The Wonder and Wisdom in a Child's Voice: Rethinking Moral Agency in Children's Narratives

Mrs. Anushya G.R.
Assistant Professor, Department of English, SRM Arts and Science College, Kattankulathur

***Abstract*—This article offers a novel view on the morality in modern fictions via children's narrative voices from To Kill a Mockingbird's Scout Finch, The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-Time's Christopher Boone and Wonder's August Pullman: by utilizing their respective sense of empathy, logic and care, each individual unveils the ethical shortcomings of adults. Scout's insight shows empathy as an active form of moral perception, exposing the hypocrisy of racism; Christopher's radical honesty reveals the conflict between truth and care; Auggie's kindness highlights relational ethics as brave actions. Drawing on Nikolajeva's narratology, Nussbaum's ethics of emotion, and Gilligan's care philosophy, the paper argues children's voices actively critique adult morality, renewing ethical imagination. The findings have crucial implications for pedagogy and literary analysis by recognizing children as profound ethical thinkers.**

***Index Terms*—Child narrator, Moral agency, Empathy, Narratology, Wonder.**

I. INTRODUCTION

For centuries, writers and philosophers have looked at children with awe and wonder. While many see them as naive observers of the adult world, modern literature often portrays them as aware and even morally conscious individuals. This suggests that children's pure perspectives can convey important values like justice, truth, and kindness. It challenges the idea that only adults can teach morality. This paper analyzes the stories of three child narrators: Scout Finch in Harper Lee's To Kill a Mockingbird (1960), Christopher Boone in Mark Haddon's The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-Time (2003), and Auggie Pullman in R. J. Palacio's Wonder (2012).

Scout empathizes with those suffering racial injustice, Christopher uses logic and honesty to solve a family mystery, and Auggie through resilient compassion, helps others understand a difficult social concept. Previous research has focused on children's psychology or the narrative methods employed, this study provides a comparative analysis on the moral philosophies articulated by these narrators, which I categorize as empathetic emotion, cognitive truth, and relational kindness. Through these narrators, alternative moral visions are presented that enrich and challenge adult perceptions.

II. PHILOSOPHICAL AND LITERARY VIEWS OF CHILDHOOD

The representation of children in literature has changed from a mere embodiment of purity in need of completion to a multifaceted entity worthy of sincere moral consideration. For instance, in The Case of Peter Pan, or The Impossibility of Children's Fiction (1984), Jacqueline Rose argues that children's fiction is primarily a projection of the adult's moral perfection, so that the child narrator can be a constructed moral vision, rather than an innocent one. Rose writes that the child "speaks both as subject and as construct" revealing adult desires for moral purity (Rose 5). This perspective prompts vigilance in assessing authors' influences on child narrators' voices.

Conversely, Gareth Matthews in The Philosophy of Childhood (1994) validates children as authentic philosophers who ask profound ethical questions such as "Why is lying wrong?" and "What makes a rule unfair?" He asserts children are "differently complete thinkers," possessing philosophical reflection distinct from adults (Matthews 22). Matthews's insight supports a serious engagement with child narrators' moral reasonings.

III. MORAL AGENCY AND ETHICS IN LITERATURE

Martha Nussbaum reframes emotions as important "forms of moral perception" that show what is

important ethically (Nussbaum 45). The direct emotional reactions of child narrators - Scout's indignation and Auggie's hurt are vital moral knowledge rather than immature responses. Carol Gilligan challenges male-centered moral theories in a Different Voice (1982) by emphasising responsibility and care as relational connective elements rather than impersonal rules (Gilligan 73). Auggie's moral growth in R.J. Palacio's Wonder is based on this care-oriented ethics. Kindness is the foundation of community formation in this story. According to Wayne Booth, reading fiction immerses readers in the moral world of the narrator and encourages change. This makes reading fiction an ethical act on its own (Booth 37). Such fresh perspectives of the children enable literature to promote ethical renewal by critiquing the cynicism of adults.

