Hygiene and Sanitation: A Comprehensive Review of Current Practices, Challenges, and Global Health Implications

Priyanka Pandey[1], Prof. Shivani Verma[2]
[1]*Research Scholar in Home Science Department of km. Mayawati Govt. Girls P.G. College. Badalpur, Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P.*
[2] *Professor of Department of Home Science Km. Mayawati Govt. Girls P.G. College. Badalpur, Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P*

***Abstract*—Hygiene and sanitation constitute critical determinants of public health, influencing disease transmission, child development, and socioeconomic progress across global populations. This comprehensive review examines the current state of hygiene and sanitation practices, infrastructure challenges, and health implications, with particular emphasis on disparities affecting low- and middle-income countries. Approximately 2 billion people worldwide lack access to safely managed sanitation services, while 673 million continue to practice open defecation, creating substantial disease burdens through fecal-oral pathogen transmission. The review explores the historical evolution of sanitation science, contemporary technological approaches ranging from basic pit latrines to advanced ecological sanitation systems, and the behavioral dimensions that influence hygiene practice adoption. Key health outcomes associated with inadequate sanitation include diarrheal diseases causing 500,000 annual deaths, environmental enteric dysfunction contributing to childhood stunting, and neglected tropical diseases affecting hundreds of millions. The review addresses socioeconomic dimensions including gender inequalities, educational impacts, and economic productivity losses, while examining policy frameworks, financing mechanisms, and governance structures necessary for universal service delivery. Emerging challenges including climate change impacts, antimicrobial resistance pathways through environmental contamination, and humanitarian emergency responses are analyzed alongside innovative solutions such as container-based sanitation, fecal sludge management systems, and resource recovery approaches. The analysis emphasizes that achieving Sustainable Development Goal targets requires integrated strategies addressing infrastructure development, behavior change interventions, and institutional strengthening simultaneously. Success depends on sustained political commitment, increased investment, context-appropriate technological solutions, and equity-focused implementation that prioritizes vulnerable and marginalized populations. This review synthesizes evidence across multiple disciplines to provide comprehensive understanding of hygiene and sanitation as foundational elements of public health and human development.**

***Keywords*— sanitation infrastructure, hygiene practices, waterborne diseases, public health intervention, sustainable development goals**

I. INTRODUCTION

Hygiene and sanitation represent fundamental pillars of public health infrastructure that directly influence disease prevention, quality of life, and socioeconomic development across communities worldwide. The relationship between adequate sanitation facilities, proper hygiene practices, and population health outcomes has been documented extensively throughout medical and public health literature. Despite significant progress in recent decades, billions of people continue to lack access to basic sanitation services, creating persistent health disparities that disproportionately affect vulnerable populations in low-income settings.

The World Health Organization defines sanitation as the provision of facilities and services for the safe disposal of human urine and feces, while hygiene refers to conditions and practices that help maintain health and prevent disease spread, particularly through cleanliness. These interconnected concepts extend beyond mere infrastructure to encompass behavioral, cultural, and educational dimensions that shape how communities interact with their environment and manage health risks.

1.1 Historical Context and Evolution

The recognition of sanitation's importance to public health emerged gradually through historical observation and scientific investigation. Ancient civilizations, including those in the Indus Valley and Rome, developed sophisticated water supply and waste disposal systems, demonstrating early understanding of sanitation's role in urban planning. However, systematic scientific approaches to hygiene and sanitation gained prominence only during the 19th century, when epidemiological investigations linked contaminated water sources to cholera outbreaks in European cities.

The germ theory of disease, established through the work of scientists like Louis Pasteur and Robert Koch, provided the theoretical foundation for understanding how poor sanitation facilitates pathogen transmission. This scientific revolution transformed public health approaches, leading to investments in municipal water systems, sewage infrastructure, and hygiene education programs throughout industrialized nations. These interventions contributed significantly to the dramatic increases in life expectancy observed during the 20th century.

1.2 Current Global Status

Contemporary global sanitation coverage reveals persistent inequalities. According to recent assessments, approximately 2 billion people lack safely managed sanitation services, while 673 million practice open defecation. These gaps concentrate heavily in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, where rapid population growth, urbanization pressures, and limited infrastructure investment create complex challenges for service provision.

Urban areas face distinct sanitation challenges compared to rural settings. Informal settlements and slums often lack planned infrastructure, forcing residents to rely on shared facilities or unsanitary alternatives. Population density amplifies the health risks associated with inadequate sanitation, as pathogens can spread rapidly through contaminated water sources, food, and environmental surfaces. Rural communities, meanwhile, may lack access to basic latrine facilities and face geographical barriers to service delivery.

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted hygiene's critical importance, particularly handwashing with soap as a primary infection prevention measure. However, billions of people worldwide lack handwashing facilities with soap and water at home, undermining their capacity to protect themselves from infectious diseases. This reality underscores the interconnection between infrastructure availability and behavioral practice adoption.

