Impact of Organizational Maturity and AI Integration on the Evolving Responsibilities of the Scrum Master: A Qualitative Study

Kajin Karunakaran[1], Chandni K Nair[2]
[1,2]*Scrum Master, Siemens Technology and Services Pvt Ltd, Bengaluru, India*

***Abstract*—The role of Scrum Master has undergone significant transformation in recent years, driven by organizational maturity, technological advancement, and changing business expectations. This study examines how organizational evolution and AI integration are reshaping Scrum Master responsibilities across global organizations. A qualitative research approach was employed, conducting semi-structured interviews with 20 Scrum Masters from various IT organizations across India, Germany, Belgium, Australia, Singapore, and the USA. The study analyzed role evolution patterns, skill requirements, and the impact of AI tools on agile practices. The research identifies three distinct evolutionary stages of the Scrum Master role (SM 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0), with organizations increasingly seeking hybrid capabilities combining technical literacy, business acumen, and AI fluency. Traditional ceremony-focused practitioners face obsolescence, while those evolving toward business outcome orientation demonstrate enhanced organizational value. The findings indicate that the Scrum Master role is not disappearing but transforming into a more strategic, technically-informed, and AI-enabled position. Organizations with higher maturity levels expect Scrum Masters to function as business partners rather than process facilitators.**

***Index Terms*—Scrum Master Evolution, AI Integration in Agile, Organizational Maturity, Agile Transformation, Servant Leadership, Digital Transformation**

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

The Scrum Master role, as defined in the Scrum Guide, has traditionally focused on facilitating Scrum events, coaching teams on agile practices, and removing impediments. However, recent industry trends suggest a fundamental shift in organizational expectations from this role. Job postings increasingly seek "Agile Delivery Managers," "Team Coaches," and "Technical Leads with Scrum expertise" rather than traditional Scrum Masters

B. Problem Statement

Organizations report dissatisfaction with traditional Scrum Master contributions, leading to role consolidation, elimination, or transformation. Simultaneously, artificial intelligence tools are disrupting traditional facilitation and planning activities. This creates uncertainty about the future viability and necessary competencies for Scrum Master practitioners.

C. Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Identify the key factors driving Scrum Master role evolution
2. Analyze the impact of organizational maturity on Scrum Master expectations
3. Examine how AI integration is reshaping Scrum Master responsibilities
4. Develop a framework for understanding Scrum Master role evolution stages
5. Provide actionable insights for practitioners and organizations

D. Research Questions

- RQ1: How has the Scrum Master role evolved across different organizational maturity levels?
- RQ2: What impact does AI integration have on Scrum Master responsibilities?
- RQ3: What competencies differentiate high-value Scrum Masters from traditional practitioners?
- RQ4: How do geographical and organizational contexts influence role expectations?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Evolution of Agile Roles

Research on agile role evolution indicates that traditional role boundaries are becoming increasingly fluid. Studies suggest that successful agile implementations require practitioners who transcend ceremonial responsibilities and engage with strategic organizational objectives.

B. Servant Leadership in Project Management

The servant leadership paradigm, emphasized in both Scrum and PMI frameworks, positions leaders as facilitators of team success rather than directive managers. However, contemporary interpretations extend this concept to include business outcome accountability, suggesting that servant leadership must balance team empowerment with organizational value delivery.

C. AI Integration in Agile Practices

Emerging literature on AI-enabled project management indicates that artificial intelligence tools are transforming planning, analysis, and facilitation activities. Tools like ChatGPT, GitHub Copilot, and specialized agile analytics platforms are augmenting human decision-making capabilities, raising questions about the future of process-focused roles.

D. Organizational Maturity Models

Organizational maturity frameworks suggest that as companies evolve in their agile adoption, role expectations shift from process compliance to value optimization. Higher maturity organizations demonstrate greater integration between agile practices and business strategy.

E. Research Gap

While literature addresses agile transformation and AI adoption separately, limited research examines their combined impact on specific agile roles, particularly the Scrum Master position. This study addresses this gap through qualitative analysis of practitioner experiences across diverse organizational contexts.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research approach using semi-structured interviews to gather in-depth insights into Scrum Master role evolution. The qualitative methodology was selected to capture nuanced perspectives on role transformation, organizational expectations, and practitioner experiences.

