Portfolio Evaluation Using Risk-Adjusted Returns and Decomposition of Performance

Pranav Krishna[1], Ashna Shaly[2], Fidha Sageer C S[3], Thanima R Nair[4], Mekha B[5]
Dr. R. Suma[6]
[1,2,3,4,5]*PGDM Students, SCMS Cochin School of business, Kochi, Kerala*
[6]*Assistant Professor, SCMS Cochin School of business*

***Abstract*—Evaluating portfolio performance is an important task in investment management, as it helps investors understand whether the returns earned are reasonable in relation to the risk taken. Merely looking at total returns can be misleading because higher returns may be accompanied by higher uncertainty. This paper presents a conceptual discussion on portfolio evaluation using risk-adjusted return measures and performance decomposition techniques. The study considers a diversified large-cap equity portfolio benchmarked against the NIFTY 50, which is commonly used as a representation of the Indian equity market. The paper explains the meaning and interpretation of key risk-adjusted measures such as the Sharpe Ratio, Treynor Ratio, and Jensen's Alpha. It also discusses how performance decomposition helps separate market-driven returns from those arising due to portfolio management decisions. The study shows that combining risk-adjusted measures with decomposition analysis provides a clearer and more balanced view of portfolio performance.**

***Index Terms*—Portfolio Evaluation; Risk-Adjusted Returns; Performance Decomposition; Large-Cap Equity Portfolio; NIFTY 50**

I. INTRODUCTION

Evaluating portfolio performance is a central task in investment management, as it enables investors to assess whether the returns generated by a portfolio are reasonable in relation to the risk undertaken. While short-term portfolio returns are often influenced by market fluctuations, investor sentiment, and temporary economic conditions, long-term portfolio performance depends largely on how efficiently risk is managed. Relying solely on absolute returns may therefore lead to misleading conclusions, particularly when portfolios differ in their exposure to risk. In this context, risk-adjusted performance measures provide a more meaningful framework for portfolio evaluation by explicitly linking returns to the level of risk assumed (Bodie, Kane, & Marcus, 2021).

Diversified large-cap equity portfolios are commonly used by investors seeking relatively stable returns and controlled risk exposure. Such portfolios typically consist of well-established companies with strong market presence and diversified operations, resulting in return patterns that are closely aligned with overall market movements. In the Indian equity market, large-cap portfolios are frequently benchmarked against the NIFTY 50, which represents the performance of leading companies across major sectors and is widely regarded as an appropriate proxy for market performance (National Stock Exchange of India, 2023). Given this close relationship between large-cap portfolios and the broader market, evaluating performance through risk-adjusted measures becomes particularly relevant.

This paper presents a conceptual discussion on portfolio evaluation using risk-adjusted return measures and performance decomposition techniques. Rather than conducting a data-intensive empirical analysis, the study adopts a descriptive approach to explain the interpretation and relevance of commonly used measures such as the Sharpe Ratio, Treynor Ratio, and Jensen's Alpha. The paper also discusses how performance decomposition helps distinguish between market-driven returns and those arising from portfolio management decisions. By focusing on a diversified large-cap equity portfolio benchmarked against the NIFTY 50, the study aims to provide a clearer and more balanced framework for understanding portfolio performance in a long-term investment context.

II. CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

Portfolio evaluation is closely linked to the principles of modern portfolio theory, which explains that investors must accept higher risk in order to achieve higher expected returns (Elton et al., 2014). The theoretical foundation of portfolio risk and diversification was originally established by Markowitz (1952) through modern portfolio theory, which emphasized the importance of diversification in reducing portfolio risk.

As a result, performance evaluation should not focus solely on returns, but on how efficiently risk has been managed.

Risk-adjusted performance measures modify portfolio returns by incorporating risk into the evaluation process. The Sharpe Ratio considers total portfolio risk, whereas the Treynor Ratio and Jensen's Alpha focus on systematic risk that arises from market movements (Reilly & Brown, 2019).

Performance decomposition adds further clarity by dividing total portfolio returns into different components. This allows investors to identify the contribution of market performance and the value added through managerial decisions. Together, these concepts form a comprehensive framework for evaluating portfolio performance (CFA Institute, 2022).

III. METHODOLOGY

This study follows a conceptual and descriptive approach. It is based on secondary information obtained from standard investment management textbooks, academic references, and professional study materials.

The portfolio considered is an illustrative diversified large-cap equity portfolio with exposure to major sectors such as banking, information technology, FMCG, pharmaceuticals, and energy. The NIFTY 50 index is used as the market benchmark, as it represents large-capitalization companies across key sectors of the Indian economy and is widely used in portfolio evaluation studies (National Stock Exchange of India, 2023).

No numerical data, statistical testing, or empirical modelling is used in this study. The emphasis is placed on understanding concepts and interpreting performance evaluation tools.

IV. RISK-ADJUSTED PERFORMANCE MEASURES

In the context of a diversified large-cap equity portfolio, which is typically benchmarked against the NIFTY 50, risk-adjusted performance measures provide a meaningful framework for evaluating portfolio efficiency by relating returns to the level of risk undertaken. Such measures help investors assess portfolio performance more accurately by accounting for both volatility and market-related risk, rather than relying solely on absolute returns (Bodie, Kane, & Marcus, 2021).