1.3 Health Implications

Poor sanitation and inadequate hygiene practices contribute substantially to the global disease burden. Diarrheal diseases, primarily transmitted through fecal-oral routes, cause approximately 500,000 deaths annually, with children under five years old bearing disproportionate mortality. Pathogens including bacteria, viruses, and parasites thrive in environments where human waste contaminates water sources, food, or living spaces.

Beyond acute infections, chronic exposure to unsanitary conditions produces long-term health consequences. Environmental enteric dysfunction, characterized by intestinal inflammation and impaired nutrient absorption, affects children in settings with poor sanitation, contributing to stunting and cognitive developmental delays. These effects perpetuate intergenerational cycles of poverty and reduced human capital development.

Neglected tropical diseases, including soil-transmitted helminth infections, schistosomiasis, and trachoma, are intimately linked to sanitation and hygiene conditions. These parasitic and bacterial infections affect hundreds of millions of people, causing significant morbidity and economic losses. Comprehensive control strategies require both medical interventions and environmental improvements addressing the underlying transmission pathways.

Antimicrobial resistance represents an emerging concern related to sanitation systems. Inadequate wastewater treatment allows antibiotic-resistant bacteria to persist and spread through environmental pathways, potentially contributing to the growing global crisis of drug-resistant infections. This connection illustrates how sanitation infrastructure quality affects not only immediate disease risks but also broader patterns of pathogen evolution and medical treatment effectiveness.

1.4 Socioeconomic Dimensions

Sanitation and hygiene access profoundly influence economic productivity and educational attainment. Time spent collecting water or seeking private spaces for defecation, particularly affecting women and girls, reduces opportunities for income generation and school attendance. The lack of adequate menstrual hygiene management facilities in schools contributes to girls' absenteeism and educational dropout, perpetuating gender inequalities.

Economic analyses consistently demonstrate that investments in water, sanitation, and hygiene generate substantial returns through reduced healthcare costs, increased productivity, and enhanced quality of life. These benefits extend beyond individual households to create positive externalities throughout communities, as improved sanitation reduces pathogen circulation and environmental contamination affecting entire populations.

Social and cultural factors significantly shape sanitation and hygiene behaviors. Practices around waste disposal, water use, and personal cleanliness are deeply embedded in cultural norms, religious beliefs, and social structures. Effective interventions must engage with these dimensions rather than imposing standardized solutions, recognizing that sustainable behavior change requires alignment with local values and practices.

II. TECHNOLOGICAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE APPROACHES

Sanitation technologies span a wide spectrum, from basic pit latrines to sophisticated centralized sewerage systems with advanced wastewater treatment. Appropriate technology selection depends on factors including population density, water availability, soil conditions, economic resources, and cultural preferences. No single solution suits all contexts, requiring tailored approaches that consider local circumstances and constraints.

On-site sanitation systems, including various latrine designs and septic tanks, provide viable options for areas where centralized sewerage is impractical or unaffordable. Recent innovations in container-based sanitation and decentralized treatment systems offer alternatives for dense urban settlements where traditional infrastructure cannot be installed. These approaches require careful attention to safe waste collection, transport, and treatment to prevent environmental contamination.

Ecological sanitation approaches emphasize resource recovery, treating human waste as a valuable input for agricultural production rather than merely a disposal problem. These systems can produce sanitized fertilizer products while closing nutrient cycles and reducing environmental pollution. However, their successful implementation requires overcoming cultural barriers around excreta use and establishing reliable supply chains and quality control mechanisms.

Water supply infrastructure closely interlinks with sanitation and hygiene outcomes. Access to sufficient water quantities at convenient locations enables hygiene practices including handwashing, bathing, and household cleaning. Water quality must also meet safety standards to prevent waterborne disease transmission. Integrated water and sanitation planning recognizes these connections and addresses both dimensions comprehensively.

2.1 Behavioral and Educational Interventions

Infrastructure alone cannot ensure health benefits without corresponding hygiene behavior adoption. Handwashing with soap at critical times, particularly after defecation and before food preparation, represents one of the most cost-effective disease prevention measures available. However, sustained behavior change requires more than knowledge transmission, involving emotional motivations, social norms, and environmental cues that facilitate desired practices.

Community-led total sanitation approaches emphasize collective behavior change through participatory processes that trigger emotional responses to open defecation and mobilize communities toward open defecation-free status. These approaches have achieved remarkable success in some settings, though sustainability and equity concerns require ongoing attention to ensure that vulnerable households are not excluded from progress.

School-based hygiene education provides opportunities to reach children during formative developmental periods when habits and attitudes are

established. Effective programs combine knowledge transmission with skills development, infrastructure provision, and supportive school environments that reinforce desired behaviors. Children can also serve as change agents, carrying messages and practices into their households and communities.