A. Participant Selection

Sample Size: 20 Scrum Masters

Selection Criteria:

- Minimum 3 years of Scrum Master experience
- Currently active in the role or recently transitioned
- Working in organizations with mature agile practices
- Geographical diversity to capture regional variations

Geographical Distribution:

- India: 45%
- USA: 25%
- Germany: 10%
- Singapore: 10%
- Australia: 5%
- Belgium: 5%

B. Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews were conducted through casual professional discussions.

Key Discussion Areas:

1. Current role responsibilities versus initial Scrum Master activities
2. Organizational expectations and performance metrics
3. Technical knowledge requirements and depth
4. AI tool adoption and impact on daily work
5. Challenges faced in role evolution
6. Future outlook and skill development strategies

Duration: 45-60 minutes per participant

Timeline: Data collected over 6-month period (April to September 2025)

C. Limitations

1. Sample size, while sufficient for qualitative insights, limits statistical generalization
2. Self-reported data may contain subjective bias
3. Informal interview approach, while enabling candid responses, may lack standardization
4. Rapidly evolving field means findings reflect specifically year 2025 snapshot

IV. FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

A. The Three-Stage Evolution Framework

Analysis revealed a clear evolutionary pattern in Scrum Master role development, characterized by three distinct stages:

Scrum Master 1.0: The Process Facilitator

This is predominantly found in organizations with low agile maturity or recent Scrum adoption

Characteristics:

- Primary focus on ceremony facilitation
- Administrative responsibilities (booking meetings, maintaining boards, etc.)
- Rule enforcement and process compliance
- Limited technical understanding
- Success measured by process adherence

Participant Quote Example: "*When I started, I thought my job was to make sure standups happened on time and the sprint board was updated. I was basically a meeting scheduler.*"

Scrum Master 2.0: The Team Coach

This is common in organizations with moderate agile maturity.

Characteristics:

- Team coaching and conflict resolution
- Cross-department collaboration
- Impediment removal beyond administrative tasks
- Basic understanding of team dynamics
- Success measured by team velocity and satisfaction

Participant Quote Example: "*I evolved from just running meetings to actually helping the team work through their problems. But I was still focused on the team, not the business.*"

Scrum Master 3.0: The Business Partner

This is now emerging in high-maturity organizations and those with strong digital transformation focus.

Characteristics:

- Business outcome orientation
- Technical literacy enabling meaningful contribution
- AI tool integration for enhanced insights
- Hybrid leadership spanning people, product, and technology
- Success measured by customer impact and business value

Participant Quote Example: "*Now I'm in product discussions, I understand our technical high-level architecture, and I use AI to analyze patterns I couldn't see before. I'm a business partner, not just a Scrum Master.*"

B. Impact of Organizational Maturity

1. Low Maturity Organizations (Agile Age: 0-3 years)

Expectations:

- Process implementation and training
- Ceremony facilitation
- Basic impediment removal

Scrum Master Role: Primarily SM 1.0, focused on establishing foundational practices.

Challenges Identified:

- Resistance to change from traditional hierarchies
- Lack of organizational support for impediment removal
- Limited understanding of Scrum Master value beyond meetings

2. Medium Maturity Organizations (Agile Age: 3-7 years)

Expectations:

- Team performance optimization
- Cultural change agency
- Cross-functional coordination

Scrum Master Role: Transition from SM 1.0 to SM 2.0, with growing coaching responsibilities.

Challenges Identified:

- Scaling challenges as organization grows
- Balancing process adherence with flexibility
- Demonstrating value beyond velocity metrics

3. High Maturity Organizations (Agile Age: 7+ years)
Expectations:
- Business outcome accountability
- Strategic contribution to product decisions
- Technical credibility
- Innovation and continuous improvement

Scrum Master Role: Expectation of SM 3.0 capabilities, often with hybrid titles.

Challenges Identified:
- Traditional Scrum Masters struggling to adapt
- Role consolidation with other positions (e.g., Product Owner, Engineering Manager)
- Resistance from traditional agile coaches who enforce outdated SM 1.0 expectations and fail to recognize the evolved SM 3.0 requirements

C. AI Integration Impact
Participants reported using various AI tools at work:
- *ChatGPT/Claude:* Preparation of coaching questions, retrospective formats, conflict resolution strategies
- *GitHub Copilot:* Understanding code reviews, identifying technical impediments, building own tools/apps for automating manual activities
- *Specialized Agile Analytics Tools:* Predictive sprint planning, pattern recognition in team performance
- *Automated Meeting Facilitators:* Real-time transcription, MOM preparation, action item extraction

Positive Impacts:
- *Enhanced Preparation:* AI enables more thoughtful coaching preparation
- *Pattern Recognition:* Identification of team performance patterns impossible to detect manually
- *Time Savings:* Automation of administrative tasks allows focus on strategic work
- *Improved Insights:* Data analysis capabilities beyond human capacity

Challenges:
- *Over-reliance Risk:* Potential loss of human intuition and empathy
- *Learning Curve:* Significant time investment to master AI tools effectively
- *Organizational Skepticism:* Some organizations resist AI tool adoption
- *Ethical Considerations:* Privacy concerns with team data processing

85% of participants agreed that AI fluency creates significant competitive advantage.

