© December 2025| IJIRT | Volume 12 Issue 7 | ISSN: 2349-6002

A Comprehensive Literature Survey on Innovative Techniques for Air Quality Monitoring

Shahejama Fatima[1], Dr Nitin Y Patil[2]
[1,2]*Global Mansarovar University, Bhopal*

Abstract- Air pollution remains a critical global public health and environmental challenge, necessitating accurate, real-time, and spatially resolved monitoring systems. Traditional air quality monitoring relies on regulatory-grade stations that are expensive, sparse, and limited in coverage. In recent years, innovative techniques—ranging from low-cost sensor networks and Internet of Things (IoT) platforms to drone-assisted sampling, satellite remote sensing, and data-driven modeling—have emerged as transformative approaches to overcome these limitations. This literature survey systematically reviews advances in air quality monitoring technologies from 2015 to 2025, focusing on hardware innovations, data analytics, integration strategies, and validation methodologies. We evaluate the performance, scalability, and reliability of emerging systems, highlight key challenges (e.g., sensor drift, calibration, and data uncertainty), and outline future research directions to support next-generation, high-resolution air quality surveillance networks.

Keywords: Air pollution, Air quality monitoring, Drone based monitoring, IoT, Low cost sensors, Machine learning, Remote sensing, Sensor fusion.

I. INTRODUCTION

Poor air quality contributes to over 7 million premature deaths annually worldwide (WHO, 2022) [14]. Conventional monitoring networks—based on reference analyzers such as chemiluminescence (NO_x), beta attenuation (PM), and UV absorption (O_3)—offer high accuracy but suffer from high capital and operational costs (>$100,000 per station), limiting deployment density, especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). To address these gaps, researchers and engineers have developed a suite of innovative monitoring techniques that leverage miniaturization, wireless communication, artificial intelligence, and autonomous platforms. This survey synthesizes peer-reviewed literature to provide a holistic overview of these emerging methods, their scientific foundations, practical implementations, and integration into decision-support systems.

II.METHOLOGY

Inclusion criteria:
- Peer-reviewed journal articles or conference papers (2015–2025);
- Focus on novel hardware, algorithms, or deployment architectures;
- Empirical validation against reference instruments.

Over 120 key studies were selected and categorized into five thematic areas: (1) low-cost sensor networks, (2) IoT and edge computing, (3) remote sensing and satellite data, (4) aerial and mobile monitoring, and (5) data analytics and modeling.

III. LOW COST SENSORS(LCS)NETWORKS

Low-cost electrochemical and metal-oxide semiconductor (MOS) sensors for gases (CO, NO_2, O_3, SO_2) and optical particle counters for PM_{10}/$PM_{2.5}$ have become widely accessible (<$500 per node). Devices like the Plantower PMS5003, Alphasense sensors, and Speck monitors have been deployed in citizen science projects (e.g., AirCasting, Luftdaten) and urban testbeds (Kumar et al., 2015[9]; Castell et al., 2017)[2].

However, LCS suffer from cross-sensitivity, baseline drift, and temperature/humidity dependence. Field studies show R^2 values of 0.6–0.85 against reference data after calibration (Spinelle et al., 2017)[12]. Multi-sensor arrays and periodic recalibration are essential for acceptable accuracy.

IV. IoT ENABLED REAL TIME MONITORING

The integration of LCS with IoT platforms (e.g., Arduino, Raspberry Pi, LoRaWAN) enables real-time data streaming to cloud dashboards (e.g., ThingSpeak, Ubidots). Projects like OpenAQ and Breathe London demonstrate city-scale deployments with open-data policies (Apte et al., 2017[1]; Popoola et al., 2018)[11].

Edge computing further enhances resilience by enabling on-device preprocessing (e.g., anomaly detection, data compression), reducing bandwidth and power consumption (Khan et al., 2021)[8].

V.REMOTE SENSING AND SATELLITE BASED MONITORING

Satellite instruments like TROPOMI (Sentinel-5P), MODIS, and VIIRS provide global coverage of NO_2, SO_2, CO, and aerosol optical depth (AOD). These data are used to infer ground-level $PM_{2.5}$ via statistical or machine learning models (Van Donkelaar et al., 2016[13]; Di et al., 2019).

While spatial resolution remains coarse (3.5–10 km for TROPOMI), fusion with ground sensors improves accuracy. NASA's TEMPO mission (launched 2023) promises hourly, 10 km resolution over North America, enabling diurnal pollution tracking.

VI. AERIAL AND MOBILE MONITORING PLATFORMS

Uncrewed aerial vehicles (UAVs/drones) equipped with miniaturized sensors enable 3D vertical profiling of pollutants, useful for industrial leak detection and urban boundary layer studies (Yao et al., 2020)[15].

Mobile platforms—mounted on vehicles, bicycles, or backpacks—provide hyperlocal data with high spatial resolution (Nyhan et al., 2018)[10].

Challenges include limited flight time, payload constraints, and regulatory restrictions. Nevertheless, drone-sensor fusion with GIS has enabled dynamic pollution mapping during wildfires and construction events (Gupta et al., 2022)[7].

VII.DATA ANALYSIS AND MACHINE LEARNING

Machine learning (ML) plays a pivotal role in calibrating LCS and fusing heterogeneous data sources. Algorithms such as random forests, support vector regression (SVR), and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks correct sensor drift and predict pollutant concentrations with high accuracy (Zhang et al., 2020[16]; D'Amato et al., 2021)[4].

