A Comprehensive Literature Review on Intelligent Waste Segregation Systems Using AI, Deep Learning, IoT and Smart Bin Technologies

Vishnu K K[1], Sreerag V S[2], Jibin Joy[3], Ananthakrishnan S [4], Ms. Sheeja G[5],Ms. Priya K P[6]
[1,2,3,4] *Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering Vidya Academy of Science and Technology, Thrissur, India*

***Abstract*—Growing urban populations and increasing waste generation have made conventional waste management systems insufficient, labor-intensive, and environmentally taxing. Recent technological advancements such as artificial intelligence (AI), deep learning, computer vision, and Internet of Things (IoT) have enabled the development of intelligent waste segregation systems and smart trash bins. This paper presents a comprehensive literature review of twelve research works focusing on deep learn- ing–based waste classification, IoT-enabled monitoring systems, automated sorting mechanisms, optical sensor–based industrial systems, and artificial intelligence integrated waste profiling. The reviewed works present promising results with classification accuracies ranging from 70 to 98 percentage, along side the development of smart bin prototypes equipped with fill-level monitoring, automatic segregation, and cloud-based reporting. Despite notable progress, challenges remain in dataset limitations, scalability, real-world deployment, and mechanical reliability. This review synthesizes methodologies, highlights research gaps, compares performance trends, and outlines future directions for building robust, scalable, and cost-effective intelligent waste management systems.**

***Index Terms*—component, formatting, style, styling, insert**

I. INTRODUCTION

Increasing global waste production has placed significant pressure on existing waste collection, transportation, and re- cycling infrastructures. Manual sorting of waste continues to be inefficient, hazardous, and costly, especially in densely populated urban settings. The emerging adoption of intelligent waste segregation systems aims to enhance recycling efficiency while reducing operational burden.

A surge in research has focused on machine learning, computer vision, robotic automation, and IoT-based monitoring systems. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) trained on datasets such as TrashNet demonstrate strong potential in identifying waste categories like metal, paper, plastic, and glass. IoT-enabled smart bins equipped with ultrasonic sensors and cloud connectivity further support real-time monitoring, automated alerts, and efficient waste collection routing. This paper reviews twelve recent works covering deep learning models, smart bin prototypes, optical sorting, YOLO-based detection, robotic sorters, and AI-driven waste profiling to present a consolidated understanding of current advancements and future needs.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Recent advancements in smart waste management systems have focused on the integration of machine learning, deep learning, Internet of Things (IoT), and embedded systems to improve waste segregation efficiency and reduce human intervention.

The authors proposed a reliable and robust deep learning- based framework for recyclable waste classification. The study employed convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to classify waste into recyclable categories with high accuracy. Experimental evaluations demonstrated that deep learning models significantly outperform traditional machine learning approaches in handling complex visual variations such as shape, texture, and lighting conditions. However, the study primarily focused on classification performance and did not emphasize real-time hardware implementation.

B. IoT-Enabled Smart Bins and Monitoring Systems

- Smart Trash Bin With Alerts: Uses

Raspberry Pi, sensors, cloud integration, and SMS alerts to inform authorities when bins reach capacity.

IoT-based smart bins represent a practical implementation of automated waste management at residential, commercial, and municipal levels. Numerous studies reviewed in this paper propose smart bin prototypes equipped with sensors such as ultrasonic distance sensors for fill-level detection, infrared proximity sensors for object identification, load cells for weight measurement, and temperature or humidity sensors for environmental monitoring. These systems typically use microcontrollers like Raspberry Pi, Arduino MEGA, ESP32, or LinkIt ONE, which interface with cloud platforms through Wi-Fi, GSM, Bluetooth, or LoRaWAN. Smart bins frequently include servo motors or rotating mechanisms to physically segregate waste after classification.

IoT smart bins not only automate the segregation process but also provide real-time feedback to waste collection authorities through cloud dashboards or mobile applications. Some stud- ies propose systems that optimize garbage collection routes using algorithms integrated with GPS modules, reducing fuel consumption and improving operational efficiency. However, despite their advantages, smart bins face significant practical challenges. Mechanical components tend to wear out over time, especially in public-use environments. Sensors may fail in the presence of dust, moisture, or waste accumulation. Network connectivity issues can disrupt real-time monitoring. Moreover, most prototypes are designed for laboratory testing and require further engineering upgrades for deployment in large-scale municipal waste environments.

