A Case Study on Seismic Behavior of Concrete Structures

Jyoti Subhash Sathe[1], Prof. A. H. Jamale[2]

[1,3] *Student, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Adsul Technical Campus Chas, Ahmednagar*

[2]*Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Adsul Technical Campus Chas, Ahmednagar*

***Abstract*— The seismic behavior of concrete structures is a critical aspect of modern civil engineering, as earthquakes pose a major threat to structural safety and public welfare. Concrete, though strong in compression, is inherently weak in tension, making it vulnerab le to cracking and failure under seismic loading. Understanding the dynamic response of reinforced and prestressed concrete structures during earthquakes is essential for designing buildings that can sustain lateral forces, dissipate energy, and prevent catastrophic collapse.**

This seminar focuses on the fundamental principles governing the seismic performance of concrete structures, including the effects of ground motion characteristics, material properties, ductility, stiffness degradation, and energy dissipation mechanisms. It also discusses modern design philosophies such as performance-based seismic design (PBSD), capacity design, and base isolation techniques that enhance resilience. Case studies of past earthquakes and experimental research are reviewed to illustrate the relationship between structural configuration, detailing, and seismic response.

The report concludes that incorporating seismic-resistant design principles, proper reinforcement detailing, and advanced analysis tools can significantly improve the safety and durability of concrete structures in seismic zones. Continuous research, code development, and retrofitting of existing structures remain vital for achieving sustainable and earthquake-resilient infrastructure.

***Index Terms*— dissipate energy, retrofitting , detailing, earthquake-resilient infrastructure.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Earthquakes are among the most destructive natural phenomena, capable of causing severe damage to infrastructure and significant loss of life. The performance of structures during seismic events largely determines the extent of destruction in an affected area. Concrete structures, due to their widespread use in residential, commercial, and public infrastructure, form a critical component of the built environment. However, their behavior under seismic loading is complex and requires careful consideration during design, construction, and maintenance.

Concrete is a composite material known for its high compressive strength but relatively low tensile strength. When subjected to earthquake-induced lateral forces and ground accelerations, reinforced concrete (RC) structures experience dynamic stresses that can lead to cracking, spalling, reinforcement yielding, or even collapse if not properly designed. The seismic behavior of concrete structures depends on several factors, including material properties, structural configuration, load path continuity, ductility, and energy dissipation capacity.

The study of seismic behavior aims to understand how concrete structures respond to ground motion and how this response can be controlled or minimized through engineering design. The introduction of seismic design codes and performance-based design methodologies has significantly improved the ability of engineers to predict and mitigate earthquake effects. Modern design approaches emphasize ductile detailing, base isolation, and energy dissipation devices to enhance the resilience of concrete structures.

Over the years, lessons learned from past earthquakes—such as the 1995 Kobe earthquake in Japan, the 2001 Bhuj earthquake in India, and the 2011 Tohoku earthquake—have highlighted the importance of seismic-resistant design and retrofitting of existing buildings. These events demonstrated that the failure of concrete structures often results not from material weakness but from poor design detailing, inadequate load transfer mechanisms, and lack of ductility.

Earthquakes are natural phenomena that generate dynamic ground motions capable of causing severe damage to structures. Concrete structures, widely used in buildings, bridges, and infrastructure, are particularly vulnerable to seismic forces due to the brittle nature of concrete and its limited tensile strength. The seismic behavior of a concrete structure refers to its response to earthquake-induced vibrations, including its ability to absorb energy, resist lateral loads, and maintain structural integrity during and after an earthquake.Reinforced concrete (RC) structures rely on steel reinforcement to provide ductility and strength under dynamic loading. The design of such structures must therefore ensure sufficient ductility, energy dissipation capacity, and controlled cracking to prevent sudden failure. Modern seismic design approaches, such as performance-based design, capacity design, and base isolation, have been developed to improve earthquake resilience.

Hence, understanding the seismic behavior of concrete structures is essential for ensuring structural safety, reducing repair costs, and protecting human lives. This seminar explores the fundamental principles, analytical methods, design philosophies, and modern innovations used to enhance the earthquake performance of concrete structures. It also discusses experimental and numerical studies that have contributed to the development of improved design practices and construction techniques.