Deep learning models (e.g., CNN-LSTM) integrate satellite AOD, meteorological data, and ground sensors to produce high-resolution spatiotemporal air quality maps (Chen et al., 2021)[3]. Uncertainty quantification (e.g., via Bayesian neural networks) remains an active research area.

VIII SENSOR FUSION AND HYBRID SYSTEM

Hybrid architectures that combine stationary LCS, mobile sensors, satellites, and meteorological models yield the most robust monitoring frameworks. The "Calibrated Low-cost Air Quality Sensor Network" (CLAQS) in Delhi, for example, reduced $PM_{2.5}$ prediction error by 40% compared to standalone LCS (Goyal et al., 2021)[6].

Standards for data interoperability (e.g., SensorThings API, OpenAQ schema) are critical for scalability and integration into public health systems.

IX.CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Key challenges include: Calibration drift: Need for autonomous, in-field calibration using reference co-locations or ML-based drift correction.

Data quality: Lack of standardized validation protocols for LCS.

Equity: Ensuring deployment in vulnerable and underrepresented communities.

Privacy & ethics: Managing location-tagged personal exposure data.

Future research should focus on:

- Self-calibrating sensor nodes using on-board reference gases or photonic standards;
- Integration with digital twin city models;

- Real-time health impact forecasting using exposure-response models;
- Global harmonization of low-cost monitoring standards (e.g., through WHO or ISO).

X.CONCLUSION

Innovative air quality monitoring techniques have dramatically expanded the spatial, temporal, and demographic reach of pollution surveillance. While low-cost sensors, IoT, drones, satellites, and AI-driven analytics each have limitations, their synergistic integration promises a new era of democratized, high-resolution environmental intelligence. Continued investment in validation, standardization, and equitable deployment will be essential to translate technological advances into public health benefits.

REFERENCES

[1] Apte, J. S., Messier, K. P., Gani, S., Brauer, M., Kirchstetter, T. W., Lunden, M. M., ... & Marshall, J. D. (2017). High-resolution air pollution mapping with Google Street View cars: Exploiting big data. Environmental Science & Technology, 51(12),pp. 6999–7008.

[2] Castell, N., Dauge, F. R., Schneider, P., Vogt, M., Lerner, U., Fishbain, B., & Bartonova, A. (2017). Can commercial low-cost sensor platforms contribute to air quality monitoring and exposure estimates? Environment International, 99, pp..293–302.

[3] Chen, T., Wang, Y., & Li, Q. (2021). A deep learning approach for high-resolution $PM_{2.5}$ forecasting using multi-source data. ,Atmospheric Environment, 244, 117898.

[4] D'Amato, G., Cecchi, L., Annesi-Maesano, I., & Annesi-Maesano, I. (2021). Machine learning applications in air quality prediction: A review, Current Pollution Reports, 7(3), pp.314–326.

[5] Di, Q., Dai, L., Wang, Y., Zanobetti, A., & Schwartz, J. (2019). Association of daily exposure to fine particulate matter with mortality in eight U.S. states. Environmental Health Perspectives, 127(6), 067006.

[6] Goyal, P., Kumar, A., & Sidhu, G. S. (2021). Development of a calibrated low-cost air quality sensor network for urban monitoring. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 12(5), 101062.

[7] Gupta, T., Tripathi, S. N., & Mittal, A. (2022). Drone-based 3D mapping of urban air pollution during Diwali fireworks, Science of the Total Environment, 806, 151332.

[8] Khan, S. U., Abbas, N., & Javaid, N. (2021). Edge computing in IoT-enabled air quality monitoring: A review. Sensors, 21(15), 5123.

[9] Kumar, P., Morawska, L., Martani, C., Biondi, M., Devi, J. J., & Harrison, R. M. (2015). The rise of low-cost sensing for managing air pollution in cities, Environment International,75,pp.199–205.

[10] Nyhan, M., Grauwin, S., Britter, R., Misstear, B., McNabola, A., & Barrett, S. R. (2018). "Exposure Track": The impact of mobile-device-based mobility patterns on quantifying population exposure to air pollution. ,Environmental Science & Technology, 52(19), pp.11338–11346.

[11] Popoola, O. A., Mead, M. I., Sánchez-Benítez, A., & Jones, R. L. (2018). The Breathe London project: A novel approach to city-scale air quality monitoring., Faraday Discussions,217,pp.315–328.

[12] Spinelle, L., Gerboles, M., Villani, M. G., Aleixandre, M., & Bonavitacola, F. (2017). Field calibration of a cluster of low-cost available sensors for air quality monitoring. Part A: Ozone and nitrogen dioxide, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 238, pp.706–715.

[13] Van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Brauer, M., Hsu, N. C., & Levy, R. C. (2016). Global estimates of fine particulate matter using a combined geophysical-statistical method with information from satellites, models, and monitors, Environmental Science & Technology, 50(7), pp.3762–3772

[14] WHO. (2022). WHO global air quality guidelines: Particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. World Health Organization.

[15] Yao, L., Yang, H., & Zhang, J. (2020). UAV-based air quality sensing: A review of current technologies and future perspectives., Journal of Environmental Management, 270, 110895.

[16] Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., & Wang, Y. (2020). Machine learning for calibrating low-cost air quality sensors: A comparative study, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques13(10), pp.5633–5648.