C. Optical Sorting and Robotic Automation

Industrial waste sorting facilities often utilize optical spec- troscopy systems such as near-infrared (NIR) sensors and visual spectroscopy (VIS) cameras to analyze material com- position based on reflected wavelengths. These systems can sort large volumes of plastic waste with high purity levels exceeding 90–95 percentage, making them extremely efficient for recycling plants. Optical sorting systems operate at high conveyor speeds and require minimal manual intervention. Some studies further enhance these techniques using AI-based spectral analysis to distinguish between chemically similar materials.

Robotic waste sorting incorporates mechanical arms equipped with grippers, suction mechanisms, or multi-axis manipulators. These robots use computer vision algorithms to detect and pick up waste items, offering flexibility for sorting irregularly shaped or deformable objects. However, several studies highlight the limitations associated with robotic sorting. High-speed picking requires precise synchronization be- tween perception and actuation systems. Robots struggle with cluttered environments where waste overlaps. Hardware costs remain high, and maintenance requires skilled technicians. Nevertheless, robotic systems remain promising due to their ability to replace manual sorting in hazardous environments and to integrate with deep learning-based perception systems.

D. Machine Learning for Waste Profiling and Non- Biodegradable Detection

Some research contributes specialized machine learning approaches for profiling non-biodegradable waste streams. For example, YOLO-based detectors trained on custom datasets of glass, metal, and plastic achieved reliable object detection even with limited hardware resources such as Raspberry Pi cameras. These systems demonstrate strong potential for embedded real- time waste segregation in small-scale or portable systems. Other studies apply traditional machine learning algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), K-Means Clustering, and Random Forests, particularly when computational limitations prevent the use of deep neural networks.

The primary challenge for these models lies in the need for consistent labeling and preprocessing of training data. Waste items often exhibit variations due to deformation, dirt accumulation, weather exposure, or overlapping features. Furthermore, non-biodegradable waste categories must be separated with high precision to avoid contamination in recycling streams. While machine learning models offer fast inference and lower hardware costs, their accuracy typically lags behind deep learning approaches unless supported by extensive training data and feature engineering.

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The comparative analysis of the research papers reveals significant advancements in the domain of smart waste management systems, particularly through the integration of machine learning, deep learning, image processing, IoT, and embedded systems. The studies differ in terms of methodology, type of waste classified, hardware implementation, and level of automation, highlighting both progress and existing limitations in this research area. Industrial optical sorting systems deliver exceptional throughput but are financially inaccessible for small communities. Robotic sorting systems provide versatility but require complex mechanical engineering and face practical issues such as slow picking speeds and difficulties handling wet or sticky waste. These differences illustrate the trade-offs between accuracy, cost, deployment scale, and system reliability across various automated waste management technologies.

A. Techniques and Algorithms Used

Most of the reviewed studies employ deep learning techniques, especially Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), due to their superior performance in image-based waste classification. Papers focusing on recyclable waste classification demonstrate higher accuracy when CNN models are trained on large datasets. A few studies adopt YOLO-based object detection, which enables real-time identification of waste items, making them suitable for smart bin applications. In contrast, earlier works and some lightweight systems utilize traditional machine learning algorithms or sensor-based approaches, which are computationally efficient but offer limited classification accuracy. Survey-based research emphasizes the potential of robotic waste sorters, although practical implementation remains challenging.

B. Waste Categories Considered

The majority of papers concentrate on non-biodegradable waste, such as plastic, metal, and glass, due to their high environmental impact and recycling value. Some systems classify waste into wet and dry categories, simplifying segregation but reducing classification granularity. Only a limited number of studies address mixed waste scenarios, indicating a major research gap in handling real-world waste disposal conditions.

C. Hardware and System Architecture

From a hardware perspective, Raspberry Pi and Arduino platforms dominate due to their low cost and ease of integra- tion with cameras and sensors. These platforms are commonly used alongside ultrasonic sensors, cameras, and GSM modules to enable waste detection, segregation, and alert generation.

