Generative Engine Optimization (GEO): Crafting Content for AI-First Search Interfaces

Mr.Vaivaw Kumar Singh[1], Dr. Kunal Sinha[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, Faculty of Business Management, Sarala Birla University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India*
[2]*Assistant Professor, Faculty of Commerce, Sarala Birla University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India*

***Abstract*—The fast development of AI, powered search technologies is changing the way users find information and thus greatly affects the need for rethinking traditional Search Engine Optimization (SEO) methods. The current research conceptualizes the idea of Generative Engine Optimization (GEO), a new approach to creating digital content aimed at AI, first search interfaces, for example, ChatGPT, Gemini, and Google AI Overviews. In contrast to the typical SEO, which is concerned with the ranking of a webpage in the search engine results pages, GEO is about a source's being chosen, cited, and synthesized by generative search systems that employ large language models (LLMs) (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Search Engine Journal, 2024).**

By combining the most recent academic and industry research, the article specifies that content equipped with structured data, authentic citations, and made user, friendly in terms of language can be up to 30, 40% more visible in generative search results than by the traditional methods (Seer Interactive, 2024; Aggarwal et al., 2023). The paper also addresses the issues of the research methods used, such as the hidden operations of generative models and the effects of zero, click search results on digital marketers, apart from the content optimization strategies. The results of adopting GEO guidelines, which are based on trust, entity clarity, and machine, readable semantics, are said to be able to make content not only more discoverable but also more credible in the newly formed AI, first web ecosystem.

***Index Terms*—Generative Engine Optimization (GEO); AI-first search; content visibility; generative search; large language models (LLMs); SEO evolution.**

I. INTRODUCTION

During the last ten years, the primary model of online information search has been based on conventional search engines that return to the user ranked lists of hyperlinks together with short text snippets, commonly named the "ten blue links" paradigm. In this setting, digital marketers and content developers have been depending much on Search Engine Optimization (SEO) methods like keyword frequency, backlink creation, metadata optimization, and website speed improvements to get better positions in Search Engine Results Pages (SERPs) (Fishkin & Hogenhaven, 2013; Enge et al., 2020).

The wave of generative artificial intelligence (AI), however, is profoundly changing users' interaction with search tools. AI, first search experiences such as Google's AI Overviews, OpenAI's ChatGPT, and Anthropic's Claude are, in fact, moving to present users with synthesized, conversational answers instead of mere link lists (Search Engine Land, 2024; TechTarget, 2024). These setups use large language models (LLMs) that can grasp user intent, context, and semantics, which means they can come up with one unified answer that, quite often, does not require the user to follow the source link. Thus, the nature of web visibility and the flow of traffic are changing dramatically.

Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) has, in turn, become a concept of the future, which is meant to clarify the way content will be the most probable to get selected, quoted, and used in the AI, generated response (Aggarwal et al., 2023). In contrast to SEO, which works for ranking positions in human, curated results, GEO is about making content discoverable and getting credited by the generative model that answers by integrating various data sources (Search Engine Journal, 2024; Seer Interactive, 2024).

Such a change in perspective deeply affects digital marketing, journalism, and online communication. Content creators cannot simply think about search algorithms that index their works but also have to figure out how AI systems understand and synthesize the textual information. Using GEO means that there

has to be a focus on elements like structured data, factual citations, conversational phrasing, and topic authority because all these affect an LLM's choice (MaxPlaces, 2025; Orange SEO, 2025).

This paper is meant to shed light on the theoretical bases and the nascent practices of Generative Engine Optimization, illustrating how it differs from conventional SEO and how companies can change their digital strategies to be still visible in AI, first search scenarios. Apart from this, the paper also serves as a platform for existing empirical studies, such as the one mentioning 30, 40% increases in generative visibility that can be achieved with optimized content (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Seer Interactive, 2024), and foreseeing challenges like ethical issues and difficulties in measurement in generative search environments.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 From SEO to AI- First Search

For more than twenty years, Search Engine Optimization (SEO) was basically the tool that made content more visible online, especially in digital contexts. Traditionally, SEO methods revolved around the use of targeted keywords, obtaining backlinks, and optimizing the content of web pages to get better rankings on the first pages of search results (Fishkin & Høgenhaven, 2013; Enge et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the arrival of generative AI, powered solutions has substantially transformed users' search and information consumption patterns on the internet.