Child Narrators and Ethical Imagination

Maria Nikolajeva calls the method of child narration as generating "moral defamiliarization," inviting readers to question entrenched moral assumptions (Nikolajeva 118). Scout's innocent voice exposes contradictions like Maycomb's Christian charity alongside racism. Barbara Wall reads child narration as destabilizing adult authority by granting moral control to the child narrator, who reveals adults compromised ethics (Wall 91).

Robyn McCallum, citing Bakhtin's dialogism, explains child moral growth through interaction, highlighting the multiperspectival narration in Wonder as creating a complex ethical chorus (McCallum 64). Thus, child narrators operate both as literary constructs and as authentic conveyors of moral insight.

Harper Lee's To Kill a Mockingbird: Empathy as Moral Vision

Scout Finch narrates Maycomb's racial injustice during the Depression through both childhood and adult lenses. Her dual perspective enables "moral defamiliarization," noticing Maycomb's hypocrisy the gulf between professed Christianity and entrenched racism (Nikolajeva 202). Atticus's central lesson embodies Scout's moral tool: "You never really understand a person until you consider things from his point of view... until you climb into his skin and walk around in it" (Lee 30). Scout's indignation and compassion are "forms of moral perception" revealing injustice more clearly than legal arguments (Nussbaum 45).

Her moral education arises from painful experience, not abstract instruction, supporting Matthews's claim children philosophize through concrete situations (Matthews 1994). The novel culminates with Scout's empathetic insight on Boo Radley's porch: "Atticus, he was really nice" to which Atticus replies, "Most people are, Scout, when you finally see them" (Lee 281). This moment signifies Scout's successful empathy rooted moral agency.

Mark Haddon's The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-Time: Logic as Moral Honesty

Christopher Boone is an autistic boy who is fifteen years old. His view on the world is very different from what we have. Logic has not been strained from his views since he was never taught what to think. His literal and honest narration strips away social fictions, revealing moral compromises adults tolerate. He admits difficulty understanding metaphor and social cues, embracing "things to be orderly" for comprehension (Haddon 45, 77).

Christopher's insistence on honesty conflicts with his father's protective lies, generating ethical complexity where Gilligan's care ethics and Christopher's truth ethics clash (Gilligan 1982). Pursuing truth even at personal cost, Christopher exemplifies moral agency through rigorous logic. Christopher's narrative control enacts Wall's view of destabilizing adult authority; adults underestimate him, but his gaze exposes their failures (Wall 91). His ethical vision, "differently complete," equals neurotypical perspectives (Matthews 1994). His growth culminates in his successful independent navigation of London and admitting "sometimes it's good to ask for help" (Haddon 133), demonstrating complex moral maturity.

R.J. Palacio's Wonder: Kindness as Moral Practice

Auggie Pullman is a boy with facial differences who is going into mainstream school in the novel Wonder, where the main character is called Auggie and the novel follows the story with multiple characters that view from different angles such as Auggie, his sister Via and his classmates. This approach of different angles generates a shared moral vision that concentrates on bravery and kindness. Auggie's humor and strong self-awareness come from social rejection.

These qualities give him a deep understanding of what it means to be different (Palacio 2012). Mr. Browne's precept, "When given the choice between being right or being kind, choose kind," encapsulates the novel's ethics (Palacio 211), rooted in Gilligan's care-based relational ethics. Characters confront choices balancing social standing and responsibility, emphasizing relational moral growth (Gilligan 1982). The shifting narrators form a chorus that supports McCallum's dialogic self-construction thesis: mutual interaction forms moral understanding (McCallum 1999). Classmates' initial discomfort evolves into admiration and friendship through ongoing dialogue, proving kindness is relational achievement. Auggie's emotional experiences function as moral perception, revealing everyday exclusion and acceptance's ethical stakes (Nussbaum 2001). His longing for recognition ("everyone should get a standing ovation at least once in their life") is fulfilled through his peers' defense of him, highlighting his moral courage (Palacio 6). Wonder also performs moral defamiliarization, exposing "countless small acts of exclusion" constituting ableism (Nikolajeva 2002). Auggie's story reveals ethical resilience as a deliberate choice amid ostracism, demonstrating kindness as a powerful moral philosophy.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: THREE MODES OF CHILD MORAL AGENCY

Together, these novels reveal that child moral agency is multifaceted. It is expressed via empathy, logic, and care. This critique of childhood as a place of morality and goodness comes from each child narrator's own perspective - a perspective that sees the adults in their lives lacking the ability to perceive relationships in ways that reflect understanding, empathy and a sensitivity to other points of view - "the need" to see the world from another's position (Nussbaum 2001, 227). Indeed, one of Scout's means of criticizing systemic injustice is precisely through emotional clarity. Christopher embodies a logic-based approach to morality, which states that integrity and honesty are vital for both survival and ethics (Wall 1991). Auggie exemplifies care ethics, showing how our everyday acts of kindness and connection can create a moral community. These young narrators openly criticize the moral failings of adults. They criticize the racism in Maycomb, Christopher's father's dishonesty, and the community's fear. They display "differently complete" thinking (Matthews 1994), highlighting that moral growth is complex and non-linear, often compromised by adult rationalizations.

Innocence also takes varied forms: Scout's innocence reveals societal hypocrisy; Christopher's neurological difference bypasses innocence, grounding clarity in cognitive integrity; Auggie's visible difference compels ethical resilience beyond sheltered naiveté. Ultimately, the strength of these narrators lies in their unfiltered perspectives repudiating injustice as inevitable. Following Booth's notion, the novels make reading an ethical act where readers engage with child viewpoints that momentarily suspend adult cynicism, encouraging moral renewal through imagination (Booth 1988).

V. CONCLUSION

This study confirms that child narrators in contemporary literature function as active, critical moral agents. Scout Finch's empathy, Christopher Boone's honesty, and August Pullman's kindness showcase literature's extraordinary and multi-faceted moral reasoning. These children's voices counter the argument that children are moral novices and expose the moral myopia of adults. They call for a revived ethical vision. Their power, narratologically and ethically interpreted, lies in destabilizing of adult authority and the provocation of moral defamiliarization and ethical renewal within literature. Emotional intelligence, cognitive clarity, and relational resilience are each in themselves valid, complete moral philosophies. The implications quite literally touch on the heart of both literary criticism and pedagogy: children as moral agents and thinkers deserve serious consideration and ethical engagement. Abstract moral instruction will not foster moral development. The child narrator, in a literary sense, is a device that embodies a philosophical stance of moral insight, "attentive perception and courageous care," that disrupts complacency, rationalizations, and ethical retraction. In a world tainted by adults' cruelty, the child's voice is not some kind of dissonance but profundity. Listening to what the child has to say is perhaps our only chance for ethical renewal.

REFERENCE

[1] Booth, Wayne C. The Company We Keep: An Ethics of Fiction. University of California Press, 1988.
[2] Gilligan, Carol. In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development. Harvard University Press, 1982.
[3] Haddon, Mark. The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-Time. Doubleday, 2003.
[4] Lee, Harper. To Kill a Mockingbird. J.B. Lippincott & Co., 1960.
[5] Matthews, Gareth B. The Philosophy of Childhood. Harvard University Press, 1994.
[6] McCallum, Robyn. Ideologies of Identity in Adolescent Fiction: The Dialogic Construction of Subjectivity. Garland Publishing, 1999.
[7] Nikolajeva, Maria. The Rhetoric of Character in Children's Literature. Scarecrow Press, 2002.
[8] Nussbaum, Martha C. Upheavals of Thought: The Intelligence of Emotions. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
[9] Palacio, R.J. Wonder. Alfred A. Knopf, 2012.
[10] Rose, Jacqueline. The Case of Peter Pan, or The Impossibility of Children's Fiction. Macmillan, 1984.
[11] Wall, Barbara. The Narrator's Voice: The Dilemma of Children's Fiction. Macmillan, 1991.