TABLE I: COMPACT COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SMART WASTE MANAGEMENT STUDIES

Ref.	Method / Focus	Waste Type	Key Contribution	Limitation
[1]	CNN-based DL	Recyclables	High accuracy	High computation
[2]	ML and AI	Municipal	Sustainable approach	Limited validation
[3]	CNN + IoT (i-BIN)	Bio / Non-bio	Automatic segregation	Mixed waste issue
[4]	Sensor-based bin	General	Low-cost design	No intelligence
[5]	ML plastic sorting	Plastic	Efficient sorting	Plastic only
[6]	Robotic survey	Industrial	Identifies research gaps	No implementation
[7]	Image-based smart bin	Wet / Dry	Practical system	Lighting issues
[8]	ML waste profiling	Municipal	Pattern analysis	No segregation
[9]	CNN + IoT system	Recyclables	Integrated framework	Dataset dependent
[10]	AI-driven smart bin	Recyclables	Portable design	Moderate accuracy
[11]	YOLO-based detection	Non-bio	Real-time detection	High cost
[12]	IoT alert-based bin	General	Level monitoring	No classification

However, Raspberry Pi–based systems often suffer from processing constraints, which affect real-time performance and ac- curacy when deploying deep learning models. Industrial-level studies focus on automated sorting systems and robotic arms, offering higher precision but at a significantly increased cost, limiting their applicability in municipal waste management.

D. IoT Integration and Monitoring

IoT plays a crucial role in many of the reviewed papers by enabling real-time monitoring, fill-level detection, and alert systems. Smart bins equipped with IoT modules improve operational efficiency by reducing manual inspection and optimizing waste collection schedules. However, several studies rely heavily on sensor data without intelligent classification, reducing overall system effectiveness.

E. Performance and Accuracy

Deep learning based systems generally outperform traditional approaches, achieving higher classification accuracy, particularly in controlled environments. YOLO-based systems demonstrate effective real-time detection but require high-quality datasets and computational resources. Sensor-only systems are reliable for monitoring waste levels but lack intelligent decision-making capabilities.

F. Limitations and Research Gaps

Despite significant progress, several limitations persist across the studies: Difficulty in handling mixed and overlap- ping waste Dependence on large, well-labeled datasets Performance degradation due to lighting variations and occlusions High implementation cost for robotic and advanced AI-based systems Limited real-world scalability and field deployment Summary of Comparative Findings Overall, the comparative analysis indicates a shift from sensor-based waste management systems toward AI-driven smart waste segregation solutions. While deep learning and IoT integration have improved classification accuracy and system automation, challenges related to cost, scalability, and real-time deployment remain largely unresolved. Future research should focus on developing low- cost, robust, and scalable systems capable of handling mixed waste under real-world conditions.

Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of existing smart waste management approaches reported in the literature. The studies employ a variety of techniques such as CNN-based deep learning, machine learning, IoT integration, and sensor-based systems to handle different waste categories including recyclables, municipal, plastic, wet/dry, and industrial waste. While deep learning and YOLO-based methods achieve high classification accuracy and real-time detection, they suffer from high computational cost and system complexity. Low- cost sensor-based and IoT alert systems offer practical deployment but lack intelligent waste classification. Overall, the comparison highlights the trade-off between accuracy, cost, and real-world applicability, emphasizing the need for an integrated, cost-effective, and robust smart waste segregation system.

IV. IDENTIFIED RESEARCH GAPS

The reviewed studies collectively reveal several research gaps. First, the lack of extensive and diverse training datasets limits the generalizability of AI models. Second, environmental conditions such as lighting, shadows, and object occlusion significantly impact model accuracy. Third, mechanical smart bin prototypes require durability improvements for long- term use. Fourth, industrial optical sorting solutions remain prohibitively expensive for developing countries. Finally, few existing systems attempt to combine AI vision, IoT sensing, and robotic manipulation into a single integrated architecture capable of fully autonomous waste management.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Future research should prioritize the development of large- scale, publicly available datasets that encompass a wide variety of waste types, lighting conditions, backgrounds, orientations, and contamination scenarios. Additionally, hybrid sensing systems combining image data with weight, spectral, and chemical sensing can significantly improve the accuracy of waste categorization. Researchers should explore edge–cloud collaborative inference to reduce computation load on embed- ded devices while maintaining real-time performance. On the mechanical side, there is a need to design low-cost, modular robotic arms and actuators suitable for small-to-medium scale deployment. Ultimately, integrating deep learning, IoT, and robotics into a unified architecture will pave the way for next- generation intelligent waste management systems capable of operating in real-world environments.