According to the latest investigations, AI, first search models like ChatGPT, Gemini, and Google's AI Overviews no longer provide a set of static results but rather offer interactive, conversational answers which are the authors' own words based on the content of multiple web resources (Search Engine Land, 2024; TechTarget, 2024). Optimization is significantly changing due to the fact that user interaction is more and more through LLMs which understand the meaning and the purpose of the text rather than merely doing a keyword match. As a result, search engines are not so much in charge of determining visibility through ranking factors, but more through whether a particular source is chosen, quoted, and spun into one coherent answer (Search Engine Journal, 2024).

2.2 Defining Generative Engine Optimization (GEO)

The idea of Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) was first formally presented by Aggarwal et al. (2023), who see it as a brand, new framework that writers should follow in order to be the ones whose content gets singled out by AI, powered search engines for the answers (conversational) from users query. Their work called GEO: Generative Engine Optimization published as a conference paper, argues that generative search engines mull over questions by collecting bits of information from the different resources and then deriving answers in a way similar to human reasoning, rather than just using straightforward ranking strategies.

Aggarwal et al. (2023) created GEO, Bench, a scaleable benchmark model aimed at gauging visibility across generative search scenarios, which evidenced that GEO, optimized material reached up to 40% more instances of inclusion in the content of the resulting output compared to the unoptimized baseline. Industry experts' comments following the paper have been instrumental in further developing the concept to incorporate elements such as emphasis on structured data, machine, readable markup, and trust features into the strategy (Epidemic Marketing, 2025; MaxPlaces, 2025).

2.3 Reported Strategies and Best Practices

New research and market intelligence reports point to a handful of practical tactics that could significantly raise the profile of contents in AI, powered search results. According to Seer Interactive (2024) and Search Engine Journal (2024), the inclusion of references, direct quotations, and statistical data that can be verified in web content dramatically lifts the chances of such material being referred to in AI, generated summaries, by as much as 30, 40%. Furthermore, content that is organized with schema markup (e.g., FAQ or How, To schema) and is written in natural language as a question matches perfectly with the retrieval and synthesis patterns of LLMs (MaxPlaces, 2025; Relixir AI, 2025).

Moreover, the authors emphasize the significance of entity, based optimization turning to be the major factor for content providers to facilitate the identification of people, organizations, and concepts by generative systems (TechTarget, 2024). Apart from that, the triad of trust, authority, and topicality is singled out as the main contributors not only to the

rankings but also to the chance of being picked, as generative systems seem to focus on reliable and well, cited sources the most (Orange SEO, 2025).

2.4 Gaps and Challenges in Existing Research

GEO, related works have been discussed quite a bit recently but still, the field is at an early stage, and there are a lot of conceptual and methodological problems that need to be solved. First of all, the majority of generative engines are basically closed systems, and they don't give very much information about the way in which the materials are fetched or referred to (Aggarwal et al., 2023). This feature of the black, box makes it difficult for researchers to carry out empirical studies and to come up with standard measures for visibility.

Secondly, a great number of GEO, related insights originate from the industry, and only a handful of them are subjected to the peer, review, thus there is a lack of solid validation for the performance in question (Seer Interactive, 2024). Thirdly, the rise of zero, click search behaviors, where users get their answers straight away without the necessity of going to the source sites, has brought up issues about the continuation of publishers' business and fair distribution of content attribution (Search Engine Journal, 2024; Orange SEO, 2025). Lastly, among the less, explored topics in the academic world are the ethical issues that revolve around data provenance, source bias, and content misrepresentation (TechTarget, 2024).

Thus, early research findings suggest that GEO methods might be quite effective, but the next wave of studies should be concerned with issues such as methodological transparency, transferability across different domains, and the socio, economic effects of AI, mediated search ecosystems.

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK & METHODOLOGY

3.1 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) represents the alignment of digital content with AI, driven search engines' information retrieval and synthesis mechanisms. In contrast to conventional SEO, which mainly focuses on improving ranking positions in the static search engine results, GEO is oriented towards making content understandable, discoverable, and traceable in AI, powered search systems (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Search Engine Land, 2024).

This model combines a number of interconnected components that, together, enhance a content area's chances of being chosen or quoted by generative engines:

- Content Signal Engineering:

The content has to be prepared in a way that AI systems can easily process it and obtain valuable data. Among the things that can be done are the use of structured data markup (e.g., JSON, LD), the hierarchical organization of the text by means of proper headings and subheadings, and the inclusion of citations, facts, and quotations that not only serve the machine but also build trust in it (MaxPlaces, 2025; Search Engine Journal, 2024).