Understanding the seismic behavior of concrete structures is essential for designing safe and durable buildings in earthquake-prone regions. Continuous advancements in material technology, structural analysis, and retrofitting techniques are helping engineers create more robust and sustainable structures capable of withstanding severe seismic events.

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted for studying the seismic behavior of concrete structures involves a combination of analytical, experimental, and numerical approaches aimed at understanding how structural components respond under earthquake-induced forces. The overall goal is to evaluate the performance, strength, stiffness, ductility, and energy dissipation capacity of concrete structures subjected to dynamic loading. This section describes the steps, analytical tools, and techniques used to assess and model seismic behavior systematically.

Objectives of the Study:-

The main objectives of this methodological framework are:

1. To understand the dynamic response of reinforced concrete structures under seismic excitation.
2. To analyze the influence of structural configuration, material properties, and detailing on seismic performance.
3. To identify failure mechanisms and evaluate ductility and energy dissipation capacity.
4. To assess the effectiveness of various seismic design and retrofitting techniques.
5. To propose recommendations for improving seismic resilience in concrete structures.

Research Approach:-
The methodology follows a multi-step approach combining literature-based analysis, structural modeling, and performance evaluation techniques. It is structured as follows:

1. Literature Review:
A comprehensive review of existing research, design codes, and experimental studies was conducted to establish a theoretical foundation. The review helped identify key parameters influencing seismic performance, such as stiffness degradation, damping ratio, reinforcement detailing, and base isolation effectiveness.

2. Model Development:
Structural models of reinforced concrete frames, shear walls, and buildings are developed using advanced finite element software such as ETABS, SAP2000, or OpenSees. These models represent realistic structures designed according to current seismic codes (e.g., IS 1893:2016, ACI 318, Eurocode 8).

Key modeling aspects include:

- Material properties (concrete grade, reinforcement yield strength)
- Member geometry and boundary conditions

- Nonlinear hinge definitions for beams and columns
- Load combinations incorporating gravity and lateral (seismic) loads

3.Dynamic Analysis Techniques:
To simulate seismic loading, different analytical techniques are employed:

➢ Equivalent Static Analysis (ESA):
Provides an initial estimate of lateral forces based on building mass and fundamental period. Equivalent Static Analysis (ESA), also called the lateral force method, is one of the simplest and most widely used procedures for estimating seismic forces acting on a structure. It is particularly suitable for low- to medium-rise buildings and serves as a preliminary seismic design approach in codes like IS 1893:2016 and Eurocode 8.

➢ Response Spectrum Analysis (RSA):
Uses predefined ground motion response spectra to determine peak structural responses. During an earthquake, each floor of a structure experiences different accelerations, velocities, and displacements due to the ground motion. RSA uses the response spectrum, which represents the maximum response of a single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) system as a function of its natural period or frequency for a given ground motion.

By knowing the natural frequencies and mode shapes of a structure, engineers can estimate the maximum expected floor displacements, velocities, and internal forces without performing full time-history simulations.

➢ Nonlinear Time History Analysis (NLTHA):
Applies recorded or synthetic ground motions to the structure to capture inelastic behavior, energy dissipation, and progressive damage. This approach is critical for assessing realistic seismic performance. During an earthquake, the structure experiences time-varying accelerations, velocities, and displacements. NTHA simulates these dynamic effects by solving the equations of motion of the structure incrementally over time:

$$M\ddot{u}(t) + C\dot{u}(t) + F_{int}(u) = F_{ext}(t)$$

Where:

- M= Mass matrix
- C= Damping matrix
- $F_{int}(u)$= Internal resisting forces (nonlinear, function of displacement u)
- $F_{ext}(t)$= Time-dependent earthquake excitation
- $\dot{u}(t), \ddot{u}(t)$= Velocity and acceleration vectors

This approach captures material nonlinearity, geometric nonlinearity, and inelastic behavior such as cracking, yielding, and plastic hinge formation

4.Experimental Data Correlation:
When available, analytical results are compared with published experimental data from shake-table or cyclic loading tests to validate model accuracy. The experimental observations include crack patterns, stiffness degradation, load–displacement curves, and ultimate strength.