"*The Scrum Masters who aren't using AI are going to be left behind. It's not optional anymore—it's like refusing to use email in 2010.*"

D. Technical Literacy Requirements
A critical finding emerged regarding technical understanding:
75% of participants reported that technical literacy significantly improved their effectiveness, but 65% of traditionally-trained Scrum Masters lack sufficient technical knowledge.

Participants shared experiences where lack of technical understanding led to:
- Failure to recognize critical impediments (e.g., CI/CD pipeline issues)
- Inability to contribute meaningfully to technical discussions
- Loss of developer respect and trust
- Missed opportunities for valuable intervention

Participants identified essential technical knowledge areas:
1. *Software Development Lifecycle:* Understanding how code moves from development to production
2. *CI/CD Concepts:* Basic grasp of continuous integration and deployment
3. *Architecture Awareness:* High-level understanding of system design
4. *Testing Strategies:* Knowledge of test automation, quality gates
5. *Cloud and DevOps Basics:* Familiarity with modern infrastructure concepts

Participants emphasized that "coding ability" was not required, but "technical literacy" was essential.

V. CAREER TRAJECTORY CONCERNS

Due to the changing trends and organization expectations, participants expressed concerns about:

1. *Job Security:* Fear of role elimination or consolidation
2. *Skill Gaps:* Uncertainty about which skills to develop
3. *Mental Stress:* Pressure from traditional agile coaches and management
4. *Identity Crisis:* Confusion about role boundaries

40% of participants expressed uncertainty about their career trajectory.

Participants who reported confidence in their future identified common patterns:

- Continuous learning mindset
- Proactive technical skill development
- Early AI tool adoption
- Business outcome orientation
- Multiple certifications beyond CSM or PSM

Beyond individual practitioner concerns, participants reported observing distinct organizational strategies in response to the Scrum Master evolution pressures. These organizational responses directly impact career trajectories and contribute to the uncertainty many practitioners feel about their future.

One pattern participants identified is role elimination, where organizations distribute Scrum Master responsibilities across team members, creating self-organizing teams without a dedicated Scrum Master. Several participants from high-maturity organizations noted that their companies view this as the natural endpoint of successful agile adoption, where teams have internalized agile practices sufficiently to function autonomously. However, this trend creates anxiety among practitioners about the long-term viability of their positions.

Another common organizational response is role consolidation. Participants shared experiences of their organizations combining the Scrum Master position with other roles, such as Product Owner responsibilities, Engineering Manager functions, or creating entirely new hybrid positions like Delivery Manager that encompass multiple accountabilities. While this can create opportunities for some practitioners, it also raises concerns about role clarity and whether individuals can effectively balance competing responsibilities.

Some participants reported more positive organizational responses through role elevation. In these cases, successful Scrum Masters are promoted to Agile Coach positions with broader organizational impact, strategic agile leadership roles that influence transformation initiatives, or positions with expanded scope covering multiple teams or program-level responsibilities. Participants viewed this pathway as validation of the Scrum Master role's value, though they acknowledged that such opportunities remain limited.

Finally, participants observed organizations pursuing specialization strategies, creating distinct Scrum Master variants such as Technical Scrum Masters who work specifically with engineering teams and possess deep technical knowledge, Business-focused Scrum Masters who partner closely with product teams on customer outcomes, or Transformation-focused Scrum Masters who specialize in leading change initiatives. These specialized paths offer hope for career development, but participants noted that such positions typically exist only in larger organizations with sufficient scale to support role differentiation.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. For Current Scrum Masters

1. Immediate Actions (0-6 months)

Current Scrum Masters should prioritize developing technical literacy as their first critical step. This involves completing basic software development courses to understand coding fundamentals, learning CI/CD concepts that are essential in modern development environments, shadowing development team members to gain firsthand experience of their workflows and studying system architecture diagrams to understand how components interact. This technical foundation is not about becoming a developer but about building credibility and understanding necessary to contribute meaningfully to technical discussions and identify impediments before they escalate.