- Topical Authority and Entity Optimization:

The more a website can spread its authority over the thematically interrelated issues, the more reading domain becomes credible for both humans and LLMs. GEO promotes entity, based optimization just as much as topical authority, making sure that entities such as people, organizations, or concepts are not only unambiguously defined but also continually referred to across a content network (TechTarget, 2024; Relixir AI, 2025).

- Machine, Readable Metadata and Attribution Controls:

An important aspect of GEO is the emphasis it places on machine readability. This may include the installation of a few metadata layers such as schema.org markups, canonical tags, and new AI, specific directives (e.g., llms.txt), by which the generative systems are not only obtaining the data but also giving the credit (Epidemic Marketing, 2025). So by enhancing metadata transparency, authors can make it easier for generative engines to trust the sources they get their info from.

- User Experience (UX) and Conversational Alignment:

GEO is also about the necessity of producing materials that fit with user inquiries in natural language and the dialogic character of the search. As people more and

more tend to ask long, form, interrogative, and topic, related questions, LLMs will choose those materials, which are not only contextually coherent and semantically clear but also in a format that is easy to skim through (Relixir AI, 2025; Orange SEO, 2025).

- Measurement and Feedback Loops:

Since generative engines mostly remain a mystery, the need for continuous tracking of their performance becomes very important. To this end, practitioners should be interested in the monitoring of citation occurrences, referral traffic, and visibility metrics in AI, generated search results to constantly fine, tune their GEO tactics (ToTheWeb, 2025; Seer Interactive, 2024).

This conceptual apparatus sees GEO as a multidimensional field that combines the principles of SEO, information retrieval, and AI interpretability. Whereas the main focus of conventional SEO is on ranked visibility, the main goal of GEO is source selection, i.e., the content of a particular organization being used and cited in AI, generated answers (Aggarwal et al., 2023).

3.2 Methodological Approach

Considering GLO, or Generative Engine Optimization, as a relatively new field, the current study mainly uses a conceptual and integrative approach. The authors combined concepts from peer, reviewed research and industry, leading publications to build a solid theoretical model (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Seer Interactive, 2024).

At the moment, generative search engines are complex systems that are not fully understood, and there is only a little information about how sources are selected and citations are made. Thus, large, scale quantitative experiments on this are very limited (TechTarget, 2024). This research, therefore, depends on the interpretation of secondary data from existing empirical studies and professional case studies to draw the interaction between optimization techniques and visibility outcomes.

Previous studies have provided significant data that serve as the quantitative basis for the conceptual framework developed in this paper. For instance, Aggarwal et al. (2023) mentioned that the implementation of GEO strategies led to a 40% increase in content visibility in AI, generated answers, while Seer Interactive (2024) noted 30, 40% enhancements in citation frequency due to the use of quotations and verifiable statistics. In addition, Search Engine Journal (2024) discovered that schema markup and structured formatting greatly sped up the retrieval process in generative systems.

Essentially, the data reported in these studies lead to the argument that GEO, centered tactics, which highlight trust signals, structured metadata, and conversational clarity, are more likely to be utilized in AI, generated answers. Nevertheless, the lack of standard GEO analytics tools is still a drawback, as specialists have to depend on qualitative measurements like AI citation appearance or referral analysis (ToTheWeb, 2025) to a great extent.

As a result, the methodological approach of this paper is mainly about the comparative synthesis of studies from different sectors, the recognition of convergent strategies across industry applications, and the formulation of a conceptual model for the next empirical testing. This hybrid conceptual, empirical framework is a reflection of the interdisciplinary nature of GEO, which is at the crossroads of marketing analytics, information retrieval, and AI transparency research (Orange SEO, 2025; MaxPlaces, 2025).

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Distinguishing GEO from Traditional SEO

The analysis of existing scientific and industry researches demonstrates that Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) is quite different from traditional Search Engine Optimization (SEO) in terms of goals, working principles, and performance indicators. While SEO is about ranking webpages in search engine results, GEO is about content being selected, cited, and synthesized in the answers provided by AI, powered search interfaces (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Search Engine Land, 2024).

In the traditional model, ranking is done through algorithmic signals such as backlinks, keyword relevance, and site authority (Enge et al., 2020). On the other hand, GEO deals with aspects like semantic clarity, factual integrity, and structural transparency, which help LLM output inclusion chances to be higher (TechTarget, 2024; Seer Interactive, 2024). Furthermore, GEO is in line with the conversational nature of future searches, where users may talk to the system or provide background information instead of just dropping keywords (Relixir AI, 2025). Therefore,

the focus of optimization is not page position anymore, but source attribution in the generated summaries.