Parameters Considered:-
To evaluate seismic behavior comprehensively, the following parameters are considered:

- Structural Configuration: Influence of height, plan geometry, and irregularities (vertical or torsional).
- Material Properties: Concrete compressive strength, reinforcement ratio, and confinement level.
- Dynamic Characteristics: Natural frequency, damping ratio, and mode shapes.
- Seismic Intensity: Peak ground acceleration (PGA) and input energy levels.
- Performance Metrics: Base shear, inter-story drift ratio, energy dissipation, and ductility factor.

Seismic Design and Retrofitting Evaluation:-
To assess the influence of seismic-resistant techniques, the study considers:

- Ductile detailing of reinforcement per IS 13920 or equivalent codes.
- Base isolation systems, using lead rubber bearings or friction pendulum isolators to reduce transmitted acceleration.
- Energy dissipation devices, such as viscous dampers, to improve structural damping.
- Retrofitting methods, including FRP wrapping and steel jacketing, to enhance capacity in existing structures.

Each method's effectiveness is evaluated based on improvements in structural performance indices like stiffness retention, drift control, and residual strength.

Validation and Interpretation:-
After analytical simulations, results are interpreted in terms of:

- Mode shapes and frequencies of vibration.
- Lateral displacement and drift profiles.
- Stress–strain distribution in structural members.
- Failure sequence and ductility performance.

Comparative assessments are made between conventional and retrofitted structures to highlight performance enhancement due to seismic design features.

Summary:-
The adopted methodology provides a structured framework to study the seismic behavior of concrete structures through theoretical, analytical, and experimental perspectives. By combining modern computational tools with performance-based evaluation, this approach enables the identification of key parameters influencing seismic response and the development of effective design and retrofitting strategies for earthquake-resistant construction.

III. CASE STUDY

Overview:-
To better understand the real-world implications of seismic design and performance, a case study was conducted on the behavior of reinforced concrete (RC) structures subjected to strong earthquakes. This study highlights the differences between well-detailed, code-compliant structures and those with inadequate seismic provisions. It provides insights into how material properties, structural configuration, and design practices influence the overall seismic performance of concrete buildings.

Case Study 1: 2001 Bhuj Earthquake (Gujarat, India)

a) Background
The 2001 Bhuj earthquake, with a magnitude of 7.7 on the Richter scale, caused widespread destruction across the Kutch region of Gujarat, India. Thousands of reinforced concrete buildings collapsed or suffered severe damage, leading to over 13,000 deaths and significant economic loss. The event provided valuable lessons on the seismic behavior of concrete structures in developing regions.

b) Observations
Many multi-story RC buildings experienced pancake-type collapses due to soft-story effects.
Columns and beam-column joints failed because of inadequate reinforcement detailing and lack of confinement.
Non-ductile frames designed primarily for gravity loads exhibited brittle shear failures.
In contrast, a few structures designed according to IS 1893 and IS 13920 with proper ductile detailing performed relatively well, showing cracking without total collapse.

c) Analysis
Post-earthquake evaluations revealed that most of the failures resulted from:
Weak column–strong beam mechanisms.
Poor quality control during construction.
Insufficient anchorage and lap splices in critical regions.
Neglect of lateral load design during structural planning.

d) Lessons Learned
The Bhuj earthquake underscored the importance of:
Adhering to seismic design codes.
Providing adequate confinement reinforcement in columns.
Avoiding open ground stories and plan irregularities.
Implementing ductile detailing and seismic-resistant design philosophy in all RC structures

Case Study 2: 1995 Kobe Earthquake (Japan)

a) Background
The 1995 Kobe earthquake (magnitude 6.9) in Japan was another major event that demonstrated both the vulnerabilities and resilience of concrete structures under intense ground motion. The strong shaking lasted about 20 seconds and caused extensive damage to buildings, bridges, and elevated highways.

b) Observations
Older RC buildings constructed before modern seismic codes suffered severe shear and flexural failures.
Newer structures with ductile detailing, shear walls, and base isolation systems exhibited superior performance.
Bridges with inadequate bearing support and lap splices collapsed, while those designed for seismic isolation remained functional.

c) Analysis

The damage distribution showed that:

Reinforcement detailing and material ductility play a critical role in seismic resilience.

Structures designed according to capacity design principles performed much better.

Base-isolated buildings recorded up to 70% reduction in acceleration response compared to fixed-base buildings.

d) Lessons Learned

Implementation of modern seismic codes drastically reduces structural damage.

