

# Blockchain Security Enhancement: To Detect Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

Prof. Surabhi Chavhan<sup>1</sup>, Mr. Mohammed Adin Khan<sup>2</sup>, Mr. Vikrant Buggewar<sup>3</sup>, Mr. Harsh Chaudhari<sup>4</sup>,  
Mr. Mustakimuddin Sheikh<sup>5</sup>, Mr. Mohammed Taufik Sheikh<sup>6</sup>, Mr. Siddhesh Lanjewar<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6,7</sup>*Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G. H. Rasoni University, Amravati, Maharashtra, India*

**Abstract-** Blockchain technology has emerged as one of the most significant innovations of the 21st century, offering transparency, decentralization, and secure record keeping. Its promise lies in eliminating intermediaries and ensuring that transactions are immutable and verifiable. However, while the blockchain framework itself is robust and secure, the smart contracts that operate on top of it are often vulnerable due to logical flaws or programming mistakes. These vulnerabilities can be exploited by attackers, leading to loss of funds, system disruptions, and reputational damage.

This project, titled “*Blockchain Security Enhancement: To Detect Smart Contract Vulnerabilities*,” introduces a comprehensive framework designed to detect and mitigate weaknesses in smart contracts. The framework uses a combination of static analysis, dynamic analysis, and artificial intelligence to identify issues such as reentrancy, integer overflow, timestamp dependency, and unauthorized access before deployment on a live blockchain.

The proposed system, implemented using Python, Solidity, and machine learning tools integrated with the Ethereum test network, provides automated vulnerability detection with real-time reporting and a user-friendly web interface. Experimental results on a dataset of 100 smart contracts show a detection accuracy of 92% and an average analysis time of 3.5 seconds per contract. The findings demonstrate that the system is efficient, accurate, and practical for developers building modern decentralized applications (DApps).

## I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, blockchain technology has evolved from a niche concept supporting cryptocurrencies to a revolutionary infrastructure transforming industries such as finance, supply chain management, healthcare, and governance. At its core, blockchain is a decentralized digital ledger that records transactions across multiple nodes in a

network. Each block in the chain contains cryptographic hashes, timestamps, and transaction details, ensuring that once data is recorded, it cannot be altered without network consensus.

One of blockchain’s most powerful innovations is the *smart contract*—a self-executing program stored on the blockchain that automatically enforces agreements when specific conditions are met. Smart contracts eliminate intermediaries, reduce costs, and improve trust. However, their reliability depends entirely on how securely they are coded. Even a small bug or oversight can be catastrophic because, once deployed, a smart contract cannot be easily modified or recalled.

A well-known example is the 2016 DAO exploit, where attackers exploited a reentrancy vulnerability in Ethereum’s smart contracts, resulting in a loss of over \$60 million. This incident exposed the importance of security auditing and vulnerability detection in smart contracts before deployment.

Existing auditing tools have limitations—some generate false positives, others are slow, and many are difficult to interpret for non-experts. Therefore, this project aims to develop an intelligent, AI-assisted auditing framework that detects vulnerabilities efficiently and presents results clearly to developers. By combining multiple detection methods, it ensures accuracy, speed, and adaptability in the rapidly evolving blockchain ecosystem.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on smart contract vulnerabilities has expanded significantly over the last few years. Early tools like Oyente pioneered symbolic execution to detect vulnerabilities such as reentrancy and timestamp dependency. However, Oyente often suffered from performance bottlenecks and

scalability issues, particularly when analyzing complex contracts.

Subsequent tools like Mythril and Securify improved upon these techniques by introducing formal verification and rule-based pattern analysis. Mythril, for instance, uses symbolic execution and taint analysis to identify vulnerabilities in Ethereum smart contracts. Securify applies formal verification to ensure code follows defined security properties. While both tools improved reliability, they still faced challenges such as incomplete coverage and slow processing times.

Static analysis techniques are widely used because they analyze code without execution. They can detect syntactic and logical flaws early in development but struggle to identify vulnerabilities that appear only during runtime. On the other hand, dynamic analysis observes program behavior during execution, which helps catch runtime issues but requires more computational power and time.

Researchers have recently begun integrating artificial intelligence and machine learning into blockchain security tools. By training models on large datasets of vulnerable and safe smart contracts, these tools can learn to recognize complex vulnerability patterns. AI-driven models also adapt over time as new types of attacks emerge. However, their success depends heavily on the quality of training data and continuous retraining.

Considering these findings, this project proposes a hybrid model that combines static and dynamic analysis with AI-based classification. This combination ensures broader coverage, faster detection, and fewer false positives compared to traditional methods.

### III. BLOCKCHAIN SECURITY OVERVIEW

Blockchain security encompasses multiple layers, each responsible for maintaining the system's integrity.

- **Network Layer:** Ensures secure communication between nodes through encryption and consensus protocols like Proof of Work (PoW) and Proof of Stake (PoS).
- **Consensus Layer:** Verifies transactions and maintains agreement across nodes, preventing data tampering.
- **Application Layer:** Hosts decentralized applications (DApps) and smart contracts, where logic errors or flawed permissions can lead to security breaches.

While the first two layers rely heavily on cryptographic security, the smart contract layer depends solely on the correctness of the code. Common vulnerabilities in smart contracts include:

- **Reentrancy attacks,** where malicious functions repeatedly call back into the contract to drain funds.
- **Integer overflow and underflow,** where arithmetic operations exceed data type limits.
- **Timestamp dependency,** allowing miners to manipulate execution time for advantage.
- **Access control flaws,** where unauthorized users can trigger restricted functions.

These vulnerabilities highlight the fact that blockchain security is not only a matter of cryptographic strength but also of software reliability. Therefore, developing tools that can automatically detect coding errors and logical flaws before deployment is crucial.

### IV. METHODOLOGY AND SYSTEM DESIGN

The proposed Blockchain Security Enhancement System is structured into five main modules:

1. Parser
2. Static Analyzer
3. Dynamic Analyzer
4. Machine Learning Classifier
5. Reporting Dashboard

The parser module extracts Solidity code and converts it into an abstract syntax tree (AST) to represent the structure and relationships between functions, variables, and conditions.

The static analyzer applies rule-based scanning to detect vulnerabilities such as unchecked external calls, improper visibility modifiers, or potential reentrancy risks. It identifies issues without executing the code, making it efficient for early-stage detection.

The dynamic analyzer runs the contract in a controlled sandbox environment using a simulated Ethereum network. It monitors the contract's runtime behavior, gas consumption, and call sequences to detect hidden or contextual vulnerabilities.

The machine learning classifier processes outputs from both analyzers to classify the contract's risk level—low, medium, or high. It uses features such

as opcode frequency, variable dependencies, and control flow complexity.

Finally, the reporting dashboard provides a graphical interface displaying the detected vulnerabilities, their severity, and suggested fixes. Developers can view detailed analysis results in real time, making it easier to secure contracts before deployment.

This modular design ensures flexibility and scalability, allowing each component to be upgraded independently as blockchain technologies evolve.

## V. IMPLEMENTATION

The system is implemented using a combination of Python, Solidity, and JavaScript technologies. The backend is developed using Flask, while the frontend uses React.js for dynamic and responsive visualization. Solidity is used to write and test smart contracts, while Web3.py and Ganache connect the system to the Ethereum test network.

For machine learning, Scikit-learn and TensorFlow are used to train and classify contract vulnerabilities. SQLite provides lightweight database storage for contract data and analysis results.

The system workflow proceeds as follows:

1. A user uploads a smart contract file.
2. The static analyzer scans it for common vulnerability patterns.
3. The dynamic analyzer executes it on the test network to observe behavior.
4. Both analysis results are processed by the AI model to predict vulnerability severity.
5. The final results are displayed on the dashboard with explanations and suggestions.

Testing was conducted using 100 smart contracts, including both secure and vulnerable examples. The model achieved 92% accuracy and 88% precision, analyzing each contract in about 3.5 seconds. Compared to existing tools, it provided faster performance, higher accuracy, and fewer false positives.

## VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental results confirmed that integrating AI with static and dynamic analysis improves detection accuracy and efficiency. The system successfully identified various vulnerabilities including reentrancy, integer overflow, timestamp dependency, and access control flaws.

When compared to traditional tools like Mythril and Oyente, the proposed framework performed better in both accuracy and speed. It reduced false positives from 13% to just 6%, enabling developers to focus on actual security risks. The adaptive learning capability allowed the AI model to recognize new types of vulnerabilities as it encountered more data. Testers appreciated the user-friendly dashboard, which provided detailed explanations, mitigation steps, and severity levels. This made the tool useful not only for security experts but also for beginner developers learning about blockchain security. The modular design ensured that updates could be made without affecting the rest of the system, ensuring long-term usability and scalability.

## VII. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS

Advantages:

1. Hybrid Detection Approach: Combines static, dynamic, and AI-based analysis for broader and more accurate vulnerability detection.
2. High Accuracy: Demonstrated 92% detection accuracy and low false positive rate.
3. User-Friendly Interface: Simple and visually clear dashboard suitable for both experts and beginners.
4. Real-Time Feedback: Provides instant vulnerability reports after uploading a contract.
5. Scalable Design: Capable of analyzing multiple contracts simultaneously.
6. Continuous Learning: AI model improves automatically as new vulnerabilities are added to the dataset.
7. Open-Source Flexibility: Can be integrated into existing blockchain development workflows.
8. Lightweight Implementation: Uses SQLite and Flask, making deployment simple on local or cloud servers.
9. Developer Guidance: Offers remediation advice and code-level explanations.
10. Extensible Framework: New modules or detectors can be added without major redesigns.

Limitations:

1. The current version supports only Ethereum and Solidity, excluding other platforms like Solana or Hyperledger.
2. AI dependency: Accuracy depends on dataset quality; insufficient or biased data can affect performance.

3. Runtime Overheads: Dynamic analysis increases processing time for very large or complex contracts.
4. Limited Dataset Diversity: Testing was done on 100 contracts; larger datasets could further validate performance.
5. Obfuscated Code Challenges: May fail to detect vulnerabilities in heavily optimized or encrypted contracts.
6. Maintenance Requirement: Regular retraining is required as new vulnerabilities appear.
7. Resource Usage: Running simultaneous dynamic analyses may require moderate computational resources.
8. Ethical Use Restrictions: Must be monitored to ensure it's not used for malicious auditing or exploitation.

Despite these challenges, the system provides a reliable, practical foundation for enhancing blockchain contract security.

#### VIII. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE

Building cybersecurity tools comes with ethical responsibility. The system is designed for educational, research, and professional auditing purposes, not for exploitation. Therefore, proper access control and usage monitoring should be implemented to prevent misuse.

In the future, the system can be improved in several directions:

- Cross-chain compatibility: Extend support to blockchains like Solana, Binance Smart Chain, or Polygon.
- Natural Language Processing (NLP) integration: Analyze documentation and identify mismatches between intended and actual contract behavior.
- Automated repair suggestions: Use AI to suggest or even apply code fixes automatically.
- Real-time deployment monitoring: Provide live alerts during deployment if vulnerabilities are detected.

Such developments will make the tool more versatile, intelligent, and beneficial for the blockchain community.

#### IX. CONCLUSION

This project presents a robust and intelligent framework for detecting vulnerabilities in blockchain smart contracts. By integrating static analysis, dynamic execution, and machine learning, it achieves superior speed and accuracy compared to existing tools.

The proposed system demonstrates how artificial intelligence can significantly strengthen blockchain security by automating the auditing process and reducing human error. As decentralized technologies continue to grow across industries, ensuring secure smart contract development becomes increasingly important.

The success of this project lays the groundwork for future research into AI-driven, cross-platform, and self-healing security tools that can make blockchain ecosystems safer and more reliable for everyone.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Luu, L., et al. (2016). *Making Smart Contracts Smarter*. ACM CCS.
- [2] Tsankov, P., et al. (2018). *Securify: Practical Security Analysis of Smart Contracts*.
- [3] Torres, C., et al. (2018). *Osiris: Hunting for Integer Bugs in Ethereum Smart Contracts*.
- [4] Mueller, B. (2018). *Mythril: Security Analysis Tool for EVM Bytecode*.
- [5] Chen, T., et al. (2020). *AI-driven Security Assessment of Blockchain Applications*.
- [6] Parizi, R., et al. (2021). *Blockchain in FinTech: Security and Scalability Challenges*.
- [7] Atzei, N., et al. (2017). *A Survey of Attacks on Ethereum Smart Contracts*.
- [8] Destefanis, G., et al. (2018). *Smart Contracts Vulnerabilities: A Call for Better Coding Practices*.
- [9] Praitheeshan, P., et al. (2019). *Security Analysis of Smart Contracts: A Systematic Literature Review*.
- [10] Zhang, F., et al. (2019). *Analyzing Smart Contracts Security: Towards a Standardized Framework*.
- [11] Li, J., et al. (2020). *Hybrid Analysis for Smart Contract Vulnerability Detection*.
- [12] Perez, D., et al. (2021). *Smart Contract Vulnerabilities: Vulnerability Taxonomy and Mitigation Techniques*.
- [13] Wang, S., et al. (2021). *Deep Learning for Smart Contract Security*.

- [14] Kalra, S., et al. (2018). *ZEUS: Analyzing Safety of Smart Contracts*.
- [15] Hassan, M., et al. (2022). *AI-Assisted Vulnerability Detection in Decentralized Applications*.