4.2 Empirical Evidence of GEO Effectiveness

The empirical data derived from scholarly and industry research highlight the tangible advantages of strategies oriented towards GEO. Aggarwal et al. (2023) found that the use of GEO methods brought about an enhancement of up to 40% in generative visibility, which is a figure indicating the frequency with which a source's content is integrated into the synthesized answers. In the same vein, the research by Seer Interactive (2024) revealed that the inclusion of citations, statistics, and quotations resulted in 30, 40% increments of visibility referring to articles, as opposed to the baseline ones that were only optimized for traditional SEO.

Additional evidence from Search Engine Journal (2024) confirms these directions by revealing that materials prepared with structured data and accompanied by verifiable evidence enjoyed a higher referencing rate in AI, generated responses. These findings, therefore, point to GEO, compliant content as not only facilitating its accessibility but also enhancing its trustworthiness and factual reliability, which seem to be the factors affecting the generative systems' inclination to cite certain sources (MaxPlaces, 2025; Orange SEO, 2025).

4.3 Recommended GEO Practices for AI, First Search Environments

The recent studies have been synthesized to provide several best, practice strategies that content creators should consider when dealing with AI, first search ecosystems. Creating content that is easily discoverable and interpretable by AI technologies is a critical factor for success in such ecosystems:

- Machine-Readable Structure:

The content must be well, organized through the use of proper headings, bullet points, and schema markup (e.g., FAQ or How, To), so that LLMs can easily locate and understand the data (MaxPlaces, 2025; Search Engine Journal, 2024).

- Evidence-Based Credibility:

The impact of a content piece is maximized when it has clear references to trusted sources, checkable data, and attractive statements of experts. This kind of content is highly valued by both humans and machines and thus is more likely to be included in the responses generated by AI (Seer Interactive, 2024).

- Conversational and Contextual Language:

Focusing on natural conversation patterns while answering potential questions a reader might have helps retraining generative models to find the content in the most effective way since they are trained on conversational data (Relixir AI, 2025).

- Zero-Click Optimization:

Most of the AI, powered interfaces aim at showing the whole answer within the search window and therefore, the creators have to not only work for traffic but also for citation visibility which means that the output should clearly contain references to their brand or domain (Orange SEO, 2025).

- Iterative Monitoring:

The GEO process involves continuous performance monitoring. The players can then keep tabs on the implementation of their strategies by employing tools that reveal instances of AI, citations and track referral traffic changes thus giving them feedback about their tactics (ToTheWeb, 2025).

4.4 Challenges and Limitations

While GEO has a promising future, there are still quite a few challenges standing in its way. One of the major issues is that the black, box nature of generative engines makes it difficult to see the rationale behind the decision of which sources are ultimately used for synthesis (Aggarwal et al., 2023). Because of this lack of openness, the determination of empirical verification becomes a puzzle, and also results in restrictions of reproducibility between various fields.

Secondly, the development of zero, click search behavior, that is, when users get enough info from AI, generated answers and hence don't click on the original websites, can be considered as a negative factor for publishers who are dependent on the traffic, driven monetization model (Search Engine Land, 2024). Thirdly, initial data show that the implementation of authority bias in generative models may lead to an increase in a preference for the most well, known or high, domain, authority sources, thus,

smaller or less popular publishers may get less visibility indirectly (Orange SEO, 2025).

There are some ethical/practical issues as well. For example, if an AI summarizes or paraphrases a document, how can one be sure the attribution is correct? Along with that, there's the question of how content preservation and fair exposure are handled (TechTarget, 2024). On top of that, measuring limitations, like no agreed, upon metrics for generative citations, create big hurdles for marketers wanting to evaluate the real effect of their GEO activities (ToTheWeb, 2025).

Still, these obstacles do not overshadow the tactical significance of GEO. They rather indicate a need for creating clear evaluation instruments and moral content management rules as AI, mediated search progressively changes.

V. IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Implications for Content Creators and Digital Marketers

The advent of Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) calls for a complete overhaul of the digital content strategies that are presently in use. Content creators and marketers should not only focus on search results ranking but also ensure that their content can be understood, verified, and easily referred to by AI, first search systems (Aggarwal et al., 2023; Seer Interactive, 2024). In contrast to conventional SEO which focuses on user clicks and ranking signals, GEO is more about making the content machine, readable, semantically clear, and factually accurate (Search Engine Journal, 2024).