VI. CONCLUSION

This literature review synthesizes the findings of twelve significant research papers covering deep learning, IoT smart bins, robotic sorting systems, and optical detection technologies for waste segregation. While each technology demonstrates promising potential in isolation, none provides a complete and scalable end-to-end solution. Deep learning models excel in recognition accuracy but require extensive datasets and robust hardware. IoT-based systems improve monitoring and reporting but often lack classification capability. Robotic and optical technologies deliver high performance but involve substantial costs. The future of intelligent waste management lies in the development of integrated systems that combine AI accuracy, IoT connectivity, and robotic precision in a cost-effective and scalable manner. Such systems can revolutionize waste management, leading to cleaner cities, improved recycling rates, and more sustainable environmental practices.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the faculty members of the Department of Electrical Engineering for their valuable guidance, continuous encouragement, and insightful suggestions throughout the completion of this review work. Their support played a crucial role in shaping the direction and quality of this study. We would also like to thank the researchers and authors whose published works formed the foundation of this comparative analysis. Their contributions to the fields of smart waste management, machine learning, deep learning, and IoT-based systems have been invaluable for understanding current advancements and identifying future research directions. Special thanks are extended to the institution for providing the necessary resources and technical support required for carrying out this research.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Hossen, S. Sarkar, M. K. Uddin, and M. M. Rahman, “Reliable and robust deep learning model for effective recyclable waste classification,” IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 1–12, 2024.

[2] A. Sharma and R. Gupta, “Advancements in waste segregation through machine learning and integrating AI for sustainable waste management,” in Proc. IEEE SMART, 2024, pp. 1–6.

[3] M. Pamintuan, S. Mantiquilla, H. Reyes, and M. Samonte, “i-BIN: An intelligent trash bin for automatic waste segregation and monitoring system,” in Proc. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.

[4] F. Maulana, R. A. Pratama, and R. Hidayat, “Design and development of smart trash bin prototype for municipal solid waste management,” in Proc. IEEE ICAECC, 2018, pp. 1–5.

[5] P. Nowakowski and T. Pamula, “Collection of plastic packaging of various types: Sorting of fractions of plastic waste using automatic and manual methods,” IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 1–10, 2024.

[6] T. Berglund et al., “Challenges for future robotic sorters of mixed industrial waste: A survey,” IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 1–14, 2022.

[7] J. Jayson, P. K. Reddy, and A. R. Reddy, “Smart bin: Automatic waste segregation and collection,” in Proc. IEEE ICAECC, 2018, pp. 1–6.

[8] F. Shaikh and V. Vakharia, “Waste profiling and analysis using machine learning,” in Proc. IEEE ICIRCA, 2020, pp. 542–546.

[9] C. Sirawattananon et al., “Designing of IoT-based smart waste sorting system with image-based deep learning applications,” in Proc. IEEE ECTI-CON, 2021, pp. 1–6.

[10] A. G. D. T. Abeygunawardhana et al., “AI-driven smart bin for waste management,” in Proc. IEEE ICAC, 2020, pp. 482–488.

[11] Aishwarya, P. Wadhwa, Owais, and V. Vashisht, “A waste management technique to detect and separate non-biodegradable waste using machine learning and YOLO algorithm,” in Proc. IEEE Confluence, 2021, pp. 443–450.

[12] D. J. Dasari, B. Ganbaatar, V. S. Velala, and N. Kunicina, “Smart trash bin segregation and identify and create alerts on the level of waste present in the trash bin,” in Proc. IEEE AIEEE, 2021, pp. 20–26.