The Sharpe Ratio evaluates portfolio performance by measuring excess returns relative to total risk. It is widely used for assessing diversified portfolios and helps investors understand how efficiently returns are generated without excessive fluctuations (Sharpe, 1994; Reilly & Brown, 2019).

The Treynor Ratio assesses portfolio performance in relation to systematic risk. For large-cap equity portfolios that are generally well diversified and closely aligned with market movements, this measure is particularly relevant, as it focuses on the return earned per unit of market-related risk (Bodie et al., 2021; Reilly & Brown, 2019).

Jensen's Alpha represents the portion of portfolio returns that cannot be explained by overall market movements. In the context of large-cap portfolios benchmarked against broad market indices such as the NIFTY 50, alpha reflects the contribution of portfolio management decisions beyond returns attributable to market exposure (Reilly & Brown, 2019; CFA Institute, 2022).

V. PERFORMANCE DECOMPOSITION

Performance decomposition plays an important role in portfolio evaluation by explaining how total portfolio returns are generated. This approach is particularly relevant for large-cap equity portfolios benchmarked against broad market indices such as the NIFTY 50, as it helps separate returns arising from general market movements from those attributable to portfolio management decisions (CFA Institute, 2022).

The market return component captures the portion of portfolio performance driven by overall market conditions. Given the strong alignment between large-cap portfolios and market indices, this component

typically accounts for a significant share of total portfolio returns (Elton et al., 2014).

The risk premium component reflects returns earned for bearing systematic risk associated with market exposure. For large-cap equity portfolios, this component explains how participation in broader market movements contributes to long-term performance (Bodie et al., 2021).

The selection component, often associated with alpha, represents returns generated through effective stock selection and portfolio construction. Positive selection effects indicate the ability of portfolio management to add value beyond market-driven returns (CFA Institute, 2022).

The timing component reflects the ability of portfolio management to adjust market exposure in response to expected market conditions. While consistent timing is challenging, identifying this component through performance decomposition provides useful insights into portfolio management effectiveness (Elton et al., 2014).

VI. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The conceptual analysis suggests that risk-adjusted performance measures serve as effective indicators of portfolio efficiency, particularly in the context of diversified large-cap equity portfolios. Measures such as the Sharpe Ratio and Jensen's Alpha help investors assess whether portfolio returns are generated efficiently in relation to the level of total risk undertaken. In addition, the Treynor Ratio, which evaluates returns relative to systematic risk, is especially relevant for large-cap portfolios, as their performance is closely linked to overall market movements.

Performance decomposition further enhances portfolio evaluation by clarifying the sources of portfolio returns. The discussion indicates that returns in large-cap equity portfolios are largely influenced by broader market conditions, especially when benchmarked against the NIFTY 50. At the same time, decomposition helps identify the contribution of portfolio management decisions, such as disciplined stock selection, in generating excess performance beyond market-driven returns.

The use of risk-adjusted measures alongside performance decomposition improves investment decision-making by simplifying complex return patterns and enabling investors to distinguish between market-driven and manager-driven outcomes. For large-cap equity portfolios aimed at long-term investment objectives, this combined approach enhances transparency and supports more informed portfolio evaluation. Overall, the discussion highlights that portfolio evaluation based on risk-adjusted returns and systematic performance decomposition provides a clearer and more reliable framework for assessing portfolio performance.

VII. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the importance of portfolio evaluation using risk-adjusted returns and performance decomposition in assessing investment performance in a systematic manner. By adopting a conceptual and descriptive approach, the paper demonstrates how measures such as the Sharpe Ratio, Treynor Ratio, and Jensen's Alpha contribute to understanding portfolio efficiency by relating returns to both total risk and market-related risk. The discussion also shows how performance decomposition helps distinguish between returns driven by overall market movements and those arising from portfolio management decisions.

In the context of a diversified large-cap equity portfolio benchmarked against the NIFTY 50, reliance on absolute returns alone may provide an incomplete picture of performance, particularly given the close alignment of large-cap portfolios with market trends. The study concludes that combining risk-adjusted evaluation with performance decomposition offers a clearer and more reliable framework for long-term portfolio assessment. This approach enhances transparency and supports informed decision-making when evaluating large-cap equity portfolios within a market-benchmark framework.

REFERENCES

[1] Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2021). Investments (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

[2] Chartered Financial Analyst Institute. (2022). Portfolio Performance Evaluation. CFA Institute Curriculum.

[3] Elton, E. J., Gruber, M. J., Brown, S. J., & Goetzmann, W. N. (2014). Modern Portfolio

Theory and Investment Analysis (9th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
[4] National Stock Exchange of India. (2023). Index Methodology and Market Overview. NSE Publications.
[5] Reilly, F. K., & Brown, K. C. (2019). Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management (11th ed.). Cengage Learning.
[6] Sharpe, W. F. (1994). The Sharpe Ratio. Journal of Portfolio Management, 21(1), 49–58.
[7] Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77–91.