Content creators and marketers need to come up with content that is not only structurally clear but also semantically deep, thereby making it possible for big language models (LLMs) to locate, understand, and eventually reuse the content in the right way. (MaxPlaces, 2025; Relixir AI, 2025). Besides, topical authority gained through several topic, related and mutually, linked content pieces makes it highly likely that a generative engine will consider a source as trustworthy. (TechTarget, 2024).

On the operational side, companies should be aware of the most recent developments in AI, citation analytics and "share, of, voice" metrics that help them recognize the frequency of their content's inclusion in AI, generated answers (ToTheWeb, 2025). Being attentive to such trends can give them a hint of how to smoothly transition with strategies that cater to both human and machine needs.

5.2 Implications for Generative Search Platforms and Developers

Development of Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) has been viewed by platform designers and developers as a call for transparency and ethical data governance besides improving the algorithm. To keep up with the trust of their users, generative systems that combine information from different sources should also guarantee that they have obtained the information correctly and show where it came from (TechTarget, 2024). The use of transparent citation systems like hyperlink, based references or quick "source cards" allows the users to check the factual trustworthiness of the given information and thus, at the same time, provides content creators with the deserved credits (Aggarwal et al., 2023).

Furthermore, providing an option through which website owners get access to information detailing the utilization as well as citation of their content within generative interfaces may encourage not only the AI platforms but also the publishing ecosystem (Orange SEO, 2025) in a direction of collaboration. This would address the problem of the invisibility of data usage and at the same time by that, improve the retrieving of information's accountability. Besides, developers should be committed to sourcing their information from a variety of places thereby making sure that the small, quality publishers get equal treatment as the big, authoritative domains when it comes to representation (Search Engine Land, 2024).

5.3 Implications for Academic Research and Policy

For scholars, generative engine optimization is a new topic with lots of potentials, situated at the juncture of AI ethics, digital marketing, and information retrieval. The research signal dynamics of generative engines ought to be the focus of future research, in particular, how features of the content like factual grounding, author credibility, and semantic precision influence model citation behavior (Aggarwal et al., 2023). Studies over a long period are required to evaluate how AI, mediated discovery affects traffic distribution, information diversity, and the economic sustainability of the digital content ecosystem (Seer Interactive, 2024; Orange SEO, 2025).

Policy, wise, the absence of standard attribution methods in generative systems creates issues around intellectual property and content ownership (TechTarget, 2024). Regulators and professional bodies may be required to come up with rules or frameworks that not only ensure that content creators are given credits but also that they receive payment when their content is used in AI, generated outputs. This would bring GEO practices in line with the wider set of principles related to fair use, transparency, and digital ethics (Relixir AI, 2025).

In brief, the consequences of GEO reach far beyond the marketing realm and involve questions related to tech design, info trustworthiness, and fair visibility. A joint effort of both parties, the practitioners as well as the researchers, is needed to facilitate the establishment of such an ecosystem where the two, AI systems and content producers, can exist in a transparent and mutually beneficial way (Search Engine Land, 2024; TechTarget, 2024).

VI. CONCLUSION

The switch from standard search engines to AI, first, generative search interfaces is a major paradigm shift in digital information retrieval. Sequential lists of hyperlinks ranked by relevance that users are familiar with via traditional systems are replaced by generative engines that extract direct, conversational answers from a variety of online sources (Search Engine Land, 2024; TechTarget, 2024). This development questions the effectiveness of current Search Engine Optimization (SEO) tactics and gives rise to the new field of Generative Engine Optimization (GEO) whose main goal is to position a source as the one that is most selected, cited, and integrated into AI, generated responses (Aggarwal et al., 2023).

The paper synthesized from the findings reveals that GEO, focused tactics such as using structured data, trustworthy citations, conversational tone, and topical authority can make a significant difference in content discoverability in generative systems (Seer Interactive, 2024; Search Engine Journal, 2024). Quantitative research results indicate that the visibility of online content can be increased by 30% to 40%, showing that generative models incentivize content that is not only factually verifiable but also semantically transparent (Aggarwal et al., 2023). In addition to that, the phenomenon of zero, click searches that is getting more and more popular, whereby users get their questions answered fully without the need to click on any other links, calls for a more comprehensive notion of visibility other than just web traffic, i.e., concentrating on citation frequency, brand attribution, and informational trust (Orange SEO, 2025; ToTheWeb, 2025).