Simultaneously, practitioners must embrace AI tool adoption as a competitive necessity rather than an optional enhancement. Beginning with tools like ChatGPT or Claude for retrospective preparation

allows Scrum Masters to craft more thoughtful coaching questions and facilitation strategies. Exploring specialized agile analytics tools can reveal patterns in team performance that would be impossible to detect manually. Experimenting with AI-assisted coaching strategies and joining AI-focused agile practitioner communities helps build fluency with these technologies while learning from peers who are navigating similar transformations.

Equally important is shifting focus from traditional team metrics to business outcomes. Scrum Masters should identify the key business metrics that matter for their product, establish baseline measurements to track progress, create dashboards that explicitly link team activities to business outcomes, and begin presenting their impact in terms of business value rather than velocity or story points in stakeholder communications. This reorientation from process perfection to business impact represents a fundamental mindset shift that distinguishes SM 3.0 practitioners from their predecessors.

2. Medium-Term Development (6-18 months)

As technical literacy and AI fluency develop, Scrum Masters should focus on building hybrid skills that expand their value proposition beyond traditional facilitation. Pursuing complementary certifications such as Product Owner, Project Management or Business Analysis credentials demonstrates versatility and opens new career pathways. Developing data analysis capabilities enables more sophisticated performance insights and predictive planning. Strengthening stakeholder management skills allows Scrum Masters to operate effectively at higher organizational levels, while building financial literacy for business case evaluation enables meaningful contribution to strategic product decisions.

Network expansion becomes increasingly critical during this phase. Scrum Masters should actively connect with product managers and business leaders to understand broader organizational context, participate in strategic planning discussions to gain exposure to executive thinking, join cross-functional initiatives that build relationships beyond the immediate team, and mentor junior team members on topics beyond agile practices, establishing themselves as organizational resources rather than role-specific experts.

3. Long-Term Positioning (18+ months)

Looking beyond immediate survival, successful Scrum Masters should position themselves for strategic role evolution. This might involve pursuing positions that influence multiple teams or entire organizations, specializing in transformation or scaling initiatives that address complex organizational challenges, considering transitions into product management where their team enablement skills complement product strategy work, or exploring organizational development opportunities where their change management expertise creates enterprise-wide impact.

Building thought leadership provides both personal brand development and community contribution. Sharing learning and experiences publicly through writing, speaking, or teaching establishes practitioners as experts in their field. Contributing to agile community knowledge through case studies, frameworks, or tools advances the profession while raising individual profiles. Mentoring other practitioners creates networks and demonstrates leadership capability, while developing innovative practices that push the boundaries of agile effectiveness establishes practitioners as pioneers rather than followers in the field's evolution.

B. For Organizations

1. Role Definition Clarity

Organizations must provide clear articulation of Scrum Master expectations that align with their specific maturity level. Rather than defaulting to generic job descriptions copied from the Scrum Guide, companies should define success metrics that go beyond velocity and process compliance, reflecting the business outcomes they genuinely need from the role. Creating explicit career progression pathways for Scrum Masters demonstrates commitment to the role's development and helps retain talented practitioners. Organizations should also ensure that role titles accurately reflect actual responsibilities, avoiding the confusion and frustration that results when "Scrum Master" titles mask delivery manager or project manager expectations.

2. Investment in Development

Organizations that want their Scrum Masters to evolve must invest in their development rather than

simply expecting transformation to occur organically. This means providing technical training opportunities that help Scrum Masters build the literacy necessary to contribute to technical discussions, funding AI tool adoption and providing time for learning rather than treating it as personal development to be done outside work hours, creating rotation programs that give Scrum Masters exposure to product management and business operations, and supporting attendance at conferences and professional development events that expose them to cutting-edge practices and broader professional networks.

3. Strategic Positioning

Beyond training, organizations must position Scrum Masters strategically within their structures. Including Scrum Masters in strategic planning discussions signals that their perspective is valued and helps them understand business context that informs their team-level work. Creating direct reporting lines to senior leadership when appropriate elevates the role's importance and ensures Scrum Masters have the organizational authority to remove significant impediments. Empowering Scrum Masters with genuine decision-making authority rather than purely advisory roles enables them to act quickly and decisively when addressing team obstacles. Finally, recognizing and rewarding business outcome achievements rather than solely process compliance reinforces the behaviors organizations want to see and demonstrates commitment to the evolved role definition.