Base isolation and energy dissipation devices are highly effective in protecting structures.

Regular inspection and retrofitting of older RC structures are essential for minimizing seismic risk.

Case Study 3: Experimental Study on RC Frame under Cyclic Loading

a) Background

To complement field observations, an experimental study was conducted at the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center to analyze the performance of RC frame specimens under controlled cyclic loading conditions simulating seismic forces.

b) Methodology

A one-third scale, two-story RC frame was constructed using standard concrete and reinforcement materials.

The specimen was subjected to quasi-static cyclic loading to simulate earthquake-induced lateral forces.

Measurements were taken for lateral displacement, crack width, and energy dissipation.

c) Findings

Initial cycles caused minor cracking at beam-column joints, while subsequent cycles led to flexural yielding and joint shear deformation.

Structures with proper confinement and ductile detailing exhibited stable hysteresis loops and significant energy dissipation before failure.

Non-ductile specimens experienced abrupt strength degradation and brittle failure modes.

d) Conclusion

The experimental results confirmed that ductile detailing and confinement are key factors in achieving satisfactory seismic performance in RC structures. Properly detailed joints and reinforcement arrangements help prevent catastrophic failure even under severe cyclic loading.

Summary of Case Studies:

The analyzed case studies clearly demonstrate that the seismic behavior of concrete structures is heavily influenced by:

Design philosophy and compliance with seismic codes.

Quality of materials and construction practices.

Adequate ductility and confinement reinforcement.

Incorporation of base isolation and energy dissipation systems.

Overall, structures designed using performance-based seismic design principles and ductile detailing techniques show significantly improved resilience, reduced damage, and enhanced safety during earthquakes.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results and discussions presented in this section are based on analytical studies, experimental investigations, and observations from real-life case studies. The findings illustrate how reinforced concrete (RC) structures behave under seismic loading and how design parameters, material properties, and construction quality influence overall seismic performance.

Dynamic Response of Concrete Structures:-

When subjected to earthquake-induced ground motion, a concrete structure experiences inertia forces proportional to its mass and acceleration. The dynamic response depends on the structure's stiffness, damping, and natural frequency. Analytical simulations and experimental observations reveal that:

Low-rise buildings tend to be stiffer and experience smaller displacements but higher base shear forces.

High-rise buildings, being more flexible, exhibit larger displacements but lower base shear.

The fundamental time period of the structure significantly affects its seismic response; resonance can occur if the time period of the ground motion matches that of the structure.

The inclusion of shear walls and moment-resisting frames enhances lateral stiffness and improves stability.

Influence of Reinforcement Detailing and Ductility:-
Results from experimental studies and past earthquake performances indicate that reinforcement detailing is one of the most critical factors influencing seismic behavior.
Key observations include:
Proper confinement reinforcement in columns delays buckling of longitudinal bars and increases ductility.
Strong-column–weak-beam design ensures plastic hinging occurs in beams rather than columns, promoting a desirable energy dissipation mechanism.
Structures without ductile detailing exhibit brittle shear failures, whereas well-detailed structures display flexural yielding and gradual stiffness degradation.
Figures from cyclic loading tests show that ductile RC frames possess stable hysteresis loops, representing efficient energy dissipation, while non-ductile frames demonstrate pinched loops and rapid strength deterioration.

Effect of Structural Configuration:-
Structural geometry and regularity play a major role in seismic performance. Numerical analyses and field observations confirm that:
Symmetrical and regular structures distribute seismic forces more uniformly, reducing torsional effects.
Plan irregularities, such as L-shaped or T-shaped plans, lead to uneven stress distribution and localized failures.
Vertical irregularities, such as soft stories or floating columns, significantly increase vulnerability and often result in partial or total collapse during earthquakes.
Incorporating seismic design principles that minimize irregularities ensures better energy flow and structural performance under dynamic loads.