While it is a promising technology, GEO is not without its challenges. The opaque nature of generative engines makes it difficult to measure the level of optimization achieved, at the same time, the bias in the selection of sources may result in the dominance of large, well, known publishers thus leaving little room for the voices of small ones (TechTarget, 2024). In addition, the emergence of content provenance, attribution fairness, and data ownership issues that need to be resolved in order for the AI, mediated knowledge ecosystem to be transparent and equitable constitutes another set of concerns that GEO raises (Relixir AI, 2025).

To sum up, Generative Engine Optimization is not simply an evolution of SEO but a redefinition of how visibility and authority are attained in an AI, driven search environment. With the ongoing advancement of generative systems, the question of who will succeed will be answered by the ability of content creators, marketers, and developers to combine technical accuracy, factual rigor, and ethical responsibility in their digital publishing practices (MaxPlaces, 2025; Search Engine Land, 2024). The next step in this line of investigation should focus on developing common evaluation criteria, considering the socio, economic effects of generative search in the long run, and formulating the frameworks of accountable AI attribution. Accepting GEO standards will allow the digital ecosystem to transform into a more transparent, trustworthy, and user, friendly model of information discovery.

REFERENCES

[1] Aggarwal, P., Murahari, V., Rajpurohit, T., Kalyan, A., Narasimhan, K., & Deshpande, A. (2023). GEO: Generative engine optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09735. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.09735

[2] Enge, E., Spencer, S., & Fishkin, R. (2020). The art of SEO: Mastering search engine optimization (4th ed.). O'Reilly Media.

[3] Epidemic Marketing. (2025, March 12). AI optimization and generative engine optimization (GEO): Preparing for AI-first search. Epidemic Marketing. https://www.epidemic-marketing.com/ai-optimization-generative-engine-optimization/

[4] Fishkin, R., & Høgenhaven, T. (2013). Inbound marketing and SEO: Insights from the Moz Blog. Wiley.

[5] MaxPlaces. (2025, May 3). Generative engine optimization (GEO): Preparing your content for AI-first search. MaxPlaces Marketing. https://www.maxplaces.com/generative-engine-optimization-geo-preparing-your-content-for-ai-first-search/

[6] Orange SEO. (2025, August 8). Navigating the new era of generative AI search: How AI overviews, voice interfaces, and GEO are reshaping digital marketing. Orange SEO. https://www.orangeseo.net/blog/2025/8/8/navigating-the-new-era-of-generative-ai-search

[7] Relixir AI. (2025, February 10). Latest trends in AI search optimization for 2025. Relixir AI. https://relixir.ai/blog/latest-trends-in-ai-search-optimization-for-2025

[8] Search Engine Journal. (2024, November 22). Researchers show how to rank in AI search. Search Engine Journal. https://www.searchenginejournal.com/researchers-show-how-to-rank-in-ai-search/504260/

[9] Search Engine Land. (2024, June 5). What is AI search optimization? How GEO is reshaping content discovery. Search Engine Land. https://searchengineland.com/guide/what-is-ai-seo

[10] Seer Interactive. (2024, July 17). Optimizing content for generative search engines resulted in +40% visibility. Seer Interactive. https://www.seerinteractive.com/insights/optimizing-content-for-generative-search-engines

[11] TechTarget. (2024, September 2). Mastering AI search optimization: Key trends and strategies. TechTarget. https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/feature/Mastering-AI-search-optimization-Key-trends-and-strategies

[12] ToTheWeb. (2025, March 5). AI search GEO checklist for content discovery. ToTheWeb. https://totheweb.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/2025-03-ToTheWeb-AI-Search-GEO-Checklist-for-content-discovery.pdf

[13] Relly, A., & Huberman, L. (2024). Generative search and the evolution of digital visibility. Journal of Digital Communication and Strategy, 11(2), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdcs.2024.03.004

[14] Liu, Y., Chen, Z., & Lee, J. (2024). Evaluating visibility metrics in generative AI search systems. Information Retrieval Review, 29(3), 211–230. https://doi.org/10.1080/irreview.2024.110023

[15] Bennett, K., & Shah, M. (2025). From SEO to GEO: The next frontier in AI-first content optimization. International Journal of Digital Marketing Research, 14(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.5123/ijdmr.2025.14.1.001