Social marketing techniques apply commercial marketing principles to promote hygiene behaviors, using persuasive communication, attractive products, and behavior change theory to increase adoption rates. These approaches recognize that rational health information alone often proves insufficient to motivate behavior change, requiring appeals to emotions, aspirations, and social identities that resonate with target audiences.

2.2 Policy and Governance Frameworks

Achieving universal sanitation and hygiene access requires supportive policy environments, adequate financing, and effective institutional arrangements. The Sustainable Development Goals established ambitious targets for universal access to safely managed sanitation and hygiene by 2030, though current progress rates suggest many countries will miss these deadlines without accelerated efforts and investments.

National sanitation policies must address multiple dimensions including urban and rural service delivery models, public and private sector roles, financing mechanisms, and regulatory frameworks for service quality and environmental protection. Successful policies balance ambitious goals with realistic implementation pathways, considering fiscal constraints and institutional capacities while maintaining focus on equity and sustainability.

Decentralization trends have shifted sanitation responsibilities to local governments in many countries, creating opportunities for context-appropriate solutions and responsive governance. However, decentralization also poses challenges when local authorities lack technical capacity, financial resources, or political prioritization to fulfill their mandates effectively. Intergovernmental coordination and capacity building remain essential for successful service delivery.

Regulatory frameworks govern sanitation service quality, environmental standards for wastewater discharge, and occupational health protections for sanitation workers. Effective regulation requires clear standards, monitoring systems, enforcement mechanisms, and institutional capacity to oversee compliance. Weak regulatory environments allow substandard services and unsafe practices to persist, undermining public health protection.

Financing Mechanisms

Sanitation financing represents a major constraint to achieving universal access. Total investment requirements far exceed current spending levels, requiring both increased public expenditure and innovative approaches to mobilize additional resources. Financing strategies must address capital costs for infrastructure development and operating costs for service delivery and maintenance.

Public financing through taxation provides the foundation for sanitation investment in most countries, particularly for infrastructure serving low-income populations unable to afford full cost recovery. However, fiscal constraints limit public spending capacity, requiring efficient resource allocation and prioritization mechanisms that target investments where they generate greatest health and social benefits.

User fees and tariffs can contribute to sanitation financing while promoting responsible water use and creating incentives for efficient service delivery. However, affordability concerns require careful tariff design with subsidies or payment flexibility for poor households. Full cost recovery proves unrealistic in many low-income settings, necessitating continued public subsidy support.

Microfinance and sanitation marketing approaches enable household-level investments in latrine construction and improvement through loans, savings mobilization, and supply chain development for sanitation products and services. These market-based approaches complement public provision by reaching middle-income households willing and able to invest in sanitation when appropriate financing and products are available.

III. CLIMATE CHANGE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Climate change creates both challenges and imperatives for sanitation systems. Extreme weather events including floods and droughts threaten

infrastructure functionality and increase contamination risks when systems are overwhelmed or damaged. Sea-level rise endangers coastal sanitation infrastructure, while changing precipitation patterns affect water availability for hygiene and waste treatment processes.

Sanitation systems themselves contribute to climate change through methane emissions from anaerobic waste decomposition and energy consumption for wastewater treatment and transport. Sustainable sanitation approaches emphasize resource recovery, renewable energy use, and ecological treatment methods that minimize carbon footprints while delivering health protection.

Wastewater contains valuable resources including nutrients, organic matter, and water that can be recovered and reused, reducing environmental impacts while generating economic value. Nutrient recovery for agriculture, biogas production for energy, and treated wastewater reuse for irrigation exemplify circular economy approaches that transform waste streams into productive inputs.

Environmental contamination from inadequate sanitation extends beyond immediate health risks to threaten ecosystem integrity and biodiversity. Nutrient pollution from untreated wastewater causes eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems, while pharmaceutical residues and microplastics present emerging concerns for environmental and human health. Comprehensive sanitation management must address these broader environmental dimensions.

IV. HUMANITARIAN AND EMERGENCY CONTEXTS

Armed conflicts, natural disasters, and displacement crises create acute sanitation and hygiene challenges requiring rapid response to prevent disease outbreaks in vulnerable populations. Emergency sanitation provision faces constraints including limited time, resources, and space, while serving populations experiencing trauma and disrupted social structures.

Humanitarian response standards provide guidance for minimum sanitation and hygiene provision in emergencies, including latrine coverage ratios, water quantities for hygiene, and waste management systems. Meeting these standards requires coordination among multiple actors, logistical capabilities for rapid deployment, and adaptation to diverse cultural contexts and physical environments.
Transition from emergency response to sustainable solutions presents particular challenges, as temporary facilities must eventually give way to permanent infrastructure while maintaining continuity of service. Planning for this transition from the onset of emergency response can facilitate smoother pathways toward long-term sustainability.
Refugee and internally displaced person settlements often persist for years or decades, requiring approaches that balance immediate emergency needs with longer-term development perspectives. Upgrading sanitation infrastructure, establishing maintenance systems, and building institutional capacities support dignified living conditions and gradual normalization of displaced communities.

V. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND INNOVATION

Emerging technologies offer potential to address persistent sanitation challenges through novel approaches. Container-based sanitation systems use portable toilets with removable waste containers, enabling sanitation service delivery in dense urban areas without sewerage infrastructure. Digital technologies support service monitoring, payment systems, and demand-responsive management.

Fecal sludge management has gained increased attention as cities recognize the need for comprehensive management of waste from on-site sanitation systems. Appropriate technologies for fecal sludge collection, transport, treatment, and disposal or reuse require development and deployment to prevent environmental contamination and realize resource recovery opportunities.

Behavioral science insights continue to refine understanding of what motivates hygiene practice adoption and maintenance. Nudge approaches using subtle environmental modifications, habit formation theories, and emotional driver identification inform increasingly sophisticated behavior change interventions that move beyond traditional health education models.

Artificial intelligence and big data applications offer possibilities for optimizing sanitation system design, predicting maintenance needs, modeling disease transmission pathways, and targeting interventions

to populations at greatest risk. These technological advances must be coupled with attention to equity, privacy, and accessibility to ensure benefits reach all populations.

VI. CONCLUSION

Hygiene and sanitation remain fundamental determinants of public health, with far-reaching implications for disease prevention, economic development, and human dignity. Despite significant progress in recent decades, substantial gaps persist in access to adequate services, particularly among poor and marginalized populations. Closing these gaps requires sustained political commitment, increased investment, technological innovation, and comprehensive approaches that address infrastructure, behavior, and governance dimensions simultaneously.

The complexity of sanitation challenges defies simple solutions, requiring context-appropriate strategies that engage with local realities while applying evidence-based principles. Success depends on multisectoral collaboration bringing together public health authorities, water utilities, urban planners, educators, and communities themselves in coordinated action toward shared goals.

Future progress will depend on maintaining focus on equity and sustainability while embracing innovation and learning from implementation experience. Universal access to sanitation and hygiene represents not merely a technical challenge but a moral imperative, essential for realizing human rights and enabling all people to live healthy, productive, and dignified lives.

REFERENCES

[1] Cairncross, S., Hunt, C., Boisson, S., Bostoen, K., Curtis, V., Fung, I. C., & Schmidt, W. P. (2010). Water, sanitation and hygiene for the prevention of diarrhoea. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 39*(suppl_1), i193-i205. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyq035

[2] Curtis, V., & Cairncross, S. (2003). Effect of washing hands with soap on diarrhoea risk in the community: A systematic review. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 3*(5), 275-281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(03)00606-6

[3] Freeman, M. C., Garn, J. V., Sclar, G. D., Boisson, S., Medlicott, K., Alexander, K. T., Penakalapati, G., Anderson, D., Mahtani, A. G., Grimes, J. E. T., Rehfuess, E. A., & Clasen, T. F. (2017). The impact of sanitation on infectious disease and nutritional status: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 220*(6), 928-949. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.05.007

[4] Hutton, G., & Chase, C. (2016). The knowledge base for achieving the sustainable development goal targets on water supply, sanitation and hygiene. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 13*(6), 536. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13060536

[5] Mara, D., Lane, J., Scott, B., & Trouba, D. (2010). Sanitation and health. *PLoS Medicine, 7*(11), e1000363. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000363

[6] Prüss-Ustün, A., Wolf, J., Bartram, J., Clasen, T., Cumming, O., Freeman, M. C., Gordon, B., Hunter, P. R., Medlicott, K., & Johnston, R. (2019). Burden of disease from inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene for selected adverse health outcomes: An updated analysis with a focus on low- and middle-income countries. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 222*(5), 765-777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.05.004

[7] Tilley, E., Ulrich, L., Lüthi, C., Reymond, P., & Zurbrügg, C. (2014). *Compendium of sanitation systems and technologies* (2nd revised ed.). Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology.

[8] World Health Organization. (2018). *Guidelines on sanitation and health*. World Health Organization.

[9] World Health Organization & United Nations Children's Fund. (2021). *Progress on household drinking water, sanitation and hygiene 2000-2020: Five years into the SDGs*. World Health Organization.

[10] Wolf, J., Johnston, R., Freeman, M. C., Ram, P. K., Slaymaker, T., Laurenz, E., & Prüss-Ustün, A. (2019). Handwashing with soap after potential faecal contact: Global, regional and country estimates. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 48*(4), 1204-1218. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy253