v8), Faster R-CNN, Keypoint R-CNN, VGG, U-Net	Segmentation and Quantification. Models are trained to precisely segment (outline) anatomical structures and key points (CEJ, ABC, Root Apex) necessary for calculating bone loss percentage.
Traditional ML	Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forest (RF)	Binary Classification. Used to classify the presence or absence of PBL or to classify disease stage based on features pre-extracted by a human or a different algorithm.
Hybrid/Two-Stage Systems	CNN (MobileNet) + CNN (YOLO)	Diagnostic Workflow Automation. A multi-step process where one model screens for disease presence, and a secondary model localizes and determines severity.

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF AI EFFICACY AND PERFORMANCE

3.1. Diagnostic Efficacy: AI vs. Human Clinicians

A comparative analysis of recent systematic and scoping reviews shows the high performance of DL models in detecting PBL, often achieving metrics that rival or surpass human examiners.

Performance Metric	Typical AI Range (DL)	Comparison with Human Clinicians
Accuracy	≈84% to 97%	AI models frequently achieve a diagnostic accuracy comparable to general dentists and, in some specific tasks (e.g., consistency), may exceed human performance.
Sensitivity (Correctly identifying disease)	≈80% to 94%	Generally high, indicating AI is reliable at detecting sites with bone loss.
Specificity (Correctly identifying health)	≈71% to 98%	Varies across studies, but models demonstrate strong capability in correctly identifying healthy periodontal tissues.

Key Findings on Model Comparison:

- CNN Superiority: CNNs and their variants (YOLO, R-CNN) are unanimously favored in the literature for their robust capability in image analysis, directly overcoming the limitations of traditional ML (SVM, RF) which require manual feature input.
- Task-Specific Performance: Models designed for specific segmentation and keypoint detection (e.g., Keypoint R-CNN) show superior results in quantifiable measurements and classifying the pattern of bone loss (horizontal vs. angular, with reported pattern classification accuracy up to ≈87%).
- Radiograph Type Effect: The diagnostic improvement offered by AI may be more pronounced when analyzing Periapical Radiographs, as AI helps mitigate the geometric distortions common in this modality compared to the more straightforward geometry of Bitewing radiographs.

3.2. Reasons for AI Adoption: The Clinical Imperatives

The primary reasons driving the push for AI adoption are directly linked to overcoming the shortcomings of human interpretation

Reason for Adoption	Clinical Benefit	Reference to Literature
Standardization	AI eliminates the operator variability inherent in manual CEJ/ABC identification and measurement, ensuring consistent and reproducible diagnoses regardless of the individual clinician.	Cited repeatedly in systematic reviews as the chief advantage of AI in reducing subjectivity.
Efficiency and Speed	AI can process and segment images in seconds, significantly reducing the time required for radiographic assessment compared to laborious manual analysis.	Allows dentists to manage heavier patient loads and focus time on complex treatment planning and patient communication.
Comprehensive Diagnosis	Advanced AI models move beyond simple detection to quantify severity, classify bone loss pattern, and assess bone loss around implants (peri-implant bone loss), offering accuracy up to 94.74% in this specific application.	Enables automated staging and grading of periodontitis according to contemporary classification systems.
Decision Support	AI functions as a reliable "second opinion" to reduce diagnostic errors, especially among inexperienced dentists or during periods of fatigue.	Supports early intervention, enhances patient trust, and provides visual, objective evidence for patient education.

IV. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS FOR CLINICAL INTEGRATION

Despite the encouraging performance metrics, several challenges must be addressed before AI achieves full integration into routine dental practice

Challenge Area	Description and Rationale	Impact on Widespread Adoption
Data Scarcity and Quality	DL models demand massive, diverse, and ethically sourced datasets that are expertly annotated (labeled) to define the "ground truth." This is resource-intensive and often limits studies to small, homogenous populations.	Poor Generalizability. Models trained on narrow data sets may fail when applied to different patient demographics, ethnicities, or radiographic equipment.
Lack of Standardization	Studies frequently suffer from inconsistent reporting of performance metrics and use varying ground truth definitions, making cross-study comparison difficult and obscuring the true clinical reliability of the models.	Low Certainty of Evidence. Systematic reviews caution that the overall level of evidence remains moderate to low, advising prudence in clinical reliance on current AI models.
The "Black Box" Problem	The complex, multi-layered nature of Deep Learning networks often makes their internal decision-making processes opaque and difficult to interpret or explain to a clinician or a patient.	Reduced Clinician Trust. Opaque decision-making hinders clinical accountability and makes it challenging to pinpoint the source of a diagnostic error.
2D Limitation	The models primarily analyze 2D radiographs, which are prone to projection errors and cannot accurately characterize the three-dimensional morphology of bone defects (e.g., buccal/lingual plate loss).	Diagnostic Incompleteness. Full clinical diagnosis still requires combining AI-assisted radiographic findings with 3D information or detailed clinical probing.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Artificial Intelligence represents a transformative shift in the radiographic diagnosis of periodontal bone loss. Deep learning models, particularly advanced CNN architectures, have demonstrated their capability to offer a fast, consistent, and objective measurement of PBL that is highly competitive with expert human performance. The compelling reasons for adopting this technology primarily the standardization of diagnosis and increased efficiency position AI as a crucial decision-support tool for the future of periodontics.

Future research must prioritize:

1. Developing standardized, high-quality, diverse datasets and adhering to robust reporting standards (e.g., using the APPRAISE-AI tool) to improve the generalizability and transparency of models.

2. Exploring 3D analysis by integrating AI with CBCT data to overcome the inherent limitations of 2D projection.
3. Integrating AI-derived radiographic data with clinical parameters (Probing Depth, CAL) to build holistic systems that can fully automate the staging and grading of periodontitis.

The evidence strongly supports the use of AI to enhance the diagnostic workflow, improve patient care, and reduce human error, ushering in a new era of precision periodontology.