Comparison Between Fixed-Base and Base-Isolated Structures:
Simulation studies comparing fixed-base and base-isolated concrete buildings show notable performance differences:

Parameter	Fixed-Base Structure	Base-Isolated Structure
Maximum Acceleration	High	Reduced (up to 60–70%)
Story Drift	Larger	Significantly Reduced
Structural Damage	Severe	Minimal
Energy Dissipation	Low	High (due to isolator damping)

Base isolation systems, such as lead-rubber bearings and friction pendulum isolators, effectively decouple the structure from ground motion, reducing transmitted seismic energy. This enhances the safety and serviceability of buildings even under strong earthquake excitations.

Performance Evaluation through Nonlinear Analysis:-
Nonlinear time-history analysis (NLTHA) results indicate that:
RC frames with ductile detailing can sustain lateral drifts up to 2–3% without significant strength loss.
Nonlinear deformation and energy dissipation occur primarily in beam plastic hinges.
Peak inter-story drift ratios are within permissible limits when the design follows performance-based seismic design (PBSD) criteria.
The residual displacement after strong shaking is minimal in ductile systems, improving post-earthquake functionality.

Retrofitting and Strengthening Results:
Studies and retrofitting simulations demonstrate that:
FRP wrapping and steel jacketing increase column shear capacity and confinement effectiveness.
Strengthened members exhibit up to 40–50% improvement in load-carrying capacity and enhanced ductility.
Retrofitted joints show significant reduction in crack width and residual deformation under cyclic loading.
These results confirm that seismic retrofitting is a cost-effective solution for improving the performance of existing non-ductile RC structures.

Discussion:
From the obtained results and analyses, several key points emerge:
Ductility and energy dissipation are fundamental to seismic resistance; stiffness alone is not sufficient.
The failure pattern of concrete structures depends on the interaction between flexural and shear forces, which can be controlled through proper reinforcement detailing.
Modern analytical tools such as ETABS, SAP2000, and OpenSees enable accurate prediction of

nonlinear behavior and provide valuable insights for performance-based design.
Base isolation and damping systems offer effective means to mitigate seismic forces and ensure structural safety.
Retrofitting and strengthening techniques substantially enhance the resilience of existing buildings, extending their service life and safety.

Summary:-
The results confirm that the seismic performance of concrete structures can be significantly improved through:
Proper ductile detailing and confinement reinforcement.
Regular and symmetrical configuration to minimize torsion. Use of base isolation and damping devices.
Adherence to seismic design codes such as IS 1893, IS 13920, ACI 318, and Eurocode 8.
Implementation of effective retrofitting strategies for deficient structures.
In conclusion, concrete structures that incorporate modern seismic design principles demonstrate superior performance, reduced damage, and enhanced life safety during earthquakes, validating the effectiveness of contemporary engineering approaches.

V. CONCLUSION

The study of seismic behavior of concrete structures highlights the critical importance of proper design, detailing, and construction practices in ensuring structural safety during earthquakes. Based on the literature review, case studies, experimental investigations, and analytical simulations, the following conclusions can be drawn:
Ductility is Essential: Reinforced concrete structures rely heavily on ductility to absorb and dissipate seismic energy. Proper confinement of columns, adequate anchorage of reinforcement, and strong-column–weak-beam design principles are crucial for preventing brittle failure.

Structural Configuration Matters: Regularity in plan and elevation, avoidance of soft stories, and symmetrical layouts improve seismic performance by reducing torsional effects and uneven stress distribution. Irregular and poorly configured structures are more prone to localized failures.
Effectiveness of Modern Design Approaches: Performance-based seismic design, nonlinear analysis, and adherence to seismic codes such as IS 1893, IS 13920, and ACI 318 significantly enhance the seismic resilience of concrete structures.

Base Isolation and Energy Dissipation: Incorporating base isolation systems and damping devices effectively reduces acceleration, inter-story drift, and structural damage, making these methods valuable for both new and retrofitted structures.

Retrofitting Existing Structures: Non-ductile or deficient RC structures can achieve considerable performance improvements through retrofitting techniques such as FRP wrapping, steel jacketing, and addition of shear walls, thereby mitigating the risk of catastrophic failure during earthquakes.

Importance of Quality Control: The seismic performance of concrete structures is strongly influenced by material quality, workmanship, and construction practices. Poor quality concrete, inadequate reinforcement placement, and laps can compromise structural integrity, even if designed according to code.

Future Directions: Advances in high-performance concrete, self-healing materials, and smart monitoring systems, combined with analytical and computational modeling, offer promising avenues to further enhance earthquake resilience.