C. For Aspiring Scrum Masters

Those considering Scrum Master careers should approach the role strategically rather than viewing it as an easy entry into technology. Building foundation skills before pursuing the Scrum Master role creates competitive advantage. Starting with technical or business analyst background provides context and credibility that pure process training cannot deliver. Gaining team experience in development, product, or operations roles before transitioning to Scrum Master positions offers invaluable insight into team challenges and dynamics. Developing strong facilitation and communication skills through practice and training ensures capability in the interpersonal aspects of the role. Most critically, aspiring practitioners should learn agile principles deeply rather than just memorizing ceremonies, understanding the philosophy and values that make agile effective rather than treating it as a prescriptive methodology.

Those entering the field should actively avoid common pitfalls that limit career potential. Positioning oneself as a pure process facilitator may secure initial employment but creates a ceiling on career development and makes practitioners vulnerable to organizational changes. Accepting positions in organizations seeking only SM 1.0 capabilities might provide initial experience but can also establish patterns that are difficult to break later. Neglecting continuous learning after initial certification leaves practitioners increasingly outdated as the field evolves. Focusing exclusively on ceremonies rather than team outcomes and business impact reinforces the very patterns that make traditional Scrum Masters vulnerable to obsolescence.

From day one, aspiring Scrum Masters should differentiate themselves from traditional practitioners. Emphasizing hybrid capabilities in job applications demonstrates value beyond ceremony facilitation. Showing genuine technical curiosity signals openness to learning and adaptation. Demonstrating business outcome orientation in interviews shows understanding that agile is a means to an end, not an end in itself. Highlighting AI tool proficiency, even at basic levels, positions candidates as forward-thinking and technologically fluent, distinguishing them from traditionalists who resist these tools.

VII. CONCLUSION

This study sets out to understand how organizational maturity and AI integration are reshaping the Scrum Master role. The research reveals not a death of the role but a profound transformation demanding new capabilities and mindsets.

1. Key Conclusions

The findings demonstrate conclusively that the Scrum Master role is evolving rather than being eliminated. The research identifies three distinct evolutionary stages—SM 1.0 focused on process facilitation, SM 2.0 centered on team coaching, and SM 3.0 oriented toward business partnership—with

market expectations accelerating rapidly toward SM 3.0 capabilities. This evolution reflects not a failure of the original role concept but rather its maturation beyond the narrow confines of ceremony facilitation.

Technical literacy has emerged as an essential competency, challenging long-held assumptions about the sufficiency of pure facilitation skills. The research demonstrates that technical understanding builds credibility with development teams, enables early detection of critical impediments, and allows meaningful participation in strategic product and architecture discussions. AI tool fluency represents another significant competitive advantage, with practitioners who embrace AI for analysis, planning, and coaching demonstrating markedly higher effectiveness than those relying solely on traditional approaches.

Finally, the fundamental definition of success for Scrum Masters has shifted from process perfection to business impact. Traditional metrics like sprint velocity and story point completion are giving way to business outcome measures including customer satisfaction, revenue impact, and time-to-market improvements. Scrum Masters who continue measuring their success primarily through process metrics are answering questions that organizations no longer find relevant.

2. The Future Outlook

Looking ahead, the "Scrum Master" title may indeed become less common, but the core accountability — enabling teams to deliver exceptional business value through effective collaboration and continuous improvement — will only grow in importance. The winners will be those who recognize that agile success requires not process police but business partners who happen to be excellent at facilitation, deeply understand technology, leverage AI effectively, and measure success in customer and business impact.

3. Final Reflection

The question is not whether the Scrum Master role has a future. The question is whether current practitioners and organizations have the courage and commitment to transform together. The role is graduating from ceremony facilitation to strategic business partnership. Those who graduate with it will thrive. Those who resist will be left behind.

The traditional Scrum Master role isn't dead. It's just finally becoming what it was always meant to be: a force multiplier for business value delivery through exceptional team enablement.

REFERENCES

[1] Schwaber, K., & Sutherland, J. (2020). The Scrum Guide. www.scrum.org.
[2] Project Management Institute (PMI). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide) – Seventh Edition.
[3] Davenport, T.H. and Ronanki, R., 2018. Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), pp.108-116.
[4] Gupta, Shivani. (2022). Artificial Intelligence, Analytics and Agile: Transforming Project Management in the 21st Century. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE). 11. 1-8. 10.35940/ijrte. G6877.0511122.
[5] Gohar, Hamed. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Agile Project Management: Impacts on Decision- Making, Efficiency, and Risk Mitigation. 10.13140/RG.2.2.13310.29764.