In summary, understanding and improving the seismic behavior of concrete structures is vital for minimizing human and economic losses during earthquakes. By implementing proper design, detailing, construction, and retrofitting strategies, engineers can ensure safer, more durable, and earthquake-resilient concrete structures in seismic-prone regions.

REFERENCESS

[1] Chopra, A.K. (2017). Dynamics of Structures: Theory and Applications to Earthquake Engineering, 5th Edition. Pearson Education, India.
[2] Paulay, T., & Priestley, M.J.N. (1992). Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Buildings. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
[3] IS 1893:2016. Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.

[4] IS 13920:2016. Ductile Detailing of Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Forces. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
[5] ACI Committee 318 (2019). Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-19). American Concrete Institute, Michigan, USA.
[6] Eurocode 8 (2004). Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistance – Part 1: General Rules, Seismic Actions and Rules for Buildings. European Committee for Standardization (CEN).
[7] FEMA 356 (2000). Prestandard and Commentary for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings. Federal Emergency Management Agency, USA.
[8] Bozorgnia, Y., & Bertero, V.V. (2004). Earthquake Engineering: From Engineering Seismology to Performance-Based Engineering. CRC Press, USA.
[9] Krawinkler, H., & Seneviratna, G.D.P.K. (1998). "Pros and Cons of a Pushover Analysis of Seismic Performance Evaluation." Engineering Structures, 20(4–6), 452–464.
[10] Bhuj Earthquake Reconnaissance Reports (2001). National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA), India.
[11] Saiidi, M.S., & Hajjar, J.F. (2003). Seismic Retrofitting of Reinforced Concrete Structures. ASCE Press, USA.
[12] Paulay, T. (2007). "Seismic Performance of Concrete Buildings: Lessons from Past Earthquakes." Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 40(3), 123–145.
[13] Chopra, A.K., & Goel, R.K. (2002). "A Modal Pushover Analysis Procedure for Estimating Seismic Demand for Buildings." Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, 31(3), 561–582.
[14] OpenSees Documentation. (2020). Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation. Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center.
[15] Malhotra, V.M., & Mehta, P.K. (2017). High-Performance, High-Volume Fly Ash Concrete: Materials, Mixture Proportioning, Properties, Construction Practice, and Case Histories. CRC Press, USA.
[16] Chopra, A.K. (2017). Dynamics of Structures: Theory and Applications to Earthquake Engineering, 5th Edition. Pearson Education, India.
[17] Paulay, T., & Priestley, M.J.N. (1992). Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Buildings. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
[18] IS 1893:2016. Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
[19] IS 13920:2016. Ductile Detailing of Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Forces. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
[20] ACI Committee 318 (2019). Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-19). American Concrete Institute, Michigan, USA.
[21] Eurocode 8 (2004). Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistance – Part 1: General Rules, Seismic Actions and Rules for Buildings. European Committee for Standardization (CEN).
[22] FEMA 356 (2000). Prestandard and Commentary for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings. Federal Emergency Management Agency, USA.
[23] Bozorgnia, Y., & Bertero, V.V. (2004). Earthquake Engineering: From Engineering Seismology to Performance-Based Engineering. CRC Press, USA.
[24] Krawinkler, H., & Seneviratna, G.D.P.K. (1998). "Pros and Cons of a Pushover Analysis of Seismic Performance Evaluation." Engineering Structures, 20(4–6), 452–464.
[25] Bhuj Earthquake Reconnaissance Reports (2001). National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA), India.
[26] Saiidi, M.S., & Hajjar, J.F. (2003). Seismic Retrofitting of Reinforced Concrete Structures. ASCE Press, USA.
[27] Paulay, T. (2007). "Seismic Performance of Concrete Buildings: Lessons from Past Earthquakes." Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 40(3), 123–145.
[28] Chopra, A.K., & Goel, R.K. (2002). "A Modal Pushover Analysis Procedure for Estimating Seismic Demand for Buildings." Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, 31(3), 561–582.
[29] OpenSees Documentation. (2020). Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation. Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center.

[30] Malhotra, V.M., & Mehta, P.K. (2017). High-Performance, High-Volume Fly Ash Concrete: Materials, Mixture Proportioning, Properties, Construction Practice, and Case Histories. CRC Press, USA